

1 Carney R. Shegerian, State Bar No. 150461
CShegerian@Shegerianlaw.com
2 Anthony Nguyen, State Bar No. 259154
ANguyen@Shegerianlaw.com
3 Cheryl A. Kenner, State Bar No. 305758
CKenner@Shegerianlaw.com
4 **SHEGERIAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.**
11520 San Vicente Boulevard
5 Los Angeles, California 90049
Telephone Number: (310) 860 0770
6 Facsimile Number: (310) 860 0771

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff ROBERTO HERNANDEZ
and all others similarly situated and aggrieved
8

9 *[Additional counsel next page]*

10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **COUNTY OF ORANGE**

12
13 ROBERTO HERNANDEZ, an individual,
on behalf of himself and all others similarly
14 situated and aggrieved,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 CTC GLOBAL CORPORATION doing
business as CTC CABLE CORPORATION,
18 a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 to
100, inclusive,

19 Defendants.
20

21 ADRIENNE JOHNSON, individually and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

22 Plaintiff,

23 vs.
24

25 CTC GLOBAL CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through
26 50, inclusive,

27 Defendants.
28

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ORANGE
CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

APR 28 2022

DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court

BY: _____ DEPUTY

Case No.: 30-2020-01138945-CU-OE-CJC
Related Case No. 30-2020-01149795

[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. William D.
Claster, Dept. CX104]

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

Action Filed: March 13, 2020
Trial Date: None

1 Matthew J. Matern, State Bar No. 159798
MMatern@maternlawgroup.com

2 Launa Adolph, State Bar No. 227743
LAdolph@maternlawgroup.com

3 Deanna S. Leifer, State Bar No. 265840
DLeifer@maternlawgroup.com

4 **MATERN LAW GROUP, PC**
1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200
5 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
Telephone Number: (310) 531-1900
6 Facsimile Number: (310) 531-1901

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE JOHNSON
8 individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated

9 Holly R. Lake, State Bar No. 204304
Steve L. Hernandez, State Bar No. 229065
10 Ryan M. Estes, State Bar No. 294876

11 **DLA PIPER LLP**
2000 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 400 North Tower
12 Los Angeles, CA 90067-4704
Telephone Number: (310) 595-3000
13 Facsimile Number: (310) 595-3300

14 Attorneys for Defendant CTC GLOBAL
15 CORPORATION doing business as CTC
CABLE CORPORATION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

On April 18, 2022, this Court conducted a hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion"). Having considered the Motion and the points and authorities and declarations submitted in support of the Motion, including the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement") and exhibits, and **GOOD CAUSE** appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Motion is **GRANTED**, subject to the following findings and orders:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

2. The Class shall be conditionally certified for settlement purposes only and shall consist of all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant that worked in California at any time between July 20, 2016 and October 15, 2021.

3. The Aggrieved Employees are all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant in California at any time during the PAGA Period, which is the time period of January 7, 2019 through October 15, 2021. Aggrieved Employees shall receive a pro-rata share of 25% of the PAGA Payment allocated to Aggrieved Employees based on their Compensable Workweeks during the PAGA Period.

4. The class action settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, entered into by the Parties and their counsel, is preliminarily approved as it appears to be proper, to fall within the range of reasonableness, to be the product of arm's-length and informed negotiations, to treat all Class Members fairly, and to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at or before the Final Approval Hearing. The Court further finds that Plaintiffs' Counsel ~~conducted extensive investigation and research, and that they~~ were able to reasonably evaluate Plaintiffs' positions and the strengths and weaknesses of their claims and the ability to certify them. Plaintiffs' Counsel have provided the Court with enough information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.

5. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial

1 litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the Action.

2 6. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement, including all the terms and
3 conditions set forth therein and the Gross Settlement Amount and allocation of payments.

4 7. The rights of any potential dissenters to the proposed Settlement are adequately protected
5 in that they may exclude themselves from the Settlement and proceed with any alleged claims they may
6 have against Defendant, or they may object to the Settlement and appear before this Court. However, to
7 do so they must follow the procedures outlined in the Settlement Agreement and Notice of Class Action
8 Settlement.

9 8. The Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed Notice of Class Action
10 Settlement ("Class Notice").

11 9. The Court directs the mailing, by First-Class U.S. mail, of the Notice Packets to Class
12 Members in accordance with the schedule set forth below—which provides Class Members 60 days to opt
13 out, object, and submit disputes to their workweeks—and the other procedures described in the Settlement
14 Agreement. The Court finds that the method selected for communicating the preliminary approval of the
15 Settlement Agreement to Class Members is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitutes
16 due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice, and thereby satisfies due process.

17 10. Plaintiffs Roberto Hernandez and Adrienne Johnson are suitable class representatives and
18 are appointed as the Class Representatives for the Class conditionally certified by this Order.

19 11. The Court appoints Carney R. Shegerian, Anthony Nguyen, and Cheryl A. Kenner of
20 Shegerian & Associates, Inc. and Matthew J. Matern, Launa Adolph, and Deanna S. Leifer of Matern
21 Law Group, PC as Class Counsel. The Court finds that counsel have demonstrable experience litigating,
22 certifying, and settling class actions, and will serve as adequate counsel for the Class conditionally
23 certified by this Order.

24 12. The Court approves and appoints CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.

25 13. The following dates shall govern for purposes of this Settlement:
26
27
28

Date	Event
May 3, 2022	Last day for Defendant to produce the Class List to the Settlement Administrator [15 calendar days from the preliminary approval order]
May 18, 2022	Last day for the Settlement Administrator to mail Class Notice to all Class Members [15 calendar days from receipt of Class List]
July 17, 2022	Last day for Class Members to submit Requests for Exclusion, Disputes, or Objections to the Settlement [60 calendar days from mailing of Class Notice]
October 19, 2022	Last day for Settlement Administrator to provide Declaration to Class Counsel for filing with Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement [7 calendar days prior to deadline to file Motion for Final Approval]
October 26, 2022	Last day for Plaintiffs to file the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement [at least 16 court days prior to Final Approval Hearing]
November 18, 2022 at 9:00 a.m.	Hearing on Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement

14. The Court expressly reserves the right to continue or adjourn the final approval hearing from time to time without further notice to the Class Members.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4-28-22

William D. Claster
Hon. William D. Claster
Superior Court, County of Orange

HERNANDEZ v. CTC GLOBAL CORP. dba CTC CABLE CORP.; et al.
OCSC CASE NO.: 30-2020-01138945-CU-OE-CJC

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am an employee in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 11520 San Vicente Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90049.

On April 18, 2022, I served the foregoing document, described as **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT** on all interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:

Holly R. Lake
Steve L. Hernandez
Ryan M. Estes
DLA PIPER LLP
2000 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 400 North Tower
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4704

holly.lake@dlapiper.com
steve.hernandez@dlapiper.com
ryan.estes@dlapiper.com

Attorneys for Defendant CTC GLOBAL CORP.

Matthew J. Matern
Launa Adolph
Deanna S. Leifer
MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

MMatern@maternlawgroup.com
LAdolph@maternlawgroup.com
DLeifer@maternlawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIENNE JOHNSON

☐ (BY MAIL) I placed such envelope, with postage thereon prepaid, in the United States mail at Santa Monica, California. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day, with postage thereon fully prepaid, at Santa Monica, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that, on motion of the party served, Service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in this affidavit.

☐ (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the attorney at the offices of the addressee.

☒ (BY ELECTRONIC MAIL) I sent such document via electronic mail to the email address(es) noted above.

☒ (STATE) I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 18, 2022, at Los Angeles, California.

Cheryl A. Kenner