

ORIGINAL

1 **BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK**
2 **DE BLOUW LLP**

3 Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)
4 Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)
5 Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)
6 2255 Calle Clara
7 La Jolla, CA 92037
8 Telephone: (858)551-1223
9 Facsimile: (858) 551-1232
10 Email: Kyle@bamlawca.com
11 Website: www.bamlawca.com

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

APR 27 2022

BY 
JESSICA MORALES, DEPUTY

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

FERNANDO ALCOGER, JR., on behalf of
the State of California, as a private attorney
general,

Plaintiff,

v.

DSV SOLUTIONS, LLC, a Limited Liability
Company; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: CIVDS2010345

~~PROPOSED~~ FINAL APPROVAL
ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Hearing Date: April 26, 2022
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. David Cohn
Dept.: S-26

Action Filed: June 2, 2020
Trial Date: Not set

FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

FILED BY FAX

RECEIVED

APR 15 2022

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT**

1 The motion of Plaintiff Fernando Alcocer, Jr. ("Plaintiff") for an order finally approving
2 the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") with Defendant DSV Solutions, LLC
3 ("Defendant"), attorneys' fees and costs, service payments, and the fees and expenses of the
4 Settlement Administrator duly came on for hearing on April 26, 2022 before the Honorable David
5 Cohn.

6 **I.**

7 **FINDINGS**

8 Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the
9 motion, the Court makes the following findings:

- 10 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 11 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation pending before
12 the California Superior Court for the County of San Bernardino, and over all Parties to this
13 litigation, including the Class.
- 14 3. Based on a review of the papers submitted by Plaintiff and a review of the
15 applicable law, the Court finds that the Gross Settlement Amount of One Million Five Hundred
16 Thousand Dollars (\$1,500,000) and the terms set forth in the Agreement are fair, reasonable, and
17 adequate.
- 18 4. The Court further finds that the Settlement was the result of arm's length
19 negotiations conducted after Class Counsel had adequately investigated the claims and became
20 familiar with the strengths and weaknesses of those claims. In particular, the amount the
21 Settlement, the significant risks relating to certification, liability, and damages issues, and the
22 assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process, among other factors, support the
23 Court's conclusion that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

24 **Preliminary Approval of the Settlement**

- 25 5. On December 1, 2021, the Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement.
26 At this same time, the Court approved conditional certification of the Class for settlement
27 purposes only.

1 **Notice to the Class**

2 6. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was mailed
3 by first class mail to members of the Class at their last known addresses on or about February 18,
4 2022. Mailing of the Class Notice to their last known addresses was the best notice practicable
5 under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual notice of the
6 litigation and the proposed settlement to the Class. The Class Notice given to the Class Members
7 fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed
8 Settlement and of their opportunity to object to or comment thereon or to seek exclusion from the
9 Settlement; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the
10 laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable
11 law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided Class
12 Members adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

13 7. The deadline for opting out of the Class or submitting written objections to the
14 Settlement was April 4, 2022. There was an adequate interval between notice and the deadline to
15 permit Class Members to choose what to do and act on their decision. A full opportunity has been
16 afforded to the Class Members to participate in this hearing, and all Class Members and other
17 persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Class Members also have had a full and fair
18 opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and Class. Accordingly, the
19 Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly submit a request for
20 exclusion are bound by the Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

21 **Fairness Of Settlement**

22 8. The Agreement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.*
23 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1801 (1996).

24 a. The settlement was reached through arm's-length bargaining between the
25 parties during an all-day mediation before Louis Marlin, a respected and experienced mediator of
26 wage and hour class actions. There has been no collusion between the parties in reaching the
27 proposed settlement.

1 b. Plaintiff's investigation and discovery have been sufficient to allow the
2 Court and counsel to act intelligently.

3 c. Counsel for both parties are experienced in similar employment class action
4 litigation. All counsel recommended approval of the Agreement.

5 d. The percentage of objectors and requests for exclusion is small. No
6 objections were received. No requests for exclusion were received.

7 e. The participation rate was high. 559 Class Members will be mailed a
8 settlement payment, representing 100% of the overall Class.

9 9. The consideration to be given to the Class Members under the terms of the
10 Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate considering the strengths and weaknesses of the claims
11 asserted in this action and is fair, reasonable and adequate compensation for the release of Class
12 Members' claims, given the uncertainties and significant risks of the litigation and the delays
13 which would ensue from continued prosecution of the action.

14 10. The Agreement is approved as fair, adequate and reasonable and in the best
15 interests of the Class Members.

16 **Attorneys' Fees and Costs**

17 11. An award of \$500,000 for attorneys' fees, representing one-third of the Gross
18 Settlement Amount, and \$14,737.47 for litigation costs and expenses, is reasonable, in light of the
19 contingent nature of Class Counsel's fee, the hours worked by Class Counsel, and the results
20 achieved by Class Counsel. The requested award has been supported by Class Counsel's lodestar
21 and billing statement.

22 **Class Representative Service Payment**

23 12. The Agreement provides for a Class Representative Service Payment of not more
24 than \$10,000 to the Plaintiff, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds that Class
25 Representative Service Payment in the amount of \$10,000 to the Plaintiff is reasonable in light of
26 the risks and burdens undertaken by the Plaintiff in the litigation, for the time and effort in
27
28

bringing and prosecuting this matter on behalf of the Class, and for the execution of a general release.

Settlement Administration Expenses

13. The Settlement Administrator shall calculate and administer the payment to be made to the Class Members, transmit payment for attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, transmit the Class Representative Service Payment to the Plaintiffs, distribute the PAGA Payment, issue any required tax reporting forms, calculate withholdings and perform the other remaining duties set forth in the Agreement. The Settlement Administrator has documented \$15,000 in fees and expenses, and this amount is reasonable in light of the work performed by the Settlement Administrator.

PAGA Payment

14. The Agreement provides for a PAGA Payment out of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$25,000, which shall be allocated \$18,750 to the LWDA as the LWDA's 75% share of the settlement of civil penalties paid pursuant to the PAGA and \$6,250 to be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees based on their respective pay periods worked during the PAGA Period (June 2, 2019 to June 15, 2021). The Court finds this PAGA Payment to be reasonable. All Aggrieved Employees will be sent their share of the PAGA Payment and will be subject to the release of the Released PAGA Claims as set forth below, ~~which shall be subject to the~~ ^{in furtherance of the purposes of PAGA} ~~Settlement.~~

II.

ORDERS

Based on the foregoing findings, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The certification of the Class for the purposes of settlement is confirmed. The Class is defined as follows:

All individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California who were classified as non-exempt employees at any time during the Class Period (June 2, 2016 to June 15, 2021).

2. All persons who meet the foregoing definition are members of the Class because there were no requests for exclusion submitted.

3. The Agreement is hereby finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interest of the Class.

4. Class Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$500,000 and costs in the amount of \$14,737.47. Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or reimbursement from Defendants, Plaintiffs or members of the Class.

5. The payment of the Class Representative Service Payment in the amount of \$10,000 to the Plaintiff is approved.

6. The payment of \$15,000 to the Settlement Administrator for their fees and expenses is approved.

7. The PAGA Payment of \$25,000 is approved and shall be allocated in accordance with the Agreement.

8. The Agreement and this Settlement are not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Final Approval Order and Judgment a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or that this Action is appropriate for class treatment (other than for settlement purposes). Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant. Notwithstanding these restrictions, Defendant may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Class Claims.

9. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to all

FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

1 Parties by Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff and all Class Members. The Final Approval Order
2 and Judgment shall be posted on Class Counsel's website as set forth in the Class Notice to the
3 Class. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment
4 to individual Class Members.

5 10. If the Agreement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms
6 of the Agreement, then this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all orders entered in
7 connection herewith, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall
8 revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement, and expressly reserve
9 their respective rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action, including all available
10 defenses and affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the Action could not be
11 certified as a class action and/or managed as a representative action.

12
13 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECREED THAT:**

14 1. Except as set forth in the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment,
15 Plaintiff, and all members of the Class, shall take nothing in the Action.

16 2. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, the Court shall retain
17 jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement and enforce the Agreement, to hear and resolve any
18 contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute
19 arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

20 3. The Parties are authorized, without further approval from the Court, to agree to and
21 to adopt such amendments, modifications and expansions of the Agreement and all exhibits
22 attached thereto which are consistent with this Final Approval Order and Judgment and do not
23 limit the rights of the Parties or Class Members under the Agreement.

24 4. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs, except as otherwise
25 provided in the Agreement and in this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

26 5. As of the Effective Date, each and every Class Member who has not validly opted
27 out has released the "Released Class Claims" against the Defendants and all of the "Released
28

Parties” as set forth in the Agreement.

6. As used in paragraph 5 above, the quoted terms have the meanings set forth below:

(a) “Released Class Claims” means all claims pled in the Action, as well as all predicate claims that could have been pled based on the factual allegations and legal theories contained in the Action, during the Class Period. The release expressly excludes all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, and workers’ compensation, and claims outside of the Class Period and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period.


(b) “Released Parties” collectively mean: Defendant, Defendant’s respective past, present and future parents, subsidiaries, corporations, divisions, and successors and assigns, and the past, present and future shareholders, managers, officers, partners, members, directors, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, successors, assigns, agents, representatives, investors, shareholders, administrators, affiliates, and divisions of Defendant.

7. As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall receive a release from the State of California of all PAGA claims pled based on the factual allegations contained in the operative complaint or any amendments thereto and PAGA letters sent by this Plaintiff that occurred during the PAGA Period as to the Aggrieved Employees (“Released PAGA Claims”). The release of the Released PAGA Claims shall be effective as to all Aggrieved Employees, regardless of whether an Aggrieved Employee submitted a request for an exclusion from the Class.

8. As of the Effective Date, Plaintiff generally, releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, transactions or occurrences between them that occurred during the Class Period (“Plaintiff’s Released Claims”) as set forth fully in the Agreement.

LET JUDGMENT BE FORTHWITH ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/27/20



HON. DAVID COHN
JUDGE, SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT