

David Mara, Esq. (SBN 230498)
Jill Vecchi, Esq. (SBN 299333)
MARA LAW FIRM, PC
2650 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 302
San Diego, California 92108
Telephone: (619) 234-2833
Facsimile: (619) 234-4048

Attorneys for ERIK MARTINEZ,
on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated,
and on behalf of the general public.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

ERIK MARTINEZ on behalf of himself and
all others similarly situated, and on behalf of
the general public,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PATRICK INDUSTRIES, INC.; and DOES1-
100;

Defendants.

Case No. CIVDS2009663
ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO:
The Honorable David Cohn
Department S-26

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
GRANTING PLAINTIFF ERIK
MARTINEZ'S MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
COSTS, CLASS REPRESENTATIVE
ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT,
ADMINISTRATION COSTS, LWDA
PAYMENT, AND ENTERING OF FINAL
JUDGMENT**


Complaint filed: June 1, 2020
Trial date: Not set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on December 15, 2022, the Court issued an Order
3 Granting Plaintiff Erik Martinez's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement,
4 Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Class Representative Enhancement Payment, Administration Costs,
5 LWDA Payment, and Entering of Final Judgment. A true and correct copy of the Court's
6 Judgement is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

7
8 **MARA LAW FIRM, PC**

9
10 Dated: December 15, 2022

By: 

David Mara, Esq.

Jill Vecchi, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff Erik Martinez

EXHIBIT 1

DEC 15 2022

BY  DEPUTY
Samantha Neubauer

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Attorneys for ERIK MARTINEZ,
on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated,
and on behalf of the general public,

Plaintiff,

v.

PATRICK INDUSTRIES, INC.; and DOES 1-
100,

Defendants.

Case No. CIVDS2009663

*[Assigned for All Purposes to the
Hon. David Cohn; Dept. S26]*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF ERIK MARTINEZ'S
MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT,
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS,
CLASS REPRESENTATIVE
ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT,
ADMINISTRATION COSTS, LWDA
PAYMENT, AND ENTERING OF
FINAL JUDGMENT**

Date: December 15, 2022
Time: 10:00 a.m.

Complaint Filed: June 1, 2020
Trial Date: None Set



TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Enhancement Payment, and Entering of Final Judgment ("Motion for Final Approval") came before this Court, the Honorable David Cohn, presiding, on December 15, 2022. The Court having considered the papers submitted in support of the Motion for Final Approval, **HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:**

1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Parties' Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") and the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Conditional Certification, Approval of Class Notice, Setting of Final Approval Hearing Date ("Preliminary Approval Order").

2. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include all non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked in California from June 1, 2016 through June 10, 2022 ("Class" or "Class Members").

3. The Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the proceeding.

4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") was mailed by first-class U.S. mail to Class Members. The Class Notice informed the Class of the material terms of the settlement, of their right to receive a *pro rata* portion of the Net Settlement Amount, of their right to request exclusion from the settlement, of their right to comment upon or object to the settlement and to appear in person or through counsel at the Final Approval Hearing and of the date set for the Final Approval Hearing. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures.

5. In response to the Class Notice, no member of the Class submitted a written objection to the settlement or stated an intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. No

1 member of the Class requested to be excluded from the settlement. No member of the Class
2 submitted a dispute regarding the number of workweeks credited to him/her.

3 6. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate
4 protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision
5 regarding approval of the settlement based on the Class Members' response. The Court finds and
6 determines that the Class Notice was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and
7 satisfied the requirements of law and due process.

8 7. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the settlement are fair,
9 reasonable, and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member

10 8. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the settlement.
11 The Court finds that the settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-
12 length negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court further finds that the Parties
13 conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery and that their attorneys were able to
14 reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement will enable the
15 Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if
16 the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has considered the absence of objections
17 to and requests for exclusion from the settlement, reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part
18 of the settlement, and recognizes the significant value accorded to the Class. Accordingly, the
19 Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the settlement
20 is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and directs the Parties to effectuate the settlement
21 according to its terms.

22 9. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the
23 Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been
24 heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from
25 the settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not submit a
26 timely and valid request for exclusion from the settlement to the Settlement Administrator
27 ("Participating Class Members") are bound by this Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final
28

1 Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Enhancement
2 Payment, and Entering of Final Judgment ("Final Approval Order and Judgment").

3 10. The Court hereby confirms David Mara and Jill Vecchi of Mara Law Firm, PC, as
4 Class Counsel in this action.

5 11. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff Erik Martinez as the Class Representative in
6 this action.

7 12. The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement Shares provided for
8 by the terms of the Settlement Agreement to be paid to the Participating Class Members are fair
9 and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts
10 be made to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement
11 Agreement.

12 13. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Enhancement Payment in
13 the sum of \$7,500 to Plaintiff Erik Martinez is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the
14 Settlement Administrator to make the payment to the Plaintiff/Class Representative Erik Martinez
15 in the amount of \$7,500 for the Class Representative Enhancement Payment in accordance with
16 the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

17 14. The Court finds and determines that the payment to the Settlement Administrator,
18 Phoenix Settlement Administrators, in the sum of \$10,000 for its fee and expenses incurred and to
19 be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process is fair and reasonable. The Court
20 hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make payment to itself in the amount of \$10,000 for
21 Administration Costs in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

22 15. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument
23 submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby approves of an attorneys' fee award in the sum of
24 \$599,940 and a Cost Award of \$18,424.51 to Class Counsel. The Court finds such amounts to be
25 fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make payment to
26 Class Counsel in the amount of \$599,940 for attorneys' fees and \$18,424.51 for litigation expenses
27 in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

1 16. The Court finds and determines that the payment to the Labor and Workforce
2 Development Agency ("LWDA"), in the sum of \$56,250 (which is 75% of the \$75,000 allocated
3 to claims under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA")), is fair and reasonable. The
4 Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make the payment to the LWDA in the
5 amount of \$56,250 for the PAGA payment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement
6 Agreement.

7 17. Neither Defendant nor any related persons or entities shall have any further liability
8 for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except
9 as provided for by the Settlement Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement,
10 Defendant shall not be liable for more than the agreed upon Gross Settlement Amount.

11 18. The Court finds and determines that the release contained in the Settlement
12 Agreement is appropriate and shall bind all Settlement Class Members.

13 19. Nothing in this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall preclude any action to
14 enforce the Parties' obligations pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or pursuant to this Final
15 Approval Order and Judgment, including the requirement that Defendant make payments to
16 Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

17 20. The Court finds and determines that nothing in the Settlement Agreement or this
18 Final Approval Order and Judgment is intended or will be construed as an admission of liability
19 or wrongdoing by Defendant. Except as necessary to enforce the terms of the Settlement
20 Agreement, neither the Settlement, nor any document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to
21 the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or
22 admitted into evidence as, received as or deemed to be in evidence for any purpose adverse to the
23 Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or
24 admission by Defendant of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, or damage,
25 except for legal proceedings concerning the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of the
26 Settlement Agreement.

Case Name: Erik Martinez v. Patrick Industries, Inc., and Does 1-100
Court: San Bernardino Superior Court
Case Number: CIVDS2009663

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

I am employed in the County of: San Diego, State of California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is:
2650 Camino Del Rio N. Suite 302, San Diego, CA 92108

On, December 15, 2022 I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF ERIK MARTINEZ'S
MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEYS'
FEES AND COSTS, CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT,
ADMINISTRATION COSTS, LWDA PAYMENT, AND ENTERING OF FINAL
JUDGMENT**

On interested parties in this action

Samantha Rollins, Esq.

Kristin Halsing, Esq.

Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP

1800Centry Park East, suite 1500

Los Angeles, CA 900250


samantha.rollins@faegredrinker.com

kristin.halsing@faegredrinker.com

[XX] (BY E-MAIL) On December 15, 2022, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses of the parties named above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

[XX] (DECLARATION) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Dated: December 15, 2022



Mathew Adame