

Justin Lo, Esq. (SBN 280102)
justin@caworklawyer.com
May T. To, Esq. (SBN 305268)
may@caworklawyer.com
WORK LAWYERS, PC
22939 Hawthorne Blvd., Suite 202
Torrance, CA 90505
Tel: (424) 355-8335
Facsimile: (424) 355-8335

Attorney for Plaintiff ALLAN CASTANETO, an individual, and on behalf of all aggrieved employees pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act

(Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs listed on following page)

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ALLAN CASTANETO, an individual,
and on behalf of all aggrieved employees
pursuant to the Labor Code Private
Attorneys General Act.

Plaintiff,

v.

CALOP BUSINESS SYSTEMS, INC.,
and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive,

Defendant.

CASE NO. **20STCV03772** (lead case and consolidated with 20STCV21789, 20STCV22191)

Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Theresa M. Traber, Dept. 47

UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, ENHANCEMENT PAYMENTS, AND ADMINISTRATOR COSTS

1 Michael H. Boyamian, SBN 256107
2 Alfred Movsesyan, SBN 278560
3 Heather M. Zermeno, SBN 334460
4 **BOYAMIAN LAW, INC.**
5 550 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 1500
6 Glendale, California 91203
7 T: (818) 547-5300 | F: (818) 547-5678
8 E-mail: michael@boyamianlaw.com,
9 alfred@boyamianlaw.com,
10 heather@boyamianlaw.com

11 Attorney for Plaintiff ANTUAN FERNANDEZ, an individual, and or behalf of all aggrieved
12 employees pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act

13 Joel Glaser, Esq. (SBN 194442)
14 Email: joel@glaserlaw.org
15 **JOEL GLASER APC**
16 11500 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 400
17 Los Angeles, California 90064
18 Tel: (310) 943-8005
19 Facsimile: (310) 295-1831

20 Darren Le Montree, Esq. (SBN 198715)
21 dlemontree@dbllawgroup.com
22 **DBL LAW GROUP**
23 21550 Oxnard St., Suite 300
24 Woodland Hills, CA 91367
25 Tel: (818) 274-3080
26 Facsimile: (818) 450-0806

27 Attorney for Plaintiff SAM KIMM an individual, and or behalf of all aggrieved employees
28 pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act

1 This matter comes before the Honorable Theresa M. Trabe in Department 47 of the above-
2 entitled Court located at Stanley Mosk Courthouse 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, California
3 90021 on Plaintiffs Allan Castaneto, Antuan Fernandez, and Sam Kimm's ("Plaintiffs") Motion
4 for Approval of Private Attorneys General Act Settlement Agreement and Award of Attorney's
5 Fees and Costs, Enhancement Payments, and Administrator Costs. Justin Lo of Work Lawyers,
6 PC appeared for Plaintiff Allan Castaneto, Michael Boyamian of Boyamian Law, Inc. appeared
7 for Plaintiff Antuan Fernandez, Joel Glaser of Joel Glaser APC and Darren Le Montree of DBL
8 Law Group appeared for Plaintiff Sam Kimm and Juan Hong of Law Offices of Juan Hong
9 Defendant Calop Business Systems, Inc., and
appeared for Defendant Calop Aeroground Services, LLC ("Defendant").

10 On June 6, 2022, Plaintiffs and Defendant (together the "Parties") fully executed the
11 Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU" or "Agreement").

12 The Court has reviewed and considered: (1) The Notice of Motion and Motion for
13 Approval of Private Attorneys General Act Settlement Agreement and Award of Attorneys' Fees
14 and Costs, Enhancement Payments, and Administrator Costs and Supplemental papers in support
15 thereof; (2) the Declarations of Plaintiffs' Counsel; (3) The Declarations of Plaintiffs; and (4) the
16 Memorandum of Understanding.

17 Having duly considered the Parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing.

18 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:**

19 1. All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings as defined in the
20 Agreement.

21 2. The Court finds that Plaintiffs have satisfied the prerequisites under the California
22 Private Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.*) ("PAGA"), including, but not
23 limited to. Providing the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and Defendant
24 with notice of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated,
25 including, but not limited to, the facts and theories to support the alleged violations, in conformity
26 with California Labor Code § 2699.3(a).

27 3. The Court also finds that the Agreement has been submitted to the LWDA in

1 conformity with California Labor Code § 2699(1)(1) and that the LWDA has not sought to
2 intervene or appear in the Action.

3 4. The aggrieved employees covered under the Settlement consist of the following
4 individuals:

5 All current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants from November 21,
6 2018 through approval of the settlement or September 30, 2022, whichever occurs
7 earlier (“PAGA Member” and “Aggrieved Employee”).

8 5. The Court finds that the Parties reached the Settlement as a result of arms-length
9 negotiations.

10 6. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(1)(2), the Court has reviewed the sum
11 allocated for payment of penalties under PAGA (“Net Settlement Amount”), and determines that
12 the Net Settlement Amount is fair, just, reasonable, and adequate. The Court hereby approves the
13 Net Settlement Amount. The Net Settlement Amount shall be the Gross Settlement Amount
14 (\$300,000) less the approved Attorneys’ Fees and Costs, Enhancement Payments, and
15 Administrator Costs. Seventy-five percent (75%) of the Net Settlement Amount shall be
16 distributed to the LWDA (“LWDA Payment”) and twenty-five percent (25%) of the Net
17 Settlement Amount shall be distributed to the PAGA Group Members in accordance with this
18 Order and the Settlement Agreement.

19 7. The Court has considered the Settlement, and the monetary allocations provided
20 thereby, and finds that they are fair, just, reasonable, and adequate. The Court hereby directs the
21 Settlement Administrator to administer the Settlement and to make the payments as provided by,
22 and consistent with, this Order and the Agreement.

23 8. The Court approves payment of \$100,000.00 with 33.33% awarded to Boyamian Law,
24 Inc., 33.33% awarded to Joel Glaser, APC and DBL Law Group collectively, and 33.33% awarded
25 to Work Lawyers P.C. in accordance with the fee split agreement. The Settlement Administrator
26 shall disburse the amount in accordance with this Order and the Agreement.

27 9. The Court approves the payment of \$5,184.33 for reimbursement of litigation costs
28

1 and expenses incurred in the Action [not to exceed \$20,000] with 33.33% awarded to Boyamian
2 Law, Inc., 33.33% awarded to Joel Glaser, APC and DBL Law Group collectively, and 33.33%
3 awarded to Work Lawyers P.C.

4 10. The Court approves the payment of \$3,500 to Phoenix Class Action Administration
5 Solutions for Administrator Costs [which will not exceed \$3,500.00], which the Settlement
6 Administrator shall pay itself in accordance with this Order and the Settlement Agreement.

7 11. The Court approves payment in the amount of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Antuan
8 Fernandez, \$6,000.000 to Plaintiff Allan Castaneto, and \$6,000.00 to Plaintiff Sam Kimm as
9 enhancement payments. The Settlement Administrator shall disburse these amounts in accordance
10 with this Order and the Agreement.

11 12. The Parties are directed to perform in accordance with the terms set forth in this Order
12 and the Agreement.

13 13. The funds used for the Settlement Amount shall be paid to the Claims Administrator
14 by Defendant within thirty (30) days of the approval of the Settlement unless there are objectors
15 to the approval of the settlement, in which case payment shall be made within 30 days after the
16 time to appeal has run or all appeals have been exhausted, whichever occurs later.

17 14. As soon as practicable following Approval of the Resolution, but within five (5) days
18 Of the approval of the settlement, Defendant will provide the Claims Administrator with the: (1)
19 name; (2) most current mailing address; and (3) telephone number.

20 15. Within five (5) days of receipt of the Maximum Payment from Defendant, the
21 Settlement Administrator will disburse the funds in accordance with the Agreement.

22 16. Settlement checks will specifically indicate that they are void if not negotiated within
23 one hundred eighty 180 days of their issuance. Any settlement checks that remain uncashed one
24 hundred eighty (180) or more calendar days after issuance by the Settlement Administrator shall
25 be voided. The Settlement Administrator will remit the entire amount of each PAGA Group
26 member's uncashed Individual Settlement Payment to the California State Controller for deposit
27

1 in the Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the PAGA Group member to whom the uncashed
2 Individual Settlement Payment was drafted.

3 17. Dismiss, with prejudice, the Cross-Complaints filed by Defendants against Plaintiffs
4 Antuan Fernandez and Allan Castaneto.

5 18. Judgment shall be entered in this Action. The judgment shall bind each PAGA Group
6 Member and the State of California to the Settlement and shall operate as a full release and
7 discharge of the Released Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Agreement. All
8 rights to appeal the judgment have been waived. The judgment and this Order shall have *res*
9 *judicata* effect.

10 19. The Court shall retain jurisdiction as to the implementation and enforcement of the
11 terms of the Agreement.

12 20. Notice of this Order and judgment shall be given to the LWDA by submission through
13 the online system established for the filing of notices and documents, in conformity with California
14 Labor Code § 2699(1)(3).

15
16 DATED: August 23, 2022

WORK LAWYERS, PC

17
18 /s/ Justin Lo

19 JUSTIN LO

20 Attorney for Plaintiff Allan Castaneto

21 DATED: August 23, 2022

BOYAMIAN LAW, INC.

22
23 

24 MICHAEL H. BOYAMIAN

25 Attorney for Plaintiff Antuan Fernandez

26 ///

27 ///

1 DATED: August 23, 2022

JOEL GLASER APC

2
3 /s/ Joel Glaser

4 JOEL GLASER
Attorney for Plaintiff Sam Kimm

5 **DBL LAW GROUP**

6
7 /s/ Darren le Montree

8 DARREN LE MONTREE
Attorney for Plaintiff Sam Kimm

9 DATED: August 23, 2022

LAW OFFICES OF JUAN HONG

10
11 

12 JUAN HONG
13 Attorney for Defendant

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15
16 Date: 08/26/2022

17 

18 Honorable Theresa M. Traber
Judge of the Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 550 North Brand Boulevard, 15th Floor, Glendale, California 91203. On August 24, 2022, I served, in the manner indicated below, the foregoing document described as:

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL
ACT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
COSTS, ENHANCEMENT PAYMENTS, AND ADMINISTRATOR COSTS**

on the interested parties in this action as follows:

Juan Hong (SBN 234046) Law Office of Juan Hong, A Law Corp. 4199 Campus Drive, Suite 550 Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: (949)334-7710 Facsimile: (949) 335-6647 Email: jhong48@gmail.com Valerie Guzman: valerie@glaserlaw.org	Attorneys for Defendant CALOP BUSINESS SYSTEMS, INC.
Joel Glaser (SBN 194442) JOEL GLASER, APC 11500 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90064 Telephone: (310) 943-8005 Facsimile: (310) 295-1831 joel@glaserlaw.org	Attorneys for Plaintiff SAM KIMM
Darren Le Montree (SBN 198715) DBL LAW GROUP 21550 Oxnard St., Suite 300 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Telephone: (818) 274-3080 Facsimile: (818) 450-0806 dlemontree@dbllawgroup.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff SAM KIMM
Justin Lo (SBN 280102) May T. To (SBN 305268) WORK LAWYERS, PC 22939 Hawthorne Blvd., Suite 202 Torrance, CA 9505 Telephone: (424) 355-8335 Facsimile: ((424) 355-8335 Email: justin@caworklawyer.com may@caworklawyer.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff ALLAN CASTANETO

1 _____ BY REGULAR MAIL: I caused such envelopes to be deposited in the United States mail
2 at Glendale, California, with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am readily familiar with the
3 firms's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited
4 with the United States Postal Service each day and that practice was followed in the
5 ordinary course of business for the service herein attested to (C.C.P. §1013(c)(d)).

6 _____ BY FACSIMILE: caused such document to be transmitted via facsimile to the offices of
7 the addressee(s). (C.C.P. § 1013(a)(e)(f)).


8 _____ BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I caused such envelopes to be delivered by air courier,
9 with next day service, to the offices of the addressee(s). (C.C.P. §1013(c)(d)).

10 X BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by transmitting the document(s) listed above,
11 electronically, via the e-mail addresses set forth above.

12 X STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that
13 the foregoing is true and correct.

14 _____ FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court
15 whose direction the service was made.

16 Executed on August 24, 2022 at Glendale, California.

17
18 
19 _____
20 Demiah Cseri
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28