SUM-100

SUMMONS
(CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: KVS TRANSPORTATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive
(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: JAIME ALVAREZ, on behalf of himself and all "aggrieved employees" pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 et seq.
(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

FOR COURT USE ONLY
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
5/28/2020
Kern County Superior Court
By Candice Rocha, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (*www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp*), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (*www.lawhelpcalifornia.org*), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (*www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp*), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. **NOTE:** The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of $10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ***¡AVISO!*** *Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.*

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de $10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):
Superior Court of California, County of Kern
1415 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, California 93301

CASE NUMBER
(Número del Caso) BCV-20-101239

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: Alex P. Katofsky, Esq. #202754
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
Gaines & Gaines, APLC
27200 Agoura Road, Suite 101, Calabasas, CA 91301 818-703-8985

DATE: *(Fecha)* 5/28/2020 **TAMARAH HARBER-PICKENS** Clerk, by *(Secretario)* Candice Rocha , Deputy *(Adjunto)*

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons *(form POS-010).)*
(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, *(POS-010)).*

[SEAL]

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served
1. ☐ as an individual defendant.
2. ☐ as the person sued under the fictitious name of *(specify):*
3. ☐ on behalf of *(specify):*

under: ☐ CCP 416.10 (corporation) ☐ CCP 416.60 (minor)
☐ CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) ☐ CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
☐ CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) ☐ CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
☐ other *(specify):*

4. ☐ by personal delivery on *(date):*

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California
SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

SUMMONS

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465
www.courtinfo.ca.gov
Westlaw Doc & Form Builder

CM-010

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Alex P. Katofsky, Esq. SBN: 202754 Gaines & Gaines, APLC 27200 Agoura Road, Suite 101, Calabasas, CA 91301 TELEPHONE NO.: 818-703-8985 FAX NO.: 818-703-8984 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Jaime Alvarez	**ELECTRONICALLY FILED** **5/27/2020 8:59 AM** **Kern County Superior Court** **By Candice Rocha, Deputy**
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF KERN STREET ADDRESS: 1415 Truxtun Avenue MAILING ADDRESS: 1415 Truxtun Avenue CITY AND ZIP CODE: Bakersfield, 93301 BRANCH NAME: Metro Division	
CASE NAME: Alvarez v. KVS Transportation, et al.	

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	**Complex Case Designation**	CASE NUMBER:
[X] **Unlimited** (Amount demanded exceeds $25,000) [ ] **Limited** (Amount demanded is $25,000 or less)	[ ] **Counter** [ ] **Joinder** Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	BCV-20-101239 JUDGE: DEPT:

Items 1–6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check **one** box below for the case type that best describes this case:

Auto Tort
- [ ] Auto (22)
- [ ] Uninsured motorist (46)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort
- [ ] Asbestos (04)
- [ ] Product liability (24)
- [ ] Medical malpractice (45)
- [ ] Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort
- [ ] Business tort/unfair business practice (07)
- [ ] Civil rights (08)
- [ ] Defamation (13)
- [ ] Fraud (16)
- [ ] Intellectual property (19)
- [ ] Professional negligence (25)
- [ ] Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)

Employment
- [ ] Wrongful termination (36)
- [X] Other employment (15)

Contract
- [ ] Breach of contract/warranty (06)
- [ ] Rule 3.740 collections (09)
- [ ] Other collections (09)
- [ ] Insurance coverage (18)
- [ ] Other contract (37)

Real Property
- [ ] Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)
- [ ] Wrongful eviction (33)
- [ ] Other real property (26)

Unlawful Detainer
- [ ] Commercial (31)
- [ ] Residential (32)
- [ ] Drugs (38)

Judicial Review
- [ ] Asset forfeiture (05)
- [ ] Petition re: arbitration award (11)
- [ ] Writ of mandate (02)
- [ ] Other judicial review (39)

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
- [ ] Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
- [ ] Construction defect (10)
- [ ] Mass tort (40)
- [ ] Securities litigation (28)
- [ ] Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
- [ ] Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41)

Enforcement of Judgment
- [ ] Enforcement of judgment (20)

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
- [ ] RICO (27)
- [ ] Other complaint *(not specified above)* (42)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition
- [ ] Partnership and corporate governance (21)
- [ ] Other petition *(not specified above)* (43)

2. This case [ ] is [X] is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:
   a. [ ] Large number of separately represented parties
   b. [ ] Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve
   c. [ ] Substantial amount of documentary evidence
   d. [ ] Large number of witnesses
   e. [ ] Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
   f. [ ] Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought *(check all that apply)*: a. [X] monetary b. [ ] nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. [ ] punitive
4. Number of causes of action *(specify)*: One (1)
5. This case [ ] is [X] is not a class action suit.
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. *(You may use form CM-015.)*

Date: 5/26/2020

Alex P. Katofsky, Esq.
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

▶ Alex P. Katofsky
(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE
- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California
CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740;
Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10
www.courtinfo.ca.gov
Westlaw Doc & Form Builder

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you **must** complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check **one** box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than $25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort
- Auto (22)–Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death
- Uninsured Motorist (46) *(if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)*

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort
- Asbestos (04)
  - Asbestos Property Damage
  - Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death
- Product Liability *(not asbestos or toxic/environmental)* (24)
- Medical Malpractice (45)
  - Medical Malpractice–Physicians & Surgeons
  - Other Professional Health Care Malpractice
- Other PI/PD/WD (23)
  - Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)
  - Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)
  - Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
  - Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
  - Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort
- Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)
- Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) *(not civil harassment)* (08)
- Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)
- Fraud (16)
- Intellectual Property (19)
- Professional Negligence (25)
  - Legal Malpractice
  - Other Professional Malpractice *(not medical or legal)*
- Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment
- Wrongful Termination (36)
- Other Employment (15)

Contract
- Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
  - Breach of Rental/Lease Contract *(not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)*
  - Contract/Warranty Breach–Seller Plaintiff *(not fraud or negligence)*
  - Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty
  - Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
- Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)
  - Collection Case–Seller Plaintiff
  - Other Promissory Note/Collections Case
- Insurance Coverage *(not provisionally complex)* (18)
  - Auto Subrogation
  - Other Coverage
- Other Contract (37)
  - Contractual Fraud
  - Other Contract Dispute

Real Property
- Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)
- Wrongful Eviction (33)
- Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
  - Writ of Possession of Real Property
  - Mortgage Foreclosure
  - Quiet Title
  - Other Real Property *(not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)*

Unlawful Detainer
- Commercial (31)
- Residential (32)
- Drugs (38) *(if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)*

Judicial Review
- Asset Forfeiture (05)
- Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
- Writ of Mandate (02)
  - Writ–Administrative Mandamus
  - Writ–Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter
  - Writ–Other Limited Court Case Review
- Other Judicial Review (39)
  - Review of Health Officer Order
  - Notice of Appeal–Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403)
- Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
- Construction Defect (10)
- Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
- Securities Litigation (28)
- Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
- Insurance Coverage Claims *(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above)* (41)

Enforcement of Judgment
- Enforcement of Judgment (20)
  - Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
  - Confession of Judgment *(non-domestic relations)*
  - Sister State Judgment
  - Administrative Agency Award *(not unpaid taxes)*
  - Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
  - Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
- RICO (27)
- Other Complaint *(not specified above)* (42)
  - Declaratory Relief Only
  - Injunctive Relief Only *(non-harassment)*
  - Mechanics Lien
  - Other Commercial Complaint Case *(non-tort/non-complex)*
  - Other Civil Complaint *(non-tort/non-complex)*

Miscellaneous Civil Petition
- Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)
- Other Petition *(not specified above)* (43)
  - Civil Harassment
  - Workplace Violence
  - Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse
  - Election Contest
  - Petition for Name Change
  - Petition for Relief From Late Claim
  - Other Civil Petition

DANIEL F. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 251488
daniel@gaineslawfirm.com
ALEX P. KATOFSKY, ESQ. SBN 202754
alex@gaineslawfirm.com
SEPIDEH ARDESTANI, ESQ. SBN 274259
sepideh@gaineslawfirm.com
GAINES & GAINES, APLC
27200 Agoura Rd., Suite 101
Calabasas, CA 91301
Telephone: (818) 703-8985
Facsimile: (818) 703-8984

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jaime Alvarez, on behalf of himself and all "aggrieved employees" pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
5/27/2020 8:59 AM
Kern County Superior Court
By Candice Rocha, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN

JAIME ALVAREZ, on behalf of himself and all "aggrieved employees" pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KVS TRANSPORTATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO: BCV-20-101239

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR PENALTIES PURSUANT TO LABOR CODE § 2699(f) FOR VIOLATIONS OF LABOR CODE §§ 201-202, 226(a), 226.7 510, 512 AND 1194 AND PURSUANT TO LABOR CODE § 2699(a) FOR VIOLATIONS OF LABOR CODE §§ 226.3 AND 558

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff JAIME ALVAREZ ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all non-exempt California employees who currently work or formerly worked for KVS TRANSPORTATION, INC., a California corporation, and/or any subsidiaries or affiliated companies of either (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") within the State of California ("Aggrieved Employees") under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 complains of Defendants as follows:

\\

\\

\\

I.

INTRODUCTION AND FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1. This is a Representative Action, pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 et seq., on behalf of Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees.

2. For at least one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and at least one (1) year prior to the date Plaintiff began the process of exhausting the administrative requirements, pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*, and continuing to the present (the "liability period"), Defendants have had consistent policies of:

a. Failing to pay premium wages to Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees who were denied rest periods, in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 558 and IWC Wage Order 9-2001. Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees were routinely unable, and not authorized, to take a 10-minute rest period for every four (4) hours of work or major fraction thereof, but were not paid premium wages of one hour's pay for each missed rest period. Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees were simply too busy to take their rest periods. This violates Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 558 and IWC Wage Order 9-2001.

b. Failing to pay premium wages to Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees who were denied meal periods, in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and 558 and IWC Wage Order 9-2001. Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees were routinely denied, and not authorized to take, a timely, uninterrupted, 30-minute meal period for every shift worked that exceeded five hours in duration, or a second uninterrupted, 30-minute meal period for every shift worked that exceeded ten hours in duration, but were not paid premium wages of one hour's pay for each missed meal period. Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees were too busy to take their meal periods and would typically clock out and continue working off-the-clock, thereby depriving them of all minimum and overtime wages due. This violates Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 512, 558 and 1194 and IWC Wage Order 9-2001.

c. Failing to issue Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees accurately itemized wage statements. As Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees with all wages due, as detailed above, their wage statements failed to accurately state all gross wages earned, in violation of Labor Code §§ 226(a)(1) and 226.3, and net wages earned, in violation of Labor Code §§ 226(a)(5) and 226.3.

d. Failing to timely pay Plaintiff and certain Aggrieved Employees all wages due at the separation of employment based on the time frames required by Labor Code §§ 201 and 202. As Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees were not paid all wages due and owing throughout the course of their employment, as detailed above, at the time of their separation they were not paid all final wages due and owing for the entirety of their employment. This violates Labor Code §§ 201-202.

3. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all Aggrieved Employees pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*, seeks civil penalties for Defendants' violations of the California Labor Code.

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 395. The Labor Code violations alleged against each Defendant herein arose in Kern County, California.

II.

PARTIES

A. Plaintiff

5. Plaintiff JAIME ALVAREZ was employed by Defendants from March 2019 through February 2020 in Kern County, California.

B. Defendants

6. Defendant KVS TRANSPORTATION is a California corporation. Defendant employed Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees throughout the State of California, including Kern County, California.

7. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 to 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues Defendants by such fictitious names under Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the unlawful acts referred to herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the Defendants designated hereinafter as DOES when such identities become known.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each Defendant acted in all respects pertinent to this action as the agent of the other Defendant, carried out a joint scheme,

business plan or policy in all respects pertinent hereto, and the acts of each Defendant is legally attributable to the other Defendants.

9. The Defendants named herein as DOE 1 through DOE 10 are and were persons acting on behalf of, or acting jointly with, Defendants, who violated, or caused to be violated, one or more provisions of the California Labor Code as alleged herein.

III.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

PLAINTIFF AND ALL AGGRIEVED EMPLOYEES AGAINST DEFENDANTS PENALTIES PURSUANT TO LABOR CODE § 2699(f) FOR VIOLATIONS OF LABOR CODE §§ 201-202, 226(a), 226.7 510, 512 AND 1194 AND PURSUANT TO LABOR CODE § 2699(a) FOR VIOLATIONS OF LABOR CODE §§ 226.3 AND 558

10. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 9 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

11. As a result of the acts alleged above, including the Labor Code violations set forth in paragraph 2 above, Plaintiff seeks civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code §§ 2698 *et seq.*

12. For each such violation, Plaintiff and all other Aggrieved Employees are entitled to penalties and other relief in an amount to be shown at the time of trial subject to the following formula:

a. Pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(f), $100 for each initial violation and $200 for each subsequent violation of Labor Code §§ 201-202, 226(a), 226.7 510, 512 and 1194.

b. Pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(a), the penalties as authorized by Labor Code §§ 226.3 and 558.

13. Civil penalties recovered will be allocated 75% to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and 25% to the affected employees.

14. On March 5, 2020, Plaintiff sent a letter, by online submission to the LWDA and by certified mail, return receipt requested, to Defendants setting forth the facts and theories of the violations alleged against Defendants, as prescribed by Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.* Pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.3(a)(2)(A), no notice was received by Plaintiff from the LWDA within sixty-five (65)

calendar days of March 5, 2020. Plaintiff may therefore commence this action to seek civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*

Wherefore, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees he seeks to represent request relief as described below.

IV.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

1. For civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.* for Plaintiff and all other Aggrieved Employees;

2. An award providing for payment of costs of suit pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(g)(1) and other applicable law;

3. An award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(g)(1) and other applicable law; and

4. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: May 26, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

GAINES & GAINES
A Professional Law Corporation

By: _____
DANIEL F. GAINES
SEPIDEH ARDESTANI
Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of his claims by jury to the extent authorized by law.

Dated: May 26, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

GAINES & GAINES
A Professional Law Corporation

By: _____
DANIEL F. GAINES
SEPIDEH ARDESTANI
Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF KERN BAKERSFIELD COURT 1415 TRUXTUN AVENUE BAKERSFIELD CA 93301	FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF KERN MAY 28, 2020 BY Candice Rocha DEPUTY
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: **JAMIE ALVAREZ, ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND ALL "AGGRIEVED EMPLOYEES" PURSUANT TO LABOR CODE 2698 ET SEQ.** **DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:** **KVS TRANSPORTATION, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION**	
NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES AND NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE CRC RULE 3.110 AND NOTICE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	CASE NUMBER: BCV-20-101239

By order of the presiding judge, the above entitled case is assigned to the Honorable Stephen D. Schuett for all purposes. It will be managed on the direct calendar program in Bakersfield Department 10 until its conclusion. Peremptory challenges, if any, must be made within the times set out in CCP §170.6. Please include the initials **SDS** after the case number on all future pleadings filed in this case.

TO PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL:
You are ordered to appear on **September 10, 2020** in **Bakersfield Department 10** at **8:30 AM** in the above entitled court to give any legal reason why sanctions shall not be imposed for failure to serve the complaint on all named defendants and file proof(s) of service with the court within sixty (60) days after the filing of the complaint pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.110. All appearances are mandatory, unless the court receives the required proof(s) of service five (5) court days prior to the hearing date, and then no appearance is necessary.

TO EACH PARTY AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEY(S) OF RECORD:
This case is set for Case Management Conference, by the Honorable Stephen D. Schuett on **November 24, 2020** at **8:15** in **Bakersfield Department 10** of the above entitled court. Case management statements are to be filed at least fifteen (15) days prior to the conference in accordance with California Rules of Court, Rules 3.720 – 3.730. All parties shall comply with California Rules of Court, Rules 3.720 – 3.730.

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL

IMPORTANT: You are required to serve this Notice of Assignment and Notice of Order to Show Cause Date and Notice of Case Management Conference Date with the Summons, Complaint [Local Rule 3.7(a)], Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Packet, and ADR Stipulation and Order Form (California Rules of Court, Rule 3.221).

NOTICE TO CROSS COMPLAINANT'S COUNSEL

IMPORTANT: If you are bringing a cross complaint against new parties, you are, likewise, required to serve this Notice of Assignment pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.110 and Notice of Order to Show Cause date and Notice of Case Management Conference date on the new cross defendants.

TAMARAH HARBER-PICKENS
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Date: May 28, 2020

By: Candice Rocha
Candice Rocha, Deputy Clerk

The Clerk of the Superior Court's office has received a civil complaint from you for filing. Pursuant to the Trial Court Delay Reduction Act, your case has been assigned to the Honorable Stephen D. Schuett as monitoring judge.

Judge Stephen D. Schuett has instituted a direct calendaring system for all cases assigned to him/her as the monitoring judge.

All law and motion, case management and trial setting conferences, ex parte matters and trials will be scheduled before him/her in Bakersfield Department 10. This will involve all cases in which the clerk has assigned the initials SDS to the complaint at the time of filing. Counsel is expected to make the initials of the monitoring judge a part of the case number on all pleadings and papers.

Law & Motion and Ex-Parte hearing dates must be pre-cleared by contacting the Direct Calendaring Clerk at (661) 868-5404 Tentative rulings can be located by visiting "http://www.kern.courts.ca.gov/", after 4:00 pm. Click on the Non-Criminal Case Information link to enter the case number. Please note, not all departments provide tentative rulings.

At the time of filing the complaint, plaintiff's counsel will be given a Notice of Case Management Conference which sets a conference approximately one hundred eighty (180) days after filing of the complaint. This notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants. Defendants must serve the notice on all cross-defendants named. The notice must also be served on interveners and lien claimants.

Telephonic appearances for case management conferences and law and motion hearings are available through Court Call. The toll free telephone number for Court Call is (888) 88-COURT. Proper procedures must be complied with under California Rules of Court, Rule 3.670. Arrangements to make appearances through Court Call must be made at least five (5) court days prior to the hearing date.

Another judge will hear settlement conferences in cases assigned to Judge Stephen D. Schuett. However, those cases that do not settle will be set for trial before him/her.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF KERN
SPECIAL RULES RELATING TO CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES

At least fifteen (15) days prior to the case management conference, each party shall prepare, file and serve on each other party a case management conference statement providing the Court with the following information:

1. The "at-issue" status of the case including any new parties that may be contemplated;
2. A brief statement of the type of case and the general facts or contentions;
3. A description of the discovery done to date and that contemplated to be done;
4. Estimated time for trial and whether a jury is demanded;
5. Whether or not the case is entitled to priority in trial setting and if so, the legal authority thereof;
6. An evaluation of the case for alternative dispute resolution, including arbitration (judicial or binding), mediation or private judge handling;
7. If a person injury action, a description of the injuries sustained by each plaintiff and the elements of claimed damage;
8. A statement of any settlement negotiations undertaken thus far;
9. The name of the attorney primary responsible for the case on behalf of the party filing the statement.

More than one party may join in the filing of a single statement.

The case management conference shall be attended by the attorney primarily responsible for the case on behalf of each party or a member of his or her firm or counsel formally associated in the case. The attorney attending shall be thoroughly familiar with the case, and be able to engage in meaningful discussions with court and counsel, and to enter into agreements on behalf of his or her client on the following subjects:

1. The "at-issue" status of the case including the dismissal of the unnamed doe defendants or cross-defendants by agreement of all parties;
2. Discovery conducted and remaining to be done;
3. Amenability of the case to alternative dispute resolution including, but no limited to, arbitration (judicial or binding), mediation, and private judge handling.
4. Delineation of issues including stipulation of facts not in substantial controversy;
5. Settlement prospects;
6. Setting the matter for trial, pre-trial conferences, settlement conference or further case management conference;
7. Any other matters relevant to the processing of the case to a final resolution.

Any violation of these rules shall result in the imposition of substantial sanctions which may include monetary, issue, termination, or other appropriate sanctions.

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

The undersigned, of said Kern County, certify: That I am a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Kern, that I am a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, I reside in or am employed in the County of Kern, and not a party to the within action, that I served the ***Notice of Assignment/Notice of Order to Show Cause Re CRC 3.110/Notice of Case Management Conference*** attached hereto on all interested parties and any respective counsel of record in the within action by posting true copies thereof, to the Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Non-Criminal Case Information Portal (https://odyprodportal.kern.courts.ca.gov/portalprod).

Date of Posting: May 28, 2020

Place of Posting: Bakersfield, CA

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

TAMARAH HARBER-PICKENS
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Date: May 28, 2020

By: Candice Rocha
Candice Rocha, Deputy Clerk