

COPY

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798)
Email: mmatern@maternlawgroup.com
Tagore Subramaniam (SBN 280126)
Email: tagore@maternlawgroup.com
Sydney A. Adams (SBN 319991)
Email: sadams@maternlawgroup.com
1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200
Manhattan Beach, California 90266
Telephone: (310) 531-1900
Facsimile: (310) 531-1901

Attorneys for Plaintiff DORIS EDELMIRA
PAGAN individually, and on behalf of
others similarly situated

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

DORIS EDELMIRA PAGAN, individually,
and on behalf of others similarly situated

Plaintiff,

vs.

ACTS-AVIATION SECURITY, INC., a
corporation, GATE SAFE, INC., a corporation,
and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants

CASE NO.:

20STCV19907

COMPLAINT

CLASS ACTION:

1. Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods
2. Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods
3. Failure to Pay Overtime Wages
4. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages
5. Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees
6. Failure to Maintain Required Records
7. Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements
8. Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties
9. Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION:

10. Penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, as Representative Action

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

**CONFIRMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED**
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
MAY 22 2020
Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: Isaac Lovo, Deputy

BY FAX

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

2
3

4

5
6
7
8
9
0

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6

7

8
9

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

5. PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of herself and the following similarly situated class of individuals (“CLASS MEMBERS”): all current and former non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time within the period beginning four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and ending at the time this action settles or proceeds to final judgment (the “CLASS PERIOD”). PLAINTIFF reserves the right to name additional class representatives.

DEFENDANTS

6. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT ACTS-AVIATION SECURITY, INC. is, and at all times relevant hereto was, authorized to conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State of California. Specifically, DEFENDANT ACTS-AVIATION SECURITY, INC. maintains offices and facilities and conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

7. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT GATE SAFE, INC. is, and at all times relevant hereto was, authorized to conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State of California. Specifically, DEFENDANT GATE SAFE, INC. maintains offices and facilities and conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

8. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to PLAINTIFF at this time, and PLAINTIFF therefore sues such DOE Defendants under fictitious names. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each Defendant designated as a DOE is in some manner highly responsible for the occurrences alleged herein, and that PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS' injuries and damages, as alleged herein, were proximately caused by the conduct of such DOE Defendants. PLAINTIFF will seek leave of the court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities of such DOE Defendants when ascertained.

9. At all relevant times herein, DEFENDANTS were the joint employers of PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon allege,

1 that at all times material to this complaint DEFENDANTS were the alter egos, divisions,
2 affiliates, integrated enterprises, joint employers, subsidiaries, parents, principals, related entities,
3 co-conspirators, authorized agents, partners, joint venturers, and/or guarantors, actual or
4 ostensible, of each other. Each Defendant was completely dominated by his, her or its co-
5 Defendant, and each was the alter ego of the other.

6 10. At all relevant times herein, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were employed
7 by DEFENDANTS under employment agreements that were partly written, partly oral, and partly
8 implied. In perpetrating the acts and omissions alleged herein, DEFENDANTS, and each of them,
9 acted pursuant to, and in furtherance of, their policies and practices of not paying PLAINTIFF
10 and CLASS MEMBERS all wages earned and due, through methods and schemes which include,
11 but are not limited to, failing to pay overtime premiums; failing to provide rest and meal periods;
12 failing to properly maintain records; failing to provide accurate itemized statements for each pay
13 period; failing to properly compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary
14 expenditures; and requiring, permitting or suffering the employees to work off the clock, in
15 violation of the California Labor Code and the applicable Welfare Commission ("IWC") Orders.

16 11. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon allege, that each and every one
17 of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by, and/or attributable to, all
18 DEFENDANTS, each acting as agents and/or employees, and/or under the direction and control
19 of, each of the other DEFENDANTS, and that said acts and failures to act were within the course
20 and scope of said agency, employment and/or direction and control.

21 12. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 558.1, DEFENDANTS and any person acting
22 on behalf of any of the DEFENDANTS, are liable for violating, or causing to violate, any
23 provision regulating minimum wages or hours and days of work in any order of the Industrial
24 Welfare Commission, or Labor Code §§ 203, 226, 226.7, 1193.6, 1194, or 2802.

25 13. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful actions of DEFENDANTS,
26 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, from loss of earnings
27 in amounts as yet unascertained, but subject to proof at trial, and within the jurisdiction of this
28 Court.

1 **CLASS ACTION DESIGNATION**

2 14. This action is appropriately suited for a Class Action because:

3 a. The potential class is a significant number. Joinder of all current and
4 former employees individually would be impractical.

5 b. This action involves common questions of law and fact to the potential
6 class because the action focuses on DEFENDANTS' systematic course of illegal payroll practices
7 and policies, which was applied to all non-exempt employees in violation of the Labor Code, the
8 applicable IWC wage order, and the Business and Professions Code which prohibits unfair
9 business practices arising from such violations.

10 c. The claims of PLAINTIFF are typical of the class because DEFENDANTS
11 subjected all non-exempt employees to identical violations of the Labor Code, the applicable
12 IWC wage order, and the Business and Professions Code.

13 d. PLAINTIFF is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of all
14 members of the class because it is in her best interests to prosecute the claims alleged herein to
15 obtain full compensation due to them for all services rendered and hours worked.

16 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods**

18 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 11]**

19 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

20 15. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
21 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

22 16. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies
23 and practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS
24 required, permitted or otherwise suffered PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to take less than
25 the 30-minute meal period, or to work through them, failed to relieve employees of all
26 duty/control and have failed to otherwise provide the required meal periods to PLAINTIFF and
27 CLASS MEMBERS pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7, 512 and IWC Order No. 4-2001,
28 § 11.

1 17. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and IWC Wage
2 Order No. 4-2001, § 11 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were
3 not provided with a meal period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional
4 hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a meal period
5 was not provided.

6 18. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 1194,
7 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS
8 MEMBERS for all hours worked during their meal periods.

9 19. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS
10 MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages
11 earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

12 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods**

14 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512; IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 12]**

15 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

16 20. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
17 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

18 21. At all times relevant herein, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and
19 practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS
20 required, permitted or otherwise suffered PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to take rest
21 periods that were short, late, not provided, or not relieved of all duties rest periods as required
22 under California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 12.

23 22. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage
24 Order No. 4-2001, § 12 by failing to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were not
25 provided with a rest period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional hour of
26 compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a rest period was not
27 provided.

28 23. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS

1 MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages
2 earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

3 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

4 **Failure to Pay Overtime Wages**

5 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198; IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 3]**

6 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

7 24. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
8 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

9 25. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 4-
10 2001, § 3, DEFENDANTS are required to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for
11 all overtime, which is calculated at one and one-half (1 ½) times the regular rate of pay for all
12 hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week, and for the
13 first eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive workday, with double time for all hours worked in
14 excess of twelve (12) hours in any workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours
15 on the seventh consecutive day of work in any workweek.

16 26. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are current and former non-exempt
17 employees entitled to the protections of California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage
18 Order No. 4-2001. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to compensate
19 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime hours worked as required under the
20 foregoing provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Order by, among other things:
21 failing to pay overtime at one and one-half (1 ½) or double the regular rate of pay as provided by
22 California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 3; requiring, permitting
23 or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or
24 suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest breaks; illegally
25 and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to
26 properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate
27 itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF for each pay period; failing to pay PLAINTIFF for all
28 time PLAINTIFF was subject to DEFENDANTS control and other methods to be discovered.

1 27. In violation of California law, DEFENDANTS have knowingly and willfully
2 refused to perform their obligations to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all
3 wages earned and all hours worked. As a proximate result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS
4 have suffered, and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such
5 wages, lost interest on such wages, and expenses and attorneys' fees in seeking to compel
6 DEFENDANTS to fully perform their obligations under state law, all to their respective damages
7 in amounts according to proof at time of trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

8 28. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 510,
9 1194, 1198 and IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 3. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code
10 §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the California Labor
11 Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the
12 unpaid balance of wages owed to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys'
13 fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

14 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **Failure to Pay Minimum Wages**

16 **[Cal Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 4]**

17 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

18 29. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
19 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

20 30. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 4-
21 2001, § 4, payment to an employee of less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours
22 worked in a payroll period is unlawful.

23 31. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to pay PLAINTIFF and
24 CLASS MEMBERS minimum wages for all hours worked by, among other things: requiring,
25 permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring,
26 permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest
27 breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS
28 worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to

1 provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay
2 period; failing to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBEERS for all time they was subject to
3 DEFENDANTS control and other methods to be discovered.

4 32. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§
5 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 4. As a proximate result of the aforementioned
6 violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to
7 proof at trial. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1,
8 and other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and
9 CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to them by
10 DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

11 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees**

13 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203]**

14 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

15 33. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
16 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

17 34. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 201, 202, and 203, DEFENDANTS are
18 required to pay all earned and unpaid wages to an employee who is discharged. California Labor
19 Code § 201 mandates that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages accrued
20 and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.

21 35. Furthermore, pursuant to California Labor Code § 202, DEFENDANTS are
22 required to pay all accrued wages due to an employee no later than 72 hours after the employee
23 quits his or her employment, unless the employee provided 72 hours previous notice of his or her
24 intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

25 36. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, in
26 accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is
27 discharged or who quits, the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued
28 compensation to the employee at the same rate for up to 30 workdays.

1 37. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS have willfully failed to pay accrued
2 wages and other compensation to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS in accordance with
3 California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.

4 38. As a result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available
5 statutory penalties, including the waiting time penalties provided in California Labor Code § 203,
6 together with interest thereon, as well as other available remedies.

7 39. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
8 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been deprived of compensation in an amount
9 according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court, and are
10 entitled to recovery of such amounts, plus interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant
11 to California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 2699.

12 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **Failure to Maintain Required Records**

14 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226; IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 7]**

15 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

16 40. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
17 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

18 41. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies
19 and practices to deprive PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS of all wages earned and due,
20 DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed to maintain records as required under
21 California Labor Code §§ 226, 1174, and IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 7, including but not
22 limited to the following records: total daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of
23 pay; all deductions; meal periods; time records showing when each employee begins and ends
24 each work period; and accurate itemized statements.

25 42. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
26 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
27 trial, and are entitled to all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally,
28 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including

1 but not limited to civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5,
2 and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those
3 provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies.

4 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements**

6 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226, 1174; IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 7]**

7 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

8 43. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
9 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

10 44. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS routinely failed to provide
11 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in
12 writing showing each employee's gross wages earned, total hours worked, all deductions made,
13 net wages earned, the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, the name of
14 the employee and only the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee
15 identification number other than a social security number, the name and address of the legal entity
16 or entities employing PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, and all applicable hourly rates in
17 effect during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate,
18 in violation of California Labor Code § 226 and IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 7.

19 45. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed
20 to provide PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage
21 statements in accordance with California Labor Code § 226(a).

22 46. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
23 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
24 trial, and seek all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and
25 CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including but not limited to
26 civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of
27 costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those provided in
28 California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies.

1 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of**
3 **Duties**

4 **[Cal. Labor Code § 2802]**

5 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

6 47. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
7 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

8 48. California Labor Code § 2802(a) requires an employer to indemnify an employee
9 for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the
10 discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer.

11 49. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and willfully failed to
12 indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all business expenses and/or losses incurred
13 in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties while working under the direction of
14 DEFENDANTS, including but not limited to expenses for uniforms, cell phone usage, and other
15 employment-related expenses, in violation of California Labor Code § 2802.

16 50. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were required to use their cell phones to
17 clock in and clock out for their shifts but were not reimbursed for these costs.

18 51. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
19 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
20 trial, and seek reimbursement of all necessary expenditures, plus interest thereon pursuant to
21 California Labor Code § 2802(b). Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are
22 entitled to all available statutory penalties and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable
23 attorneys' fees, including those provided in California Labor Code § 2802(c), as well as other
24 available remedies.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices**

3 **[Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et. seq.]**

4 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

5 52. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
6 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

7 53. Each and every one of DEFENDANTS' acts and omissions in violation of the
8 California Labor Code and/or the applicable IWC Wage Order as alleged herein, including but
9 not limited to DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required meal periods,
10 DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required rest periods, DEFENDANTS' failure and
11 refusal to pay overtime compensation, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay minimum
12 wages, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay all wages due to discharged or quitting
13 employees, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to furnish accurate itemized wage statements;
14 DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to maintain required records, DEFENDANTS' failure and
15 refusal to indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary expenditures and/or
16 losses incurring in discharging their duties, constitutes an unfair and unlawful business practice
17 under California Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.

18 54. DEFENDANTS' violations of California wage and hour laws constitute a business
19 practice because DEFENDANTS' aforementioned acts and omissions were done repeatedly over
20 a significant period of time, and in a systematic manner, to the detriment of PLAINTIFF and
21 CLASS MEMBERS.

22 55. DEFENDANTS have avoided payment of wages, overtime wages, meal periods,
23 rest periods, and other benefits as required by the California Labor Code, the California Code of
24 Regulations, and the applicable IWC Wage Order. Further, DEFENDANTS have failed to
25 record, report, and pay the correct sums of assessment to the state authorities under the California
26 Labor Code and other applicable regulations.

27 56. As a result of DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices,
28 DEFENDANTS have reaped unfair and illegal profits during the CLASS PERIOD at the expense

1 of PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBERS, and members of the public. DEFENDANTS should be
2 made to disgorge their ill-gotten gains and to restore them to PLAINTIFF and CLASS
3 MEMBERS.

4 57. DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices entitle PLAINTIFF and
5 CLASS MEMBERS to seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including but not
6 limited to orders that DEFENDANTS account for, disgorge, and restore to PLAINTIFF and
7 CLASS MEMBERS the wages and other compensation unlawfully withheld from them.
8 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to restitution of all monies to be disgorged
9 from DEFENDANTS in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the
10 jurisdiction of this Court.

11 **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **Representative Action for Civil Penalties**

13 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 2698–2699.5]**

14 **(Against All DEFENDANTS)**

15
16 58. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference as though fully set forth the
17 allegations in all preceding paragraphs, with exception of the allegations in paragraph 14 and the
18 subparagraphs thereto.

19 59. PLAINTIFF is an “aggrieved employee” within the meaning of California Labor
20 Code § 2699(c), and a proper representative to bring a civil action on behalf of herself and other
21 current and former employees of DEFENDANTS pursuant to the procedures specified in
22 California Labor Code § 2699.3, because PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were employed
23 by DEFENDANTS and the alleged violations of the California Labor Code were committed
24 against PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS.

25 60. Pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”),
26 Labor Code §§ 2698–2699.5, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS seeks to recover civil
27 penalties, including but not limited to penalties under California Labor Code §§ 2699, 210,
28 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, and IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001, § 20, from DEFENDANTS in a

1 representative action for the violations set forth above, including but not limited to violations of
2 California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and
3 2802. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are also entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys'
4 fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(g)(1).

5 61. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 2699.3, PLAINTIFF gave written notice on
6 March 5, 2020 by online filing to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency
7 ("LWDA") and by certified mail to DEFENDANTS of the specific provisions of the California
8 Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories
9 to support the alleged violations. More than sixty-five (65) days have passed and the LWDA has
10 not provided notice to PLAINTIFF that it intends to investigate the alleged violations.

11 62. Therefore, PLAINTIFF has complied with all of the requirements set forth in
12 California Labor Code § 2699.3 to commence a representative action under PAGA.

13 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

14 **WHEREFORE**, PLAINTIFF, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly
15 situated, respectfully prays for relief against DEFENDANTS and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,
16 and each of them, as follows:

- 17 1. For compensatory damages in an amount to be ascertained at trial;
- 18 2. For restitution of all monies due to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, as well
19 as disgorged profits from DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices;
- 20 3. For meal and rest period compensation pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7
21 and IWC Wage Order No. 4-2001;
- 22 4. For liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194.2 and 1197.1;
- 23 5. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining DEFENDANTS from
24 violating the relevant provisions of the California Labor Code and the IWC Wage Orders, and
25 from engaging in the unlawful business practices complained of herein;
- 26 6. For waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203;
- 27 7. For statutory and civil penalties according to proof, including but not limited to all
28 penalties authorized by the California Labor Code §§ 226(e) and §§ 2698–2699.5;

1 8. For interest on the unpaid wages at 10% per annum pursuant to California Labor
2 Code §§ 218.6, 1194, 2802, California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable
3 provision providing for pre-judgment interest;

4 9. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code
5 §§ 1194, 2699, 2802, California Civil Code § 1021.5, and any other applicable provisions
6 providing for attorneys' fees and costs;

7 10. For declaratory relief;

8 11. For an order requiring and certifying the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth,
9 Seventh, Eighth, and Ninth Causes of Action as a class action;

10 12. For an order appointing PLAINTIFF as class representative, and PLAINTIFF's
11 counsel as class counsel; and

12 13. For such further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

13
14 DATED: May 22, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

15 **MATERN LAW GROUP, PC**

16
17 By:



Matthew J. Matern

Tagore O. Subramaniam

Sydney A. Adams

Attorneys for Plaintiff

20 DORIS EDELMIRA PAGAN, individually,
21 and on behalf of other persons similarly
22 situated

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

DATED: May 22, 2020

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC

2007/08

DORIS EDELMIRA PAGAN, individually,
and on behalf of other persons similarly
situated