

MAR 18 2022

1 Raul Perez (SBN 174687)
Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com
2 Mark A. Ozzello (SBN 116595)
Mark.Ozzello@capstonelawyers.com
3 Brandon Brouillette (SBN 273156)
Brandon.Brouillette@capstonelawyers.com
4 Joseph Hakakian (SBN 323011)
Joseph.Hakakian@capstonelawyers.com
5 CAPSTONE LAW APC
1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000
6 Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 556-4811
7 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jazzmin Mendez

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

11
12 JAZZMIN MENDEZ, as an aggrieved
employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys
13 General Act ("PAGA"), on behalf of the State of
California and other aggrieved employees,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 DILLARD STORE SERVICES, INC., an
17 Arizona corporation; DILLARDS, INC., a
Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,
18 inclusive,

19 Defendants.

Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2020-0004857

Assigned to the Hon. Robert Waters

^{up}
[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING THE
PARTIES' PAGA SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT AND JUDGMENT

9
MAR 21 2022
Filed
BRANDON E. RILEY, CLERK
By BTeandelen
DEPUTY

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2

3
4
5

6
7

8
9
10
11

12
13
14

15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22
23

24

25

26

27
28

1 10. This Judgment shall be binding on all Aggrieved Employees and the State of California,
2 who are hereby barred by the doctrine of res judicata from re-litigating the Settled Claims: Any and all
3 claims for PAGA civil penalties under the California Labor Code, Wage Orders, regulations, and/or
4 other provisions of law alleged or could have been alleged to have been violated in the Action with
5 respect to Aggrieved Employees based on the facts alleged in the Action during the Settlement Period.
6 *See Arias v. Superior Court*, 46 Cal. 4th 969, 986 (2009) (holding that a judgment in a representative
7 action brought by an aggrieved employee under PAGA is binding not only on the named employee
8 plaintiff but also on state labor law enforcement agencies and any aggrieved employee not a party to the
9 proceeding).

10 11. Without affecting the finality of the Order and Judgment, the Court shall retain exclusive
11 and continuing jurisdiction under California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, over the above-captioned
12 action and the parties for purposes of enforcing the terms of the Judgment and the Settlement.

13
14 **IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.**

15
16 Date

3/21/2021



Hon. Robert Waters

San Joaquin County Superior Court Judge