

LEBE LAW, APLC

Jonathan M. Lebe (SBN 284605)

jon@lebelaw.com

Zachary Gershman (SBN 328004)

zachary@lebelaw.com

777 S. Alameda Street, Second Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90021

Telephone: (213) 444-1973

COHELAN KHOURY & SINGER

Michael D. Singer (SBN 115301)

msinger@ckslaw.com

Jeff Geraci (SBN 151519)

jgeraci@ckslaw.com

605 C Street, Suite 200

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 595-3001

Facsimile: (619) 595-3000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Don M. Vasquez, individually and
on behalf of all others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF TULARE

DON M. VASQUEZ, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAPUTO CHEESE USA INC., a Delaware
corporation; and DOES 1 through 25,
inclusive

Defendants.

Case No. VCU282978

Consolidated with Case No. VCU283853

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO:

The Honorable Gary M. Johnson

Department 7

CLASS ACTION

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF AMENDED ORDER
AND JUDGMENT OF FINAL APPROVAL**

Complaint filed: May 27, 2020

Trial date: Not set

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD, AND TO THE LABOR
2 AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AGENCY:

3 NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2024, the Court issued an Amended Order and
4 Judgment of Final Approval in the above-captioned matter. A true and correct copy of this
5 Order is attached as Exhibit 1.

6 NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that a Final Compliance Hearing Re: Distribution of
7 Settlement Funds has been scheduled for January 27, 2025 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 7 of the
8 above-captioned court.

9 Respectfully submitted,

10 LEBE LAW, APLC
11 COHELAN KHOURY & SINGER

12 Dated: August 26, 2024


13 By: 
14 Michael B. Singer/Jeff Geraci
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff Don M. Vasquez

EXHIBIT 1

LEBE LAW, APLC
Jonathan M. Lebe (SBN 284605)
jon@lebelaw.com
Zachary Gershman (SBN 328004)
zachary@lebelaw.com
777 S. Alameda Street, Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90021
Telephone: (213) 444-1973

COHELAN KHOURY & SINGER
Michael D. Singer (SBN 115301)
msinger@ckslaw.com
Jeff Geraci (SBN 151519)
jgeraci@ckslaw.com
605 C Street, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 595-3001
Facsimile: (619) 595-3000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Don M. Vasquez, individually and
on behalf of all others similarly situated

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF TULARE**

DON M. VASQUEZ, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAPUTO CHEESE USA INC., a Delaware
corporation; and DOES 1 through 25,
inclusive

Defendants.

RECEIVED NOT FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of Tulare
08/09/2024

By: Patricia Finney,
Deputy Clerk

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF TULARE

08/23/2024

STEPHANIE CAMERON, CLERK

Patricia Finney, Deputy

Case No. VCU282978
Consolidated with Case No. VCU283853
ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO:
The Honorable Gary M. Johnson
Department 7

CLASS ACTION

**[PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER AND
JUDGMENT OF FINAL APPROVAL**

Hearing Information

Hearing: July 22, 2024
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept.: 7
Judge: Hon. Gary M. Johnson

Complaint filed: May 27, 2020
Trial date: Not set

1 This matter came on for hearing on July 22, 2024 in Department 7 of the above-
2 captioned Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action
3 Settlement ("Motion") pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769, the Stipulation of Class
4 Action Settlement filed November 7, 2013 ("Agreement") and the Court's Minute Order
5 Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement issued January 8, 2024.

6 Having received and considered the Agreement, supporting papers, evidence and
7 argument received by the Court with the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
8 Settlement and Supplemental Briefing, and evidence and argument received by the Court with
9 the Motion for Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Court grants final
10 approval of the Settlement and ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING
11 DETERMINATIONS:

12 1. Pursuant to the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, the Notice of Class Action
13 Settlement was sent to each Class Member by first-class United States mail, informing the Class
14 of the Settlement terms, right to receive a Settlement Payment without taking any action, the
15 right to comment on or object to the Settlement, and appear in person or by counsel and be
16 heard at the final approval hearing. Adequate periods of time were provided for each of these
17 procedures.

18 2. No Class member filed a written objection to the proposed Settlement or stated
19 an intention to appear at the final approval hearing.

20 3. No Class Member disputed the information provided in the Class Notice which
21 the Class Member's settlement payment would be based upon.

22 4. Two (2) Class Members requested exclusion from the Settlement: Jason Sousa
23 and Bernardo Chavarria.

24 5. The Court finds and determines this notice procedure afforded adequate
25 protections to the Class and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision
26 regarding Settlement approval based on the responses of the Class. The Court finds and
27 determines the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfies the
28 requirements of law and due process.

1 6. For purposes of Settlement approval only, the Court finds: (a) the proposed Class
2 is ascertainable and so numerous joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable; (b) there
3 are questions of law or fact common to the proposed Class, and a well-defined community of
4 interest among members of the proposed Class with respect to the subject matter of the class
5 action; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the members of the
6 proposed Class; (d) the Class Representative has and will fairly and adequately protect the
7 interests of the Members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods
8 for an efficient adjudication of this controversy in the context of settlement; and (f) counsel of
9 record for the Plaintiff/Class Representative are qualified to serve as counsel for him as well as
10 in his representative capacity and for the Class.

11 7. Class Members are defined for Settlement purposes as: “All current and former
12 nonexempt California employees of Saputo employed at any time from May 27, 2016 through
13 and including March 30, 2023.”

14 8. For purposes of this Settlement, PAGA Group Members are defined as: “All
15 current and former non-exempt California employees of Saputo employed at any time from
16 May 12, 2019 through and including March 30, 2023.”

17 9. The Court finds and determines the terms of the Agreement are fair, reasonable,
18 and adequate and, having found the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-
19 collusive arms’-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral, experienced mediator, directs the
20 Parties to effectuate the Settlement terms as set forth in the Agreement. The Court finds the
21 Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and informal discovery, and their attorneys
22 were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds Settlement will
23 enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay
24 and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the
25 monetary recovery and recognizes the significant value provided to the Class.

26 10. The Court finds and determines the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable
27 and adequate to the Class and each Class Member, and as to PAGA Group Members and Labor
28 and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) under the Private Attorneys General Act

1 (“PAGA”), and the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and all terms of the Agreement
2 should be and are ordered to be consummated.

3 11. The Court finds and determines Individual Settlement Payments to be paid to
4 Participating Class Members and Individual PAGA Payments to be paid to PAGA Group
5 Members under the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval to and
6 orders payment of those amounts to Participating Class Members and PAGA group Members in
7 accordance with the Agreement.

8 12. The Court finds and determines fees and expenses to administer the Settlement
9 incurred by CPT Group, Inc. of \$17,500.00 are fair and reasonable. The Court grants final
10 approval to and orders payment of that amount in accordance with the Agreement.

11 13. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Service Payment of
12 \$5,000.00 to Plaintiff Don M. Vasquez is fair and reasonable, and orders the Administrator to
13 make this payment in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

14 14. The Court finds and determines payment to the California Labor and Workforce
15 Development Agency of \$90,000.00 as its share of the Settlement of civil penalties under the
16 Private Attorneys General Act is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court grants final
17 approval to and orders that amount be paid in accordance with the Agreement.

18 15. The Court awards Class Counsel attorneys’ fees of \$605,797.50 [Cohelan
19 Khoury & Singer 50%; Lebe Law, APLC 50%] and litigation costs of \$32,846.72. The Court
20 finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court orders the Administrator to make these
21 payments in accordance with the Agreement.

22 16. The Settlement is not an admission of liability by Defendant Saputo Cheese
23 USA, Inc., or any of its present and former parent, subsidiaries, affiliates, insurers, successors,
24 assignees, and any other entity with an interest in or obligation regarding Defendant’s assets or
25 liabilities, including but not limited to any controlling persons, associates, affiliates, or
26 subsidiaries and each and all of their respective past or present officers, members, managers,
27 directors, executives, stockholders, principals, representatives, employees, attorneys, financial
28 or investment advisors, insurers, consultants, suppliers, distributors, customers, contractors,

1 experts, accountants, bankers, testing laboratories, advisors or agents, heirs, executors, trustees,
2 general or limited partners or partnerships, limited liability companies, members, joint ventures,
3 personal or legal representatives, estates, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns,
4 whether or not specifically named or participating in the settlement by payment or otherwise,
5 (“Released Parties”), nor is this Order or entry of judgment a finding any claims in the Action
6 against Defendant are valid. This Order, entry of judgment, or Settlement, may not be construed
7 as, or used as an admission of, any fault, wrongdoing or liability by Defendant or any Released
8 Party. Negotiating, entering or carrying out the Settlement, shall not be offered in evidence
9 against any Released Party in any action or proceeding in any court or administrative agency for
10 any purpose except to enforce this Order or Judgment. Defendant and any Released Party may
11 file, this Order or Judgment, or any papers filed in the Action, in any proceeding to support
12 defenses of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, claim or issue preclusion or similar defense.

13 17. Plaintiff and Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their
14 respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators,
15 successors, and assigns, will forever completely release and discharge, to the fullest extent
16 permitted by law, Released Parties from (i) all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could
17 have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint, including
18 any and all claims arising under federal, state, and/or local statutory, constitutional, contractual,
19 or common law for wages, damages, costs, penalties, liquidated damages, punitive damages,
20 interest, attorney fees, litigation costs, expenses, other fees of any kind, restitution, equitable
21 relief, other relief under California Business & Professions Code Section 17200 et seq.
22 (“Section 17200”) by or on behalf of any of the Participating Class Members, whether
23 individual, direct, class, representative, legal, equitable, or other type or in any other capacity
24 against Defendant and the Released Parties, which the Class Members ever had, now have, or
25 may have had based on the Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint, from the
26 beginning of time to the Effective Date, including, but not limited to, failure to timely pay
27 minimum wage, failure to pay overtime wages, failure to pay reporting time pay, failure to
28 provide timely, off-duty meal and/or rest breaks, failure to timely pay all wages due and owing

1 during employment, failure to promptly pay all wages due and owing at the time of the
2 employee's separation from employment, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements,
3 failure to reimburse employees for business expenses, engaging in any
4 unlawful/unfair/fraudulent business practices in violation of Section 17200 and the requirements
5 of the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order applicable thereto.

6 18. Plaintiff and PAGA Group Members, on behalf of themselves and their
7 respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators,
8 successors, and assigns, will forever completely release and discharge, to the fullest extent
9 permitted by law, Released Parties from any and all claims for PAGA civil penalties that could
10 have been assessed or collected by Plaintiff or the LWDA, a State of California Executive
11 Branch Agency under the PAGA, from the Released Parties based on the facts alleged in the
12 Complaint, including any and all claims for failure to pay minimum wage, failure to pay
13 overtime, failure to provide compliant meal periods, failure to provide compliant rest periods,
14 failure to issue accurate itemized wage statements, failure to pay all wages owed during
15 employment, failure to reimburse employees for business expenses, failure to pay reporting time
16 pay, failure to maintain accurate payroll records for its non-exempt employees, and failure to
17 pay all wages due and owing upon termination.

18 19. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the obligations under
19 the Agreement or this Order, including the requirement Defendant makes payments to
20 Participating Class Members and PAGA Group Members in accordance with the Settlement.

21 20. The Parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise
22 provided by this Court's Order awarding Class Counsels' attorneys' fees and litigation costs.

23 21. The Court enters final judgment in accordance with the terms of the Agreement,
24 the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and this Order.

25 22. Judgment is hereby entered and shall constitute a judgment for purposes of
26 California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h). In accordance with, and for the reasons stated in, this
27 Order, judgment shall be entered within the meaning and for purposes of Code of Civil
28 Procedure sections 577 and 904.1(a), and Plaintiff/Class Representative, Participating Class

Members and PAGA Group Members shall take nothing from Released Parties except as expressly set forth in the Agreement and this Order.

23. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(l)(3), Plaintiff shall submit a copy of this Order and Judgment to the LWDA within 10 days after entry of this Order and Judgment.

24. The Parties will comply with California Rules of Court, Rule 3.771(b), by giving notice to Class Members by posting the Order of Final Approval and Judgment on the Settlement Administrator's website, where it shall remain until 90 days after the Final Accounting Hearing.

25. Without affecting the finality of this Order or the entry of judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of this Order and the Agreement, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h).

26. The Court sets a Final Compliance Hearing for January 27, 2025 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 7 of the above-captioned Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED AND JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED.

Date: 08/23/2024



Honorable Gary M. Johnson
Judge of the Superior Court