

1 **AIMAN - SMITH & MARCY**
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

2 Randall B. Aiman-Smith #124599

3 Reed W.L. Marcy #191531

4 Hallie Von Rock #233152

5 Brent A. Robinson #289373

6 7677 Oakport St. Suite 1150

7 Oakland, CA 94621

8 T 510.817.2711

9 F 510.562.6830

10 ras@asmlawyers.com

11 rwlm@asmlawyers.com

12 hvr@asmlawyers.com

13 bar@asmlawyers.com

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff Mohammed Rahman

15 **Additional counsel listed on following page

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
17 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 MOHAMMED RAHMAN, ALICIA NOEMI
19 BAUTISTA DIAZ, AND PASCAL MOORE,
20 individually and on behalf of all others similarly
21 situated,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 v.

24 GATE GOURMET, INC., GATEGROUP U.S.
25 HOLDING, INC., and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

26 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:20-cv-03047-WHO

CLASS ACTION

**DECLARATION KANE MOON IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

1 KANE MOON (SBN 249834)
2 ALLEN FEGHALI (SBN 301080)
LILIT TER-ASTVATSATRYAN (SBN 320389)
3 MOON & YANG, APC
1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880
4 Los Angeles, CA 90017
T: 213.232.3128
F: 213.232.3125
kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com
5 allen.feghali@moonyanglaw.com
lilit@moonyanglaw.com
6

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Alicia Noemi Bautista Diaz

8 JOSEPH LAVI (SBN 209776)
VINCENT C. GRANBERRY (SBN 276483)
9 MELISSA A. HUETHER (SBN 316604)
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200
10 Beverly Hills, California 90211
Telephone: (310) 432-0000
11 Facsimile: (310) 432-0001
jlavi@lelawfirm.com
12 vgranberry@lelawfirm.com
mhuether@lelawfirm.com
13

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff Pascal Moore
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF KANE MOON

I, KANE MOON, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice as such before all of the Courts of the State of California and am one of the attorneys of record for Plaintiff Alicia Noemi Bautista Diaz, one of the named plaintiffs in this action, and the putative Class.

2. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and could competently testify to the facts described herein.

3. This Declaration is submitted in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement.

THE ENHANCEMENT AWARD FOR PLAINTIFF IS REASONABLE:

4. Throughout this litigation, Plaintiff, who was a former employee of Defendant, has cooperated immensely with my office and has taken many actions to protect the interests of the class. Plaintiff provided valuable information regarding her hours worked, and what duties were performed during those hours. Plaintiff also provided information regarding meal and rest periods taken and missed by Plaintiff and the class. Plaintiff participated in decisions concerning this action, assisted in preparation for the mediation, and provided my office with the names and contact information of potential witnesses in this action. Before we filed this case, Plaintiff worked with my office to determine the viability of her claims. Plaintiff also provided information and documents relating to her employment with Defendant. The information and documentation provided by Plaintiff was instrumental in establishing the wage and hour violations alleged in this action, and the recovery provided for in the settlement agreement would have been impossible to obtain without her participation.

5. At the same time, Plaintiff faced many risks in adding herself as the class representative in this matter. Plaintiff faced actual risks with her future employment, as putting herself on public record in an employment lawsuit could also very well affect her likelihood for future employment.

1 6. In turn, class members will now have the opportunity to participate in a settlement,
2 reimbursing them for wage violations they may have never known about on their own or been
3 willing to pursue on their own. If these class members would have each tried to pursue their legal
4 remedies on their own, that would have resulted in each having to expend a significant amount of
5 their own monetary resources and time, which were obviated by Plaintiff putting herself on the
6 line on behalf of these other class members.

7 7. In the final analysis, this class action would not have been possible without the aid of
8 Plaintiff, who put her own time and effort into this litigation and placed herself at risk for the sake
9 of the class members. The requested enhancement award for Plaintiff for her service as the class
10 representative is a relatively small amount of money considering the time and effort put into the
11 litigation and in comparison, to enhancements granted in other class actions. The requested
12 incentive award is therefore reasonable to compensate Plaintiff for her active participation in this
13 lawsuit..

14 THE REQUEST FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS IS REASONABLE

15 8. The Settlement provides for attorneys' fees payable to Class Counsel in an amount
16 up to one-third (33.33%) of the Settlement Amount, for a maximum fees award of \$1,283,200,
17 plus actual costs and expenses not to exceed \$56,000, for all three firms involved. The proposed
18 award of attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in this case can be justified under either method –
19 lodestar or percentage recovery. Class Counsel, however, intend to base the proposed award of
20 fees, costs, and expenses on the percentage method as many of the entries in the time records will
21 have to be redacted to preserve attorney-client and attorney work product privileges.

22 9. As of the date of the date declaration, my office has incurred \$16,943.93 in costs.
23 A true and correct copy of our cost ledger is attached hereto as Exhibit A. I am informed and
24 believe that the fee and costs provision is reasonable. The fee percentage requested is less than
25 that charged by my office for most employment cases. My office invested significant time and
26 resources into the case, with payment deferred to the end of the case, and then, of course,
27 contingent on the outcome.

28 10. The total current lodestar for Moon & Yang, APC is not less than the following:

Attorney	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
Kane Moon	81.4	\$700.00	\$56,980
Allen Feghali	131.6	\$600.00	\$78,960
Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan	170.2	\$425.00	\$72,335
Total:	383.2 hours		\$208,275

11. It is further estimated that my office will need to expend at least another 50 to 100 hours to monitor the process leading up to the final approval and payments made to the class. My office also bears the risk of taking whatever actions are necessary if Defendant fails to pay.

12. The risk to my office has been very significant, particularly if we would not be successful in pursuing this class action. In that case, we would have been left with no compensation for all the time taken in litigating this case. Indeed, I have taken on a number of class action cases that have resulted in thousands of attorney hours being expended and ultimately having the defendant company go bankrupt. The contingent risk in these types of cases is very real and occurs regularly. Furthermore, we were precluded from focusing on, or taking on, other cases which could have resulted in a larger, and less risky, monetary gain.

13. Because most individuals cannot afford to pay for representation in litigation on an hourly basis, Moon & Yang, APC represents virtually all of its employment law clients on a contingency fee basis. Pursuant to this arrangement, we are not compensated for our time unless we prevail at trial or successfully settle our clients' cases. Because Moon & Yang, APC is taking the risk that we will not be reimbursed for our time unless our client settles or wins his or her case, we cannot afford to represent an individual employee on a contingency basis if, at the end of our representation, all we are to receive is our regular hourly rate for services. It is essential that we recover more than our regular hourly rate when we win if we are to remain in practice so as to be able to continue representing other individuals in civil rights employment disputes.

14. As of the drafting of this motion, my office has incurred \$16,288.35 in expenses litigating this action, and we anticipate accruing additional costs up to Final Approval of the Settlement. These expenses were reasonably necessary to the litigation and were actually

1 incurred by my office. They should be reimbursed in full, up to the maximum amount allowed in
2 the Settlement Agreement. In the event costs do not reach or exceed the maximum amount
3 allowed in the settlement agreement, the difference will revert to the class members.

4 MY EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

5 15. Moon & Yang, APC has been engaged in the practice of employment and labor law
6 for almost a decade, and presently focuses exclusively on plaintiffs' employment law. The firm
7 and its lawyers have successfully settled hundreds of cases during that time, including class action
8 cases. The firm and its lawyers have also tried both bench and jury trials (representing both
9 plaintiffs and defendants) relating to employment matters. Moon & Yang, APC has been
10 appointed lead or co-lead class counsel in federal and state courts in California. In addition to the
11 present case, I am also class counsel for dozens of other putative wage-and-hour class-action
12 lawsuits pending in various state and federal jurisdictions throughout California.

13 16. I received a B.A. in History in 1998 from UCLA. I received my J.D. from Loyola
14 Marymount Law School in 2006. I became an Active Member of the State Bar of California in
15 June 2007 and have been an Active Member in good standing continuously since then. I am a
16 current member of the California Employment Lawyers Association (CELA). I have been
17 selected to Super Lawyers for 2019 and 2020.

18 17. I co-founded Moon & Yang, APC in March 2010. My practice is focused
19 exclusively on advocating for the rights of employees in wage-and-hour litigation, almost entirely
20 in class actions.

21 18. For the past decade, I have built my practice to have an emphasis on employment
22 and related civil litigation cases and have both prosecuted and defended employment-related
23 litigation cases. I have been heavily, successfully, and continuously involved in active litigation
24 and trial work, and have conducted bench and jury trials on behalf of both employees and
25 employers in wage and hour cases.

26 19. I am experienced in prosecuting and defending employment matters, particularly
27 class actions. My firm has focused a substantial percentage of its practice on wage, hour, and
28 working conditions violations. In addition to the present case, I am also class counsel for other

1 wage-and-hour class action lawsuits pending in various counties throughout California, including
 2 in federal courts. The following is a list of some of our recent class action settlements that have
 3 received preliminary and final approval: *Mark Brulee, et al. v. DAL Global Services, LLC* (C.D.
 4 Cal. Dec. 20, 2018) No. CV 17-6433 JVS(JCGx), 2018 WL 6616659 (class size approx. 2,650;
 5 lead counsel) (In approving my \$650 hourly rate, the Court found: “Class Counsel's declarations
 6 show that the attorneys are experienced and successful litigators. Other courts have approved the
 7 attorneys' current rates for the Moon & Yang, APC attorneys.” (*Id.* at *10.); *Sison v. Cha*
 8 *Hollywood Medical Center, L.P.*, LASC BC6441 29 (class size approx. 2,100; co-counsel); *Jones*
 9 *v. Fitness Alliance, LLC*, Riverside Superior Court PSC1404079 (class size approx. 1,000; lead
 10 counsel); *Gomez v. H Mart Companies, Inc.*, LASC BC671525 (class size approx. 2,500; co-
 11 counsel); *Montoya v. Golden 1 Credit Union*, Sacramento Superior Court 34-2018-00228252
 12 (class size approx. 1,900; lead counsel); *Campa v. Bloomingdeals, Inc.*, LASC BC700366 (class
 13 size approx. 1,500; lead counsel); *Black v. Mission Healthcare Services, Inc.*, LASC
 14 19STCV04602 (class size approx. 1,000; lead counsel); *Onofre v. Caitac Garment Processing,*
 15 *Inc.*, LASC BC702283 (class size approx. 750; lead counsel); *Martinez v. Bail Hotline Bail*
 16 *Bonds, Inc.*, LASC BC700131 (class size approx. 173; lead counsel); *Jones v. Citiguard, Inc.*,
 17 LASC BC664890 (class size approx. 587; lead counsel); *Slaughter v. ACA Security Stems, LP*,
 18 LASC BC699137 (class size approx. 300; lead counsel); *Taylor v. Sherman's Delicatessen &*
 19 *Bakery, LLC*, LASC BC722765 (class size approx. 515; lead counsel); *Vertti v. Bagcraft*
 20 *Papercon III, LLC*, LASC 19STCV12729 (class size approx. 260; lead counsel); *Rodriguez v.*
 21 *Rossmoyne, Inc.*, LASC BC699137 (class size approx. 220; lead counsel); *Vargas v. AMS Paving,*
 22 *Inc.*, LASC BC722767 (case size approx. 260; lead counsel); *Garcia v. Comfy U.S.A. Apparel,*
 23 *Inc.*, LASC BC709630 (class size approx. 210; lead counsel); *Lagos v. ThyssenKrupp Elevator*
 24 *Corporation*, LASC BC682972 (\$750,000 for 79 class members; lead counsel); *Aparicio v.*
 25 *Lineage Logistics, LLC*, LASC BC722764 (class size approx. 155; lead counsel).

26 20. This experience is helpful in assessing the reasonableness of settlements such as
 27 the one at issue here, and from this experience Class Counsel concluded that this lawsuit could
 28 not have been settled on better terms than provided under the present settlement agreement.

ALLEN FEGHALI'S EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATION

21. Allen Feghali received his B.A. in Global and International Studies in 2011 from UCSB, and his J.D. from University of La Verne College of Law in 2014. Mr. Feghali became an Active Member of the State Bar of California in December 2014 and has been an Active Member in good standing continuously since then. Mr. Feghali is a current member of the California Employment Lawyers Association (CELA), and was selected by Super Lawyers as a "Southern California Rising Star" in 2020, 2021, and 2022.

22. Mr. Feghali began working at Moon & Yang, APC in May 2015, and his practice focuses on advocating for the rights of employees at the trial-court and appellate level. Prior to approximately June 2018, with the exception of a handful of cases, Mr. Feghali's practice focused on individual FEHA and wrongful termination claims, as well as individual wage and hour claims. In June 2018, Mr. Feghali became heavily involved in the firm's class action practice.

23. During Mr. Feghali's tenure with Moon & Yang, APC, Mr. Feghali has played a critical role in obtaining outstanding results for employees who have been wronged by their employers. Mr. Feghali's litigation experience includes, but is not limited to:

- (a) In March 2021, Mr. Feghali prepared the briefing which resulted in a certification order on all causes of action following contested briefing in a wage and hour class action. *Rivera, et al. v. Clearpath Federal Credit Union*, LASC case no. 19STCV33504.
- (b) He prepared the briefing in a contested class certification motion in the matter of *Dawson, et al. v. GoHealth, LLC, et al.* (CGC-19-577790), which was granted in part and denied in part.
- (c) Mr. Feghali prepared the briefing which resulted in a remand order in *Andrade v. Rehrig Pacific Corporation* 2020 WL 1934954 (CD Cal. April 22, 2020, where Defendant removed a wage and hour class alleging that Plaintiff's claims were pre-empted by the Labor Management Relations Act.
- (d) Mr. Feghali briefed, argued, and won *Lee, et al. v. The Christian Herald, U.S.A., Inc.* No. B266853, 2017 WL 6333905, at *1 (Cal. Ct. App. Dec. 12,

2017). There, the Court of Appeal reversed a dismissal of an individual defendant following the trial court's granting of a demurrer without leave to amend relating to alter ego allegations.

(e) Mr. Feghali prepared the briefing which resulted in a remand order in *Sotelo v. Belfor USA Group, Inc.* 2018 WL 1352910 (CD Cal. March, 13, 2018). Defendants sought to remove the case based on the inclusion of a "sham defendant", but the court agreed with Mr. Feghali's briefing which argued that the individual defendant, who was alleged to have harassed the plaintiff under FEHA, was a proper defendant.

24. Since June 2018, Mr. Feghali's practice has shifted to almost exclusively representing employees in putative wage and hour cases. Mr. Feghali participated in the litigation and resolution of approximately 75 wage and hour class actions, including obtaining preliminary and final approval of settlements. A selection of cases wherein Mr. Feghali served as lead or co-lead class counsel includes: *Barahona v. Methodist Hospital*, 20STCV09876 (\$5,000,000); *Alvarez v. SRG Operating, Inc.*, CGC-20-583540 (\$2,400,000); *Cuadras v. R.C. Wendt Painting, Inc.* BC705964 (\$1,400,000 for approximately 1,300 class members); *Garcia v. Tapia Enterprises, Inc.* (\$2,137,651 for 507 class members); *Sison v. Cha Hollywood Medical Center, L.P.*, No. BC6441 29 (2,137 class members); *Lagos v. ThyssenKrupp Elevator Corporation*, No. BC682972 (\$750,000 for 79 class members); *Sotelo v. Belfor USA Group, Inc.*, No. BC688895 (\$325,000 for 342 class members); *Bueno v. Home Furniture International, Inc.* BC722117 (\$310,000 for 180 class members); *Montoya v. Golden 1 Credit Union*, 34-2018-00228252 (\$1,250,000 for 1,893 class members); *De Jesus v. Philippine National Bank*, BC673024 (\$475,000 for 167 class members).

LILIT TER-ASTVATSATRYAN'S EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATION

25. Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan is an associate at Moon & Yang, APC. Since she has been admitted to the California Bar in 2017, her practice has exclusively focused on labor and employment class action lawsuits involving various violations of California and federal labor laws. Prior to her employment by Moon & Yang, she worked at Setareh Law Group, where she worked with Senior Counsel and mentor H. Scott Leviant on over 80 class actions. Since October 2020, she

1 have been assigned her own class action cases and is solely responsible for 50 class action cases
 2 under my supervision. She also works on class action matters with Allen Feghali and me, such as
 3 this case

4 26. Ms. Ter-Astvatsatryan received her Juris Doctorate from the University of California,
 5 Hastings College of the Law in 2017, where she served as a Symposium Editor for the Hastings Race
 6 and Poverty Law Journal and a Teaching Assistant to the Legal Research & Writing and Legal
 7 Analysis programs. In law school, she served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Patrick J. Walsh
 8 of the United States District Court, Central District of California.

9 27. Ms. Ter-Astvatsatryan received her undergraduate degree in 2014 from the University
 10 of California, Los Angeles with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Anthropology and a minor in English
 11 Literature.

12 28. Ms. Ter-Astvatsatryan is a current member of the California Employment Lawyers
 13 Association (CELA).

14 29. As an associate working solely on wage and hour class action cases, Ms. Ter-
 15 Astvatsatryan has been involved in all aspects of complex litigation, including assisting in class
 16 certification motions in the following cases:

- 17 (a) *Macario Gonzalez v. Metcon TI, Inc., Alameda Superior Court*, Case No.
 18 RG19015589 [*after class certification motion was filed, the parties attended*
 19 *mediation and ultimately resolved the matter on a class wide basis*];
- 20 (b) *Miguel Angel Lopez Cuadras v. R.C. Wendt Painting, Inc., Los Angeles*
 21 *Superior Court*, Case No. BC705964 [*after class certification motion was*
 22 *entirely briefed, the parties attended mediation and ultimately resolved the*
 23 *matter on class wide basis*];
- 24 (c) *Noe Armendariz v. Kinkisharyo International, LLC, United States District Court,*
 25 *Central District of California*, Case No. 2:19-cv-08757-JAK-KS [*motion for*
 26 *class certification filed, decision pending*].

27 30. Additionally, the following is a list of cases where Ms. Ter-Astvatsatryan briefed
 28 preliminary and final approval motions of class action settlements that were ultimately granted by each

1 respective Court:

- 2 (a) *Ana Rose De Jesus, et al. v. Philippine National Bank, et al*, Los Angeles
3 Superior Court, Case No. BC673024;
- 4 (b) *Andres De La Riva Sotelo v. Belfor USA Group, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior
5 Court, Case No. BC688895;
- 6 (c) *Agustin Roman v. Coast Citrus Distributors*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case
7 No. 20STCV27599
- 8 (d) *Carlos Vertti v. Bagcraft Papercon III, LLC, et al.*, Los Angeles Superior Court,
9 Case No. 19STCV12729;
- 10 (e) *Christopher Thurman v. Wanton Group SP, LLC, et al*, Los Angeles Superior
11 Court, Case No. 19STCV18772;
- 12 (f) *Francisca Velasco v. Paige, LLC*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No.
13 19STCV12114;
- 14 (g) *Jose Montoya v. The Golden 1 Credit Union*, Sacramento Superior Court, Case
15 No. 34-2018-00228252
- 16 (h) *Malu Vaesau v. Double AA Corporation*, San Francisco Superior Court, Case
17 No. CGC-19-572598
- 18 (i) *Sergio Avalos v. Warehouse Goods, LLC*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No.
19 20STCV22332
- 20 (j) *Tyler Jones v. Citiguard, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No.
21 BC664890;
- 22 (k) *Venancio Miranda v. Maximum Nursery, Inc.*, Santa Barbara Superior Court, Case
23 No. 19STCV06041;

24 31. In addition to Ms. Ter-Astvatsatryan's work on complex litigation matters and class
25 actions, she has authored published articles and columns on issues related to class actions and other
26 litigation issues, including:

- 27 (a) Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan and H. Scott Leviant, *Where Troester Stops Not Even*
28 *Troester Knows*, Daily Journal (Los Angeles & San Francisco),

July 2, 2019;

- (b) Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan and H. Scott Leviant, *Unaccounted Time: Reading the Tea Leaves of Troester*, Daily Journal (Los Angeles & San Francisco), September 12, 2018

32. My office is qualified to handle this litigation because we are experienced in litigating Labor Code violations in both individual and class actions. The attorneys at my office have been appointed as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous wage and hour class actions in state and federal courts by way of motion for settlement approval. Including myself, Moon & Yang, APC is comprised of twelve attorneys, all of whom are actively and continuously practicing employment litigation, representing almost entirely employee plaintiffs, in both individual and class actions, in this Superior Court, and other Superior Courts throughout the state, in the Court of Appeal, and in various federal courts. The attorneys at my firm have a high level of knowledge and experience in areas of wage and hour class actions, and labor and employment law.

33. My office invested significant time and resources into the case, with payment deferred to the end of the case, and then, of course, contingent on the outcome. My office's efforts included, without limitation, the following:

- (a) Numerous interviews with the Plaintiff and review of documents provided by her;
- (b) Legal research and investigation regarding the Defendant's practices at numerous points in the litigation;
- (c) Preparation of multiple drafts of the original class action complaint and finalization and filing of the original class action complaint;
- (d) Formal discovery;
- (e) Extensive "meet and confer" correspondence and discussions to resolve issues relating formal discovery;
- (f) Extensive "meet and confer" correspondence and discussions with Defendant's counsel to obtain relevant documents and information through informal discovery;

- (g) Along with our third-party statistics expert, review and analysis of hundreds of pages of policy documents, a sample of video recordings, and tens of thousands of rows of timekeeping and payroll data
- (h) Contacting witnesses;
- (i) Analysis of the audit results and preparation of a damages model with the aid of a statistics expert;
- (j) Research and preparation of Plaintiff's mediation brief;
- (k) Attendance at two mediations;
- (l) Drafting, negotiating, and reviewing multiple drafts of the Settlement Agreement and attachments; and
- (m) Preparation of the motion for preliminary approval.

34. I do not believe that I have any conflicts of interest with the Class or with the Class Representative. I am not related to the Class Representative. I respectfully submit that I, Allen Feghali, Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan, and Moon & Yang, APC are well suited to act as Class Counsel in this action, and we have and will continue to vigorously represent the interests of the Class.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 15th day of August 2022, at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Kane Moon¹

Kane Moon

¹ I hereby attest that I have on file all holographic signatures corresponding to any signatures indicated by a conformed signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.

EXHIBIT A

Activities Export

08/15/2022

3:34 PM

Date ▼	Type	Description	Matter	User	Qty	Rate (\$)	Non-billable (\$)	Billable (\$)
05/26/2022	\$	Berger Consulting ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$250.00	-	\$250.00
04/05/2022	\$	Mediation fee #2 (p) ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$3,333.33	-	\$3,333.33
12/01/2021	\$	FedEx ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$26.95	-	\$26.95
10/21/2021	\$	Jan Brown and Associates ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$75.00	-	\$75.00
10/04/2021	\$	Ace ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$87.50	-	\$87.50
09/24/2021	\$	Ace ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$71.75	-	\$71.75
09/20/2021	\$	LACC ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$15.00	-	\$15.00
08/31/2021	\$	Ace ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$10.75	-	\$10.75
08/31/2021	\$	Ace ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$71.75	-	\$71.75
							\$0.00 0.00h	\$16,943.93 0.00h

Activities Export

08/15/2022

3:34 PM

Date ▼	Type	Description	Matter	User	Qty	Rate (\$)	Non-billable (\$)	Billable (\$)
08/31/2021	\$	Ace ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$46.25	-	\$46.25
08/30/2021	\$	Berger Consulting ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$1,400.00	-	\$1,400.00
07/31/2021	\$	Ace ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$77.50	-	\$77.50
06/26/2021	\$	Berger Consulting ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$1,537.50	-	\$1,537.50
04/19/2021	\$	Mediation fee (p) ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$8,000.00	-	\$8,000.00
04/05/2021	\$	Ace ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$185.90	-	\$185.90
04/01/2021	\$	Ace ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$130.90	-	\$130.90
10/01/2020	\$	DDS ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$113.85	-	\$113.85
09/02/2020	\$	Complaint fee ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$1,435.00	-	\$1,435.00
							\$0.00 0.00h	\$16,943.93 0.00h

Activities Export

08/15/2022
3:34 PM

Date	Type	Description	Matter	User	Qty	Rate (\$)	Non-billable (\$)	Billable (\$)
09/02/2020	\$	LWDA ● Unbilled	01028-Diaz Diaz v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (Class) (CJ)	Seung Yang	1.00	\$75.00	-	\$75.00
							\$0.00 0.00h	\$16,943.93 0.00h