

DAVID YEREMIAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
 David Yeremian (SBN 226337)
 david@yeremianlaw.com
 Roman Shkodnik (SBN 285152)
 roman@yeremianlaw.com
 2540 Foothill Blvd., Suite 201
 La Crescenta, California 91214
 Telephone: (818) 230-8380
 Facsimile: (818) 230-0308

DAVTYAN LAW FIRM
 Emil Davtyan (SBN 299363)
 emil@davtyanlaw.com
 880 E. Broadway
 Glendale, CA 91205
 Telephone: (818) 875-2008
 Facsimile: (818) 722-3974

FILED
 Superior Court of California
 County of Los Angeles

10/28/2022

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: N. Navarro Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ericka Monique Carroll,
 on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

***Additional Counsel and Parties Listed on Next
 Page***

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ERICKA MONIQUE CARROLL, an individual,
 on behalf of herself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

L.A. FAMILY HOUSING LLC, a California
 limited liability company; L.A. FAMILY
 HOUSING CORPORATION, a Business entity
 of unknown origin; and DOES 1 through 50,
 inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 20STCV04474

~~PROPOSED~~ FINAL JUDGMENT

Date: October 17, 2022

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept.: 17

~~PROPOSED~~ FINAL JUDGMENT

1 Samuel A. Wong (SBN 217104)
Kashif Haque (SBN 218672)
2 Jessica L. Campbell (SBN 280626)
jcampbell@aegislaw.firm.com
3 Alexander G.I. Davies (SBN 328125)
adavies@aegislawfirm.com
4 9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100
Irvine, California 92618
5 Telephone: (949) 379-6350
Facsimile: (949) 379-6251
6

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Betty Perez,
on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

8 WEST COAST EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS APLC
Neama Rahmani (SBN 223819)
9 Ronald L. Zambrano (SBN 255613)
ron@westcoasttriallawyeres.com
10 Melineh Kasbarian (SBN 329033)
melineh@westcoasttriallawyers.com
11 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3325
Los Angeles, California 90071
12 Telephone: (213) 927-3700
Facsimile: (213) 927-3701
13

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff Blaike Wellington,
on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 The Court, having received and considered the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement
2 (“Settlement”) of Plaintiffs, Ericka Carroll, Blaike Wellington, and Betty Perez (collectively,
3 “Plaintiffs”) and Defendant, L.A. Family Housing Corporation (“Defendant”), which was
4 preliminarily approved by this court on June 9, 2022, has entered the Order for final approval of the
5 Settlement between Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated employees, and
6 Defendant. The Court granted preliminary approval to the Settlement and administration was
7 successfully completed.

8 The
9 ~~There~~ Settlement includes all of Defendant’s current and former non-exempt employees who
10 worked in California during the period from February 4, 2016 through June 9, 2021 (“Class
11 Member”) and excluding of Elie Klasky-Gamer the one Class Member who has opted out of the
12 Settlement. In response to the notice letter sent to all Class Members, the settlement administrator
13 received no written objections and only one valid exclusion request submitted by the Class Members.
14 Furthermore, no Class Members appeared at the final fairness and approval hearing which took place
15 on October 17, 2022. In total, there are 647 participating Class Members in the Settlement. The Court
16 has granted final approval of the allocations of funds from the Gross Settlement Amount as set forth
17 in the Settlement, and has found the Settlement to be fair, reasonable, and adequate. Defendant and its
18 counsel have approved the form and content of this Judgment, do not object to it, and will not contest
19 its entry.

20 **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

21 The Court having entered an order granting Final Approval of this Stipulation hereby enters
22 FINAL ORDER OF JUDGMENT (“Judgment”) in favor of Plaintiffs and the Class Members in the
23 amount of One Million Dollars and Zero Cents (\$1,000,000.00).

24 Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction
25 over this action and the parties, including all Class Members and over all matters pertaining to the
26 implementation and enforcement of the terms of the Settlement. Except as provided to the contrary
27 herein, any disputes or controversies arising with respect to interpretation, enforcement or
28 implementation of the Settlement shall be presented by motion to the Court for resolution.

This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above-captioned action in its entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable. Subject to the Court's continuing jurisdiction as set forth above, the Court directs the Clerk of the Court to enter Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 10/28/2022

Maureen E. Nelson

HON. MAREN NELSON
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT