

DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C.
Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175)
lwlee@diversitylaw.com
Simon L. Yang (State Bar No. 260286)
sly@diversitylaw.com
515 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1250
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 488-6555
Facsimile: (213) 488-6554

POLARIS LAW GROUP
William L. Marder (State Bar No. 170131)
bill@polarislawgroup.com
501 San Benito Street, Suite 200
Hollister, California 95023
Telephone: (831) 531-4214
Facsimile: (831) 634-0333

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

BRANDEN BAGGOT, as an individual and on
behalf of all others similarly situated, and as a
private attorney general,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FOTO-KEM INDUSTRIES, INC., a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 20STCV31051

[Hon. William F. Highberger]

**DECLARATION OF LARRY W. LEE IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

*[Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion and
Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
Settlement; Memorandum of Points and
Authorities; Declaration of Simon L. Yang;
Declaration of William L. Marder; Declaration
of Branden Baggot; [Proposed] Order]*

Date: June 4, 2021
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Department: 10

Complaint Filed: January 25, 2021

1 I, Larry W. Lee, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the State of California and, with the law firm
3 Diversity Law Group, P.C., am counsel of record for Plaintiff, Branden Baggot. I make this declaration
4 on the basis of my own personal knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief
5 and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could testify competently as to
6 the facts stated in this declaration.

7 2. From the inception of the case, Class Counsel has zealously represented the interests of
8 the Class. Class Counsel engaged in significant discussions with opposing counsel to obtain informal
9 data on behalf of the Class. This settlement was obtained for the benefit of the Class, as opposed to the
10 individual Class Representative.

11 3. I have spent significant time researching and pursuing the claims that have been alleged
12 in this action. The Parties engaged in informal discovery in connection with mediation, and participated
13 in the exchange of class data and Defendant's pertinent policies and practices. Based on my review of all
14 the documents and information, and based on my experience in class action litigation, I believe this
15 settlement to be fair, adequate, and reasonable.

16 4. I was retained based upon a contingency fee arrangement wherein Plaintiff's counsel
17 agreed to advance all costs and receive no fee unless a recovery was accomplished. Specifically, had
18 Plaintiff failed to prevail in this case, counsel for Plaintiff would have spent a significant amount of
19 time, money, and other resources without any benefit or return. In addition, had Plaintiff failed to prevail
20 in the present case, Defendant also would have been able to seek costs in connection with their defense
21 of the case.

22 5. I am one of the primary attorneys on this matter. My qualifications are as follows: I
23 received my J.D. from Arizona State University College of Law in 2003. During law school, I was a
24 summer associate at the law firm of Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison. I graduated cum laude from Arizona
25 State University College of Law in the top 10% of my class. While I was in law school, I was the
26 Associate Managing Editor of the Arizona State University College of Law, Law Journal. Upon
27 graduation, I practiced law as an associate at the Los Angeles County offices of Ogletree Deakins Nash
28

1 Smoak & Stewart, P.C., a national employment defense law firm that represents a significant number of
2 Fortune 500 companies.

3 6. My firm's primary focus is employment law. I have handled a number of wage and hour
4 matters including class actions and multiple-plaintiff actions. I have a practice that encompasses cases in
5 the Superior Courts of California for the Counties of Alameda, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside,
6 Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, and Santa Clara, among others, as well as the
7 United States District Courts for the Central, Eastern, Northern, and Southern Districts of California.

8 7. I have been approved as Class Counsel in a number of class actions, including but not
9 limited to *Chan-Lanier v. Citibank* (Case No. CGC-050445143); *Hernandez v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.*
10 (Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4539), *Tse v. Best Buy Co, Inc.* (Case Number BC
11 393717), *Orgeta v. AIG, Inc* (Case Number CV 06—196-RSWL (PJWx)), and *Castro v. UPS Freight,*
12 *Inc.* (Case Number CV 08-4898 ODW (CWx)), and *Chavez v. PVH* (Case No. C 13-01797 LHK).

13 8. In addition, I was certified as class counsel by the United States District Court, Central
14 District of California in the cases *Abdullah v. U.S. Security* (Case Number CV 09-09554 GHK), *Avilez*
15 *v. Pinkerton Government Services, Inc.* (Case Number SACV 11-0493-DOC), *Dynabursky v. Allied*
16 *Barton Security Services* (Case Number SACV 12-02210 JST); and *Pace v. Petsmart, Inc.* (Case
17 Number SACV 13-500-DOC); by the Northern District of California in the cases of *Harris v. Vector*
18 *Marketing Corp.*, (Case Number CV 08 5198 EMC), and *Lemus et al. v. H&R Block Enterprises, LLC*
19 (Case Number CV-09-03179-SI); and by the Superior Court of California, Orange County in the cases
20 of *De la Cruz v. Abercrombie & Fitch Co. et al.* (Case Number 30-2007-00036240) and *Wu v. General*
21 *Nutrition Corporation* (Case Number 30-2012-00593759), all as part of the Courts' orders granting class
22 certification pursuant to Rule 23 of the FRCP and California Code of Civil Procedure § 382. I served as
23 lead counsel on these cases.

24 9. My co-counsel and I will adequately represent the Class Members in this action.
25 Plaintiff's Counsel have and will zealously represent Plaintiff and the Class and pursue this lawsuit to its
26 conclusion.

27 10. I understand that the attorneys' fees awarded in this action will be split 65% to Diversity
28 Law Group, P.C. and 35% to Polaris Law Group.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
2 true and correct. Executed this 12th day of May 2021, at Los Angeles, California.

3 

4 _____
Larry W. Lee