

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF PLACER**

Date: November 2, 2021  
Judge: Trisha Hirashima  
Reporter:

Time: 8:30 AM  
Dept.: LM  
Clerk:

Trone, Kenneth vs. Sugar Bowl Corporation

☐ Present

☐ Present

☐ And related Cross Action(s)

Case # S-CV-0045755

**Law and Motion Minutes**

**Proceedings RE:** Motion Hearing - \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Dropped.

☐ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ by Plaintiff ☐ by Defendant

☐ by Stipulation ☐ by Court

☐ Matter argued and submitted.

☐ Submitted on points and authorities without ☐ argument ☐ appearance.

☐ Motion/Petition granted. ☐ Motion/Petition denied.

☐ Demurrer ☐ sustained ☐ overruled ☐ without ☐ with leave to ☐ amend ☐ answer.

☐ Counsel appointed for:

☐ Taken under submission.

☐ Debtor is sworn and retired with counsel for examination.

☐ Stipulation to ☐ Judge Pro Tem ☐ Commissioner executed in open court.

☐ Counsel for \_\_\_\_\_ to prepare the written order and submit it to opposing counsel for approval as to content and form.

☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_.

☒ The tentative ruling is adopted as the ruling of the court, to wit:

Motion to Approve PAGA Settlement and Dismiss PAGA Claims

Plaintiff's unopposed motion to approve Private Attorneys General Act settlement and dismiss PAGA claims with prejudice is granted as prayed. The court has carefully reviewed the moving papers along with the entirety of the court file and determines that the settlement is



genuine, meaningful, and consistent with the underlying purposes of the  
PAGA-related statute. Labor Code § 2699(1); *O'Connor v. Uber  
Technologies, Inc.* (N.D. Cal. 2016) 201 F.Supp.3d 1110. The court also  
determines the settlement appears fundamentally fair, reasonable, and  
adequate. *Id.* The court incorporates by reference the findings and  
orders outlined in the amended proposed order approving plaintiff's  
Private Attorneys General Act Settlement and dismissing PAGA claims  
with prejudice, lodged with the court.