

1 **MATERN LAW GROUP, PC**
Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798)
2 Email: mmatern@maternlawgroup.com
Joshua D. Boxer (SBN 226712)
3 Email: jboxer@maternlawgroup.com
1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200
4 Manhattan Beach, California 90266
Telephone: (310) 531-1900
5 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901

6 **MATERN LAW GROUP, PC**
Elizabeth A. Medrano (SBN 323742)
7 Email: emedrano@maternlawgroup.com
1330 Broadway, Suite 428
8 Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 227-3998
9 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
GUADALUPE FARIAS RUIZ, individually
11 and on behalf of other persons similarly
situated

12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13 FOR THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

14 GUADALUPE FARIAS RUIZ, an individual,
15 on behalf of herself and other persons similarly
situated,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 RIZO-LOPEZ FOODS, INC. D/B/A DON
19 FRANCISCO CHEESE, a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

20 Defendants.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Electronically Filed
9/18/2020 3:11 PM
Superior Court of California
County of Stanislaus
Clerk of the Court
By: Mouang Saechao, Deputy
\$1000 PAID
\$435 PAID

CASE NO.: CV-20-004053

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT:

1. Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods;
2. Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods;
3. Failure to Pay Overtime Wages;
4. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages;
5. Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees;
6. Failure to Maintain Required Records;
7. Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements;
8. Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties;
9. Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices.

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION:

10. Penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, as Representative Action.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 Plaintiff GUADALUPE FARIAS RUIZ (“PLAINTIFF”), an individual, demanding a jury
2 trial, on behalf of herself and other persons similarly situated, hereby alleges as follows:

3 **INTRODUCTION**

4 1. PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly-situated
5 current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants RIZO-LOPEZ FOODS, INC. D/B/A
6 DON FRANCISCO CHEESE (“RIZO-LOPEZ FOODS”); and DOES 1 through 50 inclusive
7 (collectively, “DEFENDANTS”) in the State of California during the relevant statutory period to
8 recover penalties arising from DEFENDANTS’ failure to provide employees meal and rest
9 periods (or compensation therefor) as required under California law, failure to provide minimum
10 and overtimes wages for all hours worked, failure to timely pay wages during employment,
11 failure to pay wages due upon separation, failure to maintain required records, failure to provide
12 accurate itemized wage statements, and failure to indemnify employees for necessary
13 expenditures and/or losses incurred the discharge of their duties. PLAINTIFF also seeks
14 penalties, interest, attorneys’ fees, costs and expenses, and equitable, restitutionary and injunctive
15 relief.

16 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

17 2. The Superior Court of the State of California has jurisdiction in this matter because
18 PLAINTIFF is a citizen and resident of the State of California and DEFENDANTS are citizens
19 and residents of, and/or regularly conduct business in California. Further, no federal question is at
20 issue because the claims are based solely on California law.

21 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district and the County of Stanislaus, California
22 because DEFENDANTS maintain offices and facilities and transact business in the County of
23 Stanislaus, and DEFENDANTS’ illegal policies and practices which are the subject of this action
24 were applied, at least in part, to PLAINTIFF and other persons similarly situated in the County of
25 Stanislaus.

26 **PLAINTIFF**

27 4. PLAINTIFF is a citizen and resident of the State of California. At times material
28 to this complaint, PLAINTIFF was employed by DEFENDANTS in the State of California as a

1 non-exempt employee.

2 5. PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of herself and the following similarly
3 situated class of individuals (“CLASS MEMBERS”): all current and former non-exempt
4 employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time within the period beginning
5 four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and ending at the time this action settles or proceeds
6 to final judgment (the “CLASS PERIOD”). PLAINTIFF reserves the right to name additional
7 class representatives.

8 **DEFENDANTS**

9 6. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT
10 RIZO-LOPEZ FOODS is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a California corporation.
11 PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that RIZO-LOPEZ FOODS is
12 authorized to and does conduct business in the State of California. Specifically, RIZO-LOPEZ
13 FOODS maintains offices and facilities and conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll
14 practices or policies in the County of Stanislaus, State of California.

15 7. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to
16 PLAINTIFF at this time, and PLAINTIFF therefore sues such DOE Defendants under fictitious
17 names. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each Defendant
18 designated as a DOE is in some manner highly responsible for the occurrences alleged herein, and
19 that PLAINTIFF and other CLASS MEMBERS’ injuries and damages, as alleged herein, were
20 proximately caused by the conduct of such DOE Defendants. PLAINTIFF will seek leave of the
21 court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities of such DOE Defendants
22 when ascertained.

23 8. At all relevant times herein, DEFENDANTS were the joint employers of
24 PLAINTIFF and other CLASS MEMBERS. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon
25 alleges, that at all times material to this Complaint DEFENDANTS were the alter egos, divisions,
26 affiliates, integrated enterprises, joint employers, subsidiaries, parents, principals, related entities,
27 co-conspirators, authorized agents, partners, joint venturers, and/or guarantors, actual or
28 ostensible, of each other. Each Defendant was completely dominated by his, her or its co-

1 Defendant, and each was the alter ego of the other.

2 9. At all relevant times herein, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were employed
3 by DEFENDANTS under employment agreements that were partly written, partly oral, and partly
4 implied. In perpetrating the acts and omissions alleged herein, DEFENDANTS, and each of them,
5 acted pursuant to, and in furtherance of, their policies and practices of not paying PLAINTIFF
6 and other CLASS MEMBERS all wages earned and due, through methods and schemes which
7 include, but are not limited to, failing to pay overtime premiums; failing to provide rest and meal
8 periods; failing to properly maintain records; failing to provide accurate itemized statements for
9 each pay period; failing to properly compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for
10 necessary expenditures; and requiring, permitting or suffering the employees to work off the
11 clock, in violation of the California Labor Code and the applicable Welfare Commission ("IWC")
12 Orders.

13 10. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each and every one
14 of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by, and/or attributable to, all
15 DEFENDANTS, each acting as agents and/or employees, and/or under the direction and control
16 of, each of the other DEFENDANTS, and that said acts and failures to act were within the course
17 and scope of said agency, employment and/or direction and control.

18 11. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 558.1, DEFENDANTS and any person acting
19 on behalf of any of the DEFENDANTS, are liable for violating, or causing to violate, any
20 provision regulating minimum wages or hours and days of work in any order of the Industrial
21 Welfare Commission, or Labor Code §§ 203, 226, 226.7, 1193.6, 1994, or 2802.

22 12. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful actions of DEFENDANTS,
23 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, from loss of earnings
24 in amounts as yet unascertained, but subject to proof at trial, and within the jurisdiction of this
25 Court.

26 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

27 13. This action is appropriately suited for a Class Action because:
28

1 a. The potential class is a significant number. Joinder of all current and
2 former employees individually would be impractical.

3 b. This action involves common questions of law and fact to the potential
4 class because the action focuses on DEFENDANTS' systematic course of illegal payroll practices
5 and policies, which was applied to all non-exempt employees in violation of the Labor Code, the
6 applicable IWC Wage Order, and the Business and Professions Code which prohibits unfair
7 business practices arising from such violations.

8 c. PLAINTIFF's claims are typical of the class because DEFENDANTS
9 subjected all non-exempt employees to identical violations of the Labor Code, the applicable
10 IWC wage order, and the Business and Professions Code.

11 d. PLAINTIFF will fairly and adequately protect the interest of all CLASS
12 MEMBERS because it is in his best interests to prosecute the claims alleged herein to obtain full
13 compensation due to them for all services rendered and hours worked.

14 e. A class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and
15 efficiently adjudicating the controversy.

16 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods**

18 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 11]**

19 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

20 14. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
21 factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

22 15. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal policies and
23 practices to deprive their current and former non-exempt employees all wages earned and due,
24 DEFENDANTS required, suffered or permitted PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to take less
25 than the 30-minute meal period, or to work through them, and have failed to otherwise provide
26 the required meal periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS pursuant to Labor Code §§
27 226.7 and 512 and IWC Order No. 1-2001, § 11.

28 16. DEFENDANTS further violated Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and IWC Wage

1 Order No. 1-2001, §11 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were
2 not provided with a meal period, in accordance with the applicable IWC Wage Order, one
3 additional hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a
4 meal period was not provided.

5 17. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 1194,
6 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS
7 MEMBERS for all hours worked during their meal periods.

8 18. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS
9 MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages
10 earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

11 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods**

13 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 12]**

14 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

15 19. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
16 factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

17 20. At all times relevant herein, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal policies and
18 practices to deprive their current and former non-exempt employees all wages earned and due,
19 DEFENDANTS failed to provide rest periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS as
20 required under Labor Code § 226.7 and 512, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 12.

21 21. DEFENDANTS further violated Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order No. 1-
22 2001, § 12 by failing to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were not provided with a
23 rest period, in accordance with the applicable IWC Wage Order, one additional hour of
24 compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a rest period was not
25 provided.

26 22. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS
27 MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages
28 earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

1 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **Failure to Pay Overtime Wages**

3 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 3]**

4 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

5 23. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
6 factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

7 24. Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 3,
8 DEFENDANTS are required to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all
9 overtime, which is calculated at one and one-half (1 ½) times the regular rate of pay for all hours
10 worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week, and for the first
11 eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive workday, with double time for all hours worked in
12 excess of twelve (12) hours in any workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours
13 on the seventh consecutive day of work in any workweek.

14 25. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are current and former non-exempt
15 employees entitled to the protections of California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and IWC Wage
16 Order No. 1-2001. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to compensate
17 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime hours worked as required under the
18 foregoing provisions of the Labor Code and IWC Wage Order by, among other things: failing to
19 pay overtime at one and one-half (1 ½) or double the regular rate of pay as provided by Labor
20 Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 3; requiring, suffering or permitting
21 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or suffering
22 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal breaks; illegally and inaccurately
23 recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly
24 maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate itemized
25 wage statements to PLAINTIFF for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.

26 26. In violation of California law, DEFENDANTS have knowingly and willfully
27 refused to perform their obligations to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all
28 wages earned and all hours worked. As a proximate result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS

1 have suffered, and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such
2 wages, lost interest on such wages, and expenses and attorneys' fees in seeking to compel
3 DEFENDANTS to fully perform their obligations under state law, all to their respective damages
4 in amounts according to proof at time of trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

5 27. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 510,
6 1194, 1198 and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 3. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code
7 §§ 200, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the California Labor Code
8 and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid
9 balance of wages owed to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees,
10 expenses, and costs of suit.

11 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **Failure to Pay Minimum Wages**

13 **[Cal Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 4]**

14 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

15 28. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
16 factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

17 29. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197 and IWC Wage Order No. 1-
18 2001, § 4, payment to an employee of less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours
19 worked in a payroll period is unlawful.

20 30. During the CLASS PERIOD and in violation of California Labor Code §§ 1194
21 and 1197 and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 4, DEFENDANTS failed to pay PLAINTIFF and
22 CLASS MEMBERS the applicable minimum wages for all hours worked in a payroll period by,
23 among other things: requiring, suffering or permitting PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to
24 work off the clock; requiring, suffering or permitting PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to
25 work through meal and rest breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which
26 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and
27 CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to
28 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.

1 31. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§
2 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 4. As a proximate result of the aforementioned
3 violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to
4 proof at trial. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and
5 other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and
6 CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to them by
7 DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

8 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 **Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees**

10 **[Cal. Labor Code § 201, 202, 203]**

11 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

12 32. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
13 factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

14 33. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 201, 202, and 203, DEFENDANTS are
15 required to pay all earned and unpaid wages to an employee who is discharged. California Labor
16 Code § 201 mandates that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages accrued
17 and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.

18 34. Furthermore, pursuant to California Labor Code § 202, DEFENDANTS are
19 required to pay all accrued wages due to an employee no later than 72 hours after the employee
20 quits his or her employment, unless the employee provided 72 hours previous notice of his or her
21 intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

22 35. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, in
23 accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is
24 discharged or who quits, the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued
25 compensation to the employee at the same rate for up to 30 workdays.

26 36. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS have willfully failed to pay accrued
27 wages and other compensation to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS in accordance with
28 California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.

37. As a result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including the waiting time penalties provided in California Labor Code § 203, together with interest thereon, as well as other available remedies.

38. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been deprived of compensation in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, plus interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 2699.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Maintain Required Records

[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226(a), 1174(d); IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 7]

(Against all DEFENDANTS)

39. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

40. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and practices to deprive PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS of all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed to maintain records as required under Labor Code §§ 226(a) and 1174(d) and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 7, including but not limited to the following records: total daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of pay; all deductions; meal periods; time records showing when each employee begins and ends each work period; and accurate itemized statements.

41. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and are entitled to all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including but not limited to civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies.

1 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements**

3 **[Cal. Labor Code § 226(a), 1174; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 7]**

4 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

5 42. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
6 factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

7 43. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS routinely failed and continue to fail
8 to provide PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely and accurate itemized wage
9 statements in writing showing each employee's gross wages earned, total hours worked, the
10 number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-
11 rate basis, all deductions made, net wages earned, the inclusive dates of the period for which the
12 employee is paid, the name of the employee and only the last four digits of his or her social
13 security number or employee identification number, the name and address of the legal entity or
14 entities employing PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, and all applicable hourly rates in effect
15 during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in
16 violation of California Labor Code § 226(a) and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 7.

17 44. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed
18 to provide PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely and accurate itemized wage
19 statements in accordance with Labor Code § 226(a).

20 45. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
21 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
22 trial, and seek all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and
23 CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including but not limited to
24 civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of
25 costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those provided in
26 California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures**

3 **Incurred in Discharge of Duties**

4 **[Cal. Labor Code § 2802]**

5 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

6 46. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
7 factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

8 47. California Labor Code § 2802(a) requires an employer to indemnify an employee
9 for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the
10 discharge of her his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer.

11 48. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and willfully failed to
12 indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all business expenses and/or losses incurred
13 in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties while working under the direction of
14 DEFENDANTS, including but not limited to expenses for uniforms, cell phone usage, and other
15 employment-related expenses, in violation of California Labor Code § 2802.

16 49. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
17 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
18 trial, and seek reimbursement of all necessary expenditures, plus interest thereon pursuant to
19 California Labor Code § 2802(b). Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are
20 entitled to all available statutory penalties and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable
21 attorneys' fees, including those provided in California Labor Code § 2802(c), as well as other
22 available remedies.

23 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices**

25 **[Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et. seq.]**

26 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

27 50. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
28 factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

1 51. Each and every one of DEFENDANTS' acts and omissions in violation of the
2 California Labor Code and/or the applicable IWC Wage Order as alleged herein, including but
3 not limited to DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required meal periods,
4 DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required rest periods, DEFENDANTS' failure and
5 refusal to pay overtime compensation, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay minimum
6 wages, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay all wages due to discharged or quitting
7 employees, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to furnish accurate itemized wage statements;
8 DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to maintain required records, DEFENDANTS' failure and
9 refusal to indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary expenditures and/or
10 losses incurring in discharging their duties, constitutes an unfair and unlawful business practice
11 under California Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.

12 52. DEFENDANTS' violations of California wage and hour laws constitute a business
13 practice because DEFENDANTS' aforementioned acts and omissions were done repeatedly over
14 a significant period of time, and in a systematic manner, to the detriment of PLAINTIFF and
15 CLASS MEMBERS.

16 53. DEFENDANTS have avoided payment of wages, overtime wages, meal periods,
17 rest periods, and other benefits as required by the California Labor Code, the California Code of
18 Regulations, and the applicable IWC Wage Order. Further, DEFENDANTS have failed to
19 record, report, and pay the correct sums of assessment to the state authorities under the California
20 Labor Code and other applicable regulations.

21 54. As a result of DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices,
22 DEFENDANTS have reaped unfair and illegal profits during the CLASS PERIOD at the expense
23 of PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBERS, and members of the public. DEFENDANTS should be
24 made to disgorge their ill-gotten gains and to restore them to PLAINTIFF and CLASS
25 MEMBERS.

26 55. DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices entitle PLAINTIFF and
27 CLASS MEMBERS to seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including but not
28 limited to orders that DEFENDANTS account for, disgorge, and restore to PLAINTIFF and

1 CLASS MEMBERS the wages and other compensation unlawfully withheld from them.
2 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to restitution of all monies to be disgorged
3 from DEFENDANTS in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the
4 jurisdiction of this Court.

5 **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

6 **Representative Action for Civil Penalties**

7 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 2698–2699.5]**

8 **(Against All DEFENDANTS)**

9
10 56. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference as though fully set forth the
11 factual allegations in all preceding paragraphs, with exception of the allegations in paragraph 13
12 and the subparagraphs thereto.

13 57. PLAINTIFF is an “aggrieved employee” within the meaning of California Labor
14 Code § 2699(c), and a proper representative to bring a civil action on behalf of herself and other
15 current and former employees of DEFENDANTS pursuant to the procedures specified in
16 California Labor Code § 2699.3, because PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were employed
17 by DEFENDANTS and the alleged violations of the California Labor Code were committed
18 against PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS.

19 58. Pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”),
20 Labor Code §§ 2698–2699.5, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS seeks to recover civil
21 penalties, including but not limited to penalties under California Labor Code §§ 2699, 210,
22 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 20, from DEFENDANTS in a
23 representative action for the violations set forth above, including but not limited to violations of
24 California Labor Code §§ 204, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and 2802.
25 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are also entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys’ fees
26 and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(g)(1).

27 59. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 2699.3, PLAINTIFF gave written notice on
28 July 8, 2020 by online filing to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency

1 (“LWDA”) and on June 2, 2020 by certified mail to DEFENDANTS of the specific provisions of
2 the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders alleged to have been violated, including the
3 facts and theories to support the alleged violations. More than sixty-five (65) days from the July
4 8, 2020 postmark date of PLAINTIFF’s written notice have passed before filing this action and
5 the LWDA has not provided notice to PLAINTIFF that it intends to investigate the alleged
6 violations.

7 60. Therefore, PLAINTIFF has complied with all of the requirements set forth in
8 California Labor Code § 2699.3 to commence a representative action under PAGA.

9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 **WHEREFORE**, PLAINTIFF, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly
11 situated, respectfully prays for relief against DEFENDANTS and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,
12 and each of them, as follows:

- 13 1. For compensatory damages in an amount to be ascertained at trial;
- 14 2. For restitution of all monies due to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, as well
15 as disgorged profits from DEFENDANTS’ unfair and unlawful business practices;
- 16 3. For meal and rest period compensation pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7
17 and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001;
- 18 4. For liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194.2 and 1197.1;
- 19 5. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining DEFENDANTS from
20 violating the relevant provisions of the California Labor Code and the IWC Wage Orders, and
21 from engaging in the unlawful business practices complained of herein;
- 22 6. For waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203;
- 23 7. For statutory and civil penalties according to proof, including but not limited to all
24 penalties authorized by the California Labor Code §§ 226(e) and §§ 2698–2699.5;
- 25 8. For interest on the unpaid wages at 10% per annum pursuant to California Labor
26 Code §§ 218.6, 1194, 2802, California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable
27 provision providing for pre-judgment interest;
- 28 9. For reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code

1 §§ 1194, 2699, 2802, California Civil Code § 1021.5, and any other applicable provisions
2 providing for attorneys' fees and costs;

3 10. For declaratory relief;

4 11. For an order requiring and certifying the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth,
5 Seventh, Eighth, and Ninth Causes of Action as a class action;

6 12. For an order appointing PLAINTIFF as class representative, and PLAINTIFF's
7 counsel as class counsel; and

8 13. For such further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

9 DATED: September 18, 2020

10 Respectfully submitted,

11 **MATERN LAW GROUP, PC**

12
13 By: _____

14 Matthew J. Matern
15 Joshua D. Boxer
16 Elizabeth A. Medrano
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 GUADALUPE FARIAS RUIZ, individually
19 and on behalf of other persons similarly
20 situated
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

DATED: September 18, 2020

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC

Edgar

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28