

1 DAVID YEREMIAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
David Yeremian (SBN 226337)
2 david@yeremianlaw.com
Roman Shkodnik (SBN 285152)
3 roman@yeremianlaw.com
535 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 705
4 Glendale, California 91203
Telephone: (818) 230-8380
5 Facsimile: (818) 230-0308

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff MELISSA SOTO,
on behalf of herself and others similarly situated
7

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
10

11 MELISSA SOTO, on behalf of herself and
others similarly situated,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.
14

GCAM, INC., a California Corporation; and
15 DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

16 Defendants.
17
18
19

Case No. CIVSB2114483

Hon. David Cohn

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

20 The Court, having received and considered the Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”)
21 Settlement Agreement and Release (“Settlement”) of Plaintiff Melissa Soto (“Plaintiff”) and
22 Defendant GCAM, Inc. (“Defendant”), approved by this court on November 4, 2021, has entered the
23 Order for approval of the Settlement between Plaintiff on behalf of herself and all other similarly
24 situated employees, and Defendant.

25 There are 160 Aggrieved Employees, defined as “all current and former non-exempt
26 employees of Defendant at any time within the PAGA Period and ending at the time at the time of
27 settlement approval by the court.” The PAGA period means the time period from August 27, 2019
28 through settlement approval by the Court.

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

1 The Court has finally approved the allocations of funds from the Gross Settlement Amount,
2 and has found the Settlement to be fair, reasonable, and adequate. Defendant and its counsel have
3 approved the form and content of this Judgment, do not object to it, and will not contest its entry.

4 **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

5 The Court having entered an Order Granting Approval of PAGA Settlement hereby enters
6 FINAL JUDGMENT in favor of Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees in the amount of Eighty
7 Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$80,000.00).

8 Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction
9 over this action and the parties, including all Aggrieved Employees and over all matters pertaining to
10 the implementation and enforcement of the terms of the Settlement. Except as provided to the
11 contrary herein, any disputes or controversies arising with respect to interpretation, enforcement or
12 implementation of the Settlement shall be presented by motion to the Court for resolution.

13 This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above-captioned action in its
14 entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable. Subject to the Court's continuing jurisdiction
15 as set forth above, the Court directs the Clerk of the Court to enter Judgment.

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 **DATED:** _____

20 _____
21 **HON. DAVID COHN**
22 **JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT**