

POTTER HANDY, LLP

Mark D. Potter, SBN 166317
mark@potterhandy.com
James M. Treglio, SBN 228077
jimt@potterhandy.com
100 Pine St., Ste 1250
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (858) 375.7385
Facsimile: (888) 422-5191

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ramon Mizques

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
09/15/2022

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
By: M. Carino Deputy

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

RAMON MIZQUES, individual, on behalf of
the State of California, as private attorney
general, and on behalf of all aggrieved
employees,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALANT CORP., a California corporation; and
DOES 1 to 100, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO: 21STCV02357

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
APPROVAL OF PAGA SETTLEMENT
AND JUDGMENT**

Hearing:

Date: September 15, 2022

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept.: 73

Hon. Timothy Patrick Dillon

Plaintiff Ramon Mizques' ("Plaintiff") Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement was heard by the Court on August 16, 2022. The Court having reviewed the Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement, the Private Attorneys General Act Claims Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement Agreement") attached as Exhibit "A" to the Declaration of James M. Treglio filed with Plaintiff's Motion, the papers, the arguments of counsel, and all other evidence and matters presented, and good cause appearing:

1 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that the Plaintiff's Motion
2 for Approval of PAGA Settlement is **GRANTED**, subject to the following findings and orders:

3 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement
4 Agreement.

5 2. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement for
6 settlement of PAGA claims in accordance with Labor Code section 2699(1)(2), and finds that the
7 Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable and directs the Parties to effectuate the
8 PAGA settlement according to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

9 3. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendants or by any other Released
10 Party, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by
11 Defendants or any of other Released Parties. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor
12 any document referred-to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may
13 be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession,
14 or liability whatsoever by or against Defendants or any of the Released Parties.

15 4. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Defendant shall pay the PAGA Settlement
16 Members the PAGA Settlement Awards totaling \$18,232.00.

17 5. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Defendant shall pay the LWDA the LWDA
18 Payment in the amount of \$54,696.00.

19 6. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Defendant shall pay Plaintiff's Counsel for
20 Plaintiff's Counsel's reasonable Attorneys' Fees in the amount of \$46,464.00 and Costs in the
21 amount of \$10,000.00, for a total of \$56,464.00.

22 7. The Court further orders, adjudges and decrees that Plaintiff and the PAGA Settlement
23 Members have released and forever discharged the Released Parties from and regarding the
24 Released Claims for the Covered Period.

25 8. This Final Judgment is entered pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and is intended to
26 Effectuate the settlement more fully described in the Settlement Agreement; and


27 9. The Court maintains jurisdiction to adjudicate any matters related to this Order and
28

Judgment and the Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

The Clerk of the Court is hereby ordered to enter this Judgment.

Dated: 09/15/2022

By: 
Judge of the Superior Court

Timothy Patrick Dillon / Judge