

1 DAVID YEREMIAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
David Yeremian (SBN 226337)
2 david@yeremianlaw.com
Roman Shkodnik (SBN 285152)
3 roman@yeremianlaw.com
2540 Foothill Blvd., Suite 201
4 La Crescenta, California 91214
Telephone: (818) 230-8380
5 Facsimile: (818) 230-0308

6 UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP, PC
Walter Haines (SBN 71075)
7 whaines@uelg.com
4276 Katella Avenue, #301
8 Los Alamitos, CA 90720
Telephone: (562) 256-1047
9 Facsimile: (562) 256-1006

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN PEHKONEN,
11 on behalf of himself and others similarly situated

12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

14
15 JOHN PEHKONEN, on behalf of himself
16 and others similarly situated,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 BUREAU VERITAS COMMODITIES AND
TRADE, INC. fka INSPECTORATE
20 AMERICAN CORPORATION, a Delaware
corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

21 Defendants.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

10/12/2022

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: L. McGreené Deputy

Case No. 20STCV40104
Related Case No. 20STCV49152

~~PROPOSED~~ FINAL JUDGMENT

*[filed concurrently with Notice of Motion and
Motion for Final Approval; Declaration of
David Yeremian; Declaration of Lindsay Kline;
Declaration of John Pehkonen; Declaration of
Walter Haines; Declaration of Roman Shkodnik;
[Proposed] Order;]*

Date: October 5, 2022
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Dept.: 12

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

1 The Court, having received and considered the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA
2 Settlement (“Settlement”) of Plaintiff John Pehkonen (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Bureau Veritas
3 Commodities and Trade, Inc. fka Inspectorate American Corporation (“Defendant”), (which was
4 preliminarily approved by this court on June 30, 2022) has entered the Order for final approval of the
5 Settlement between Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees, and
6 Defendant. The Court granted preliminarily approval to the Settlement and administration was
7 successfully completed.

8 There were no written objections and no exclusion request submitted by the Settlement Class
9 members, and none appeared at the final fairness and approval hearing. There are 189 participating
10 Settlement Class members, defined as all non-exempt employees who were employed by Defendant
11 in California during the Class Period, which is the period from October 20, 2016 until February 28,
12 2022. The Court has finally approved the allocations of funds from the Gross Settlement Amount,
13 and has found the Settlement to be fair, reasonable, and adequate. Defendant and its counsel have
14 approved the form and content of this Judgment, do not object to it, and will not contest its entry.

15 **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

16 The Court having entered an order granting Final Approval of this Stipulation hereby enters
17 FINAL ORDER OF JUDGMENT in favor of Plaintiff and the Class Members in the amount of
18 Three-Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$375,000.00).

19 Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction
20 over this action and the parties, including all Class Members and over all matters pertaining to the
21 implementation and enforcement of the terms of the Settlement. Except as provided to the contrary
22 herein, any disputes or controversies arising with respect to interpretation, enforcement or
23 implementation of the Settlement shall be presented by motion to the Court for resolution.

24 This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above-captioned action in its
25 entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable. Subject to the Court’s continuing jurisdiction
26 as set forth above, the Court directs the Clerk of the Court to enter Judgment.

27 ///
28

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2
3 **DATED:** 10/12/2022
4 _____



Carolyn B. Kuhl

Carolyn B. Kuhl / Judge

HON. CAROLYN KUHL
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT