

Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827)
Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479)
Vartan Madoyan (SBN 279015)
Helene Mayer (SBN 332975)
LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Blvd., Suite 900
Glendale, California 91203
Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021

Attorneys for Plaintiff Femi Jordan,
Plaintiff Dejeiner King, and the Class

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

FEMI JORDAN, DEJEINER KING,
individually, and on behalf of other members
of the general public similarly situated and
on behalf of other aggrieved employees
pursuant to the California Private Attorneys
General Act;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

LARKIN STREET YOUTH SERVICES, a
California corporation; and DOES 1 through
100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: CGC-21-589670

Honorable Joseph M. Quinn
Department 302

CLASS ACTION

**DECLARATION OF HELENE MAYER IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND
PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT,
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, AND
CLASS REPRESENTATIVE
ENHANCEMENT AWARD**

Date: February 27, 2026
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Department: 302

Complaint Filed: February 19, 2021
Trial Date: None Set

DECLARATION OF HELENE MAYER

I, Helene Mayer, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California. I am a member of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, attorneys of record for Plaintiff Femi Jordan (“Plaintiff Jordan”) and Plaintiff Dejeiner King (“Plaintiff King”) (together, “Plaintiffs”) and the Class in the above-captioned matters. The facts set forth in this declaration are within my personal knowledge or based on information and belief, and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify as follows.

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

2. On March 5, 2025, in Department 302 of the above-entitled Court, the Honorable Barbara Zuñiga preliminarily approved the Class and PAGA Action Settlement and Release Agreement (“Settlement,” “Agreement,” or “Settlement Agreement,”) entered into between Plaintiffs and Defendant Larkin Street Youth Services (“Defendant”) (together with Plaintiffs referred to as the “Parties”), and conditionally certified the Class for settlement purposes. The Court provisionally appointed Plaintiffs Femi Jordan and Dejeiner King as the representatives of the Class (“Class Representatives”). The Court also provisionally appointed and designated Lawyers *for* Justice, PC as counsel for the Class (“Class Counsel”).

3. The Court approved and ordered the mailing of the Notice of Class Action Settlement (“Class Notice”), adopted the notice, opt-out, and objection procedures and ordered their implementation. The Court appointed Simpluris, Inc. (“Simpluris” or “Settlement Administrator”) to serve as the third-party settlement administrator and handle the notice and settlement administration process.

4. As of the date of filing the accompanying Motion for Final Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement, Attorneys Fees and Costs, and Class Representative Enhancement Awards (“Motion for Final Approval”), no Class Member has objected to the Class Settlement, the contemplated request for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs, Class Representative Enhancement Awards, and Settlement Administration Costs, or the allocation for the PAGA Settlement Amount.

WORK PERFORMED BY CLASS COUNSEL

5. Several attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC have been actively performing work in the above captioned action entitled *Femi Jordan v. Larkin Street Youth Services*, San Francisco County

1 Superior Court, Case No. CGC-21-589670 (“*Jordan* Class Action”), which was filed on February 19,
2 2021; the representative Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”) action entitled *Femi Jordan v. Larkin*
3 *Street Youth Services*, San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-21-589915 (“*Jordan* PAGA
4 Action”), which was filed on February 24, 2021; and the representative PAGA action entitled *Dejeiner*
5 *King v. Larkin Street Youth Services*, San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-21-592514
6 (“*King* Action”), which was filed on June 24, 2021, since prior to their commencements.

7 6. Before initiating the cases, Lawyers for Justice, PC investigated and researched the facts
8 and circumstances underlying the pertinent factual and legal issues and applicable law. This required
9 thorough discussions and interviews between attorneys at Lawyers for Justice, PC and Plaintiffs and
10 research into the various legal issues involved in the cases, namely, the current state of the law as it
11 applied to class certification, representative adjudication, and trial; the law relating to class certification,
12 off-the-clock theory, regular rates of pay, meal and rest periods, expense reimbursements, representative
13 PAGA claims and penalties, and wage-and-hour law and enforcement, Plaintiffs’ claims and allegations,
14 Defendants’ potential defenses thereto, as well as the evidence produced and analyzed, and the possibility
15 of appeals, among other things. After conducting an initial investigation, Lawyers for Justice, PC
16 determined that the claims of Plaintiffs were well-suited for class-wide and representative adjudication,
17 owing to what appeared to be a common course of conduct affecting a similarly situated group of current
18 and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees of Defendant in California, who were not properly
19 compensated for, *inter alia*, all hours worked, non-compliant meal and rest periods, and business
20 expenses.

21 7. Collectively, Class Counsel conducted significant formal and informal discovery and
22 investigation into the facts of the cases, to assess the veracity, strength, and scope of the claims, and were
23 preparing the cases for class certification and trial, prior to reaching the Settlement. The Parties exchanged
24 thousands of pages of information, documents, and data throughout the course of the litigation and in
25 connection with the mediation and settlement negotiations. The volume of records and documents that
26 Class Counsel reviewed and analyzed included, and were not limited to: a sampling of 25% of Class
27 Members’ time and payroll data, Plaintiffs’ time and payroll records, Defendant’s Employee Handbook,
28 job descriptions, agreements (including Dispute Resolution/Arbitration Agreement, On-Duty Meal Period

Agreement, and multiple iterations of Service Employees International Union Local 1021 Collective Bargaining Agreement with Larkin Street Youth Services), Defendant's operations and employment practice, policies, and procedures (including policies on Overtime and Double Time for Non-Exempt Employees, Meal and Rest Periods, Payroll Errors, Time Records, and procedure for use of cellular/wireless telephones), among other information and documents. Class Counsel had the opportunity to interview Plaintiffs and others to gather facts and to identify potential witnesses, and reviewed and analyzed a volume of information, data, and documents obtained from Plaintiffs, Defendant, and other sources. Class Counsel developed liability, damages, violation, and penalties valuation models in the course of litigation and in connection with the mediation and settlement negotiations, and met and conferred with Defendant's counsel on numerous occasions, e.g., to discuss issues relating to the pleadings and the production of documents and data in the course of litigation and in connection with mediation and settlement negotiations, and settlement. Other work performed by Class Counsel included, and was not limited to, case strategy and analysis; researching, drafting, reviewing, and/or revising the pleadings, motion-related papers, the mediation briefing and supporting materials, and settlement documents; drafting and propounding multiple sets of formal written discovery requests on Defendant; and preparing for and attending court proceedings, mediation, and settlement negotiations, among other tasks.

8. As outlined herein, the Parties have conducted significant investigation and formal and informal discovery during the course of litigating this matter, mediation, and extensive settlement negotiations. Class Counsel analyzed thousands of pages of information, documents, and data obtained from Plaintiffs, Defendant, and other sources that provided a critical understanding of the nature of the work performed by the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees, as well as Defendant's organizational structure and their operations and employment policies, practices, and procedures. The information, documents, and data were used in analyzing liability, damages, penalties, and other valuation issues in connection with all phases of the litigation, and ultimately, in connection with the mediation and settlement negotiations process. Class Counsel also performed significant research into the applicable law, which is evolving as it relates to class certification and/or representative adjudication; off-the-clock theory, regular rate, meal and rest periods, business expense reimbursements, PAGA representative

claims, and wage-and-hour enforcement, among other areas.

9. After conducting significant investigation of the facts and law during the prosecution of this matter, counsel for the Parties engaged in extensive settlement negotiations to try to resolve the cases. These efforts included participating in a mediation session conducted by the Hon. Raul A. Ramirez (ret.), a well-regarded mediator experienced in handling complex labor and employment matters. During all settlement discussions, the Parties conducted their negotiations at arm's length in an adversarial position. In the course of the mediation and settlement negotiations, the Parties exchanged documents and data, and discussed various aspects of the cases, including and not limited to, the risks and delays of further litigation, the risks and expenses to the Parties of proceeding with class certification and/or representative adjudication; the law relating to off-the-clock theory, regular rate, meal and rest periods, business expense reimbursements, PAGA representative claims, and wage-and-hour enforcement; the evidence produced and analyzed; and the possibility of appeals, among other things. Arriving at a settlement that was acceptable to the Parties was not easy. Defendant contended that individualized questions of fact predominate over any common issues, and these issues would pose challenges to class certification and representative adjudication. Defendant and its counsel felt strongly about Defendant's ability to avoid class certification and prevail on the merits, while Plaintiff and Class Counsel believed that they would be able to obtain class certification and prevail at trial. With the aid of the mediator's evaluation, the Parties ultimately agreed that this matter was well-suited for settlement given the legal issues relating to Plaintiffs' principal claims, as well as the costs and risks to the Parties that would attend further litigation. These risks of further litigation include, and are not limited to, a determination that the claims are unsuitable for class treatment and/or representative adjudication, class de-certification after certification of a class, allowing a jury to decide the claims asserted in this matter, and the real possibility of no monetary recovery after years of litigation.

10. By way of the accompanying Motion for Final Approval, Class Counsel seeks attorneys' fees in the amount of \$245,000.00, which is thirty-five percent (35%) of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$700,000.00. Pursuant to the contingency-fee agreements entered into by and between Plaintiffs and Class Counsel, Plaintiffs have agreed to a contingency fee of at least thirty-five percent (35%) of the recovery.

11. The attorneys' fees sought are commensurate with: (1) the risk that Class Counsel took in commencing the cases; (2) the time, effort, and expense that Class Counsel dedicated to the cases; (3) the skill and determination that Class Counsel has shown; (4) the results that Class Counsel has achieved throughout the litigation; (5) the value of the settlement that Class Counsel has achieved in this matter; and (6) the other cases that Class Counsel has turned down in order to devote their time and efforts to these cases. The nature of the work performed, skill exercised, and the outcome in this matter which involves an outstanding monetary recovery involving a Gross Settlement Amount of \$700,000.00 (with a Net Settlement Amount of approximately at least \$234,798.59 to be paid to Participating Class Members (with gross Individual Settlement Payments averaging approximately more than \$294.60)), payment of \$46,250.00 to Aggrieved Employees (with Individual PAGA Payment averaging approximately \$80.29) and payment of \$138,750.00 to the State of California))—support the percentage fee sought.

12. While not necessarily required to be demonstrated because a percentage fee is proper for this settlement, it should be noted that Class Counsel has incurred many hours of work in connection with prosecuting this matter such that the award of attorneys' fees is also justified under a lodestar analysis. Attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC have spent a total of **332.20 hours** performing tasks and obtaining recovery in this matter. Attached hereto as **"EXHIBIT 1"** is an Attorney Task and Time Chart that sets forth in detail the nature of the legal services provided by attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC and the time incurred by them in performing those services. These hours include work done by several attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. Also, separate from the hours referenced herein and in the chart, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC had litigation support personnel actively engaged in assisting with the prosecution of this matter.

13. The work performed in this particular matter, the background of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, as well as the individual backgrounds, training, and experience of the attorneys who worked on this matter, in litigating complex wage-and-hour actions, and in particular, employment class action and representative action cases, support a reasonable blended hourly rate of compensation of at least \$850 per hour for work performed by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has been awarded attorneys' fees, compensating the firm at the rate of at least \$850 per hour for legal services performed, by courts granting approval of settlements in other wage-and-hour class action and representative action

cases: final approval of the class and representative action settlement in *David Dugan v. TEC Equipment, Inc., et al.* (Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV01591) was granted on July 8, 2021, and the award of attorneys' fees involved compensation at the rate of \$936.47 per hour; final approval of the class and representative action settlement in *Larry Greenwood, et al. v. Scan Health Plan* (Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC715157) was granted on April 20, 2021, and the award of attorneys' fees involved compensation at the rate of \$919.57 per hour; final approval of the class and representative action settlement in *Seth Swan v. Pace Supply Corp.* (Sonoma County Superior Court Case No. SCV258764) was granted on February 6, 2019, and the award of attorneys' fees involved compensation at the rate of \$855.96 per hour.

EXPERIENCE AND ADEQUACY OF LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC

14. For over a decade, Lawyers for Justice, PC has almost exclusively focused on the prosecution of consumer and employment class actions, involving wage-and-hour claims, race discrimination, unfair business practices, or consumer fraud. Currently, Lawyers for Justice, PC is the attorney of record in well over a dozen employment-related putative class actions in both state and federal courts in the State of California. Lawyers for Justice, PC is comprised of attorneys who focus on litigating complex wage-and-hour class and Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") representative actions. The firm has successfully litigated cases involving nearly every aspect of California wage and hour law, including payment of overtime and minimum wages, provision of meal and rest breaks and/or premiums wages for same, timely payment of wages, provision of compliant wage statements, reimbursement of business expenses, executive, administrative, and other overtime exemptions to the State of California and federal overtime compensation requirements, PAGA claims, and sister statutes under federal law. During a relatively short time, in association with other law firms, Lawyers for Justice, PC has recovered millions of dollars on behalf of thousands of individuals in California.

15. Edwin Aiwarzian is a Managing Member and Shareholder of Lawyers for Justice, PC. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Pepperdine University in April of 1999 and earned a Juris Doctor degree from Pepperdine University School of Law in May of 2004. He has extensive formal training in dispute resolution and negotiation from the Straus Institute for Dispute Resolution as part of its Masters in Dispute Resolution degree program. In addition, he has previously served as a pro bono

mediator for the Los Angeles County Superior Court. In October of 2000, he obtained a Litigation Paralegal Certificate from the UCLA Extension Program. During the summer of 2000, he studied Legal Writing at Harvard University. From approximately September 2002 to approximately December 2002, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Kim McLane Wardlaw of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. From approximately June 2002 to approximately August 2002, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Earl Johnson, Jr. of the California Court of Appeal for the Second Appellate District. In December of 2004, he obtained a license to practice law from the California State Bar. Since in or around October of 2008, through his work at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, he has almost exclusively focused on the prosecution of consumer and employment class actions. While employed by Lawyers *for* Justice PC, he has argued over one hundred (100) motions, taken or defended over one hundred fifty (150) depositions, prepared dozens of expert witnesses for deposition or trial, and attended over one hundred seventy-five (175) mediations. Together with other attorneys at the firm, and in some cases in conjunction with co-counsel, he has successfully litigated cases involving the executive, administrative, and other overtime exemptions to the State of California and federal overtime compensation requirements. Under his supervision, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has successfully obtained class certification by contested motion practice in approximately sixteen (16) cases in the last decade, and litigated over 1,000 class action or representative action cases.

16. Arby Aiwarzian is a Member and Shareholder of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from University of California, Los Angeles and graduated magna cum laude. He earned a Juris Doctor degree, cum laude, from Southwestern Law School. From approximately May 2007 to approximately July 2007, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Earl Johnson, Jr. of the California Court of Appeal for the Second Appellate District. From approximately August 2008 to approximately December 2008, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Kim McLane Wardlaw of the United State Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. He was admitted to practice law in California in 2010. He is admitted to practice before all courts of the State of California and all United States District Courts in the State of California. He has worked on many wage-and-hour class action and representative action cases, taking the lead in taking and defending depositions, arguing motions, and attending mediations. He has played an integral role in preparing matters for class certification, including and not

limited to, successful certification of a class in *Upson v. Sur La Table* (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC424012), *Montazemi v. Regus Management* (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC478769), and *Abdulhaqq v. Urban Outfitters* (Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG13680477). Under his supervision, dozens of class action or representative action cases have resulted in settlements that have been granted court approval. He has handled over one hundred and fifty (150) mediations and worked on over two hundred and fifty (250) class action or representative action cases which have resulted in settlement.

17. Joanna Ghosh is a Managing Member of Lawyers for Justice, PC. She received a Bachelor of Arts degree from California State University, Los Angeles in 2006, a Master of Science degree from the London School of Economics in 2007, and a Juris Doctor degree from Georgetown University Law Center in 2010. She is admitted to practice in California (since 2010) and in New York (since 2013) and she is also admitted to practice in all U.S. District Courts in California, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, and the U.S. Supreme Court. She has taken and defended dozens of depositions and successfully handled motion practice in class action cases regarding discovery (including and not limited to, regarding class contact information), class certification (both contested class certification and stipulated class certification), arbitration agreements, coordination, and intervention. She has successfully handled briefing and oral arguments on appeal and obtained notable decisions regarding Private Attorneys General Act claims and employer efforts to compel arbitration of such claims, e.g., *Roberto Betancourt v. Prudential Overall Supply* (Cal. Ct. App., Mar. 7, 2017) 9 Cal.App.5th 439, cert. denied (Cal., May 24, 2017), cert. denied (U.S., Dec. 11, 2017) and *ZB, N.A. v. Superior Court* (2019) 8 Cal.5th 175. She has significant experience with class actions, including and not limited to working on cases to obtain class certification through contested motion practice and working on cases in the post-certification stage (e.g., post-certification discovery, appeal, statistical sampling and pilot study, and trial preparation in conjunction with co-counsel in a case involving a certified class consisting of approximately 2,600 individuals). She has extensive experience with class action and/or representative action settlements, and has handled this process in over five hundred (500) cases. Under her, Edwin Aiwazian, and Arby Aiwazian's supervision, Lawyers for Justice, PC has handled the class certification and court approval process for hundreds of class action and/or representative action matters that have

1 successfully resolved. Joanna Ghosh is a member of the California Employment Lawyers Association
2 and, on several occasions, has been a speaker regarding topics in wage and hour law at the organization's
3 continuing legal education events.

4 18. I, Helene Mayer, am a Member of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. I received my Bachelor of
5 Arts degree from University of San Diego in 2017, and I earned my Juris Doctor degree from University
6 of San Diego School of Law in 2020. I was admitted to practice law in California in 2021. I am admitted
7 to practice before all courts of the State of California and all U.S. Federal District Courts in the State of
8 California. I have worked on wage-and-hour class action and PAGA representative cases, and my work
9 has included, *inter alia*, researching and drafting pleadings, administrative notice exhaustion, drafting,
10 negotiating, and finalizing stipulations and settlement agreements, and making court appearances. Prior to
11 working at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, I worked at law firms focused primarily on family law matters,
12 including, *inter alia*, representing clients in divorce, parentage, child custody, and domestic violence
13 restraining order proceedings, researching, and drafting pleadings, negotiating and finalizing marital
14 settlement agreements, engaging in motion practice, and making court appearances.

15 **LITIGATION COSTS AND EXPENSES INCURRED BY**
16 **LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC**

17 19. To date, Class Counsel has borne all of the risks and costs of litigation and will not
18 receive any compensation until recovery is obtained in this matter. The Settlement provides for
19 reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses of up to \$35,000.00. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC seeks
20 reimbursement of **\$12,701.41** in litigation costs and expenses incurred in the matter, as reflected in
21 **"EXHIBIT 2"** attached hereto. These expenses were reasonable and necessary in the prosecution of the
22 matter and to obtain the Settlement. The cost savings of \$22,298.59 will be part of the Net Settlement
23 Amount, which will be distributed to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement.

24 **CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT AWARDS TO PLAINTIFFS**


25 20. In recognition of their efforts and work spearheading the prosecution of this matter and
26 serving as the Class Representatives, as well as their broader individual waiver and release of claims with
27 a California Civil Code Section 1542 waiver (set forth in Paragraph 8.2 of the Settlement Agreement), the
28 Settlement provides for Class Representative Enhancement Awards, in the amount of up to \$7,500.00

each to Plaintiff Jordan and Plaintiff King, \$15,000.00 total. Plaintiffs spent a substantial amount of time and effort discussing their employment with Class Counsel, gathering and providing relevant documents and information, and providing facts and evidence to support the prosecution of the claims. Plaintiffs were available whenever Class Counsel needed them and actively tried to obtain and provide information that would help obtain a recovery in this matter. Accordingly, it is appropriate for each Plaintiff to receive \$7,500.00 as a reasonable Class Representative Enhancement Award for their broader waiver and release of claims and services performed in this matter, in addition to their individual settlement payments.

21. I submit that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and is in the best interests of Plaintiffs, the Class, Aggrieved Employees, and the State of California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 3rd day of February, 2026, at Mooresville, North Carolina.



Helene Mayer

EXHIBIT 1

FEMI JORDAN V. LARKIN STREET YOUTH SERVICES
San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC21589670 (“Jordan Class Action”)
San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC21589915 (“Jordan PAGA Action”)

DEJEINER KING V. LARKIN STREET YOUTH SERVICES
San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC21592514 (“King Action”)

ATTORNEY TASK AND TIME CHART

TASK	LAWYERS <i>for</i> JUSTICE, PC
Investigation and Research / Due Diligence	
Meet, Communicate, and/or Review Communication with Plaintiff Femi Jordan (“Plaintiff Jordan”)	16.10
Meet, Communicate, and/or Review Communication with Plaintiff Dejeiner King (“Plaintiff King”)	12.80
Investigate, Communicate with, Interview, and/or Review Communication with Putative Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, and/or Percipient Witnesses	7.50
Pleadings and Court Filings	
Investigate the Merits of Plaintiff Jordan’s Class Action Claims, Conduct Legal Research and Analysis Regarding the Claims, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan’s Class Action Complaint for Damages (filed on February 19, 2021) (Jordan Class Action)	7.50
Review Court’s Notice to Plaintiff (filed on February 19, 2021) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Investigate the Merits of Plaintiff Jordan’s Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”) Claim, Conduct Legal Research and Analysis Regarding PAGA Claim, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan’s Complaint for Enforcement Under the Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code § 2698, Et Seq. (filed on February 24, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	7.30

Review Court's Notice to Plaintiff Re: Case Management Conference (served on February 24, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review and Analyze Defendant Larkin Street Youth Services' ("Defendant") Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, and Research Affirmative Defenses in Defendant's Answer (served on April 21, 2021) (Jordan Class Action)	1.30
Review and Analyze Defendant's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, and Research Affirmative Defenses in Defendant's Answer (served on April 21, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	1.20
Investigate the Merits of Plaintiff King's Class Action and PAGA Claims, Conduct Legal Research and Analysis Regarding Class and PAGA Claims, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff King's Class Action Complaint for Damages & Enforcement Under the Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code § 2698, Et Seq. (filed on June 24, 2021) (King Action)	8.10
Review Court's Notice to Plaintiff Re: Case Management Conference (filed on June 24, 2021) (King Action)	0.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan's Case Management Statement (filed on June 25, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.50
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan's Case Management Statement (filed on July 6, 2021) (Jordan Class Action)	0.50
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan's Case Management Statement (filed on July 6, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.50
Review Defendant's Case Management Statement (served on July 7, 2021) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Defendant's Case Management Statement (served on July 7, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan's Notice of Posting Jury Fees (filed on July 9, 2021) (Jordan Class Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan's Notice of Posting Jury Fees (filed on July 9, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.10

Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Notice of Time and Place of Trial and Trial Order (filed on July 9, 2021) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Notice of Time and Place of Trial and Trial Order (filed on July 15, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Defendant's Objection to the Trial Setting Order (served on July 27, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Order Rescheduling Hearing (filed on July 28, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Notice of Time and Place of Trial and Trial Order (served on August 4, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order Denying Complex Designation for Failure to File Application Requesting Designation (filed on September 21, 2021) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Order Continuing Case Management Conference (filed on November 4, 2021) (King Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Case Management Conference Dates (submitted on November 9, 2021) (King Action)	1.50
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan's Notice of Posting Jury Fees (filed on November 22, 2021) (Jordan Class Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan's Notice of Posting Jury Fees (filed on November 22, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Case Management Conference; [Proposed] Order (submitted on January 11, 2022) (King Action)	1.30
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Order Continuing Case Management Conference (filed on January 13, 2022) (King Action)	0.10

Review Court's Order Denying Complex Designation for Failure to File Application Requesting Designation (served on February 17, 2022) (King Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order Setting Mandatory Settlement Conference (filed on May 9, 2022) (Jordan Class Action)	0.30
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Settlement; Joint Stipulation to Vacate Trial-Related Deadlines (filed on May 31, 2022) (King Action)	1.10
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Order Continuing Case Management Conference (filed on June 7, 2022) (King Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of PAGA Action Settlement; Joint Stipulation to Vacate Trial-Related Deadlines; [Proposed] Order (submitted on June 13, 2022) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.70
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Class Action Settlement; Joint Stipulation to Vacate Trial-Related Deadlines; [Proposed] Order (submitted on June 13, 2022) (Jordan Class Action)	0.70
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on June 20, 2022) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on July 18, 2022) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on October 20, 2022) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on October 31, 2022) (Jordan Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Order Continuing Case Management Conference (filed on November 10, 2022) (King Action)	0.10

Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on January 12, 2023) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on February 6, 2023) (King Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on February 22, 2023) (Jordan PAGA Action)	1.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on March 8, 2023) (Jordan Class Action)	1.10
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on March 8, 2023) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on March 21, 2023) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on April 12, 2023) (King Action)	0.90
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on April 26, 2023) (King Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on June 28, 2023) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.90
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on July 5, 2023) (Jordan Class Action)	0.90

Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on July 14, 2023) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on July 20, 2023) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on September 25, 2023) (King Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (submitted on November 1, 2023) (Jordan PAGA Action)	1.10
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on November 14, 2023) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (submitted on November 8, 2023) (Jordan Class Action)	1.10
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on November 20, 2023) (Jordan Class Action)	1.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on November 20, 2023) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on November 29, 2023) (King Action)	0.90
Review Court's Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on November 30, 2023) (King Action)	0.20

Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on February 7, 2024) (Jordan Class Action)	0.90
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on February 13, 2024) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.90
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Order to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on February 14, 2024) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on February 14, 2024) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on February 27, 2024) (King Action)	0.90
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on February 28, 2024) (King Action)	0.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff King's Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal and Request for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Defendant's Failure to Cooperate in Finalizing Settlement (filed on May 29, 2024) (King Action)	1.10
Review Defendant's Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal and Request for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Defendant's Alleged Failure to Cooperate in Finalizing Settlement (served on May 29, 2024) (King Action)	0.30
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on May 31, 2024) (King Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on June 5, 2024) (Jordan Class Action)	1.40

Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on June 13, 2024) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.90
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to and Stipulation to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal; [Proposed] Order (submitted on June 13, 2024) (King Action)	0.80
Review Court's Order to Continue Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on June 11, 2024) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on June 12, 2024) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on June 14, 2024) (King Action & Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on September 10, 2024) (Jordan Class Action)	0.90
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on September 10, 2024) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.70
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on September 10, 2024) (King Action)	0.50
Review Court's Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on September 13, 2024) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on September 13, 2024) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Court's Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on September 13, 2024) (King Action)	0.20

Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on December 4, 2024) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.70
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on December 4, 2024) (King Action)	0.70
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on December 5, 2024) (Jordan Class Action)	0.70
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on December 6, 2024) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on December 6, 2024) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on December 6, 2024) (King Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on March 10, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.50
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on March 10, 2025) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.50
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Response to Order to Show Cause Regarding Dismissal (filed on March 10, 2025) (King Action)	0.50
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on March 13, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on March 13, 2025) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed on March 13, 2025) (King Action)	0.20

Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan's Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order (filed on March 19, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Change of Address or Other Contact Information (filed on March 19, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Change of Address or Other Contact Information (filed on March 19, 2025) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Change of Address or Other Contact Information (filed on March 19, 2025) (King Action)	0.10
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed October 8, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed October 8, 2025) (Jordan PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Court's Case Management Order Re: Continued Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (filed October 8, 2025) (King Action)	0.20
Appearances	
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Objection Hearing (August 4, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	1.10
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Trial – Master Calendar Court Hearing (June 20, 2022) (Jordan Class Action)	1.10
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Trial – Master Calendar Court Hearing (July 18, 2022) (Jordan PAGA Action)	1.30
Discovery and Deposition	
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Letter to Defendant Re: Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff Jordan (served on August 14, 2020)	1.90

Review and Analyze Documents Produced by Defendant in Response to the Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff Jordan (served on September 14, 2020)	1.10
Review and Analyze Documents Produced by Defendant in Response to the Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff Jordan (served on September 15, 2020)	2.80
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Letter to Defendant Re: Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff King (served on September 24, 2020)	1.90
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Follow-Up Letter to Defendant Re: Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff King (served on December 16, 2020)	1.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Second Follow-Up Letter to Defendant Re: Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff King (served on February 4, 2021)	1.10
Review and Analyze Documents Produced by Defendant in Response to the Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff King (served on March 8, 2021)	2.50
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff's Jordan's Notice of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant Larkin Street Youth Services and Requests for Production of Documents (Organizational Structure) (drafted May 5, 2021; not served) (Jordan PAGA Action)]	1.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff's Jordan's Notice of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant Larkin Street Youth Services and Requests for Production of Documents (Wage and Hour Practices) (drafted May 5, 2021; not served) (Jordan PAGA Action)]	1.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jordan's Form Interrogatories – General (Set One), Special Interrogatories (Sets One and Two), and Requests for Production of Documents (Set One) to Defendant (served on May 6, 2021) (Jordan PAGA Action)	4.50
Letters and Correspondence	
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice to California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Re: Claim of Plaintiff Jordan under the Private Attorneys General Act (served on December 10, 2020)	4.50

Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice to California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Re: Claim of Plaintiff King under the Private Attorneys General Act (served on April 7, 2021)	4.50
Meet with, Draft Correspondence to, Respond to Correspondence from, and/or Review Correspondence with Defendant's Counsel	23.50
Mediation/Settlement	
Prepare for and Attend Pre-Mediation Telephonic Conference with Mediator (December, 17 2021)	2.50
Review and Analyze Information, Data, and Documents Obtained from Plaintiffs, Defendant, and Other Sources Prior to Canceled January 26, 2022 Mediation	12.50
Review and Analyze Information, Data, and Documents Obtained from Plaintiffs, Defendant, and Other Sources Prior to Rescheduled April 25, 2022 Mediation	34.50
Prepare for Mediation, Including Researching Settlement-Related and Merits-Related Issues, Drafting the Mediation Brief and Compiling the Exhibits in Support of Mediation Brief, and Performing Damages and Penalties Analyses and Calculations (submitted on April 19, 2022)	31.50
Attend Mediation Session (April 25, 2022; Video Conference)	12.50
Investigate Defendant's Business and Financial Circumstances (Including and Not Limited to Non-Profit Status)	4.50
Draft, Review, Revise, and/or Negotiate Confidential Memorandum of Understanding (finalized July 2022)	7.50
Engage in Additional Discussions with Mediator (November 2022; May 2024)	5.10
Draft, Review, Revise, Negotiate, and Finalize Class and PAGA Action Settlement and Release Agreement (executed on January 10, 2025), and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Class Action Settlement	16.50
Coordinate Settlement Administration with Settlement Administrator, Review Weekly Reports, and Conduct Ongoing Monitoring of Settlement Administration	6.50

Law and Motion	
Research, Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Settlement and Release; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, Declaration of Helene Mayer in Support Thereof, Declaration of Plaintiff Femi Jordan in Support Thereof, Declaration of Plaintiff Dejeiner King in Support Thereof, and [Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (filed on January 10, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	18.50
Review and Analyze Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement and Release (published on February 6, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review, and/or Revise Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Settlement and Release; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, Declaration of Helene Mayer in Support Thereof, Declaration of Plaintiff Femi Jordan in Support Thereof, Declaration of Plaintiff Dejeiner King in Support Thereof, and [Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (filed on February 7, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.50
Review and Analyze Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement and Release (published on March 4, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement and Release (filed on March 5, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue the Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval and Related Deadlines; [Proposed] Order (submitted on August 12, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	2.10
Review Court's Order to Continue the Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval and Related Deadlines (filed on August 21, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiffs' Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order (filed on August 27, 2025) (Jordan Class Action)	0.10

Review Declaration of Michael Bui Regarding Notice and Settlement Administration, and Research, Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Class Representative Enhancement Awards; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, Declaration of Helene Mayer in Support Thereof, Declaration of Plaintiff Femi Jordan in Support Thereof, Declaration of Plaintiff Dejeiner King in Support Thereof, and [Proposed] Final Approval Order and Judgment (contemplated to be filed on February 3, 2026)	20.50
	332.20

EXHIBIT 2

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
Jordan vs. Larkin Street Youth Services (Class)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Payee</u>	<u>Expense Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2/19/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$200.75
2/19/2021	San Francisco Superior Court	Complex Filing Fee	\$1,000.00
2/19/2021	San Francisco Superior Court	Complaint Filing Fee	\$435.00
2/24/2021	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$14.00
3/29/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$150.00
6/23/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$11.00
7/6/2021	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$40.60
7/9/2021	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$190.30
12/3/2021	ADR Services, Inc.	Mediation Fee	\$3,550.00
12/10/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$18.13
12/10/2021	San Francisco Superior Court	Jury Fee	\$150.00
2/25/2022	ADR Services, Inc.	Supplemental Mediation Fee	\$300.00
4/20/2022	FedEx Express	Courier Service	\$67.69
5/3/2022	ADR Services, Inc.	Supplemental Mediation Fee	\$825.00
6/17/2022	CourtCall, LLC	Attorney Service	\$94.00
3/9/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$130.00
3/17/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.10
3/17/2023	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
11/9/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.34
11/9/2023	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
11/14/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$125.00
2/7/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.34
2/7/2024	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
2/8/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$126.39
2/8/2024	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
9/10/2024	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$24.75
12/5/2024	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$33.95
1/10/2025	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$21.05
1/10/2025	San Francisco Superior Court	Motion Fee	\$60.00
2/7/2025	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$64.37
2/7/2025	San Francisco Superior Court	Motion Fee	\$60.00
3/10/2025	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$21.05
3/19/2025	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$21.05
8/12/2025	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$51.89
8/12/2025	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
8/27/2025	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$52.25
Total:			\$7,978.00

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
Jordan vs. Larkin Street Youth Services (PAGA)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Payee</u>	<u>Expense Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12/10/2020	U.S. Postmaster	Postage	\$7.50
12/10/2020	Labor & Workforce Development Agency	PAGA Filing Fee	\$75.00
3/5/2021	San Francisco Superior Court	Complaint Filing Fee	\$450.00
3/22/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$150.00
3/26/2021	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$32.40
5/6/2021	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	\$26.73
6/25/2021	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$40.60
7/6/2021	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$40.60
7/9/2021	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$190.30
8/3/2021	CourtCall, LLC	Attorney Service	\$94.00
12/10/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$18.13
12/10/2021	San Francisco Superior Court	Jury Fee	\$150.00
7/15/2022	CourtCall, LLC	Attorney Service	\$94.00
3/8/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.10
3/8/2023	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
6/28/2023	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$9.20
6/29/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$125.00
7/6/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.95
7/6/2023	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
11/14/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$138.34
11/14/2023	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
2/27/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.34
2/27/2024	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
9/10/2024	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$21.05
12/4/2024	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$21.05
3/19/2025	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$42.10
Total:			<u>\$1,846.39</u>

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
King vs. Larkin Street Youth Services (Class PAGA)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Payee</u>	<u>Expense Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
9/25/2020	U.S. Postmaster	Postage	\$6.95
12/16/2020	U.S. Postmaster	Postage	\$6.95
2/4/2021	U.S. Postmaster	Postage	\$7.20
4/7/2021	U.S. Postmaster	Postage	\$7.00
4/7/2021	Labor & Workforce Development Agency	PAGA Filing Fee	\$75.00
6/15/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$260.75
6/15/2021	San Francisco Superior Court	Complex Filing Fee	\$1,000.00
6/15/2021	San Francisco Superior Court	Complaint Filing Fee	\$450.00
7/7/2021	ProLegal	Attorney Service	\$212.75
9/8/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$11.00
11/11/2021	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$32.20
1/12/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$115.00
1/14/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$11.95
1/14/2022	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
1/28/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$11.00
4/13/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$123.34
4/13/2023	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
11/29/2023	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$39.80
11/30/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$118.34
11/30/2023	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
2/28/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.34
2/28/2024	San Francisco Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
5/29/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$11.95
9/10/2024	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$21.05
12/4/2024	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$21.05
2/7/2025	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$198.30
3/10/2025	File & ServeXpress	Attorney Service	\$42.10
Total:			<u>\$2,877.02</u>