

1 Benjamin H. Haber (SBN 315664)
benjamin.haber@wilshirelawfirm.com
2 Arrash T. Fattahi (SBN 333676)
arrashfattahi@wilshirelawfirm.com
3 Daniel J. Kramer (SBN 314625)
daniel.kramer@wilshirelawfirm.com
4 Alan Wilcox (SBN 287476)
alan.wilcox@wilshirelawfirm.com
5 **WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC**
6 660 S. Figueroa Street, Sky Lobby
Los Angeles, CA 90017
7 Telephone: (213) 381-9988
8 Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Nicolas Lucero*
10 *[Additional Counsel listed on the following page]*

11 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**
13

14 NICOLAS LUCERO, individually, on behalf of
15 all others similarly situated,

16 *Plaintiff,*

17 v.

18 NISSHO OF CALIFORNIA, INC., a limited
19 corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

20 *Defendants.*
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 37-2022-00012006-CU-OE-CTL
Consolidated with Case No. 37-2022-
00012006-CU-OE-CTL

**DECLARATION OF LANCE DACRE IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA
SETTLEMENT**

1 James R. Hawkins (SBN 192925)

2 james@jameshawkinsaplc.com

3 Isandra Fernandez (SBN 220482)

4 isandra@jameshawkinsaplc.com

5 Lance Dacre (SBN 190305)

6 lance@jameshawkinsaplc.com

7 **JAMES HAWKINS, APLC**

8 9880 Research Drive, Suite 200

9 Irvine, California 92618

10 Telephone: (949) 3 87-7200

11 Facsimile: (949) 387-6676

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Julian Mendoza Padilla*

DECLARATION OF LANCE DACRE.

I, Lance Dacre, declare as follows:

1. I am one of the attorneys of record for Plaintiff Julian Mendoza Padilla (“Plaintiff Padilla”), in the above captioned matter. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below, and if called to testify regarding them, I could and would do so competently.

2. On March 30, 2022, Plaintiff Padilla filed a putative wage and hour class action complaint against Defendant for: (1) failure to pay all lawful wages owed; (2) failure to provide compliant meal breaks; (3) failure to authorize and permit rest periods; (4) failure to reimburse expenses; (5) failure to timely pay wages during and at the time of termination of employment; (6) failure to provide accurate, itemized wage statements; and (7) violation of California’s Unfair Competition Law (“Padilla Action”).

3. On March 30, 2022, Plaintiff Padilla provided notice to the LWDA and Defendant regarding his intention to file a claim under PAGA. On June 21, 2022, Plaintiff Padilla filed a First Amended Complaint adding a claim for civil penalties under PAGA. (See Exhibit 1, “LWDA Notice” attached hereto and included by reference).

4. On July 13, 2022, the Court consolidated the Lucero Action and the Padilla Action, with the Lucero Action as the lead case (the “Action” or “Operative Complaint”

5. Through the exchange of formal and informal discovery, Defendant produced documentation, including, but not limited to, employment records, policies, time and wage records, employment and operations practices, and procedures documents, as well as a sample of time and pay data for class members during the class period, and other detailed information relevant to the claims in the Action. The Parties’ attorneys also engaged in extensive discussions about the strengths and weaknesses of the claims and defenses in the Action. Based on this information, counsel was able to assess the strengths and weaknesses of the case.

6. The Parties participated in three, all-day, private mediation sessions with experienced wage and hour class action mediators. The first two mediations were with Steven H. Kruis, Esq. and took place on December 19, 2022, and July 12, 2023. The Parties were unable to reach a resolution. The

1 Parties third mediation was with the Hon. Amy D. Hogue (Ret.) on March 18, 2024. Subsequently the
2 Parties accepted the mediator's proposal and successfully agreed to a settlement on March 5, 2025.

3 7. In analyzing the settlement, the Parties took into consideration the potential liability in
4 this matter based on documents and information produced by Defendant in discovery and in preparation
5 for mediation, analysis of timekeeping records and payroll data, and the nature of the claims and
6 Defendant's defenses thereto. The Parties also considered the significant risks that class certification
7 could be denied on the central claim and/or that a merits decision on the central claim would not favor
8 the Class. The Parties also considered the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to
9 continue the Action against Defendant through trial and any possible appeals. The Parties are aware of
10 the burdens of proof necessary to establish liability for the claims asserted in the Action, Defendant's
11 defenses thereto, and the difficulties in establishing the alleged damages.

12 8. The proposed Settlement requires Defendant to pay each Class Member who does not opt
13 out a portion of the Net Settlement Amount as specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The
14 amount to be paid to the Class is undoubtedly fair, adequate, and reasonable especially in light of the
15 significant risk to Plaintiffs and Class Members in continuing with the litigation and not prevailing at
16 trial, and thus leaving employees with no recovery.

17 9. James Hawkins APLC has a great deal of experience in wage and hour class action
18 litigation. The law firm and its lawyers have obtained certification of multiple classes, have been
19 approved as class counsel in many other wage/hour class actions, and currently litigating numerous
20 others. Although not an all inclusive list, over the years the law firm has prosecuted the following
21 class action matters as lead and/or co-lead counsel, all of which implicated similar law and facts to
22 those associated with this action:

- 23 ○ *Mojica v. Compass Group, Inc., et. al.* USDC Central District, Case No. 8:13-cv-01754.
- 24 ○ *Dao v. 3M Company, et al.* USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. CV-08-04554.
- 25 ○ *Ortiz v. Kmart*, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. SACV 06-638 ODW.
- 26 ○ *Morgan v. Aramark Campus, LLC*, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. SACV08-
27 00412.

- ***West v Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc, et. al.***; Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC393709.
- ***Gonzalez v. Superior Industries International, Inc., et al.***, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 357912.
- ***Acosta v. Fleetwood Travel Trailers of California, Inc., et al.***, Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. RIC 440630.
- ***Walker v. Sharkeez, et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 05CC00293.
- ***Padron v. Universal Protection Service, et al***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 05CC00013.
- ***Martinez v. Securitas Security Services USA, et al.***, Santa Clara Superior Court, Case No. 105-CV047499, et al. J.C.C.P. No. 4460.
- ***Velasquez-Lopez v. Hotel Cleaning Services, Inc. et al.***, Riverside Superior Court, Case No. RIC 420909.
- ***Ruiz, et al. v. Unisourse Worldwide, Inc., et al.***, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. CV09-05848.
- ***Herrador v. Culligan International Company, et al.***, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. SACV 08-680.
- ***Defries v. Domain Restaurants, et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 05CC00128.
- ***Denton v. BLB Enterprises, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 07CC01292.
- ***Rios v. Sandberg Furniture Manufacturing Co., Inc, et al.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC411477.
- ***McMurray v. Dave and Busters, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 06CC00099.
- ***Osuna v. DFG Restaurants, Inc., et al.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC 330145.

- ***Burns v. Gymboree Operations, Inc., et al.***, San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-07-461612.
- ***Willems v. Diedrich Coffee, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 07CC00015.
- ***Davila, et al. v. Beckman Coulter, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 07CC01347.
- ***Perez v. Naked Juice Company of Glendora, Inc.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC387088.
- ***Coordination Proceeding Special Title [Rule 1550(b)] Wackenhut Wage and Hour Cases***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. JCCP 4545.
- ***Placencia v. Amcor Packaging Distribution, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00694012-CU-OE-CXC.
- ***Trani v. Lisi Aerospace, et al.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC495527.
- ***Galvan v. Goodwin Co.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00637062-CU-OE-CXC.
- ***Reyes v. Bristol Fiberlite***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00653425-CU-OE-CXC.
- ***Gutierrez v. HMT Tank, USDC Central Dist.***, Case No. CV14-1967-CAS(MAN)
- ***Williams v. Il Fornaio America Corp.***, Sacramento County, Case No. 34-2011-0009616.
- ***Aguilar v. 7-Eleven, Inc.***, Orange County, Case No. 30-2009-002687141-CU-OE-CXC.
- ***Madrigal v. Huntington Beach Market Broiler, Inc.***, Orange County, Case No. 30-2012-00611260.
- ***Vang v. Jazz Semiconductor, Inc.***, Orange County, Case no. 30-2011-00460278.
- ***Vigueras v. Red Robin International, Inc.***, USDC Central Dist. Case No. *SACV 17-1422 JVS (DFMx)*;
- ***Smith v. Space Exploration Technologies Corp.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC55429;
- ***Cano v. Financial Statement Services, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-

2013-00653349-CU-OE-CXC;

- *Mendez v. Liberty Glass Fabricators, Inc.*, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RIC1800119;
- *Attia v. Neiman Marcus Group, Inc.*, United States District Court for the Central District of California Case No. 8:16-cv-001504-DOC-FFM;
- *O'Brien, et al. v. Cerida Investment Corp.*, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 21CV384527;
- *Gonzalez v. Quality Aluminum Forge, LLC*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2015-00817941;
- *Shay v. Apple, Inc.*, United States District Court for the Southern District of California Case No. 3:20-cv-1629-JO-BLM;
- *Milligan v. CWI, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS 2013999;
- *Aguilar v. LDI Mechanical, Inc.*, Riverside County Case No. RIC1610019;
- *Abron v. University Healthcare Alliance*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG20066438;
- *Benson v. F21 Opco, LLC*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021,00024619-CU-OE-CTL;
- *Allen v. Creative Stone Mfg., Inc.*, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2201498;
- *Santamaria v. Bright Horizons Children's Center*, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2210140;
- *Yniguez v. Airgas USA, LLC*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20STCV18190;
- *Cervantez v. Genuine Parts Company*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 22STCV00981;
- *Robles v. Jetro Holdings, LLC*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021-00015414-CU-OE-CTL;

- ***Hernandez v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.***, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 20CV3770922;
- ***Ayala v. Veg-Land, et al.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2014-00738775-CU-OE-CXC;
- ***Puente v. Sully-Miller Contracting Co.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2015-00792088-CU-OE-CXC;
- ***Garcia v. Revolution Foods, Inc.***, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC579142;
- ***Attaway, et al. v. Alliance Residential, LLC***, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 20CV365134;
- ***Bowman v. Delta Dental of California***, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG21108230;
- ***Hernandez v. Burrtec Waste & Recycling Services, LLC***, United States District Court for the Central District of California Case No. 5:21-CV-001490-JWH-SP;
- ***Grant v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.***, United States District Court for the Central District of California Case No. 2:21-CV-02268;
- ***Dawson v. Wonderful Pistachios and Almonds, LLC***, Kern County Superior Court Case No. BCV-17-100848;
- ***Hamilton, et al. v. Michael Kors Stores (California), Inc.***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case NO. 19STCV37061;
- ***Ross v. Stater Bros.***, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1902518;
- ***Walker v. Barrett Business Services, Inc., et al.***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20STCV39172;
- ***Benitez, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2019-01069185-CU-OE-CXC;
- ***Montgomery v. Copart, Inc.***, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2020-00280733-CU-OE-GDS;
- ***Vizcarra-McNamee v. Nordstrom, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2020-01141916-CU-OE-CXC;

- *Ayers v. Cherry Hill Programs, Inc.*, Fresno County Superior Court Case No. 20CECG00821;
- *Sleeper v. Boot Barn, Inc.*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2019-00272000-CU-OE-GDS;
- *Pulido-Dias v. Dirt Cheap, Inc.*, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1719694;
- *Villanueva v. Nordstrom, Inc.*, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1918706;
- *Bryson v. Madame Tussauds, et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 19STCV33514
- *Hightower v. Levy Premium, et al.*, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 19CV359262;
- *Marquez v. Maxim Crane Works, L.P., et al*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 19STCV39548;
- *Rovira v. Silverado Senior Living Management, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2020-01136565-CU-OE-CXC;
- *Hallum, et al. v. FCA US, LLC*, San Bernardino County Case No. CIVDS1936782;
- *Martinez v. Placer Title Co., et al.*, Kern County Case No. BCV-19-103486;
- *Torres v. Van Law Food Products, Inc.*, Orange County Case No. 37-2017-00946312-CU-OE-CXC;
- *Holmes v. Pet Food Express, LLC*, Alameda County Case No. 22CV014140;
- *Ventura v. Conduent Commercial Solutions, LLC*, Kern County Case No. BCV-22-102043;
- *Ramirez v. CSI Electrical Contractors, Inc.*, Fresno County Superior Court Case No. 18CECG04150;
- *Magana v. Eibach Springs, Inc.*, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RIC1708917;
- *Knox v. Express Messenger Systems, Inc.*, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1932323;

- *Corona, et al. v. G&M Oil Company, Inc.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC640876
- *Aguilar v. Peach Home Services, Inc., et al.*, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RIC1823057;
- *Wilson v. M.C. LLC*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2019-01103382-CU-OE-CXC.
- *Nunez v. Nevell Group, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2015-00783269.
- *Bendorf, et al. v. Sea World LLC, et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021-00034922-CU-OE-CTL;
- *DeMartini, et al. v. Safelite Fulfillment, Inc.*, Marin County Case No. CIV20002076;
- *Ayala, et al., v. Macy's West Stores, Inc.*, Los Angeles County Case No. 20STCV34182;
- *Wallen, et al. v. United Rentals (North America), Inc., et al.*, Stanislaus County Case No. CV-22-000399;
- *Granados v. Hyatt Corporation*, United States District Court for the Southern District of California Case No. 3:23-cv-01001-H-VET;
- *Vigil v. Hyatt Corporation*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California Case No. 4:22-cv-00693;
- *Garcia v. U.S. Best Ingredients, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21TRCV00813.

10. Mr. Hawkins has been practicing law in California since 1997 and in 2007 incorporated his wage and hour class action practice as James Hawkins APCL. Since its inception, Mr. Hawkins has been lead and/or co-lead counsel in hundreds of wage and hour class action cases.

11. Ms. Fernandez has been a member of the Florida Bar since January 1996 and a member of the California Bar since August 2002. Ms. Fernandez has specialized in employment-related litigation including complex wage and hour civil litigation since 2003. Ms. Fernandez has been, and currently is, co-counsel in over 60 wage and hour class action litigations for our firm and has produced excellent results for this firm's clients in the wage and hour class actions.

1 **12.** I have been practicing law in California since 1997 and joined the James Hawkins,
2 APLC law firm in February 2022, and now exclusively litigate plaintiff-related wage and hour class
3 action cases. I have been involved in litigating, mediating and settling numerous wage and hour class
4 actions dealing with class sizes ranging from several hundred to several thousand class members in
5 both State and Federal courts.

6 **13.** Ms. Melissa Whitson holds a B.A. in Liberal Studies from California State University
7 Fullerton. Ms. Whitson has been a paralegal in the employment law field for more than 20 years.

8 **.14.** Since on or about February 2022, prior to the inception of the filing of this Action on
9 March 30, 2022, through the present James Hawkins APLC has had to, among other things, expend
10 time on the following: engage in numerous discussions with Plaintiff, conduct comprehensive
11 investigations including but not limited to researching Defendant's structure, business relationship,
12 positions, locations; conduct research and analysis regarding potential class members within the scope
13 of the putative class and potential claims; draft Complaint and PAGA letter; attend status conferences;
14 draft First Amended Complaint; prepare a discovery plan on class certification issues related to the
15 case; discussions with Defendant; draft three sets of formal discovery; meet and confer with
16 Defendant regarding the same; discussions with counsel in related case; obtain and review extensive
17 documents and information for mediations; discussions with plaintiff and expert regarding the same;
18 attend three full day mediations; discussions with plaintiffs' financial expert after review of documents
19 provided by Defendant; continued in protracted settlement discussions with the assistance of the
20 mediator until settlement was reached; exchange multiple drafts of formal settlement agreement and
21 supporting documents; discussions with client and other plaintiff's counsel regarding the same; finalize
22 settlement and class notice; review and revise draft of plaintiffs' preliminary approval motion and
23 supporting documents; review reports provided by Administrator; review and revise draft final
24 approval motion papers and draft supporting documents. A great amount of time and effort has been
25 expended to properly and comprehensively perform the job required of this case and prepare for all
26 contingencies that may arise, regardless of whether the case settles.

27 **15.** The attorneys who have worked on this matter are Mr. Hawkins, Ms. Fernandez and
28 me. My hourly rate is \$925, Ms. Fernandez's hourly rate is \$975 and Mr. Hawkins' hourly rate is

1 \$1,000. The hourly rate for the paralegal, Ms. Melissa Whitson, is \$220. Since the inception of this
2 matter, prior to filing the Complaint, the total amount of attorney time and paralegal time estimated to
3 have been expended and also estimated to be expended in finalizing this matter is 225.4 (Mr. Hawkins
4 28 hours, Ms. Fernandez 126 hours, Mr. Dacre 50.4 hours and Ms. Whitson 21) which equates to
5 \$202,090 in total fees.

6 **16.** Here, the parties' contractual agreement to pay counsel's attorneys' fees is reasonable.
7 James Hawkins APLC firm has been one of two law firms to represent Plaintiffs and the Class
8 Members in this matter, and we have borne the risk and cost of this litigation on a pure contingency
9 basis. To date, Class Counsel has not received any compensation and expended litigation costs on
10 behalf of the Class. Class action contingency cases are inherently risky. Upon retention, Class Counsel
11 makes a commitment to litigate the case through trial. These types of cases can take years, hundreds of
12 hours of work and cost an enormous amount of money; these risks are known upon retention. The risks
13 involved coupled with the contingency basis of this case further support Class Counsels' request for
14 attorneys' fees and costs.

15 **17.** In the course of this litigation, James Hawkins APLC had incurred costs of \$ 10,484.98
16 through the conclusion of this matter. (A true and correct copy of James Hawkins APLC cost invoice is
17 attached hereto as Exhibit 1.)

18 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January
19 27, 2026, at Irvine, California.

20
21 

22 Lance Dacre
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1

Matter Billing Detail

Report Date: 1/26/2026
Report Time: 7:37AM
Page: 1 of 3
User ID: Gonzales

JAMES HAWKINS APLC

Date Range: 01/01/1900 to 01/26/2026
Client: NISSHO - Julian Mendoza Padilla
Matter: NISSHO - Nissho of California, Inc.- Julian Mendoza Padilla

Date	Expense Code	Description	Debit	Credit	Billing Status	On Hold	Invoice Number	Check Number	Payee
		Balance Forward:	\$0.00						
02/01/2022	CRT	Court Documents- Complaint, order research	\$23.80		Unbilled				
03/30/2022	POS	Postage - LWDA Letter	\$7.93		Unbilled				
03/30/2022	FIL	Filing Fee - LWDA Filing fee	\$75.00		Unbilled				
04/01/2022	ONE	One Legal Services-Complaint,Civil Case Cover Sheet,Original Summons - In#03968698	\$1,490.20		Unbilled				
04/29/2022	FST	First Legal Network - Process Serve - Complaint, Initial Docs, Ntcs, ADR Stip IN# 30195930	\$204.32		Unbilled				
05/05/2022	ONE	One Legal Services-Proof of Service -In#04053319	\$12.87		Unbilled				
06/30/2022	ONE	One Legal Services - Amended Complaint- in#04185472	\$12.87		Unbilled				
08/30/2022	LRE	Legal Research- Case Research	\$550.25		Unbilled				
09/09/2022	MED	Mediation Services- ADR Services IN#22-3101-SHK-07 CK#10225	\$2,541.67		Unbilled				
01/05/2023	ONE	One Legal Services - Case management Conf- In#04649621	\$12.87		Unbilled				
03/07/2023	ARB	Arbitration FEE- IN#22-3101-SHK-10 CK#10666	\$937.51		Unbilled				
06/29/2023	ONE	One Legal Services - Case Management Statement- In#05110482	\$13.33		Unbilled				
09/25/2023	ONE	One Legal Services - Case Management Statement- In#05344231	\$13.33		Unbilled				
11/28/2023	EXA	Expert Analysis- IN#00672 CK#11314	\$300.00		Unbilled				
12/21/2023	ONE	One Legal Services -Case Management Statement - In#05593335	\$13.33		Unbilled				
02/09/2024	EXA	Expert Analysis- IN#00821 CK#11504	\$260.00		Unbilled				
02/26/2024	ONE	One Legal Services - Stipulation and proposed order- in#05766002	\$15.39		Unbilled				
03/05/2024	ONE	One Legal Services - Stipulation and Proposed order- In#05796331	\$35.98		Unbilled				
03/14/2024	EXA	Expert Analysis IN#00906 CK# 1102	\$112.50		Unbilled				
03/19/2024	MED	Mediation Services- IN#PR30054 CK#11624	\$3,200.00		Unbilled				

Matter Billing Detail

JAMES HAWKINS APLC

Date	Expense Code	Description	Debit	Credit	Billing Status	On Hold	Invoice Number	Check Number	Payee
05/22/2024	CRT	Court Documents - Minute Order	\$1.00		Unbilled				
10/16/2024	LDS	Legal Document Server - Courtesy Copy - Stip and Order to COninue the Status Conference Hearing Proposed Order IN# 10592151	\$115.00		Unbilled				
10/22/2024	CRT	Court Documents	\$1.00		Unbilled				
11/25/2024	ONE	One Legal Services - Proposed order- In#06580516	\$40.99		Unbilled				
02/07/2025	LDS	Legal Document Server - Courtesy Copy - Stip and Order to Continue Status Conference IN# 11285415	\$135.00		Unbilled				
02/11/2025	LDS	Legal Document Server - EFiling - Motion to Continue Hearing IN# 11191017	\$48.75		Unbilled				
04/23/2025	LDS	Legal Document Server - COurtesy Copy - Stip , EFile Order Receipt IN# 11813438-02	\$95.00		Unbilled				
05/14/2025	LDS	Legal Document Server - EFiling - Stip IN# 11813438-01	\$53.75		Unbilled				
08/04/2025	LDS	Legal Document Server - EFiling - Request for Continuance IN# 12399761	\$55.94		Unbilled				
09/22/2025	CRT	Court Documents - Order	\$5.40		Unbilled				
01/26/2026	FF	Filing Fee- Estimated costs for filing fees for case completion costs- Motion, Declaration, Order	\$100.00		Unbilled				
Total:			\$10,484.98	\$0.00					
Balance:			\$10,484.98						

Matter Billing Detail

Report Date: 1/26/2026

Report Time: 7:37AM

Page: 3 of 3

User ID: Gonzales

JAMES HAWKINS APLC

Date	Expense Code	Description	Debit	Credit	Billing Status	On Hold	Invoice Number	Check Number	Payee
Total Fees Billed- -----			\$0.00						
Total Fees Unbilled : -----			\$0.00						
Total Fees Received : -----			\$0.00						
Total Soft Cost Billed : -----			\$0.00						
Total Soft Cost Unbilled : -----			\$0.00						
Total Soft Cost Received : -----			\$0.00						
Total Hard Cost Billed : -----			\$0.00						
Total Hard Cost Unbilled : -----			\$10,484.98						
Total Hard Cost Received : -----			\$0.00						
Total Taxes Billed : -----			\$0.00						
Total Taxes Unbilled : -----			\$0.00						
Total Taxes Received : -----			\$0.00						
Total Late Charges Billed : -----			\$0.00						
Total Late Charges Unbilled: -----			\$0.00						
Total Late Charges Received : -----			\$0.00						
Trust Balance: -----			\$0.00						