

Taras Kick (SBN 143379)
Taras@kicklawfirm.com
Greg Taylor (SBN 315128)
Greg@kicklawfirm.com
Tyler J. Dosaj (SBN 306938)
Tyler@kicklawfirm.com
THE KICK LAW FIRM, APC
815 Moraga Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90049
Tel: (310) 395-2988
Fax: (310) 395-2088

Attorneys for Plaintiff JULIO C. POLAR,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE

JULIO C. POLAR, individually, and on behalf of
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BUTTON TRANSPORTATION, INC., a
California corporation; BUTTON
TRANSPORTATION, LLC, a Louisiana
limited liability company; and DOES 1 through
100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 21STCV02575

*[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Carolyn B.
Kuhl, Dept. 12]*

Complex Class Action

**DECLARATION OF TARAS KICK IN
SUPPROT OF MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

Date: December 6, 2022

Time: 10:00 AM

Dept: 12

Complaint filed: January 21, 2021

FAC filed: April 20, 2021

Trial date: None set

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice before all courts of the State of California and a shareholder with The Kick Law Firm, APC. The following is based on my personal knowledge, and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

2. Plaintiff Julio Polar (“Plaintiff”) seeks preliminary approval of a wage-and-hour class action settlement between himself and Defendants Button Transportation, Inc., a California corporation; Button Transportation, LLC, a Louisiana limited liability company; Button Transportation, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; and Robert L. Button, an individual (collectively, “Defendants”) in the gross non-reversionary amount of \$800,000.00, for a Settlement “Class” of approximately 594 individuals ascertained from Defendants’ records, defined as: all current and former drivers who worked for Defendants in the State of California at any time from January 21, 2017 through the date Plaintiff files a motion for preliminary approval of this Settlement (the “Class Period”).

3. The settlement is embodied in the parties’ Settlement and Release Agreement (the “Settlement Agreement,” “Settlement”), attached as **Exhibit 1**. The Notice of Class Action Settlement (“Class Notice”) is attached as **Exhibit A** to the Settlement Agreement.

4. I have been a member of the California State Bar since 1989, the year I graduated from the University of Pennsylvania Law School. Prior to that, in 1986, I graduated from Swarthmore College, from which I earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics and Psychology.

5. I have served as class counsel in numerous national and state class actions, including being appointed lead counsel and a member of plaintiffs’ executive committees. For over five years I was a member of the national Board of Directors of Public Justice, including its Class Action Preservation Committee. I am or have been a member of numerous other committees pertaining to consumer class actions, including the American Association for Justice Class Action Litigation Sub-Group; the Consumer Attorneys of California Class Action Group; the American Bar Association Committee on Class Actions & Derivative Suits; and, the State Bar of California Antitrust and Unfair Competition Litigation section. From 2012 through September 2017, I was a Commissioner of the California Law Revision Commission, an independent state agency created by statute in 1953 to assist the Legislature and Governor by examining

1 California law and recommending needed reforms, having been appointed by Governor Edmund G.
2 Brown Jr. in 2012, and was Chair of the Commission from September 2015 through September 2016
3 (although my role in this case is independent of any aspect of my duties with the Commission and does
4 not reflect one way or the other any positions of the Commission). TKLF primarily represents plaintiffs
5 in wage and hour and consumer class actions.

6 6. The class action cases in which this firm has been appointed either as lead counsel, or as
7 co-lead counsel, include the following: *In Re Southern California Gas Leak Cases*, Judicial Council
8 Coordinated Proceeding No. 4861, assigned to Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Coordination Trial Judge, Dept.
9 SSC-1 (co-lead counsel and member of the class action steering committee, final approval granted April
10 29, 2022); *Samano v. Deleons Transport Inc.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No.
11 19STCV44589, assigned for all purposes to Hon. Elihu M. Berle (class and representative PAGA action;
12 final approval granted January 22, 2022); *Johnson, et al., v. Angel View*, San Bernardino County Superior
13 Court, Case No. CIVDS1935378 (class and representative PAGA action; final approval granted
14 November 18, 2021); *Daves, et al. v. Amadeus Waterawys, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No.
15 19STCV25203 (wage & hour class action; final approval granted January 8, 2021); *Imperial Wage And*
16 *Hour Cases*, San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. JCPDS5130 (class and representative PAGA
17 action; final approval granted November 2, 2021); *Metzke v. Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.*, San Francisco
18 Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-581914 (class and representative PAGA action; final approval granted
19 October 28, 2021); *Story v. SEFCU*, United States District Court for the Northern District of New York,
20 Case No. 1:18-cv-00764 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted on February 25, 2021);
21 *Smith v. Bank of Hawaii*, United States District Court for the District of Hawaii, Case No. 1:16-cv-00513
22 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted on December 22, 2020); *Coleman-Weathersbee v.*
23 *Michigan State University Federal Credit Union*, United States District Court for the Eastern District of
24 Michigan, Case No. 2:19-cv-11674 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted on July 29, 2020);
25 *Walker v. People's United Bank*, United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, Case No.
26 3:17-cv-00304 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted on June 29, 2020); *Salls v. Digital*
27 *Federal Credit Union*, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, Case. No. 18-cv-
28 11262-TSH (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted in January 2020); *Pingston-Poling v.*

1 *Advia Credit Union*, United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Case No. 1:15-
2 CV-1208 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted in January 2020); *Lloyd v. Navy Federal*
3 *Credit Union*, United States District Court for the Southern District of California, Case No. 3:17-cv-01280
4 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted May 18, 2019); *Ketner v. SECU Maryland*, Civil
5 No.:1:15-CV-03594-CCB (D. MD. 2017) (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted January
6 11, 2018); *Towner v. 1st MidAmerica Credit Union*, No. 3:15-cv-1162 (S.D. Ill. 2017) (an overdraft fee
7 class action, final approval granted in November 2017); *Lane v. Campus Federal Credit Union*, Case No.
8 3:16-cv-00037 (M.D. La. 2017) (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted in August 2017); *Fry*
9 *v. MidFlorida Credit Union*, United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Case No.
10 8:15-CV-2743 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted); *Ramirez v. Baxter Credit Union*,
11 United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 16-cv-03765-SI (an overdraft
12 fee class action, final approval granted); *Lynch v. San Diego County Credit Union*, San Diego County
13 Superior Court, Case No. 37-2015-00008551 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted);
14 *Gunter v. United Federal Credit Union*, United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Case No.
15 3:15-cv-00483-MMD-WGC (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted); *Hernandez v. Point*
16 *Loma Credit Union*, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2013-00053519 (an overdraft fee
17 class action, final approval granted); *Gray v. Los Angeles Federal Credit Union*, Los Angeles County
18 Superior Court, Case No. BC625500 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted in); *Moralez v.*
19 *Kern Schools Federal Credit Union*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-15-100538 (an
20 overdraft fee class action, final approval granted in June 2017); *Manwaring v. Golden 1 Credit Union*,
21 Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2013-00142667 (an overdraft fee class action, final
22 approval granted); *Casey v. Orange County Credit Union*, Orange County Superior Court No. 30-2013-
23 00658493-CJ-BT-CXC (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted); *Sewell v. Wescom Credit*
24 *Union*, Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC5860 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval
25 granted); *Fernandez v. Altura Credit Union*, Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. RIC1610873 (an
26 overdraft fee class action, final approval granted); *Hernandez v. Logix Federal Credit Union*, Los Angeles
27 County Superior Court, Case No. BC628495 (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted);
28 *Bowens v. Mazuma Federal Credit Union*, United States District Court for the Western District of

1 Missouri, Case No. 15-00758-CV-W-BP (an overdraft fee class action, final approval granted); *Santiago*
2 *v. Meriwest Credit Union*, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2015-00183730 (an overdraft
3 fee class action, final approval granted); *Howard v. Sage Software*, Los Angeles County Superior Court
4 Case No. BC487140 (final approval granted); *Kirtley v. Wadekar*, United States District Court for the
5 District of New Jersey, Case No. 05-5383 (final approval granted); *Pereyra v. Mike Campbell &*
6 *Associates*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC365631 (final approval granted); *Alston v.*
7 *Pacific Bell*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC297863 (final approval granted); *Oshaben*
8 *v. Monster Worldwide, Inc.*, et al., San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-06-454538 (final
9 approval granted); *Cole v. T-Mobile USA, et al.*, Central District of California Case No. 06-6649 (final
10 approval granted).

11 7. The associate attorneys at this law firm who worked on the case include Daniel Bass, Roy
12 K. Suh, Karen L. Wallace, Jeffrey C. Bils, and Greg Taylor. All are also experienced in wage and hour
13 class actions. Mr. Bass was admitted to the California Bar in 2012 and has devoted the majority of his
14 career to assisting plaintiffs in employment and class action cases. Mr. Suh was admitted to the California
15 Bar in 2012 and since becoming a member of the California Bar the same year, he has practiced
16 exclusively in plaintiffs' employment and consumer cases and is very experienced in California wage and
17 hour class action litigation. Ms. Wallace was admitted to the California State Bar in 2010, and the focus
18 of her practice has been complex litigation in the areas of employment and consumer law. Mr. Bils was
19 admitted to the California Bar in 2014 and after his admission began practicing immediately in California
20 employment class actions and representative PAGA actions and has served as both plaintiffs' and defense
21 counsel in numerous class actions over the past seven years. Another TKLF attorney who worked on this
22 matter is Greg Taylor, a 2016 graduate of UCLA School of Law who represented plaintiffs in employment
23 actions for approximately five years before joining TKLF in 2022.

24 8. The Kick Law Firm, APC ("TKLF") undertook this case on a contingent basis, with the
25 understanding that the firm would not be compensated for its efforts unless the case was successful. To
26 date, TKLF has not been paid for any of its time spent on this matter. The time spent on this matter by the
27 firm's attorneys has required considerable work that could have, and would have, been spent on other
28 billable matters. As a result of having accepted and been devoted to this case, it is my informed belief this

1 law firm wound up not representing parties in cases it otherwise would have, and which in my opinion
2 likely would have compensated this firm at its hourly rates requested in this matter.

3 9. Plaintiff Julio C. Polar worked as a commercial truck driver for Defendants Button
4 Transportation, Inc. (CA corporation), Button Transportation, LLC (LA LLC), Button Transportation,
5 LLC (FL LLC), and Robert L. Button from approximately August 10, 2020, to January 10, 2021. Button
6 Transportation is a California-based commercial transportation company, and Robert L. Button is the
7 CEO. On January 20, 2021, pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.3, Plaintiff submitted notice to the Labor and
8 Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) and to Defendants Button Transportation, Inc. and Button
9 Transportation, LLC (LA), LWDA Case No. LWDA-CM-819220-21, of his intention to litigate various
10 alleged Labor Code violations. A true and correct copy of the PAGA Notice Letter served on the LWDA
11 in connection with this action is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

12 10. On January 21, 2021, Plaintiff filed the initial complaint on behalf of himself and others
13 similarly situated to recover damages and penalties for the Labor Code violations alleged against
14 Defendants (“the Action”). Plaintiff alleged further that these violations constituted unfair and unlawful
15 business practices under the Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”), Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.* On
16 April 20, 2021, Plaintiff filed the First Amended Complaint (“FAC”) to add a cause of action under PAGA,
17 Lab. Code § 2698, *et seq.* The claim for failure to pay overtime was also omitted. On September 17, 2021,
18 Plaintiff amended the complaint to designate Does 1 and 2 as named defendants Button Transportation,
19 LLC (FL) and Robert L. Button.

20 11. Both before and after initiating the Action on behalf of the putative class, Plaintiff and
21 Class Counsel diligently investigated the claims alleged and conducted legal research regarding
22 Defendants’ potential liability and defenses. Prior to attending private mediation, the parties exchanged
23 substantial written discovery to gather facts and data necessary to reach a fair and reasonable settlement.
24 Class Counsel prepared and propounded interrogatories and production demands on Defendants. Among
25 other things, Plaintiff received and reviewed hundreds of pages of data and documents, including
26 Defendants’ written policies, Class members’ driving logs, and a detailed sample of its non-exempt
27 employees’ timekeeping and payroll records.

1 12. The Kick Law Firm, APC retained an economic expert, Berger Consulting Group, who
2 then analyzed the data and created a damages model based on it. TKLF carefully considered the class
3 claims at issue and its expert's analysis of violation rates for Defendant's timekeeping and payroll data.
4 Moreover, Plaintiff determined Defendants' records show that drivers were uniformly denied the
5 opportunity to take compliant meal and rest periods, as defined in the Employee Handbook or by statute.

6 13. After TKLF reviewed and assessed Defendants' responses to written discovery, and based
7 on consistent good faith meet and confer efforts, the parties agreed to proceed to mediation with Alan R.
8 Berkowitz, Esq., an experienced wage-and-hour mediator.

9 14. On April 28, 2022, Plaintiff and Defendants engaged in a private, neutral mediation with
10 mediator Alan R. Berkowitz, Esq., which resulted in the proposed Settlement. The investigation and
11 discovery conducted in this matter have been adequate to give the Plaintiff and Class Counsel a sound
12 understanding of the merits of their position, Defendants' defenses, and to evaluate the reasonable value
13 range of the claims of the Class. Accordingly, TKLF was able to act intelligently and effectively in
14 negotiating the proposed Settlement.

15 15. Following that successful mediation, the Parties drafted and executed a long form
16 agreement. Based on their own independent investigation, discovery, and evaluation, Class Counsel has
17 determined that the settlement terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement are in the best
18 interests of Plaintiff and the members of the Class.

19 16. The risks of achieving and maintaining class action status include, among others, facing
20 challenges to class certification and liability, the outcome of which is uncertain. Moreover, even though I
21 believe strong evidence exists showing Defendant's liability, the costs of continued discovery, law and
22 motion, and trial preparation would be substantial for all Parties.

23 17. Were litigation to proceed, there is a strong likelihood it would be protracted and
24 contentious, as Defendants dispute all aspects of Plaintiff's claims. Plaintiff would have to prepare and
25 file a motion for class certification, which outcome is uncertain based on Defendants' contentions, *inter*
26 *alia*, that individual questions of fact predominate, and that Plaintiff cannot show the "willfulness"
27 requirement for Section 203 penalties. If the motion were granted, Defendants would likely move for
28

1 decertification, appeal certification, or move for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff believes he would prevail
2 on the question of certification, but the risks to absent Class Members are significant.

3 18. To succeed on the merits, Plaintiff would have to prove that Defendants actually engaged
4 in the practices and policies alleged and that those practices and policies violated the law. While I believe
5 strong evidence exists showing Defendants' liability, there remains a risk that the trier of fact might find
6 otherwise. If the case were to proceed to trial, additional discovery would be needed and the Parties would
7 thus incur significant additional expenses, which money could go instead to fund a settlement for the
8 benefit of the Class as a whole. Moreover, discovery disputes would have certainly arisen, which would
9 have cost the Parties additional time and money. Further, regardless of which Party prevailed, appellate
10 practice would no doubt ensue, further delaying any possible recovery by Class Members. In other words,
11 both Parties would likely incur substantial attorneys' fees and costs from continued litigation, likely
12 approaching hundreds of thousands of dollars.

13 19. There were numerous risks to continuing to litigate, including potentially viable defenses
14 that Defendant asserted or could have asserted as outlined below:

- 15 a. **No Employment Relationship.** Defendants argue that Button Transportation, Inc., a
16 California corporation, cannot be liable because it had no employment relationship with
17 Plaintiff. Defendants argue that the only defendant with an employment relationship
18 with Plaintiff was Button Transportation, LLC, a Florida limited liability company.
19 Plaintiff argues that the various business entities at issue are alter egos of one another
20 that shared officers, used the same business address, and relied on virtually identical
21 policies. Plaintiff also argues that Defendant Robert L. Button had oversight over each
22 of the business entities, regardless of his formal title, and that he could be held
23 personally liable through piercing the veil. However, Plaintiff cannot be certain this
24 argument would prevail. If Defendants were to prevail on the merits of this threshold
25 defense, Plaintiff would lose the only California defendant.
- 26 b. **Federal Preemption.** Defendants argue that Plaintiff's meal and rest break claims are
27 preempted by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's ("FMCSA").
28 Defendants point to 49 U.S.C. 5125 with respect to drivers subject to FMCSA HOS

1 regulations. 83 FR 47961. Plaintiff has some arguments to the contrary. However, it is
2 uncertain Plaintiff would prevail on these arguments.

3 c. **Legality of Defendants' Compensation Policy.** Plaintiff also faced the risk
4 Defendants could argue they are not required to pay minimum wage for "all hours
5 worked," relying on the California Supreme Court's decision in *Oman v. Delta Air*
6 *Lines, Inc.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 762. Defendants could have argued they are free to wage
7 average and are not required to pay minimum wage for "all hours worked."

8 d. **Non-Certification and/or De-Certification.** Plaintiff also faced the risk Defendants
9 could argue that common questions do not predominate over individual ones because
10 drivers spent different amounts of time in California, resided in different states, and
11 that the determination of whether California law applied to each driver would give rise
12 to 594 individual inquiries.

13 e. **No Derivative Penalties.** Defendants could argue that Plaintiff's claims for wage
14 statement and waiting time penalties claims are entirely derivative of Plaintiff's rest
15 period and wage claims, and therefore suffered from not only the same litigation risks
16 as the rest break claims, but also additional risks unique to these claims. For example,
17 with respect to Plaintiff's waiting time penalty claim, Plaintiff would also be required
18 to establish *willfulness* on the part of Defendants. However, Defendants deny any
19 willful failure to pay any alleged compensation. With respect to Plaintiff's wage
20 statement claim, even if non-certification was not a bar to recovery, Plaintiff faced the
21 risk Defendants could argue that inaccurate wage statements alone based on derivative
22 Labor Code violations do not justify penalties, and that the plaintiff arguably might
23 need to establish injury from the inaccuracy and independent inaccuracies different
24 from underpayments or derivative claims. While Plaintiff remains confident and
25 prepared to zealously pursue the claims, he recognizes the possible risks of losing on
26 the merits.

27 f. **Other Risk Factors.** Plaintiff also had to take into account other risks, including,
28 without limitation, the risks of losing on appeal, the possibility of the law changing, the

1 time value of money, and the inability to collect on a large judgment, among other
2 things.

3 g. **Costs.** The Action clearly involves complex legal issues, including class certification.
4 With regard to expected duration, litigating Plaintiff's claims through trial could last
5 two or more years if the proposed settlement is not approved. This would be expensive
6 for all parties and delay or jeopardize what is now a certain recovery for the putative
7 class.

8 20. Plaintiff has estimated Defendants' total risk-adjusted realistic exposure by applying the
9 following discounts: 50% for the risk of Defendants prevailing on preemption, employment relationship,
10 and *Oman* defenses; 35% for the risk of non-certification; 30% discount on penalty claims under
11 *Maldonado*; and 50% discount on PAGA claims for the Court's discretion on reducing penalties and
12 underlying risks. If one applies only the discount for pre-emption and class certification, this reduces the
13 maximum possible damages and penalties to \$1,735,143. If one also applies the PAGA discount arising
14 from the Court's discretion to award lesser penalties, this further reduces this risk-adjusted number by an
15 additional \$222,592 to \$1,522,551. By this estimation, the negotiated gross settlement amount of \$800,000
16 thus represents about 55.2% of the realistic valuation of the claims.

17 21. As discussed above, Class Counsel, The Kick Law Firm, APC, has significant experience
18 in complex litigation, including wage and hour class actions, and has been diligent in representing the
19 putative Settlement Class. Plaintiff Mr. Polar, the proposed Class Representative, has participated actively
20 at all stages of the litigation, and his interests are not in conflict with the absent class members. Plaintiff
21 agreed to act as Class Representative and understands his responsibility to assist Class Counsel in
22 prosecuting the action on behalf of the Class. Moreover, the claims of the Class members and the named
23 Plaintiff are coextensive and there is no conflict between Plaintiff and his counsel, on the one hand, and
24 any other Class Member. Plaintiff's interests are essentially identical, if not entirely identical, to the
25 interests of all the members of the Settlement Class.

26 22. The Settlement Agreement provides an incentive/service award payment of \$10,000.00 to
27 Plaintiff, which is intended to recognize the time, effort, and risk that Plaintiff undertook in bringing this
28 case and helping to secure the relief obtained for the members of the Class. Plaintiff should also be

1 compensated for the contributions provided to the Class, the arguable reputational risks Plaintiff
2 undertook, the time and efforts expended on behalf of the Class. Plaintiff provided essential information
3 for the prosecution of this action and in connection with mediation and settlement, gathered and provided
4 pertinent documents, took time to participate in phone calls with counsel, was available during the all-day
5 mediation, and reviewed the settlement documents. At no time did Plaintiff ever have a guarantee of any
6 personal benefit as a result of this case.

7 23. The parties have selected Simpluris, Inc. to act as the Settlement Administrator. Simpluris
8 has served us as settlement administrator in numerous class actions and has been appointed as
9 administrator by this Court. Of two reputable settlement administrators, Simpluris provided the lower bid.
10 Simpluris' bid in my opinion is fair and reasonable considering the size of the Class, the number of pages
11 to be mailed to Class members, the services provided by the Settlement Administrator including, posting
12 of information and case documents on a website, skip tracing and remailing returned mail, handling
13 payroll tax calculations, and other necessary duties that will be carried out. We have worked with
14 Simpluris in other similar cases and are confident in its abilities to adequately administer the Settlement,
15 including with respect to handling class member data and settlement funds. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**
16 is a true and correct copy of the settlement administration bid provided by Simpluris.

17 24. The Parties agree that Notice in English is sufficient. The Class is comprised of commercial
18 drivers and DOT Regulations (49 CFR 391.11) require that commercial drivers can read and speak in
19 English.

20 25. As the proposed Class Counsel in this matter is limited to attorneys of The Kick Law Firm,
21 no other firm will be sharing or splitting fees. Pursuant to the Settlement, Class Counsel will request, and
22 Defendants will not oppose, attorneys' fees in the amount of \$266,400, or one-third (1/3) of the GSA, and
23 reimbursement of necessary litigation costs not to exceed \$25,000. (*See* Ex. 1, Settlement, ¶ 3.2.2.)

24 26. The second half of the LA Superior Court Checklist indicates a number of items that the
25 Settlement should address. (*See* Checklist pp. 4-8.) Class Counsel has gone through all items in the
26 Checklist and confirmed that each exist in the proposed Settlement and Notice, including the class
27 definition, monetary terms of the Settlement, release terms, and details of Notice administration.

28 27. As stated in the Settlement, TKLF proposes that any unclaimed Settlement funds be

distributed to proposed cy pres recipient Public Citizen Foundation, a 501(c)(3) organization involved in consumer education and litigation. I believe such distribution is appropriate and fulfills the purposes of this wage and hour class action because Public Citizen is an organization that substantially advocates on behalf of workers and consumers. I am a member of Public Citizen Foundation, but neither I nor any other member of my firm is on the Board of Directors nor involved in the governance of the organization.

28. Defendants have agreed not to oppose Class Counsel's request for reimbursement of actual litigation costs advanced and necessarily incurred in connection with the prosecution and settlement of the Action up to \$25,000. (See Exhibit 1, Settlement ¶ 3.2.2.) To date, out of pocket costs totaling \$9,120.99 were reasonably and necessarily incurred to pursue this Action, as detailed below:

Date	Vendor	Amount
1/20/21	LWDA PAGA notification	\$75.00
1/21/21	USPS Certified Mail	\$16.80
4/28/21	One Legal	\$69.49
4/28/21	One Legal	\$90.08
6/1/21	First Legal (initial filing fee)	\$1,687.15
8/25/21	VCourt Remote Appearance	\$15.00
9/24/21	CaseAnywhere	\$114.00
11/9/21	First Legal	\$93.25
11/9/21	First Legal	\$52.25
12/3/21	CaseAnywhere	\$12.20
12/3/21	CaseAnywhere	\$12.20
12/4/21	CaseAnywhere	\$126.00
12/4/21	First Legal	\$43.25
1/11/22	First Legal filing fee	\$34.95
2/21/22	First Legal	\$34.95
3/3/22	One Legal	\$37.27
3/15/22	CaseAnywhere	\$354.00
3/15/22	CaseAnywhere	\$210.00
4/28/22	Judicate West (Berkowitz Mediation)	\$3,700.00
5/14/22	Berger Consulting Group	\$650.00
5/14/22	First Legal	\$34.95
7/5/22	CaseAnywhere	\$12.20
10/18/22	Simpluris	\$1,646.00
	TOTAL	\$9,120.99

29. A Proposed Judgment not including a dismissal pursuant to CRC 3.769 is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4**.

I declare under the penalty of perjury the foregoing things are true and correct to the best of my belief and knowledge.

Executed this 24th day of October 2022, in Los Angeles, California.

Taras Kick
Taras Kick

EXHIBIT 1

CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND CLASS NOTICE

This Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”) is made by and between plaintiff Julio Polar (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Button Transportation, Inc., a California corporation, Button Transportation, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and Robert L. Button (collectively, “Defendants”). The Agreement refers to Plaintiff and Defendants collectively as “Parties,” or individually as “Party.”

1. DEFINITIONS.

- 1.1 “Action” means the Plaintiff’s lawsuit alleging wage and hour violations against Defendants captioned *Polar, et al. v. Button Transportation, Inc., et al.*, initiated on January 21, 2021, and pending in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. 21STCV02575.
- 1.2 “Administrator” means Simpluris, Inc., the neutral entity the Parties have agreed to appoint to administer the Settlement.
- 1.3 “Administration Expenses Payment” means the amount the Administrator will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount to reimburse its reasonable fees and expenses in accordance with the Administrator’s “not to exceed” bid submitted to the Court in connection with Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.
- 1.4 “Aggrieved Employee” means all Class Members who worked for Defendants during the PAGA Period.
- 1.5 “Class” means all current and former drivers who worked for Defendants in the State of California at any time during the Class Period.
- 1.6 “Class Counsel” means The Kick Law Firm, APC.
- 1.7 “Class Counsel Fees Payment” and “Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment” mean the amounts allocated to Class Counsel for reimbursement of reasonable attorneys’ fees and expenses, respectively, incurred to prosecute the Action.
- 1.8 “Class Data” means Class Member identifying information in Defendants’ possession including the Class Member’s name, employee identification number, last-known mailing address, telephone numbers, email addresses, Social Security number, inclusive dates of active employment during the Class Period, and number of Class Period Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods.
- 1.9 “Class Member” or “Settlement Class Member” means a member of the Class, as either a Participating Class Member or Non-Participating Class Member (including a Non- Participating Class Member who qualifies as an Aggrieved Employee).
- 1.10 “Class Member Address Search” means the Administrator’s investigation and search for current Class Member mailing addresses using all reasonably available sources, methods and means including, but not limited to, the National Change of Address

database, skip traces, and direct contact by the Administrator with Class Members.

- 1.11 “Class Notice” means the COURT APPROVED NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND HEARING DATE FOR FINAL COURT APPROVAL, to be mailed to Class Members in English in the form, without material variation, attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference into this Agreement.
- 1.12 “Class Period” means the period from January 21, 2017, through the date Plaintiff files a motion for preliminary approval of this Settlement.
- 1.13 “Class Representative” means the named Plaintiff in the operative complaint in the Action seeking Court approval to serve as a Class Representative.
- 1.14 “Class Representative Service Payment” means the payment to the Class Representative for initiating the Action and providing services in support of the Action.
- 1.15 “Court” means the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 1.16 “Defendants” means named Defendants Button Transportation, Inc., a California corporation; Button Transportation, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; and Robert L. Button.
- 1.17 “Defense Counsel” means Scopelitis, Garvin, Light, Hanson & Feary, P.C.
- 1.18 “Effective Date” means the date by when both of the following have occurred: (a) the Court enters a Judgment on its Order Granting Final Approval of the Settlement; and (b) the Judgment is final. The Judgment is final as of the latest of the following occurrences: (a) if no Participating Class Member objects to the Settlement, the day the Court enters Judgment; (b) if one or more Participating Class Members objects to the Settlement, the day after the deadline for filing a notice of appeal from the Judgment; or if a timely appeal from the Judgment is filed, the day after the appellate court affirms the Judgment and issues a remittitur.
- 1.19 “Final Approval” means the Court’s order granting final approval of the Settlement.
- 1.20 “Final Approval Hearing” means the Court’s hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement.
- 1.21 “Final Judgment” means the Judgment Entered by the Court upon Granting Final Approval of the Settlement.
- 1.22 “Gross Settlement Amount” means \$800,000.00 which is the total amount Defendants agree to pay under the Settlement. The Gross Settlement Amount will be used to pay Individual Class Payments, Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, Class Counsel Fees, Class Counsel Expenses, Class Representative Service Payment and the Administrator’s Expenses.
- 1.23 “Individual Class Payment” means the Participating Class Member’s pro rata share

of the Net Settlement Amount calculated according to the number of Workweeks worked during the Class Period.

- 1.24 “Individual PAGA Payment” means the Aggrieved Employee’s pro rata share of 25% of the PAGA Penalties calculated according to the number of Workweeks worked during the PAGA Period.
- 1.25 “Judgment” means the judgment entered by the Court based upon the Final Approval.
- 1.26 “LWDA” means the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, the agency entitled, under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (i).
- 1.27 “LWDA PAGA Payment” means the 75% of the PAGA Penalties paid to the LWDA under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (i).
- 1.28 “Net Settlement Amount” means the Gross Settlement Amount, less the following payments in the amounts approved by the Court: Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, Class Representative Service Payment, Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Administration Expenses Payment. The remainder is to be paid to Participating Class Members as Individual Class Payments.
- 1.29 “Non-Participating Class Member” means any Class Member who opts out of the Settlement by sending the Administrator a valid and timely Request for Exclusion.
- 1.30 “PAGA Pay Period” means any Pay Period during which an Aggrieved Employee worked for Defendants for at least one day during the PAGA Period.
- 1.31 “PAGA Period” means the period from January 20, 2020 through the date Plaintiff files a motion for preliminary approval of this Settlement.
- 1.32 “PAGA” means the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698. et seq.).
- 1.33 “PAGA Notice” means Plaintiff’s January 20, 2021 letter to Defendants and the LWDA providing notice pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd.(a).
- 1.34 “PAGA Penalties” means the total amount of PAGA civil penalties to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount (\$40,000), allocated 25% to the Aggrieved Employees (\$10,000) and the 75% to LWDA (\$30,000) in settlement of PAGA claims.
- 1.35 “Participating Class Member” means a Class Member who does not submit a valid and timely Request for Exclusion from the Settlement.
- 1.36 “Plaintiff” means Julio Polar, the named plaintiff in the Action.
- 1.37 “Preliminary Approval” means the Court’s Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.
- 1.38 "Preliminary Approval Order" means the proposed Order Granting Preliminary

Approval and Approval of PAGA Settlement.

- 1.39 “Released Class Claims” means all causes of action and factual or legal theories that were alleged in the operative complaint or that could have been alleged against Defendants based on the facts contained in the operative complaint including, but not limited to, violations of Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 221, 222.5, 223, 224, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 226.8, 450, 510, 512, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1198, 2802 and the following claims for relief: (1) failure to provide meal periods; (2) failure to provide rest periods; (3) failure to pay minimum wage; (4) failure timely to pay all wages at separation; (5) failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements; (6) failure to indemnify for necessary business expenses; (7) unlawful deductions from wages; and (8) unlawful and unfair business practices. Released Class Claims are limited to those claims arising during the Class Period.
- 1.40 “Released PAGA Claims” means all claims for penalties pursuant to PAGA based on the Released Class Claims as alleged in the PAGA Notice. Released PAGA Claims are limited to those claims arising during the PAGA Period.
- 1.41 “Released Parties” means Defendants and all of their former or present parents, subsidiaries, successors, predecessors, affiliates, assigns, joint employers, officers, directors, employees, insurers, directors, executors, attorneys, shareholders, related corporations, profit sharing, savings, health, and other employee benefit plans of any nature, the successors of such plans, and those plans’ respective current or former trustees and administrators, agents, employees, fiduciaries, and the predecessors and successors, assigns, and legal representatives of all such entities and individuals.
- 1.42 “Request for Exclusion” means a Class Member’s submission of a written request to be excluded from the Class Settlement signed by the Class Member.
- 1.43 "Response Deadline" means 60 days after the Administrator mails Notice to Class Members and Aggrieved Employees and shall be the last date on which Class Members may: (a) fax, email, or mail Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement, or (b) fax, email, or mail his or her Objection to the Settlement. Class Members to whom Notice Packets are resent after having been returned undeliverable to the Administrator shall have an additional 14 calendar days beyond the Response Deadline has expired.
- 1.44 “Settlement” means the disposition of the Action effected by this Agreement and the Judgment.
- 1.45 “Workweek” means any week during which a Class Member worked for Defendants for at least one day, during the Class Period.

2. RECITALS.

- 2.1 On January 21, 2021, Plaintiff commenced this Action by filing a Complaint alleging causes of action against Defendants for (1) failure to provide meal periods; (2) failure to provide rest periods; (3) failure to pay minimum wage; (4) failure timely to pay all wages at separation; (5) failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements; (6)

failure to indemnify for necessary business expenses; (7) unlawful deductions; and (8) unlawful and unfair business practices. On April 20, 2021, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint (“FAC”) adding a cause of action under PAGA. The FAC is the operative complaint in the Action (the “Operative Complaint”). Defendants deny any liability for any of the claims alleged in the Action or any potential or unknown claims based on facts alleged in the Action, including wage and hour violations during the Class Period under state or federal law. Defendants specifically deny that any of the Released Parties have engaged in any unlawful or wrongful conduct against Plaintiff or the Class.

- 2.2 Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd.(a), Plaintiff gave timely written notice to Defendants and the LWDA by sending the PAGA Notice.
- 2.3 On April 28, 2022, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation presided over by Alan R. Berkowitz, Esq., which led to this Agreement to settle the Action.
- 2.4 Prior to the Parties agreeing to mediate this matter, the Parties exchanged formal discovery, and engaged in extensive good faith meet and confer efforts. After agreeing to mediate, the Parties engaged in additional discovery to prepare for that mediation. This informal discovery process included Defendants providing a redacted class list with hire and termination dates, a sampling of time and payroll records, and copies of Defendants’ written policies. Plaintiff’s investigation was sufficient to satisfy the criteria for court approval set forth in *Dunk v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc.* (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1801 and *Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc.* (2008) 168 Cal.App.4th 116, 129-130 (“*Dunk/Kullar*”).
- 2.5 The Court has not granted class certification, as no motion for certification has been filed to date. The Parties agree to certification of this matter as a class action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 for the purposes of this Agreement and the settlement of this Action only. Defendants do not waive, and expressly reserve, the right to challenge the propriety of class action certification, or any other representative treatment of Plaintiff’s claims for any other purpose, should the Court not certify the Settlement Class.
- 2.6 The Parties, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel represent that they are not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or affected by the Settlement.

3. MONETARY TERMS.

- 3.1 Gross Settlement Amount. Defendants promise to pay \$800,000 and no more as the Gross Settlement Amount and to separately pay any and all employer-side payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portions of the Individual Class Payments. The Settlement Administrator shall provide the calculations for employer-side payroll taxes. Defendants have no obligation to pay the Gross Settlement Amount (or any payroll taxes) prior to the deadline stated in Paragraph 4.3 of this Agreement. The Administrator will disburse the entire Gross Settlement Amount without asking or requiring Participating Class Members or Aggrieved Employees to submit any claim

as a condition of payment. None of the Gross Settlement Amount will revert to Defendants.

3.2 Payments from the Gross Settlement Amount. The Administrator will make and deduct the following payments from the Gross Settlement Amount, in the amounts specified by the Court in the Final Approval:

3.2.1 To Plaintiff: Class Representative Service Payment to the Class Representative of not more than \$10,000 (in addition to any Individual Class Payment and any Individual PAGA Payment the Class Representative is entitled to receive as a Participating Class Member). Defendants will not oppose Plaintiff's request for a Class Representative Service Payment that does not exceed this amount. As part of the motion for Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Litigation Expenses Payment, Plaintiff will seek Court approval for any Class Representative Service Payments no later than 16 court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. If the Court approves a Class Representative Service Payment less than the amount requested, the Administrator will retain the remainder in the Net Settlement Amount. The Administrator will pay the Class Representative Service Payment using IRS Form 1099. Plaintiff assumes full responsibility and liability for employee taxes owed on the Class Representative Service Payment.

3.2.2 To Class Counsel: A Class Counsel Fees Payment of not more than one-third (33-1/3%), which is currently estimated to be \$266,667 and a Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment of not more than \$25,000. Defendants will not oppose requests for these payments provided that do not exceed these amounts. Plaintiff and/or Class Counsel will file a motion for Final Approval and for Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Litigation Expenses Payment no later than 16 court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. If the Court approves a Class Counsel Fees Payment and/or a Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment less than the amounts requested, the Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement Amount. Released Parties shall have no liability to Class Counsel or any other Plaintiff's Counsel arising from any claim to any portion any Class Counsel Fee Payment and/or Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment. The Administrator will pay the Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Expenses Payment using one or more IRS 1099 Forms. Class Counsel assumes full responsibility and liability for taxes owed on the Class Counsel Fees Payment and the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and holds Defendants harmless, and indemnifies Defendants, from any dispute or controversy regarding any division or sharing of any of these Payments.

3.2.3 To the Administrator: An Administrator Expenses Payment not to exceed \$10,000 except for a showing of good cause and as approved by the Court. To the extent the Administration Expenses are less or the Court approves payment less than \$10,000, the Administrator will retain the remainder in the Net Settlement Amount.

- 3.2.4 To Each Participating Class Member: An Individual Class Payment calculated by (a) dividing the Net Settlement Amount by the total number of Workweeks worked by all Participating Class Members during the Class Period and (b) multiplying the result by each Participating Class Member's Workweeks.
- 3.2.4.1 Tax Allocation of Individual Class Payments. 20% of each Participating Class Member's Individual Class Payment will be allocated to settlement of wage claims (the "Wage Portion"). The Wage Portions are subject to tax withholding and will be reported on an IRS W-2 Form. The 80% of each Participating Class Member's Individual Class Payment will be allocated to settlement of claims for interest and penalties (the "Non-Wage Portion"). The Non-Wage Portions are not subject to wage withholdings and will be reported on IRS 1099 Forms. Participating Class Members assume full responsibility and liability for any employee taxes owed on their Individual Class Payment.
- 3.2.4.2 Effect of Non-Participating Class Members on Calculation of Individual Class Payments. Non-Participating Class Members will not receive any Individual Class Payments. The Administrator will retain amounts equal to their Individual Class Payments in the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Participating Class Members on a pro rata basis.
- 3.2.5 To the LWDA and Aggrieved Employees: PAGA Penalties in the amount of \$40,000 to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, with 75% (\$30,000) allocated to the LWDA PAGA Payment and 25% (\$10,000) allocated to the Individual PAGA Payments.
- 3.2.5.1 The Administrator will calculate each Individual PAGA Payment by (a) dividing the amount of the Aggrieved Employees' 25% share of PAGA Penalties (\$10,000) by the total number of PAGA Period Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the result by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Period Pay Periods. Aggrieved Employees assume full responsibility and liability for any taxes owed on their Individual PAGA Payment.
- 3.2.5.2 If the Court approves PAGA Penalties of less than the amount requested, the Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement Amount. The Administrator will report the Individual PAGA Payments on IRS 1099 Forms.

4. SETTLEMENT FUNDING AND PAYMENTS.

- 4.1 Class Workweeks and Aggrieved Employee Pay Periods. Based on a review of its records to date, Defendants estimate there are 677 Class Members who collectively

worked a total of 28,555 Workweeks, and 294 of Aggrieved Employees who worked a total of 8,806 PAGA Pay Periods.

- 4.2 Class Data. Not later than 30 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement, Defendants will deliver the Class Data to the Administrator, in the form of a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. To protect Class Members' privacy rights, the Administrator must maintain the Class Data in confidence, use the Class Data only for purposes of this Settlement and for no other purpose, and restrict access to the Class Data to Administrator employees who need access to the Class Data to effect and perform under this Agreement. Defendants have a continuing duty to immediately notify Class Counsel if they discover that the Class Data omitted class member identifying information and to provide corrected or updated Class Data as soon as reasonably feasible. Without any extension of the deadline by which Defendants must send the Class Data to the Administrator, the Parties and their counsel will expeditiously use best efforts, in good faith, to reconstruct or otherwise resolve any issues related to missing or omitted Class Data.
- 4.3 Funding of Gross Settlement Amount. Defendants shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount, and also fund the amounts necessary to fully pay Defendants' share of payroll taxes by transmitting the funds to the Administrator no later than 30 days after the Effective Date.
- 4.4 Payments from the Gross Settlement Amount. Within 7 days after Defendants fund the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will mail checks for all Individual Class Payments, all Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, the Administration Expenses Payment, the Class Counsel Fees Payment, the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Class Representative Service Payment. Disbursement of the Class Counsel Fees Payment, the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and the Class Representative Service Payment shall not precede disbursement of Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments.
 - 4.4.1 The Administrator will issue checks for the Individual Class Payments and/or Individual PAGA Payments and send them to the Class Members via First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid. The face of each check shall prominently state the date (not less than 180 days after the date of mailing) when the check will be voided. The Administrator will cancel all checks not cashed by the void date. The Administrator will send checks for Individual Settlement Payments to all Participating Class Members (including those for whom Class Notice was returned undelivered). The Administrator will send checks for Individual PAGA Payments to all Aggrieved Employees including Non-Participating Class Members who qualify as Aggrieved Employees (including those for whom Class Notice was returned undelivered). The Administrator may send Participating Class Members a single check combining the Individual Class Payment and the Individual PAGA Payment. Before mailing any checks, the Settlement Administrator must update the recipients' mailing addresses using the National Change of Address Database.

- 4.4.2 The Administrator must conduct a Class Member Address Search for all other Class Members whose checks are returned undelivered without USPS forwarding address. Within 14 days of receiving a returned check the Administrator must re-mail checks to the USPS forwarding address provided or to an address ascertained through the Class Member Address Search. The Administrator need not take further steps to deliver checks to Class Members whose re-mailed checks are returned as undelivered. The Administrator shall promptly send a replacement check to any Class Member whose original check was lost or misplaced, requested by the Class Member prior to the void date.
- 4.4.3 For any Class Member whose Individual Class Payment check or Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the proposed cy pres recipients, subject to Court approval, without further amendment to this Agreement, consistent with Code of Civil Procedure Section 384, subd. (b) (“Cy Pres Recipients”). The Parties propose that funds represented by any uncashed or undeliverable checks shall be distributed to two section 501(c)(3) non-profit organizations as follows: 50% to Defendants’ nominee Truckers Against Trafficking and 50% to Plaintiff’s nominee Public Citizen Foundation. The Parties, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel represent that they have no interest or relationship, financial or otherwise, with the intended Cy Pres Recipients. Participating Class Members who do not cash their Individual Class Payment and Individual PAGA Payment checks remain bound to the terms of this Agreement.
- 4.4.4 The payment of Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments shall not obligate Defendants to confer any additional benefits or make any additional payments to Class Members (such as 401(k) contributions or bonuses) beyond those specified in this Agreement.

5. RELEASES OF CLAIMS. Effective on the date when Defendants fully fund the entire Gross Settlement Amount and fund all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class Payments, Plaintiff, Class Members, and Class Counsel will release claims against all Released Parties as follows:

- 5.1 Mutual Release. With the exception of any of their respective rights and obligations created pursuant to this Agreement, each party to this Agreement mutually releases and discharges the other, its predecessors, successors and assigns and their respective officers, directors, employees, other representatives and shareholders, from any and all known claims, demands, causes of action, obligations, and liabilities of every kind and nature whatsoever which each had, or claims to have had, or now has, against the other, which relate to or arises out of any agreements, transactions, events or circumstances which are the subject of the Action, including which were alleged or could have been alleged based on the facts contained in the Operative Complaint.
- 5.2 Plaintiff’s Release.

5.2.1 In order to settle the claims against Defendants in the Action, and as consideration for Defendants' payment of the Gross Settlement Amount, the Class Representative Service Payment, and other good and valuable consideration described in this Agreement, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of his heirs, executors, administrators, and representatives, shall and does hereby forever release and discharge Defendants and the Released Parties from the Released Class Claims, and any and all other claims, charges, complaints, claims, liabilities, obligations, promises, agreements, controversies, damages, actions, causes of action, suits, rights, demands, costs, losses, debts, and expenses (including attorney fees and costs), known or unknown, at law or in equity, which they may now have or may have at the signing of this Agreement, against Defendants or the Released Parties arising out of or in any way related to the Action, the claims and causes of action alleged in the Action, and any and all transactions, occurrences, or matters between the Parties occurring before the date the Court enters an order of judgment or dismissal with prejudice of the Action. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, this release shall include, but not be limited to, any and all claims under the (i) Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq., as amended; (ii) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; (iii) the Civil Rights Act of 1991; (iv) 42 U.S.C. § 1981, as amended; (v) the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), as amended; (vi) the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), as amended; (vii) the Equal Pay Act; (viii) the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, as amended; (ix) the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA), 29 U.S.C. § 1161, et seq.; (x) the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; (xi) the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. § 2601, et seq., as amended; (xii) the Civil Rights Act of 1966; (xiii) the National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. § 151, et seq.; (xiv) the Workers Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (WARN), 29 USC § 2100 et. seq., as amended; (xv) the California Fair Employment and Housing Act; (xvi) the California Constitution; (xvii) the California Labor Code; (xviii) the California Government Code; (xix) the California Civil Code; and (xx) any and all other federal, state and local statutes, ordinances, regulations, rules and other laws, and any and all claims based on constitutional, statutory, common law or regulatory grounds as well as any other claims based on theories of wrongful or constructive discharge, breach of contract or implied contract, fraud, misrepresentation, promissory estoppel or intentional and/or negligent infliction of emotional distress, or damages under any other federal, state or local statutes, ordinances, regulations, rules or laws. This release is for any and all relief, no matter how denominated, including, but not limited to, back pay, front pay, vacation pay, bonuses, compensatory damages, tortious damages, liquidated damages, punitive damages, damages for pain and suffering, and attorney fees and costs. Finally, Plaintiff hereby forever releases and discharges Defendants and the Released Parties from any and all claims for attorney fees and costs arising out of the matters released in this Agreement. This release does not include claims that cannot be released by agreement, including but not limited to workers'

compensation claims.

- 5.2.2 Section 1542. Plaintiff specifically acknowledges that he is aware of and familiar with the provisions of California Civil Code Section 1542 (“Section 1542”), which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Plaintiff, being aware of Section 1542, hereby expressly waives and relinquishes all rights and benefits he may have under Section 1542 as well as any other statutes or common law principles of a similar effect. Plaintiff and Defendants may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which they now know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Class Claims and all the claims referenced in this Agreement, but they stipulate and agree that Plaintiff shall and hereby does fully, finally, and forever settle and release any and all claims against Defendants, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, which now exist or previously existed upon any theory of law or equity without regard to the subsequent discovery of such different or additional facts.

- 5.2.3 Period to Consider Terms of Agreement. Plaintiff acknowledges that he is entitled to and has been given 21 days to consider whether to accept the terms of the release given in Paragraph 6.2 of this Agreement. If Plaintiff executes this Agreement before the expiration of the 21-day period, he does so voluntarily, upon the advice and with the approval of Class Counsel, and he expressly and voluntarily waives his right to consider the release of this Paragraph 5.2 for any remaining portion of that 21-day period.
- 5.2.4 Revocation of Agreement. Plaintiff understands that, after executing this Agreement, he has the right to revoke it within 7 days after execution. Plaintiff understands that this Agreement will not become effective and enforceable unless and until the 7-day revocation period has passed. The release provided for in Paragraph 5.2 by Plaintiff shall become effective and enforceable as of the Effective Date of this Agreement provided that the Effective Date of this Agreement occurs after the 7-day revocation period has expired.
- 5.2.5 Waiver of Money or Damages. Plaintiff also agrees that, to the extent permitted by law, if a claim is prosecuted in his name against Released Parties before any court or administrative agency, he waives and agrees not to take any award of money or other damages from such proceeding. Plaintiff agrees that, if a claim is prosecuted in his name against Released Parties that, unless otherwise compelled by law, he will immediately request in writing that the

claim on be withdrawn upon a written request by Defendants' counsel.

5.3 Release by Participating Class Members.

5.3.1 Upon Defendants' payment of the Gross Settlement Amount, and except as to the right to enforce the terms and conditions of this Agreement, all Participating Class Members shall be deemed to have fully released the Released Parties from the Released Class Claims.

5.3.2 Upon Defendants' payment of the Gross Settlement Amount, and except as to the right to enforce the terms and conditions of this Agreement, all Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees shall be deemed to have fully released the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims.

5.4 Release by Non-Participating Class Members Who Are Aggrieved Employees: All Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint, the PAGA Notice, and ascertained in the course of the Action.

6. **MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL.** The Parties agree to jointly prepare and file a motion for preliminary approval ("Motion for Preliminary Approval") that complies with the Court's current checklist for Preliminary Approvals.

6.1 Plaintiff's Responsibilities. Plaintiff will prepare and deliver to Defense Counsel all documents necessary for obtaining Preliminary Approval, including: (i) a draft of the notice, and memorandum in support, of the Motion for Preliminary Approval that includes an analysis of the Settlement under *Dunk/Kullar* and a request for approval of the PAGA Settlement under Labor Code Section 2699, subd. (f)(2); (ii) a draft proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval and Approval of PAGA Settlement; (iii) a draft proposed Class Notice; (iv) a signed declaration from the Administrator attaching its "not to exceed" bid for administering the Settlement and attesting to its willingness to serve; competency; operative procedures for protecting the security of Class Data; amounts of insurance coverage for any data breach, defalcation of funds or other misfeasance; all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflicts of interest with Class Members and/or the proposed Cy Pres; and the nature and extent of any financial relationship with Plaintiff, Class Counsel or Defense Counsel; (v) a signed declaration from each Class Counsel firm attesting to its competency to represent the Class Members; its timely transmission to the LWDA of all necessary PAGA documents (initial notice of violations (Labor Code section 2699.3, subd. (a)), Operative Complaint (Labor Code section 2699, subd. (l)(1)), this Agreement (Labor Code section 2699, subd. (l)(2)); (vi) a redlined version of the parties' Agreement showing all modifications made to the Model Agreement ready for filing with the Court; and (vii) all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflict of interest with Class Members, the Administrator and/or the Cy Pres Recipient. In their Declarations, Class Counsel shall aver that they are not aware of any other pending

matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or adversely affected by the Settlement.

- 6.2 Responsibilities of Counsel. Class Counsel and Defense Counsel are jointly responsible for making best efforts to expeditiously finalize and file the Motion for Preliminary Approval no later than 30 days after the full execution of this Agreement; obtain a prompt hearing date for the Motion for Preliminary Approval; and appear in Court to advocate in favor of the Motion for Preliminary Approval. Class Counsel is responsible for delivering the Court's Preliminary Approval to the Administrator.
- 6.3 Duty to Cooperate. If the Parties disagree on any aspect of the proposed Motion for Preliminary Approval and/or the supporting declarations and documents, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously work together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to resolve the disagreement. If the Court does not grant Preliminary Approval or conditions Preliminary Approval on any material change to this Agreement, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously work together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to modify the Agreement and otherwise satisfy the Court's concerns.

7. SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION.

- 7.1 Selection of Administrator. The Parties have jointly selected Simpluris, Inc. to serve as the Administrator and verified that, as a condition of appointment, Simpluris, Inc. agrees to be bound by this Agreement and to perform, as a fiduciary, all duties specified in this Agreement in exchange for payment of Administration Expenses. The Parties and their Counsel represent that they have no interest or relationship, financial or otherwise, with the Administrator other than a professional relationship arising out of prior experiences administering settlements. Out of two highly regarded settlement administrators with substantial class action administration experience, the lower bid was provided by Simpluris, Inc., with a "not to exceed" cap of \$10,000. Class Counsel deems the bid to be reasonable considering the Class size, amount of mailing, and services provided, including skip tracing, re-mailing, calculating payroll tax, and other duties.
- 7.2 Employer Identification Number. The Administrator shall have and use its own Employer Identification Number for purposes of calculating payroll tax withholdings and providing reports state and federal tax authorities.
- 7.3 Qualified Settlement Fund. The Administrator shall establish a settlement fund that meets the requirements of a Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") under US Treasury Regulation section 468B-1.
- 7.4 Notice to Class Members.
 - 7.4.1 No later than three (3) business days after receipt of the Class Data, the Administrator shall notify Class Counsel that the list has been received and state the number of Class Members, PAGA Members, Workweeks, and Pay

Periods in the Class Data.

- 7.4.2 Using best efforts to perform as soon as possible, and in no event later than 14 days after receiving the Class Data, the Administrator will send to all Class Members identified in the Class Data, via first-class United States Postal Service (“USPS”) mail, the Class Notice substantially in the form attached to this Agreement as Exhibit A. The first page of the Class Notice shall prominently estimate the dollar amounts of any Individual Class Payment and/or Individual PAGA Payment payable to the Class Member, and the number of Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods (if applicable) used to calculate these amounts. Before mailing Class Notices, the Administrator shall update Class Member addresses using the National Change of Address database.
- 7.4.3 Not later than 3 business days after the Administrator’s receipt of any Class Notice returned by the USPS as undelivered, the Administrator shall re-mail the Class Notice using any forwarding address provided by the USPS. If the USPS does not provide a forwarding address, the Administrator shall conduct a Class Member Address Search, and re-mail the Class Notice to the most current address obtained. The Administrator has no obligation to make further attempts to locate or send Class Notice to Class Members whose Class Notice is returned by the USPS a second time.
- 7.4.4 The deadlines for Class Members’ written objections, Challenges to Workweeks and/or Pay Periods, and Requests for Exclusion will be extended an additional 14 days beyond the 60 days otherwise provided in the Class Notice for all Class Members whose notice is re-mailed. The Administrator will inform the Class Member of the extended deadline with the re-mailed Class Notice.
- 7.4.5 If the Administrator, Defendants, or Class Counsel are contacted by or otherwise discover any persons who believe they should have been included in the Class Data and should have received Class Notice, the Parties will expeditiously meet and confer in person or by telephone, and in good faith in an effort to agree on whether to include them as Class Members. If the Parties agree, such persons will be Class Members entitled to the same rights as other Class Members, and the Administrator will send, via email or overnight delivery, a Class Notice requiring them to exercise options under this Agreement not later than 14 days after receipt of Class Notice, or the deadline dates in the Class Notice, which ever are later.

7.5 Requests for Exclusion (Opt-Outs).

- 7.5.1 Class Members who wish to exclude themselves (opt-out of) the Class Settlement must send the Administrator, by fax, email, or mail, a signed written Request for Exclusion not later than 60 days after the Administrator mails the Class Notice (plus an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed). A Request for Exclusion is a letter from a Class Member or his/her representative that reasonably communicates the Class

Member's election to be excluded from the Settlement and includes the Class Member's name, address and email address or telephone number. To be valid, a Request for Exclusion must be timely faxed, emailed, or postmarked by the Response Deadline.

- 7.5.2 The Administrator may not reject a Request for Exclusion as invalid because it fails to contain all the information specified in the Class Notice. The Administrator shall accept any Request for Exclusion as valid if the Administrator can reasonably ascertain the identity of the person as a Class Member and the Class Member's desire to be excluded. The Administrator's determination shall be final and not appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge. If the Administrator has reason to question the authenticity of a Request for Exclusion, the Administrator may demand additional proof of the Class Member's identity. The Administrator's determination of authenticity shall be final and not appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge.
- 7.5.3 Every Class Member who does not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion is deemed to be a Participating Class Member under this Agreement, entitled to all benefits and bound by all terms and conditions of the Settlement, including the Participating Class Members' Releases under Paragraphs 5.3 of this Agreement, regardless of whether the Participating Class Member actually receives the Class Notice or objects to the Settlement.
- 7.5.4 Every Class Member who submits a valid and timely Request for Exclusion is a Non-Participating Class Member and shall not receive an Individual Class Payment or have the right to object to the class action components of the Settlement. Because future PAGA claims are subject to claim preclusion upon entry of the Judgment, Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release the claims identified in Paragraph 5.4 of this Agreement and are eligible for an Individual PAGA Payment.
- 7.6 Challenges to Calculation of Workweeks. Each Class Member shall have 60 days after the Administrator mails the Class Notice (plus an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed) to challenge the number of Class Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods (if any) allocated to the Class Member in the Class Notice. The Class Member may challenge the allocation by communicating with the Administrator via fax, email or mail. The Administrator must encourage the challenging Class Member to submit supporting documentation. In the absence of any contrary documentation, the Administrator is entitled to presume that the Workweeks contained in the Class Notice are correct so long as they are consistent with the Class Data. The Administrator's determination of each Class Member's allocation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods shall be final and not appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge. The Administrator shall promptly provide copies of all challenges to calculation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods to Defense Counsel and Class Counsel and the Administrator's determination the challenges.
- 7.7 Objections to Settlement.

- 7.7.1 Only Participating Class Members may object to the class action components of the Settlement and/or this Agreement, including contesting the fairness of the Settlement, and/or amounts requested for the Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and/or Class Representative Service Payment.
 - 7.7.2 Participating Class Members may send written objections to the Administrator by fax, email, or mail. In the alternative, Participating Class Members may appear in Court (or hire an attorney to appear in Court) to present verbal objections at the Final Approval Hearing. A Participating Class Member who elects to send a written objection to the Administrator must do so not later than 60 days after the Administrator's mailing of the Class Notice (plus an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice was re-mailed).
 - 7.7.3 Non-Participating Class Members have no right to object to any of the class action components of the Settlement.
- 7.8 Administrator Duties. The Administrator has a duty to perform or observe all tasks to be performed or observed by the Administrator contained in this Agreement or otherwise.
- 7.8.1 Website, Email Address and Toll-Free Number. The Administrator will establish and maintain and use an internet website to post information of interest to Class Members including the date, time and location for the Final Approval Hearing and copies of the Settlement Agreement, Motion for Preliminary Approval, the Preliminary Approval, the Class Notice, the Motion for Final Approval, the Motion for Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and Class Representative Service Payment, the Final Approval and the Judgment. The Administrator will also maintain and monitor an email address and a toll-free telephone number to receive Class Member calls, faxes and emails.
 - 7.8.2 Requests for Exclusion (Opt-outs) and Exclusion List. The Administrator will promptly review on a rolling basis Requests for Exclusion to ascertain their validity. Not later than 5 days after the expiration of the deadline for submitting Requests for Exclusion, the Administrator shall email a list to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel containing (a) the names and other identifying information of Class Members who have timely submitted valid Requests for Exclusion ("Exclusion List"); (b) the names and other identifying information of Class Members who have submitted invalid Requests for Exclusion; (c) copies of all Requests for Exclusion from Settlement submitted (whether valid or invalid).
 - 7.8.3 Weekly Reports. The Administrator must, on a weekly basis, provide written reports to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel that, among other things, tally the number of: Class Notices mailed or re-mailed, Class Notices returned undelivered, Requests for Exclusion (whether valid or invalid) received,

objections received, challenges to Workweeks and/or Pay Periods received and/or resolved, and checks mailed for Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments (“Weekly Report”). The Weekly Reports must include provide the Administrator’s assessment of the validity of Requests for Exclusion and attach copies of all Requests for Exclusion and objections received.

- 7.8.4 Workweek and/or Pay Period Challenges. The Administrator has the authority to address and make final decisions consistent with the terms of this Agreement on all Class Member challenges over the calculation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods. The Administrator’s decision shall be final and not appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge.
- 7.8.5 Administrator’s Declaration. Not later than 14 days before the date by which Plaintiff is required to file the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement, the Administrator will provide to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel, a signed declaration suitable for filing in Court attesting to its due diligence and compliance with all of its obligations under this Agreement, including, but not limited to, its mailing of Class Notice, the Class Notices returned as undelivered, the re-mailing of Class Notices, attempts to locate Class Members, the total number of Requests for Exclusion from Settlement it received (both valid or invalid), the number of written objections and attach the Exclusion List. The Administrator will supplement its declaration as needed or requested by the Parties and/or the Court. Class Counsel is responsible for filing the Administrator’s declaration(s) in Court.
- 7.8.6 Final Report by Settlement Administrator. Within 10 days after the Administrator disburses all funds in the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will provide Class Counsel and Defense Counsel with a final report detailing its disbursements by employee identification number only of all payments made under this Agreement. At least 15 days before any deadline set by the Court, the Administrator will prepare, and submit to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel, a signed declaration suitable for filing in Court attesting to its disbursement of all payments required under this Agreement. Class Counsel is responsible for filing the Administrator's declaration in Court.

8. **CLASS SIZE ESTIMATES.** Based on its records, Defendants estimate that, as of the date of this Settlement Agreement, (1) there are 677 Class Members and 28,555 Total Workweeks during the Class period and (2) there were 294 Aggrieved Employees who worked 8,806 Pay Periods during the PAGA Period.
9. **DEFENDANTS’ RIGHT TO WITHDRAW.** If the number of valid Requests for Exclusion identified in the Exclusion List exceeds 10% of the total of all Class Members, Defendants may elect, but are not obligated, to withdraw from the Settlement. The Parties agree that, if Defendants withdraw, the Settlement shall be void ab initio, have no force or effect whatsoever, and that neither Party will have any further obligation to perform under this Agreement; provided, however, Defendants will remain responsible for paying all Settlement Administration

Expenses incurred to that point. Defendants must notify Class Counsel and the Court of their election to withdraw not later than seven days after the Administrator sends the final Exclusion List to Defense Counsel; late elections will have no effect.

10. MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL. Not later than 16 court days before the calendared Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiff will file in Court, a motion for final approval of the Settlement that includes a request for approval of the PAGA settlement under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (l), a Proposed Final Approval Order and a proposed Judgment (collectively “Motion for Final Approval”). Plaintiff shall provide drafts of these documents to Defense Counsel not later than five days prior to filing the Motion for Final Approval. Class Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously meet and confer in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to resolve any disagreements concerning the Motion for Final Approval.

10.1 Response to Objections. Each Party retains the right to respond to any objection raised by a Participating Class Member, including the right to file responsive documents in Court no later than five court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, or as otherwise ordered or accepted by the Court.

10.2 Duty to Cooperate. If the Court does not grant Final Approval or conditions Final Approval on any material change to the Settlement (including, but not limited to, the scope of release to be granted by Class Members), the Parties will expeditiously work together in good faith to address the Court’s concerns by revising the Agreement as necessary to obtain Final Approval. The Court’s decision to award less than the amounts requested for the Class Representative Service Payment, Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and/or Administrator Expenses Payment shall not constitute a material modification to the Agreement within the meaning of this paragraph.

10.3 Continuing Jurisdiction of the Court. The Parties agree that, after entry of Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over the Parties, Action, and the Settlement solely for purposes of (i) enforcing this Agreement and/or Judgment, (ii) addressing settlement administration matters, and (iii) addressing such post-Judgment matters as are permitted by law.

10.4 Waiver of Right to Appeal. Provided the Judgment is consistent with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, specifically including the Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment reflected set forth in this Settlement, the Parties, their respective counsel, and all Participating Class Members who did not object to the Settlement as provided in this Agreement, waive all rights to appeal from the Judgment, including all rights to post-judgment and appellate proceedings, the right to file motions to vacate judgment, motions for new trial, extraordinary writs, and appeals. The waiver of appeal does not include any waiver of the right to oppose such motions, writs or appeals. If an objector appeals the Judgment, the Parties’ obligations to perform under this Agreement will be suspended until such time as the appeal is finally resolved and the Judgment becomes final, except as to matters that do not affect the amount of the Net Settlement Amount.

10.5 Appellate Court Orders to Vacate, Reverse, or Materially Modify Judgment. If the

reviewing Court vacates, reverses, or modifies the Judgment in a manner that requires a material modification of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, the scope of release to be granted by Class Members), this Agreement shall be null and void. The Parties shall nevertheless expeditiously work together in good faith to address the appellate court's concerns and to obtain Final Approval and entry of Judgment, sharing, on a 50-50 basis, any additional Administration Expenses reasonably incurred after remittitur. An appellate decision to vacate, reverse, or modify the Court's award of the Class Representative Service Payment or any payments to Class Counsel shall not constitute a material modification of the Judgment within the meaning of this paragraph, as long as the Gross Settlement Amount remains unchanged.

11. **AMENDED JUDGMENT.** If any amended judgment is required under Code of Civil Procedure section 384, the Parties will work together in good faith to jointly submit and a proposed amended judgment.

12. **ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS.**

- 12.1 No Admission of Liability, Class Certification or Representative Manageability for Other Purposes. This Agreement represents a compromise and settlement of highly disputed claims. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or should be construed as an admission by Defendants that any of the allegations in the Operative Complaint have merit or that Defendants have any liability for any claims asserted; nor should it be intended or construed as an admission by Plaintiff that Defendants' defenses in the Action have merit. The Parties agree that class certification and representative treatment is for purposes of this Settlement only. If, for any reason the Court does grant Preliminary Approval, Final Approval or enter Judgment, Defendants reserve the right to contest certification of any class for any reasons, and Defendants reserve all available defenses to the claims in the Action, and Plaintiff reserves the right to move for class certification on any grounds available and to contest Defendants' defenses. The Settlement, this Agreement and Parties' willingness to settle the Action will have no bearing on, and will not be admissible in connection with, any litigation (except for proceedings to enforce or effectuate the Settlement and this Agreement).
- 12.2 Confidentiality Prior to Preliminary Approval. Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants, and Defense Counsel separately agree that, until the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement is filed, they and each of them will not disclose, disseminate and/or publicize, or cause or permit another person to disclose, disseminate or publicize, any of the terms of the Agreement directly or indirectly, specifically or generally, to any person, corporation, association, government agency, or other entity except: (1) to the Parties' attorneys, accountants, or spouses, all of whom will be instructed to keep this Agreement confidential; (2) counsel in a related matter; (3) to the extent necessary to report income to appropriate taxing authorities; (4) in response to a court order or subpoena; or (5) in response to an inquiry or subpoena issued by a state or federal government agency. Each Party agrees to immediately notify each other Party of any judicial or agency order, inquiry, or subpoena seeking such information. Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants, and Defense Counsel separately agree not to, directly or indirectly, initiate any conversation or other communication, before the

filing of the Motion for Preliminary Approval, with any third party regarding this Agreement or the matters giving rise to this Agreement except to respond only that “the matter was resolved,” or words to that effect. This paragraph does not restrict Class Counsel’s communications with Class Members in accordance with Class Counsel’s ethical obligations owed to Class Members.

- 12.3 **Neutral Employment Reference.** If Defendants receive any requests from prospective employers or other persons about Plaintiff’s employment, Defendants shall provide a neutral and objective employment reference, to the extent permitted by law, and shall, in compliance with their legal obligations, refrain from subjectively characterizing Plaintiff’s personal characteristics, qualities, or job performance in any manner that reflects poorly on Plaintiff and shall not refer to the Action or this Settlement. This agreement does not, in any way, prohibit Defendants from providing complete and accurate responses to requests for employment verifications as required by law.
- 12.4 **No Solicitation.** The Parties separately agree that they and their respective counsel and employees will not solicit any Class Member to opt out of or object to the Settlement, or appeal from the Judgment. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to restrict Class Counsel’s ability to communicate with Class Members in accordance with Class Counsel’s ethical obligations owed to Class Members.
- 12.5 **Integrated Agreement.** Upon execution by all Parties and their counsel, this Agreement together with its attached exhibits shall constitute the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the Settlement, superseding any and all oral representations, warranties, covenants, or inducements made to or by any Party.
- 12.6 **Attorney Authorization.** Class Counsel and Defense Counsel separately warrant and represent that they are authorized by Plaintiff and Defendants, respectively, to take all appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by such Parties pursuant to this Agreement to effectuate its terms, and to execute any other documents reasonably required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement including any amendments to this Agreement.
- 12.7 **Cooperation.** The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their best efforts, in good faith, to implement the Settlement by, among other things, modifying the Settlement Agreement, submitting supplemental evidence and supplementing points and authorities as requested by the Court. In the event the Parties are unable to agree upon the form or content of any document necessary to implement the Settlement, or on any modification of the Agreement that may become necessary to implement the Settlement, the Parties will seek the assistance of a mediator and/or the Court for resolution.
- 12.8 **No Prior Assignments.** The Parties separately represent and warrant that they have not directly or indirectly assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber to any person or entity and portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action, or right released and discharged by the Party in this Settlement.

- 12.9 No Tax Advice. Neither Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants nor Defense Counsel are providing any advice regarding taxes or taxability, nor shall anything in this Settlement be relied upon as such within the meaning of United States Treasury Department Circular 230 (31 CFR Part 10, as amended) or otherwise.
- 12.10 Modification of Agreement. This Agreement, and all parts of it, may be amended, modified, changed, or waived only by an express written instrument signed by all Parties or their representatives, and approved by the Court.
- 12.11 Agreement Binding on Successors. This Agreement will be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors of each of the Parties.
- 12.12 Applicable Law. All terms and conditions of this Agreement and its exhibits will be governed by and interpreted according to the internal laws of the state of California, without regard to conflict of law principles.
- 12.13 Cooperation in Drafting. The Parties have cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Agreement. This Agreement will not be construed against any Party on the basis that the Party was the drafter or participated in the drafting.
- 12.14 Confidentiality. To the extent permitted by law, all agreements made, and orders entered during Action and in this Agreement relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive the execution of this Agreement.
- 12.14.1 Neither Plaintiff nor Class Counsel shall issue any press release related to the Settlement. Plaintiff and Class Counsel agree that, prior to preliminary approval of the Settlement, they will keep the terms of the Settlement confidential except for purposes of communicating with Plaintiff. Plaintiff shall be informed that the Settlement is confidential and shall be advised to keep the Settlement confidential.
- 12.14.2 From and after preliminary approval of the Settlement, Plaintiff and Class Counsel may: (i) as required by law; (ii) as required under the terms of the Settlement; or (iii) as required under Class Counsel's duties and responsibilities as Class Counsel, comment regarding the specific terms of the Settlement. In all other cases, Plaintiff and Class Counsel agree to limit their statements regarding the terms of the Settlement, whether oral, written or electronic (including the world wide web), to say the Action has been resolved. Nothing in this Paragraph is intended to interfere with Class Counsel's duties and obligations to faithfully discharge their duties as Class Counsel, including but not limited to, communicating with Settlement Class members regarding the Settlement.
- 12.14.3 Following entry of the order granting final approval of the Settlement, Class Counsel shall be prohibited from including information regarding the Settlement on its firm's website.
- 12.15 Use and Return of Class Data. Information provided to Class Counsel pursuant to Cal. Evid. Code §1152, and all copies and summaries of the Class Data provided to

Class Counsel by XYZ in connection with the mediation, other settlement negotiations, or in connection with the Settlement, may be used only with respect to this Settlement, and no other purpose, and may not be used in any way that violates any existing contractual agreement, statute, or rule of court. Not later than 90 days after the date when the Court discharges the Administrator's obligation to provide a Declaration confirming the final pay out of all Settlement funds, Plaintiff shall destroy, all paper and electronic versions of Class Data received from XYZ unless, prior to the Court's discharge of the Administrator's obligation, XYZ makes a written request to Class Counsel for the return, rather than the destructions, of Class Data.

- 12.16 Headings. The descriptive heading of any section or paragraph of this Agreement is inserted for convenience of reference only and does not constitute a part of this Agreement.
- 12.17 Calendar Days. Unless otherwise noted, all reference to "days" in this Agreement shall be to calendar days. In the event any date or deadline set forth in this Agreement falls on a weekend or federal legal holiday, such date or deadline shall be on the first business day thereafter.
- 12.18 Notice. All notices, demands or other communications between the Parties in connection with this Agreement will be in writing and deemed to have been duly given as of the third business day after mailing by United States mail, or the day sent by email or messenger, addressed as follows:

To Plaintiff:

The Kick Law Firm, APC
815 Moraga Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90049

To Defendants:

Scopelitis, Garvin, Light, Hanson & Feary, P.C.
10 West Market Street, Suite 1400
Indianapolis, IN 46204

- 12.19 Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts by facsimile, electronically (i.e. DocuSign), or email which for purposes of this Agreement shall be accepted as an original. All executed counterparts and each of them will be deemed to be one and the same instrument if counsel for the Parties will exchange between themselves signed counterparts. Any executed counterpart will be admissible in evidence to prove the existence and contents of this Agreement.
- 12.20 Stay of Litigation. The Parties agree that upon the execution of this Agreement the litigation shall be stayed, except to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The Parties further agree that upon the signing of this Agreement that pursuant to CCP

section 583.330 to extend the date to bring a case to trial under CCP section 583.310 for the entire period of this settlement process.

10/6/2022

DocuSigned by:
Julio Polar
D5F8E1EF0249459...

Julio Polar

For Plaintiff

For Defendants

9/23/2022

DocuSigned by:
Taras Kick
6DE1B0718B564B2...

Taras Kick

Kick Law Firm, APC

Counsel For Plaintiff

Counsel For Defendants

section 583.330 to extend the date to bring a case to trial under CCP section 583.310 for the entire period of this settlement process.

_____ For Plaintiff


_____ **Bob Button** *KER* For Defendants

_____ Counsel For Plaintiff


_____ Counsel For Defendants

Exhibit A

COURT APPROVED NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND HEARING DATE FOR FINAL COURT APPROVAL

(Polar, et al. v. Button Transportation, Inc., et al., LASC Case No. 21STCV02575)

***The Superior Court for the State of California authorized this Notice. Read it carefully!
It's not junk mail, spam, an advertisement, or solicitation by a lawyer. You are not being sued.***

You may be eligible to receive money from an employee class action lawsuit ("Action") against Defendants Button Transportation, Inc., a California corporation, Button Transportation, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, and Robert L. Button (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Button" or "Defendants") for alleged wage and hour violations. The Action was filed by former Button employee Julio Polar ("Plaintiff") and seeks payment of (1) back wages and other relief for a class of all current and former drivers ("Class Members") who worked for Button in the State of California at any time during the Class Period; and (2) penalties under the California Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA") for all Class Members who worked for Button during the PAGA Period ("Aggrieved Employees"). The Class Period is from January 21, 2017 through <<PA filing date>>. The PAGA Period is from January 20, 2020 through <<PA filing date>>.

The proposed Settlement has two main parts: (1) a Class Settlement requiring Button to fund Individual Class Payments, and (2) a PAGA Settlement requiring Button to fund Individual PAGA Payments and pay penalties to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA").

Based on Button's records, and the Parties' current assumptions, **your Individual Class Payment is estimated to be \$ [REDACTED] (less withholding) and your Individual PAGA Payment is estimated to be \$ [REDACTED]**. The actual amount you may receive likely will be different and will depend on a number of factors. (If no amount is stated for your Individual PAGA Payment, then according to Button's records you are not eligible for an Individual PAGA Payment under the Settlement because you didn't work during the PAGA Period.)

The above estimates are based on Button's records showing that **you worked [REDACTED] workweeks** during the Class Period and **you worked [REDACTED] workweeks** during the PAGA Period. If you believe that you worked more workweeks during either period, you can submit a challenge by the deadline date. See Section 4 of this Notice.

The Court has already preliminarily approved the proposed Settlement and approved this Notice. The Court has not yet decided whether to grant final approval. Your legal rights are affected whether you act or not act. Read this Notice carefully. You will be deemed to have carefully read and understood it. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will decide whether to finally approve the Settlement and how much of the Settlement will be paid to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's attorneys ("Class Counsel"). The Court will also decide whether to enter a judgment that requires Button to make payments under the Settlement and requires Class Members and Aggrieved Employees to give up their rights to assert certain claims against Button.

If you worked for Button during the Class Period and/or the PAGA Period, you have two basic options under the Settlement:

(1) **Do Nothing.** You don't have to do anything to participate in the proposed Settlement and be eligible for an Individual Class Payment and/or an Individual PAGA Payment. As a Participating Class Member, though, you will give up your right to assert Class Period wage claims and PAGA Period penalty claims against Button.

(2) **Opt-Out of the Class Settlement.** You can exclude yourself from the Class Settlement (opt-out) by submitting the written Request for Exclusion or otherwise notifying the Administrator in writing. If you opt-out of the Settlement, you will not receive an Individual Class Payment. You will, however, preserve your right to personally pursue Class Period wage claims against Button, and, if you are an Aggrieved Employee, remain eligible for an Individual PAGA Payment. You cannot opt-out of the PAGA portion of the proposed Settlement.

Button will not retaliate against you for any actions you take with respect to the proposed Settlement.

SUMMARY OF YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT

You Don't Have to Do Anything to Participate in the Settlement	If you do nothing, you will be a Participating Class Member, eligible for an Individual Class Payment and an Individual PAGA Payment (if any). In exchange, you will give up your right to assert the wage claims against Button that are covered by this Settlement (Released Claims).
You Can Opt-out of the Class Settlement but not the PAGA Settlement The Opt-out Deadline is [RESPONSE DEADLINE]	If you don't want to fully participate in the proposed Settlement, you can opt-out of the Class Settlement by sending the Administrator a written Request for Exclusion. Once excluded, you will be a Non-Participating Class Member and no longer eligible for an Individual Class Payment. Non-Participating Class Members cannot object to any portion of the proposed Settlement. See Section 6 of this Notice. You cannot opt-out of the PAGA portion of the proposed Settlement. Button must pay Individual PAGA Payments to all Aggrieved Employees and the Aggrieved Employees must give up their rights to pursue Released Claims (defined below).

Participating Class Members Can Object to the Class Settlement but not the PAGA Settlement Written Objections Must be Submitted by [RESPONSE DEADLINE]	All Class Members who do not opt-out (“Participating Class Members”) can object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement. The Court’s decision whether to finally approve the Settlement will include a determination of how much will be paid to Class Counsel and Plaintiff who pursued the Action on behalf of the Class. You are not personally responsible for any payments to Class Counsel or Plaintiff, but every dollar paid to Class Counsel and Plaintiff reduces the overall amount paid to Participating Class Members. You can object to the amounts requested by Class Counsel or Plaintiff if you think they are unreasonable. See Section 7 of this Notice.
You Can Participate in the [] Final Approval Hearing	The Court’s Final Approval Hearing is scheduled to take place on []. You don’t have to attend but you do have the right to appear (or hire an attorney to appear on your behalf at your own cost), in person, by telephone or by using the Court’s virtual appearance platform. Participating Class Members can verbally object to the Settlement at the Final Approval Hearing. See Section 8 of this Notice.
You Can Challenge the Calculation of Your Workweeks/Pay Periods Written Challenges Must be Submitted by [RESPONSE DEADLINE]	The amount of your Individual Class Payment and PAGA Payment (if any) depend on how many workweeks you worked at least one day during the Class Period and how many Pay Periods you worked at least one day during the PAGA Period, respectively. The number Class Period Workweeks and number of PAGA Period Pay Periods you worked according to Button’s records is stated on the first page of this Notice. If you disagree with either of these numbers, you must challenge it by [RESPONSE DEADLINE]. See Section 4 of this Notice.

1. WHAT IS THE ACTION ABOUT?

Plaintiff is a former employee of Button. The Action accuses Button of violating California labor laws by failing to pay minimum wages, wages due upon separation, and reimbursable expenses and failing to provide meal periods, rest breaks, and accurate itemized wage statements. Based on the same claims, Plaintiff has also asserted a claim for civil penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.) (“PAGA”). Plaintiff is represented by attorneys in the Action: The Kick Law Firm, APC (“Class Counsel”).

Button strongly denies violating any laws or failing to pay any wages and contends it complied with all applicable laws.

2. WHAT DOES IT MEAN THAT THE ACTION HAS SETTLED?

So far, the Court has made no determination whether Button or Plaintiff is correct on the merits. In the meantime, Plaintiff and Button hired an experienced, neutral mediator in an effort to resolve the Action by negotiating an to end the case by agreement (settle the case) rather than continuing the expensive and time-consuming process of litigation. The negotiations were successful. By signing a lengthy written settlement agreement (“Agreement”) and agreeing to jointly ask the Court to enter a judgment ending the Action and enforcing the Agreement, Plaintiff and Button have negotiated a proposed Settlement that is subject to the Court’s Final Approval. Both sides agree the proposed Settlement is a compromise of disputed claims. By agreeing to settle, Button does not admit any violations or concede the merit of any claims.

Plaintiff and Class Counsel strongly believe the Settlement is a good deal for you because they believe that: (1) Button has agreed to pay a fair, reasonable and adequate amount considering the strength of the claims and the risks and uncertainties of continued litigation; and (2) Settlement is in the best interests of the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees. The Court preliminarily approved the proposed Settlement as fair, reasonable and adequate, authorized this Notice, and scheduled a hearing to determine Final Approval.

3. WHAT ARE THE IMPORTANT TERMS OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?

- A. Button Will Pay \$800,000 as the Gross Settlement Amount (“Gross Settlement”). Button has agreed to deposit the Gross Settlement into an account controlled by the Administrator of the Settlement. The Administrator will use the Gross Settlement to pay the Individual Class Payments, Individual PAGA Payments, Class Representative Service Payment, Class Counsel’s attorney’s fees and expenses, the Administrator’s expenses, and penalties to be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”). Assuming the Court grants Final Approval, Button will fund the Gross Settlement not more than 30 days after the Judgment entered by the Court become final. The Judgment will be final on the date the Court enters Judgment, or a later date if Participating Class Members object to the proposed Settlement or the Judgment is appealed.
- B. Court Approved Deductions from Gross Settlement. At the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiff and/or Class Counsel will ask the Court to approve the following deductions from the Gross Settlement, the amounts of which will be decided by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing:
 - i. Up to one-third (33-1/3%) of the Gross Settlement, which is currently estimated to be \$266,667 to Class Counsel for attorneys’ fees and up to \$25,000 for their litigation expenses. To date, Class Counsel have worked and incurred expenses on the Action without payment.
 - ii. Up to \$10,000 as a Class Representative Award for filing the Action, working with Class Counsel and representing the Class. A Class Representative Award will be the only monies Plaintiff will receive other than Plaintiff’s Individual Class Payment and any Individual PAGA

Payment.

- iii. Up to \$10,000 to the Administrator for services administering the Settlement.
- iv. Up to \$40,000 for PAGA Penalties, allocated 75% to the LWDA PAGA Payment and 25% in Individual PAGA Payments to the Aggrieved Employees based on their PAGA Period Pay Periods.

Participating Class Members have the right to object to any of these deductions. The Court will consider all objections.

- C. Net Settlement Distributed to Class Members. After making the above deductions in amounts approved by the Court, the Administrator will distribute the rest of the Gross Settlement (the “Net Settlement”) by making Individual Class Payments to Participating Class Members based on their Class Period Workweeks.
- D. Taxes Owed on Payments to Class Members. Plaintiff and Button are asking the Court to approve an allocation of 20% of each Individual Class Payment to taxable wages (“Wage Portion”) and 80% to interest and penalties (“Non-Wage Portion”). The Wage Portion is subject to withholdings and will be reported on IRS W-2 Forms. The Individual PAGA Payments are counted as penalties rather than wages for tax purposes. The Administrator will report the Individual PAGA Payments and the Non-Wage Portions of the Individual Class Payments on IRS 1099 Forms.

Although Plaintiff and Button have agreed to these allocations, neither side is giving you any advice on whether your Payments are taxable or how much you might owe in taxes. You are responsible for paying all taxes (including penalties and interest on back taxes) on any Payments received from the proposed Settlement. You should consult a tax advisor if you have any questions about the tax consequences of the proposed Settlement.

- E. Need to Promptly Cash Payment Checks. The front of every check issued for Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments will show the date when the check expires (the void date). If you don’t cash it by the void date, your check will be automatically cancelled, and the monies will irrevocably lost to you because they will be paid to a non-profit organization or foundation (“Cy Pres”).
- F. Requests for Exclusion from the Class Settlement (Opt-Outs). You will be treated as a Participating Class Member, participating fully in the Class Settlement, unless you notify the Administrator in writing, not later than [RESPONSE DEADLINE], that you wish to opt-out. The easiest way to notify the Administrator is to send a written and signed Request for Exclusion by the [] Response Deadline. The Request for Exclusion should be a letter from a Class Member or his/her representative setting forth a Class Member’s name, present address, telephone number, and a simple statement electing to be excluded from the Settlement. Excluded Class Members (i.e., Non-Participating Class Members) will not receive Individual Class Payments,

but will preserve their rights to personally pursue wage and hour claims against Button.

You cannot opt-out of the PAGA portion of the Settlement. Class Members who exclude themselves from the Class Settlement (Non-Participating Class Members) remain eligible for Individual PAGA Payments and are required to give up their right to assert PAGA claims against Button based on the PAGA Period facts alleged in the Action.

- G. The Proposed Settlement Will be Void if the Court Denies Final Approval. It is possible the Court will decline to grant Final Approval of the Settlement or decline enter a Judgment. It is also possible the Court will enter a Judgment that is reversed on appeal. Plaintiffs and Button have agreed that, in either case, the Settlement will be void: Button will not pay any money and Class Members will not release any claims against Button.
- H. Administrator. The Court has appointed a neutral company, Simpluris, Inc. (the “Administrator”) to send this Notice, calculate and make payments, and process Class Members’ Requests for Exclusion. The Administrator will also decide Class Member Challenges over Workweeks, mail and re- mail settlement checks and tax forms, and perform other tasks necessary to administer the Settlement. The Administrator’s contact information is contained in Section 9 of this Notice.
- I. Participating Class Members’ Release. After the Judgment is final and Button has fully funded the Gross Settlement and separately paid all employer payroll taxes, Participating Class Members will be legally barred from asserting any of the claims released under the Settlement. This means that unless you opted out by validly excluding yourself from the Class Settlement, you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of any other lawsuit against Button or related entities for wages based on the Class Period facts and PAGA penalties based on PAGA Period facts, as alleged in the Action and resolved by this Settlement.

The Participating Class Members will be bound by the following release:

All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from all causes of action and factual or legal theories that were alleged in the operative complaint or that could have been alleged against Defendants based on the facts contained in the operative complaint including, but not limited to, violations of Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 221, 222.5, 223, 224, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 226.8, 450, 510, 512, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1198, 2802 and the following claims for relief: (1) failure to provide meal periods; (2) failure to provide rest periods; (3) failure to pay minimum wage; (4) failure timely to pay all wages at separation; (5) failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements; (6) failure to indemnify for necessary business expenses; (7) unlawful deductions from wages; and (8) unlawful and unfair business practices. Released Class

Claims are limited to those claims arising during the Class Period.

- J. Aggrieved Employees' PAGA Release. After the Court's judgment is final, and Button has paid the Gross Settlement and separately paid the employer-side payroll taxes, all Aggrieved Employees will be barred from asserting PAGA claims against Button, whether or not they exclude themselves from the Settlement. This means that all Aggrieved Employees, including those who are Participating Class Members and those who opt-out of the Class Settlement, cannot sue, continue to sue, or participate in any other PAGA claim against Button or its related entities based on the PAGA Period facts alleged in the Action and resolved by this Settlement.

The Aggrieved Employees' Releases for Participating and Non-Participating Class Members are as follows:

All Participating and Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties, from all claims all claims for penalties pursuant to PAGA based on the Released Class Claims as alleged in the PAGA Notice. Released PAGA Claims are limited to those claims arising during the PAGA Period.

4. **HOW WILL THE ADMINISTRATOR CALCULATE MY PAYMENT?**

- A. Individual Class Payments. The Administrator will calculate Individual Class Payments by (a) dividing the Net Settlement Amount by the total number of Workweeks worked by all Participating Class Members, and (b) multiplying the result by the number of Workweeks worked by each individual Participating Class Member.
- B. Individual PAGA Payments. The Administrator will calculate Individual PAGA Payments by (a) dividing \$10,000 by the total number of PAGA Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees and (b) multiplying the result by the number of PAGA Period Pay Periods worked by each individual Aggrieved Employee.
- C. Workweek/Pay Period Challenges. The number of Class Workweeks you worked during the Class Period and the number of PAGA Pay Periods you worked during the PAGA Period, as recorded in Button's records, are stated in the first page of this Notice. You have until [RESPONSE DEADLINE] to challenge the number of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods credited to you. You can submit your challenge by signing and sending a letter to the Administrator via mail, email or fax. Section 9 of this Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

You need to support your challenge by sending copies of pay stubs or other records. The Administrator will accept Button's calculation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods based on Button's records as accurate unless you send copies of records containing contrary information. You should send copies rather than originals because the

documents will not be returned to you. The Administrator will resolve Workweek and/or Pay Period challenges based on your submission and on input from Class Counsel (who will advocate on behalf of Participating Class Members) and Button's Counsel. The Administrator's decision is final. You can't appeal or otherwise challenge its final decision.

5. HOW WILL I GET PAID?

- A. Participating Class Members. The Administrator will send, by U.S. mail, a single check to every Participating Class Member (i.e., every Class Member who doesn't opt-out) including those who also qualify as Aggrieved Employees. The single check will combine the Individual Class Payment and the Individual PAGA Payment.
- B. Non-Participating Class Members. The Administrator will send, by U.S. mail, a single Individual PAGA Payment check to every Aggrieved Employee who opts out of the Class Settlement (i.e., every Non-Participating Class Member).

Your check will be sent to the same address as this Notice. If you change your address, be sure to notify the Administrator as soon as possible. Section 9 of this Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

6. HOW DO I OPT-OUT OF THE CLASS SETTLEMENT?

Submit a written and signed letter with your name, present address, telephone number, and a simple statement that you do not want to participate in the Settlement. The Administrator will exclude you based on any writing communicating your request be excluded. Be sure to personally sign your request, identify the Action as *Polar, et al. v. Button Transportation, Inc., et al.*, LASC Case No. 21STCV02575, and include your identifying information (full name, address, telephone number, approximate dates of employment, and social security number for verification purposes). You must make the request yourself. If someone else makes the request for you, it will not be valid. **The Administrator must be sent your request to be excluded by [RESPONSE DEADLINE], or it will be invalid.** Section 9 of the Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

7. HOW DO I OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT?

Only Participating Class Members have the right to object to the Settlement. Before deciding whether to object, you may wish to see what Plaintiff and Button are asking the Court to approve. At least 16 days before the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel and/or Plaintiff will file in Court (1) a Motion for Final Approval that includes, among other things, the reasons why the proposed Settlement is fair, and (2) a Motion for Fees, Litigation Expenses and Service Award stating (i) the amount Class Counsel is requesting for attorneys' fees and litigation expenses; and (ii) the amount Plaintiff is requesting as a Class Representative Service Award. Upon reasonable request, Class Counsel (whose contact information is in Section 9 of this

Notice) will send you copies of these documents at no cost to you. You can also view them on the Administrator's Website (url) or the Court's website (url).

A Participating Class Member who disagrees with any aspect of the Agreement, the Motion for Final Approval and/or Motion for Fees, Litigation Expenses and Service Award may wish to object, for example, that the proposed Settlement is unfair, or that the amounts requested by Class Counsel or Plaintiff are too high or too low. **The deadline for sending written objections to the Administrator is [RESPONSE DEADLINE]**. Be sure to tell the Administrator what you object to, why you object, and any facts that support your objection. Make sure you identify the Action as *Polar, et al. v. Button Transportation, Inc., et al.*, LASC Case No. 21STCV02575 and include your name, current address, telephone number, and approximate dates of employment for Button and sign the objection. Section 9 of this Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

Alternatively, a Participating Class Member can object (or personally retain a lawyer to object at your own cost) by attending the Final Approval Hearing. You (or your attorney) should be ready to tell the Court what you object to, why you object, and any facts that support your objection. See Section 8 of this Notice (immediately below) for specifics regarding the Final Approval Hearing.

8. CAN I ATTEND THE FINAL APPROVAL HEARING?

You can, but don't have to, attend the Final Approval Hearing on (date) at (time) in Department 12 of the Los Angeles Superior Court, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012. At the Hearing, the judge will decide whether to grant Final Approval of the Settlement and how much of the Gross Settlement will be paid to Class Counsel, Plaintiff, and the Administrator. The Court will invite comment from objectors, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel before making a decision. You can attend (or hire a lawyer to attend) either personally or virtually via LACourtConnect (<https://www.lacourt.org/lacc/>). Check the Court's website for the most current information.

It's possible the Court will reschedule the Final Approval Hearing. You should check the Administrator's website (url) beforehand or contact Class Counsel to verify the date and time of the Final Approval Hearing.

9. HOW CAN I GET MORE INFORMATION?

The Agreement sets forth everything Button and Plaintiff have promised to do under the proposed Settlement. The easiest way to read the Agreement, the Judgment or any other Settlement documents is to go to Simpluris' website at (url). You can also telephone or send an email to Class Counsel or the Administrator using the contact information listed below, or consult the Superior Court website by going to <http://www.lacourt.org/casesummary/ui/index.aspx> and entering the Case Number for the Action, Case No. 21STCV02575. You can also make an appointment to

personally review court documents in the Clerk's Office at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse by calling (213) 830-0800.

**DO NOT TELEPHONE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OBTAIN INFORMATION
ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT**

Class Counsel:

Name of Attorney: Taras Kick

Email Address: taras@kicklawfirm.com

Name of Firm: The Kick Law Firm, APC

Mailing Address: 815 Moraga Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90049

Telephone: (310) 395-2988

Settlement Administrator:

Name of Company: Simpluris, Inc.

Email Address: CaseSupport@Simpluris.com

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 26170 Santa Ana, CA 92799

Telephone: (888) 369-3780

10. WHAT IF I LOSE MY SETTLEMENT CHECK?

If you lose or misplace your settlement check before cashing it, the Administrator will replace it as long as you request a replacement before the void date on the face of the original check. If your check is already void you will have no way to recover the money.

11. WHAT IF I CHANGE MY ADDRESS?

To receive your check, you should immediately notify the Administrator if you move or otherwise change your mailing address.

4867-4753-9758, v. 6

EXHIBIT 2

The Kick Law Firm

A Professional Corporation
815 Moraga Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90049
310 395 2988 / Fax 310 395 2088

January 20, 2021

Via Online Submission

Department of Industrial Relations
Accounting Unit
Attn. PAGA Administrator
455 Golden Gate Ave, 10th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

Via Certified U.S. Mail – Return

Receipt Requested

Button Transportation, LLC
7000 Button Lane
Dixon, CA 95620

Via Certified U.S. Mail – Return

Receipt Requested

Button Transportation, Inc
7000 Button Lane
Dixon, CA 95620

Re: Notice Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699.3

Employee: Julio C. Polar

Employers: Button Transportation, Inc.; Button Transportation, LLC; and related entities

To Whom It May Concern:

This office represents Julio C. Polar (“Mr. Polar”), who was employed by Button Transportation, Inc.; Button Transportation, LLC; and related entities (collectively, “Employers”). Pursuant to the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”), Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.*, this letter sets forth the specific provisions of the Labor Code and Industrial Welfare Commission (“IWC”) Wage Order No. 9-2001, which Mr. Polar alleges Employers have violated, including the facts and theories to support the alleged violations. Please be advised that this letter constitutes written notice required by Labor Code § 2699.3, subdivisions (a)(1)(A) and (c)(1)(A) and may lead to immediate action against Employers in a court of law and/or administrative proceedings, as well as the imposition of substantial penalties and other remedies against Employers. Enclosed please find a draft of Mr. Polar’s proposed complaint, which is incorporated by reference into this notice. Our office, with submission of this notice, has also paid the \$75.00 to the Department of Industrial Relations for the requisite filing fee, pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.3, subdivisions (a)(1)(B) and (c)(1)(B).

This letter also serves as notice of Mr. Polar’s demand for preservation and non-spoliation of evidence, requesting that all relevant documents and data be saved and that all electronic files and hard-copy documents that are related to Mr. Polar’s employment and potential claims must be preserved, even without a court order.

Spoliation of evidence may result in legal claims for damages and monetary and evidentiary sanctions, including “adverse inference” jury instructions. Furthermore, intentional spoliation of evidence may carry criminal consequences pursuant to California Penal Code § 135.

We are investigating a potential class and representative action on behalf of Employers' current and former non-exempt employees in the State of California regarding, among other things, the following violations: failure to provide meal and rest periods to employees in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, §§ 11-12; failure to pay one additional hour of compensation at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that a meal or rest period is not provided in violation of Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, §§ 11(B) and 12(B); failure to pay employees minimum wages for all hours worked in violation of Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 4; failure to pay employees overtime wages in violation of Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 3; failure to timely pay employees all wages earned in violation of Labor Code § 204; willful failure to pay all wages due to discharged and quitting employees in violation of Labor Code §§ 201-203; failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements to employees in violation of Labor Code § 226; failure to maintain required records pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226, 1174 and 1174.5 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 7; failure to indemnify employees for necessary expenditures incurred in discharge of duties in violation of Labor Code § 2802(a); failure to provide suitable seating in violation of Labor Code § 1198 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 14; unlawful deductions and withholdings from employees' wages in violation of Labor Code §§ 221, 222.5, 223 and 224; compelled and coerced patronization of the employer and/or other persons in violation of Labor Code § 450; and willful misclassification of employees as independent contractors in violation of Labor Code § 226.8..

The allegations made by Mr. Polar on behalf of himself and all other similarly-situated current and former non-exempt employees of Employers in the State of California during the four years preceding the date of this notice are based on the following facts and theories: meal periods were less than thirty minutes, late (first meal periods starting after the fifth hour of work and/or second meal periods starting after the tenth hour), not given at all (including second meal periods after ten hours of work), or interrupted; rest periods were less than ten minutes, not provided, interrupted, and/or late; employees were not provided one hour of pay for each workday a meal period was not provided; employees were not provided one hour of pay for each workday a rest break was not authorized and permitted; and employees were not paid proper minimum and overtime wages for all hours worked as required by California law.¹ Given the overtime, meal period and rest period violations and Employers' failure to compensate their employees fully, as set forth above, employees' wage statements were inaccurate and failed to comply with California law. In short, Employers' unlawful employment practices and policies have deprived their employees of earned wages and other compensation.

Failure to Provide Meal Periods

Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 11, an employer is required to provide meal periods to its employees. An employer must provide a meal period to any employee who works a shift of more than five (5) hours and a second meal period to any employee who works a shift of more than ten (10) hours. Furthermore, an employer must pay one extra hour of compensation for each workday a meal period is not provided.

¹ "[T]he statement that defendant has not provided its employees with proper rest periods states both the facts and the theory." *Gutierrez v. California Commerce Club, Inc.* (2010) 187 Cal.App.4th 969, 979 n.5.

If an employee is not relieved of all duty during a meal period, the meal period shall be considered an “on duty” meal period and counted as time worked. A paid “on duty” meal period is permitted only when 1) the nature of the work prevents an employee from being relieved of all duty and 2) the parties have agreed in writing to on duty meal periods.

Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees are non-exempt employees and are entitled to the protections of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 11. Employers failed to provide their employees timely and uninterrupted thirty-minute meal breaks. In fact, Mr. Polar’s and other aggrieved employees’ meal periods were short (less than thirty minutes), late (first meal periods after the fifth hour of work and second meal periods after the tenth hour), interrupted and/or missed. Employers consistently failed to provide Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees meal breaks because Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees were given too much work to perform to take meal breaks.

Employers further violated Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order No. 9-2001 by failing to compensate Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees who were not provided with meal periods in accordance with California law one additional hour of pay at each employee’s regular rate of compensation for each workday a meal period was not provided.

Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods

Pursuant to Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 12, an employer is required to provide rest periods to its employees. An employer must provide a ten (10) minute rest period for every four (4) hours worked or major action thereof which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle of each work period. Furthermore, an employer must pay one extra hour of compensation for each workday a rest period is not authorized and permitted.

Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees were and are non-exempt employees and are entitled to the protections of Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order No. 9-2001. Employers failed to authorize and permit their employees to take required rest periods. Specifically, Employers maintained a policy or practice of not authorizing and permitting Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees to take one 10-minute rest break for shifts 3.5-6.0 hours, a second rest break for shifts greater than 6 hours and less than or equal to 10 hours, and a third rest break for shifts in excess of 10 hours. Employers consistently failed to authorize and permit Mr. Polar and other similarly-situated individuals to take rest breaks because Mr. Polar and other similarly-situated individuals were given too much work to perform to take rest breaks. When Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees were able to take rest breaks, Employers failed to authorize and permit Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees to take their rest breaks in the middle of each work period insofar as practicable.

Employers further violated Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 12 by failing to pay Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees one additional hour of pay at each employee’s regular rate of compensation for each workday a rest period was not authorized and permitted.

Failure to Pay Minimum Wages

Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 4, payment to an employee of less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked in a payroll period is unlawful.

Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees are current and former non-exempt employees and are entitled to the protections of Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 4. Employers failed to pay Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees minimum wages for all hours worked by, among other things: requiring, suffering or permitting Mr. Polar and other similarly-situated individuals to work off-the-clock; requiring, suffering or permitting Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees to work through their meal breaks but not compensating them for this time; illegally and inaccurately recording time worked by Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees; failing to properly maintain Mr. Polar's and other aggrieved employees' records; failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.

Employers' conduct violates Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 4.

Failure to Pay Overtime Wages

Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 3, an employer must compensate its employees for all overtime, which is calculated at one and one-half (1 ½) times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week, and for the first eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive work day, with double time for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours on the seventh day of any workweek, or after twelve (12) hours in any workday.

Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees are current and former non-exempt employees and are entitled to the protections of Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order No. 9-2001. Employers failed to compensate Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees for all overtime hours worked as required under the foregoing provisions of the Labor Code and IWC Wage Order by, among other things: failing to pay overtime at one and one-half (1 ½) times or double the regular rate of pay as provided by Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 3; requiring, suffering or permitting Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees to work off-the-clock; requiring, suffering or permitting Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees to work through meal periods but not compensating them for this time; illegally and inaccurately recording time worked by Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees; failing to properly maintain Mr. Polar's and other aggrieved employees' records through falsifying hours worked; failing to provide accurate itemized statements to Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.

In violation of California law, Employers have refused to perform their obligations to compensate Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees for all wages earned and all hours worked. Employers' conduct violates Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 3.

Failure to Timely Pay All Wages Earned

Pursuant to Labor Code § 204, an employer must pay its employees at least twice a month for all wages earned during the preceding pay period. Labor Code § 204 provides that labor performed between the 1st and 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month shall be paid for between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and labor performed between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, shall be paid for between the 1st and 10th day of the following month. An employer using an alternate payday schedule must pay wages within seven calendar days of the end of the payroll period in which the wages were earned.

Employers failed to pay Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees on their regularly scheduled payday for all work performed during the preceding pay period. Specifically, Employers required Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees to work off-the-clock without compensation and required Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees to work through required meal breaks without compensation. Additionally, Employers failed to pay Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees premium wages owed for each workday a meal period was not provided and each workday a rest period was not authorized and permitted.

Employers also failed to pay Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees for the overtime wages they earned in violation of Labor Code § 204. Labor Code § 204 requires an employer to pay overtime wages no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period following the payroll period in which the overtime wages were earned. Employers knew they were required to pay overtime wages, yet on many occasions failed to pay Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees overtime wages on any payday.

Failure to Pay All Wages Due Upon Separation

Pursuant to Labor Code § 201, 202 and 203, an employer is required to pay all earned and unpaid wages to an employee upon separation. Labor Code § 201 mandates that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages accrued and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately. Pursuant to Labor Code § 202, an employer is required to pay all accrued wages due to an employee no later than 72 hours after the employee quits his or her employment, unless the employee provided 72 hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, in accordance with Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued compensation to the employee at the same rate for up to thirty (30) days.

Employers willfully failed to pay accrued wages and other compensation to Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees in accordance with Labor Code §§ 201 and 202. Because Employers required Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees to work off-the-clock without compensation and through required meal breaks without compensation and failed to pay Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees the premium wages for all meal periods which were not provided and all rest periods which were not authorized or permitted, Employers failed and continue to fail to pay the full earned and unpaid wages due to Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees upon separation.

Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements

Labor Code § 226 requires every employer to furnish each of its employees an accurate itemized wage statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and only the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee.

Employers failed to provide Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees with timely and accurate itemized wage statements in writing showing each employee's gross wages earned, total hours worked, the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, all deductions made, net wages earned, the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, the name and address of the legal entity or entities employing Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of Labor Code § 226 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 7.

Specifically, Employers had knowledge they were not providing their employees with proper meal and rest breaks; nevertheless, Employers knowingly failed to include in the wage statements the extra hour of compensation owed for each workday a meal break was not provided and each workday a rest break was not authorized and permitted. Similarly, Employers did not include the mileage-based pay on Mr. Polar's and other aggrieved employee's wage statements, instead stating that they worked at hourly rates of less than the minimum wage. As a result, Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees lost wages. In addition, Employers had knowledge they were requiring employees to work off-the-clock and were not properly compensating their employees for all hours worked, yet Employers knowingly failed to include this time worked in the wage statements. As a result, Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees lost wages. Employers also did not properly calculate the regular rate of pay of Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees. As a result, Mr. Polar's and other aggrieved employees' wage statements did not include all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate.

Failure to Maintain Required Records

Employers failed to maintain records as required under Labor Code §§ 226 and 1174 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 7, including but not limited to the following records: total daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of pay; all deductions; meal periods; time records showing when each employee begins and ends each work period; and accurate itemized statements.

Specifically, Employers had knowledge they were not providing their employees with proper meal and rest breaks; nevertheless, Employers knowingly failed to include in the wage statements the extra hour of compensation owed for each workday a meal break was not provided and each workday a rest break was not authorized and permitted. In addition, Employers had

knowledge they were requiring employees to work off-the-clock and were not properly compensating their employees for all hours worked, yet Employers knowingly failed to include this time worked in the regular rate of pay of aggrieved employees.

Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties, Unlawful Deductions, and Compulsion and Coercion to Patronize Employer and/or Other Persons

Labor Code § 2802(a) requires an employer to indemnify an employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of her his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer. Employers failed to indemnify Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees for all business expenses and/or losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties while working under the direction of Employers, including but not limited to expenses for cell phones, equipment, fees, fines, travel-related expenses, and other employment-related expenses, in violation of Labor Code § 2802.

Moreover, Labor Code §§ 221, 222.5, and 223 makes it unlawful to deduct or withhold from employees' wages outside of circumstances specified in Labor Code § 224. Employers, however, made such deductions directly from Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees' compensation in violation of Labor Code §§ 221, 222.5, 223 and 224. Specifically, such deductions included, but were not limited to deductions for insurance, leased equipment, fuel, and fees.

Furthermore, Labor Code § 450 provides that no employer "may compel or coerce an employee, or applicant for employment, to patronize his or her employer, or any other person, in the purchase of any thing of value." Employers compelled and coerced Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees to purchase items of value from specific vendors in violation of Labor Code § 450.

Willful Misclassification as Independent Contractors

Labor Code § 226.8(a) states in part that it is "unlawful for any person or employer to engage" in the "(1) Willful misclassification of an individual as an independent contractor." Moreover, Labor Code § 226.8(a) goes on to also state that it is similarly "unlawful for any person or employer to engage" in the "(2) Charging an individual who has been willfully misclassified as an independent contractor a fee, or making any deduction from compensation, for any purpose... arising from the individuals employment where any of the acts described... would have violated the law if the individual had not been misclassified." Mr. Polar and other aggrieved employees were clearly employees subject to the control of Employers and such, to the extent any aggrieved employees were classified by Employers as independent contractors, Employers willfully misclassified them as independent contractors and required to pay, by direct payment and/or deductions from wages, for various goods, materials, space rental, services, government licenses, repairs, equipment maintenance, or fines arising from their employment by Employers in violation of Labor Code § 226.8.

This notice is hereby given to Employers and any and all related and/or alter ego companies, corporations, partnerships, and/or business entities, as well as against any and all

officers, owners, directors, managers, managing agents, or entities who are or may be liable under California law for any of the violations alleged herein as to any locations or employees who worked at any time in the State of California.

This notice is made on behalf of all persons who are, were, or will be non-exempt employees of Employers, or any related or alter-ego company, corporation, partnership, and/or business entity at any time on or after a date four years prior to the date of this letter in the State of California.

This notice shall be construed as extending without limitation to any past, present, future, or continuing violation of the Labor Code, the applicable IWC Wage Order, or any applicable regulation which might be discovered as a result of a reasonable and diligent investigation made pursuant to this notice.

This notice shall further represent Mr. Polar's reasonable attempt to settle his/her dispute with Employers prior to litigation. Pursuant to *Graham v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.*, 34 Cal. 4th 553 (2004), this notice serves to apprise Employers of Mr. Polar's aforementioned grievances and the proposed remedies as detailed below, while affording Employers reasonable opportunity to meet Mr. Polar's demands.

Demand is hereby made that Employer shall agree, in writing received at this office no later than 30 calendar days from the postmark date of this notice, as follows:

1. Employers shall pay Mr. Polar and all other similarly-situated persons employed by Employers at any time during the past 48 months back pay and compensation for the above-referenced violations.

2. Employers shall comply with all California labor laws and ensure that their non-exempt employees are paid proper overtime compensation and given required meal and rest periods.

3. Employers shall conduct a survey or interview all current and former non-exempt employees in California during the past 48 months to obtain information from them regarding the number of meal breaks which were not provided, the number of rest breaks which were not authorized and permitted, and the number of employees who were required to pay for cell phones, uniforms, equipment, travel-related expenses, fuel, insurance, fines, or other expenditures in the discharge of their duties, with the investigation to be completed within 60 days.

4. Employers shall pay each employee one hour of pay for each workday he or she was not authorized and permitted one or more rest periods, as required by Labor Code § 226.7. Employers also shall pay each employee one hour of pay for each workday he or she was not provided one or more and meal periods, as required by Labor Code § 226.7.

5. Employers shall reimburse those employees who were forced to pay for any business expenses incurred for Employers' benefit, as well as those items employees were compelled and coerced to purchase from Employers or other specified persons.

January 20, 2021

Page 9 of 9

6. Employers shall pay waiting time penalties, equal to thirty days of pay, to each former employee who was not paid all wages due as described herein.

7. Employers shall pay accrued interest to all employees at the rate of ten percent per annum for said unpaid wages.

8. Employers shall pay all penalties arising from the violations of the Labor Code and IWC Wage Order sections referenced above and pursuant to PAGA, Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*, including but not limited to penalties under Labor Code §§ 206.5, 210, 225.5, 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1197.1, 1198, 1199 and 2699 and Wage Order No. 9-2001, § 20.

If the Labor and Workforce Development Agency intends to investigate the allegations set forth herein, please notify this office of that decision by certified mail addressed to The Kick Law Firm, APC, 815 Moraga Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90049. Additionally, please advise us if the Agency or Employers require additional information regarding Mr. Polar's complaints.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

The Kick Law Firm, APC

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'D. Bass', is written over the printed name.

Daniel J. Bass

EXHIBIT 3



3194-C Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
800-779-2104 www.simpluris.com

Estimate #:	15004	Prepared By:	Michael Sutherland
Estimate Date:	6/29/2022	Direct Dial #	321-223-5067
Estimate Expiration Date:	9/27/2022	Email:	msutherland@simpluris.com

Plaintiff Attorney
Attorney/Client: **Karen L. Wallace**
Firm: The Kick Law Firm
karen@kicklawfirm.com

Defense Attorney
Attorney/Client:
Firm:

Case Name: Button Trans. (Polar) : Opt Out Settlement

Anticipated Total Cost	\$9,972
Capped Fee	\$10,000

Terms:

1) Capped Fees assume that Simpluris will receive data in a Single Excel file with no substantial change in class size or response rate.

Total Possible Class Size:	611	Undeliverable Rate:	10%
Response Rate:	1%	Call Rate:	10%
Mailing Document Language:	English	Fund Distribution:	Simpluris
Reminder Postcard:	No	Redistribution:	No
Unclaimed Funds:	Cy Pres	State(s):	Single

Case Setup

Data Compilation - Develop Case Specific Response Tracking - Error Reports

Category	Unit Value	# of Units	Total
Project Manager - Case Setup	\$125.00	6	\$750.00
Static Website - Post ing documents online	\$250.00	1	\$250.00
Database Manager - Initial Data Analysis	\$140.00	6	\$840.00
Total			\$1,840.00

Notification

Notice Packet: 6 pg Notice - Double Sided - English

Category	Unit Value	# of Units	Total
Mail Notice Packet	\$1.00	611	\$611.00
Postage	\$0.53	611	\$323.83
NCOA/CASS/LACS	\$25.00	1	\$25.00
Undeliverable Processing	\$0.25	61	\$15.28
Skip Trace RUM	\$1.00	61	\$61.10
Remail Notice Packet	\$1.25	52	\$64.92
Remail Postage	\$0.57	52	\$29.60
Mailing Supervisor	\$50.00	1	\$50.00
Total			\$1,180.73

Call Center

Establish Case Specific Toll Free Number

Category	Unit Value	# of Units	Total
Customer Service Reps/Call Center Support	\$75.00	4	\$300.00
800 # Charges	\$0.10	240	\$24.00
Total			\$300.00



3194-C Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
800-779-2104 www.simpluris.com

Administration

Process Mail, Opt-Outs or Objections

Category	Unit Value	# of Units	Total
Database Manager	\$125.00	4	\$500.00
Dispute/Deficiencies - Send One Cure Letter	\$2.50	6	\$15.28
Opt Out Processing	\$2.50	6	\$15.28
Data Entry	\$50.00	1	\$50.00
Project Manager	\$125.00	3	\$375.00
Weekly Reporting to Counsel	WAIVED	12 Wks of Reporting	\$0.00
Total			\$955.55

Distribution

Setup a Disbursement Account

Print & Mail Checks to Class Members: W2's / 1099's - File Reports with Appropriate Federal & State Taxing Authorities

Account Management & Reconciliation

Category	Unit Value	# of Units	Total
Disbursement Data Preparation	\$140.00	4	\$560.00
Disbursement Manager - Data Validation	\$75.00	2	\$150.00
Setup Banking Account/QSF	\$300.00	1	\$300.00
Print & Mail Check	\$2.00	611	\$1,222.00
Postage	\$0.53	611	\$323.83
Process Returned Checks	\$0.50	6	\$3.06
Skip Trace Search Undeliverable Checks	\$5.00	6	\$30.55
Remail Checks (Includes Postage)	\$5.00	6	\$30.55
QSF Account Reconciliation	\$250.00	1	\$250.00
Individual Federal/State Tax Reporting	\$350.00	1	\$350.00
QSF Reporting/Declaration	\$500.00	1	\$500.00
QSF Annual Tax Preparation Fee	\$1,000.00	1	\$1,000.00
Reissuing Checks/Mailing	\$5.00	9	\$45.83
Postage	\$0.58	9	\$5.32
Responding to IRS, State, Agency Inquiries	\$75.00	1	\$75.00
Disbursement Manager	\$125.00	2	\$250.00
Total			\$5,096.13



3194-C Airport Loop Drive
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
800-779-2104 www.simpluris.com

Case Wrap Up

Send Final Reports to Counsel

Category	Unit Value	# of Units	Total
Data Manager-Final Reporting	\$125.00	2	\$250.00
Clerical-Clean Up Any Misc	\$50.00	2	\$100.00
Project Manager-Wrap-up Final Issues	\$125.00	2	\$250.00
Total			\$600.00

Postage \$682.58 **Total Case Costs \$9,972.40**

All administration services to be provided by Simpluris to Client, are provided subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. **Services.** Simpluris agrees to provide Client those services set forth in the Bid (the "Services") to which these terms and conditions are attached and which has been provided to Client. As compensation for such Services, Client agrees to pay the fees for Services outlined in the Bid. However, Client such fees for Services are estimated based on the requirements provided by Client and actual fees charged by Simpluris may be greater or less than such estimate and Client will be responsible for the payment of all such fees.
2. **Billing and Payment.** Simpluris will invoice Client on a regular basis unless a specific timeframe is otherwise set forth in the Bid. Client shall pay all invoices within 30 days of receipt. Amounts unpaid after thirty (30) days are subject to a service charge at the rate of 1.5% per month or, if less, the highest rate permitted by law. Services are not provided on a contingency basis and Client shall remain liable to Simpluris for all fees for the Services, regardless of any court decisions, and/or actions by the parties, including disapproval or withdrawal of a settlement.
3. **Retention of Documents.** Unless directed otherwise in writing by the Client, Simpluris will destroy all undeliverable mail (except for undeliverable checks) on the date that it is processed and retained in Simpluris' system. Simpluris will maintain records to establish that the subject mail is undeliverable. Simpluris will retain undeliverable checks until the Qualified Settlement Fund is closed. Simpluris will also retain all other class member and putative class member correspondence (including without limitation, claims forms and opt out forms) for one year after final distribution of funds or benefits, or until the date that the disposition of the case is no longer subject to appeal or review, whichever is later. Lastly, Simpluris will retain bank & tax documents for such period of time as it determines is required to maintain compliance with various federal and state requirements.
4. **Limitation of Liability; Disclaimer of Warranties.** Simpluris warrants that it will perform the Services diligently, with competence and reasonable care. Simpluris' only obligation will be to correct any non-conformance with the foregoing warranty. In no event will Simpluris be liable for any lost profits/opportunities, business interruption or delay or, special, consequential, or incidental damages incurred by Client relating to the performance of the Services, regardless of whether Client's claim is for breach of contract, tort (including negligence and strict liability) or otherwise. Under no circumstances will Simpluris be liable to Client for any claims, losses, costs, penalties, fines, judgment or damages, including court costs and reasonable attorney's fees (collectively, "Losses"), whether direct or indirect, arising out of, related to, or in connection with Services in an amount in excess of the total fees charged or chargeable to Client for the particular portion of the Services affected by Simpluris' omission or error. THE WARRANTIES SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION ARE EXCLUSIVE AND IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESS, IMPLIED OR STATUTORY, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
5. **Force Majeure.** To the extent performance by Simpluris of any of its obligations hereunder is substantially prevented by reason of any act of God or because of any other matter beyond Simpluris' reasonable control, then such performance shall be excused and this Agreement, at Simpluris' option, be deemed suspended during the continuation of such condition and for a reasonable time thereafter.
6. **Rights in Data.** Client agrees that it will not obtain, nor does Simpluris convey, any rights of ownership in the programs, system data, or materials provided or used by Simpluris in the performance of the Services.
7. **Electronic Communications.** During the provision of the Services the parties may wish to communicate electronically with each other at a business e-mail address. However, the electronic transmission of information cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error free and such information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete or otherwise be adversely affected or unsafe to use. Accordingly, each party agrees to use commercially reasonable procedures to check for the then most commonly known viruses and to check the integrity of data before sending information to the other electronically, but each party recognizes that such procedures cannot be a guarantee that transmissions will be virus free. It remains the responsibility of the party receiving an electronic communication from the other to carry out a virus check on any attachments before launching any documents whether received on disk or otherwise.
8. **Notice.** Any notice required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing and shall be delivered personally, by, or sent by registered mail, postage prepaid, or overnight courier and shall be deemed given when so delivered personally, or, if mailed, five days after the date of deposit in United States mail, or, if sent by courier, one business day after delivery to such courier service. Notice should be addressed to an officer or principal of Client and Simpluris, as the case may be.
9. **Waiver.** Failure or delay on the part of a party to exercise any right, power or privilege hereunder shall not operate as a waiver thereof or any of other subject, right, power or privilege.
10. **Termination.** Client may terminate the Services at anytime upon 30 days prior written notice to Simpluris. Termination of Services shall in no event relieve Client of its obligation make any payments due and payable to Simpluris in respect of Services rendered up to the effective date of Termination. Simpluris may terminate this Agreement (i) for any reason upon no less than 90 days prior written notice to the Client; or (ii) upon 15 calendar days' prior written notice, if the Client is not current in payment of fees.
11. **Jurisdiction.** The parties hereto irrevocably and unconditionally submit to the jurisdiction of the Court of the applicable case for purposes of any suit, action or proceeding to enforce any provision of, or based on any right arising out of, this Agreement. The parties hereto hereby irrevocably and unconditionally waive any objection to the laying of venue of any such suit, action or proceeding in such Court.
12. **Survival.** Any remedies for breach of this Agreement, this Section and the following Sections will survive any expiration or termination of this Agreement: Section 4 - Limitation of Liability; Disclaimer of Warranties, Section 6 – Rights in Data, and Section 12- Jurisdiction, 14 -Confidentiality, and Section 15 – Indemnification.
13. **Entire Agreement.** These Terms and Conditions and the proposal embody the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and cancels and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations, and agreements related thereto, either written or oral, except to the extent they are expressly incorporated herein. No changes in, additions to, or waivers of, the terms and conditions set forth herein will be binding upon any party, unless approved in writing by such party's authorized representative.
14. **Confidentiality.** Simpluris maintains reasonable and appropriate safeguards to protect the confidentiality and security of data provided by Client to Simpluris in connection with the Services. If, pursuant to a court order or other proceeding, a third party requests that Simpluris to disclose any confidential data provided by or for Client, Simpluris will promptly notify the Client unless prohibited by applicable law. Client will then have the option to provide Simpluris with qualified legal representation at Client's expense to defend against such request. If, pursuant to a court order, Simpluris is required to disclose data, produce documents, or otherwise act in contravention of the obligation to maintain confidentiality set forth in these terms and conditions, Simpluris will not be liable for breach of said obligation.
15. **Indemnification.** Client will indemnify and hold Simpluris (and the officers, employees, affiliates and agents harmless against any Losses incurred by Simpluris, arising out of, in connection with, or related to (i) any breach of the terms by Client; (ii) the processing and handling of any payment by Simpluris in accordance with Client's instructions, including without limitation, the imposition of any stop payment or void payment on any check or the wrongful dishonor of a check by Simpluris pursuant to Client's instructions.
16. **Severability.** If any term or condition or provisions of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid, illegal, unenforceable or in conflict with the law of any jurisdiction, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.
17. **Database Administration.** Simpluris' database administration for Client assumes that Client will provide complete data that includes all information required to send notifications and calculate and mail settlement payments. Data must be provided in a complete, consistent, standardized electronic format. Simpluris' standardized format is Microsoft Excel, however, Simpluris may accept other formats at its discretion. Further developments or enhancements to non-standardized data will be billed to Client by Simpluris on a time and materials basis according to Simpluris' Standard Rates.

Simpluris Security Summary – White Paper

Simpluris is committed to the security and overall protection of not only our data and information but our client's data and information, as well. As a demonstration of our commitment, we maintain SOC 2 Certification which requires strict adherence to policies and procedures surrounding information security, including processing and storage of confidential customer data. Simpluris supports a comprehensive, written Information Security Program that complies with all applicable laws and regulations (e.g. HIPAA, Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, MA 201 CMR 17.00) and is designed to (a) ensure the security, privacy and confidentiality of Client and Class Member Information, (b) protect against any reasonably anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of Client or Class Member Information, and (c) protect against unauthorized access to, use, deletion, or modification of Class Member Information. Simpluris has designated specific employees to be responsible for the administration of its Information Security Program. Also, Simpluris regularly and routinely monitors, tests, and updates our Information Security Program.

Simpluris uses Client and Class Member Information only for the purposes for which its' clients provide it, as described in any Agreements or Court Orders governing the provision of Simpluris' services in any particular case. Simpluris maintains a process for identifying, assessing, and mitigating the risks to Class Member Information in each relevant area of Simpluris' operations. At Simpluris, we continuously evaluate the effectiveness of the safeguards for controlling these risks to data and bank accounts. Simpluris restricts access to Class Member Information only to those employees, agents, or subcontractors who need to know the information to perform their jobs. Simpluris performs background checks of all its employees that will have access to Sensitive Personal Information, including a review of their references, employment eligibility, education, and criminal history to ensure they do not pose a risk to the security of Client or Class Member Information.

Simpluris adheres to the following industry best practices to safeguard its systems which process, store or transmit Client and Class Member Information:

- Identity and Access Management;
- Complex passwords are routinely and regularly changed;
- Role-based access control systems to limit individual employee access to network applications and systems based on their particular job role and function;
- Data Loss Prevention and Intrusion Prevention System software at multiple layers to prevent from internal and external threats of data leaks, malicious activity, and policy violations
- Encryption of Class Member Information if transmitted over public or wireless networks (e.g., via email, FTP, the Internet, etc.);
- Implementation of a Secure File Transfer system (using SSL encryption) for transmitting documents back and forth to clients;
- Encryption of servers, portable media, laptops, desktops, smartphones, mobile devices, and new technologies that store Class Member Information;
- Complex password authentication for remote access to Company's networks;
- Upon hire and annually after that, training of all employees with access to Class Member Information, (including any agents, and subcontractors with access to Class Member Information) about their obligations to implement the Information Security Program;
- Strict disciplinary measures for employees who violate the Information Security Program;
- Preventing terminated employees from accessing Class Member Information;
- Appropriately configured and updated firewall, antivirus, and spyware software;
- Prompt application of vendor-recommended security patches and updates to systems and other applications to avoid any adverse impact on Class Member Information;
- Separation of Duties;
- Infrastructure and Physical Security;
- Business Continuity Planning;
- Disaster Recovery Planning

EXHIBIT 4

1 Taras Kick (SBN 143379)
Taras@kicklawfirm.com
2 Greg Taylor (SBN 315128)
Greg@kicklawfirm.com
3 Tyler J. Dosaj (SBN 306938)
Tyler@kicklawfirm.com
4 THE KICK LAW FIRM, APC
815 Moraga Drive
5 Los Angeles, California 90049
Tel: 310.395.2988
6 Fax: 310.395.2088

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff JULIO C. POLAR,
8 individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated

9
10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE**

12 JULIO C. POLAR, individually, and on behalf
13 of all others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BUTTON TRANSPORTATION, INC., a
California corporation; BUTTON
17 TRANSPORTATION, LLC, a Louisiana limited
liability company; and DOES 1 through 100,
18 inclusive,

19 Defendants.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 21STCV02575

*[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Carolyn
B. Kuhl, Dept. 12]*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND
PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION
SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT
THEREON**

Complaint filed: January 21, 2021
FAC filed: April 20, 2021
Trial date: None set

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT**

2 Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement
3 ("Motion") came before this Court on [DATE], at [TIME] in *Polar v. Button Transportation, Inc., et al.*,
4 Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 21STCV02575 (the "Action"). The Court, having fully reviewed
5 the Motion and declarations filed in support thereof, including the Settlement Agreement ("SA" or
6 "Settlement") attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Taras Kick and the Notice of Proposed Class
7 Action Settlement ("Class Notice") attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement, and GOOD CAUSE
8 appearing, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

9 11. The Court has jurisdiction over all claims asserted in the Action, Plaintiff Julio Polar
10 ("Plaintiff"), Class Members and Defendants Button Transportation, Inc. and Button Transportation, LLC
11 ("Defendants"), and finally certifies and binds the following Class Members and Class:

12 All current and former drivers who worked for Defendants in the State of California
13 at any time from January 21, 2017, through the date Plaintiff filed a motion for
14 preliminary approval (the "Class Period").

15 and finally binds the State of California as to the following aggrieved employee Class Members:

16 All Class Members who worked for Defendants at any time from January 20, 2020
17 through the date Plaintiff filed a motion for preliminary approval (the "PAGA
18 Period").

19 12. Pursuant to the Code of Civil Procedure section 382, Rule 3.769 of the California Rules of
20 Court and Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.* ("PAGA"), this Court now grants final approval of the Settlement
21 as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and enters this Final Judgment under which Plaintiff, Participating
22 Class Members and the State of California, California Labor and Workforce Development Agency
23 ("LWDA"), shall take nothing from Defendants Button Transportation, Inc. and Button Transportation,
24 LLC ("Defendants"), except as expressly set forth herein.

25 13. The Court finds that Plaintiff has adequately represented the Class and finally appoints
26 Plaintiff as Class Representative.

27 14. The Court finds that Taras Kick and Greg Taylor of The Kick Law Firm, APC have
28 adequately represented the Class and finally appoints them as Class Counsel.

1 15. The Court finds that the Settlement that has been reached is the result of intensive, non-
2 collusive, arm's-length negotiations. The Court finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable
3 and adequate to the Class when balanced against the probable outcome of extensive and costly litigation.
4 Substantial investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are reasonably
5 able to evaluate their respective positions. It appears to the Court that Settlement will avoid substantial
6 additional costs by all parties, as well as the delay and risk that would be presented by further prosecution
7 of the Action.

8 16. The Court determines that the Parties complied with the distribution of the Class Notice in
9 the manner and form set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order and that, as a result, the Class Notice
10 provided to the Class was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constituted due and
11 sufficient notice to all persons entitled to such notice and that all absent Class Members have been given
12 the opportunity to participate fully in the claims exclusion and the approval process.

13 17. Simpluris, Inc., the Court-appointed Settlement Administrator, has received no
14 workweek/pay period disputes regarding the calculations of Class Members' credited workweeks and pay
15 periods. The Settlement Administrator, furthermore, received [REDACTED] objections in response to the Class
16 Notice and [REDACTED] requests for exclusion to the Settlement (i.e., opt-out requests), as identified in the
17 Declaration of [REDACTED] of Simpluris, Inc., dated [REDACTED], and attached to that
18 declaration as Exhibit A. Accordingly, [REDACTED] individual(s) are excluded from the Class.

19 18. Plaintiff and all participating Class Members, shall have, by operation of this Order and
20 the accompanying judgment, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Released
21 Parties from all Released Claims set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

22 19. The State of California, by and through Plaintiff, who is a PAGA Representative, shall
23 have, by operation of this Order and the accompanying judgment, fully, finally and forever released,
24 relinquished, and discharged Released Parties from all PAGA Claims set forth in the Settlement
25 Agreement as they relate to the PAGA Settlement.

26 20. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendants of the merits of any claim in the Action
27 or of any wrongdoing. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referenced therein, nor any
28 action taken to carry out this Settlement Agreement, shall be (a) construed as or used as an admission of

1 liability or an admission that any of Defendants' defenses in the Action are with or without merit, or (b)
2 disclosed, referred to, or offered in evidence against Defendant in any further proceeding except for
3 purposes of effectuating the Settlement. However, the Settlement may be admitted in evidence and
4 otherwise used in any proceeding to enforce its terms, or in defense of any claims released or barred by
5 the Settlement.

6 21. The Court approves the Gross Settlement Amount, as set forth in the Settlement
7 Agreement, of eight hundred thousand dollars and zero cents (\$800,000.00), which shall be non-
8 reversionary and which shall include (1) Individual Class Payments to the Class Members;
9 (2) Administration Expense Payment to the Settlement Administrator; (3) LWDA PAGA payment to the
10 California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"); (4) Individual PAGA Payments to the
11 Aggrieved Employees; (5) Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff; (6) Class Counsel Fees
12 Payment to Class Counsel; and (7) Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment to Class Counsel payable
13 under this Settlement in keeping with the subparagraphs below:

14 a. Individual Class Payments to Class Members. The Court hereby approves the
15 methodology used to calculate Class Member's Individual Class Payments amount as detailed in the
16 Settlement Agreement and approves payments of those amounts to Class Members as Individual Class
17 Payments for their individual releases of the Released Claims.

18 b. Administration Expense Payment to the Settlement Administrator. The Court
19 hereby approves a payment of \$ [REDACTED] to the Settlement Administrator for settlement administration
20 tasks in accordance with the Administrator's "not to exceed" bid submitted to the Court in connection
21 with Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.

22 c. PAGA Payment to the LWDA. The Court hereby approves the payment of thirty
23 thousand dollars and zero cents (\$30,000.00) to the California Labor Workforce Development Agency as
24 75% of the total PAGA Penalties.

25 d. Individual PAGA Payments to the Aggrieved Employees. The Court hereby
26 approves the methodology used to calculate each Class Member's individual PAGA payment amount as
27 detailed in the Settlement Agreement and approves payments of ten thousand dollars and zero cents
28

1 (\$10,000.00) in aggregate for all Class Members and approves payments of those amounts to Class
2 Members as Individual PAGA Payments.

3 e. Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff. The Court hereby approves the
4 payment of ten thousand dollars and zero cents (\$10,000.00) to Plaintiff, separate and apart from any
5 amounts due to Plaintiff as an Individual Class Payment and as an Individual PAGA Payment, as a Class
6 Representative Service Payment.

7 f. Attorneys' Fees to Class Counsel. The Court hereby grants Plaintiff's and Class
8 Counsel's attorneys' fee request for two hundred sixty-six thousand, six hundred sixty-seven dollars and
9 zero cents (\$266,667.00) or one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount as Class Counsel Fees
10 Payment payable to Class Counsel because the Court determines that the award of such fees is fair and
11 reasonable in recognition of Class Counsel's diligent representation of Plaintiff and Class Members and
12 the contingent risks Class Counsel undertook in litigating the Action. The Court finds that Class Counsel's
13 request for attorneys' fees is reasonable under the common fund method in light of the benefit obtained
14 for the Class. The Court finds that Class Counsel's hourly rates are reasonable and in line with rates
15 prevailing in the community. The Court further finds that the number of hours Class Counsel spent
16 prosecuting the Action is reasonable. Accordingly, the Court finds that Class Counsel's request for
17 attorneys' fees is also reasonable under the lodestar method with application of a multiplier. Application
18 of a multiplier is appropriate given the contingent risk incurred by Class Counsel, the difficulty of the
19 questions involved, the substantial benefit conferred on the Class and the State as a result of the Settlement,
20 and the skill displayed by Class Counsel.

21 g. Litigation Expenses Payment to Class Counsel. The Court hereby grants Plaintiff's
22 and Class Counsel's litigation costs request for \$_____ to Class Counsel for reimbursement of Class
23 Counsel's reasonable litigation expenses incurred in litigating and resolving the Action as a Litigation
24 Cost award because the Court determines that the award of such costs is fair and reasonable.

25 12. The Court hereby approves the plan for transferring uncashed Individual Class Payment
26 and Individual PAGA Payment checks to two section 501(c)(3) non-profit organizations as follows: 50%
27 to Defendants' nominee Truckers Against Trafficking and 50% to Plaintiff's nominee Public Citizen
28 Foundation. If a Class Member fails to receive or cash his or her Individual Class Payment check or

1 Individual PAGA Payment, then the affected Class Member shall be deemed bound to the Settlement,
2 nonetheless.

3 13. The Court directs and authorizes Counsel for the Parties to utilize all reasonable procedures
4 in connection with the further administration of the Settlement that are not materially inconsistent with
5 either this Order or the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

6 14. The Parties shall bear their own respective attorneys' fees and costs, except as otherwise
7 provided for in this Order or in the Settlement Agreement and as later approved by the Court.

8 15. Pursuant to California Rule of Court, Rule 3.769(h), this Court shall retain jurisdiction over
9 all matters related to the administration and consummation of the Settlement and any claims, asserted in,
10 arising out of, or related to the subject matter of the Action including, but not limited to, all matters related
11 to the final distribution of Settlement funds and the determination of all controversies relating thereto.

12 16. A non-appearance Case Review re: Final Report re: Distribution of Settlement Funds is set
13 for [DATE] ([] days from this Order) at [TIME]. The Court orders Class Counsel to file the Final Report
14 five (5) court days in advance of the Case Review.

15 17. Accordingly, and pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Rule 3.769 of the
16 California Rules of Court, this Court GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and
17 PAGA Settlement, and Judgment is entered in accordance with this Order.

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19
20 DATED: _____

21 _____
22 THE HONORABLE CAROLYN B. KUHL
23 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
24
25
26
27
28