

1 Carolyn H. Cottrell (SBN 166977)
2 Esther L. Bylsma (SBN 264208)
3 SCHNEIDER WALLACE
4 COTTRELL KIM LLP
5 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400
6 Emeryville, California 94608
7 Telephone: (415) 421-7100
8 Facsimile: (415) 421-7105
9 ccottrell@schneiderwallace.com
10 ebylsma@schneiderwallace.com

11 James Hawkins, Esq. (SBN 192925)
12 Gregory Mauro, Esq. (SBN 222239)
13 Michael Calvo, Esq. (SBN 314986)
14 JAMES HAWKINS, APLC
15 9880 Research Drive, Ste. 200
16 Irvine, CA 92618
17 Tel: 949-387-7200
18 Fax: 949-387-6676
19 greg@jameshawkinsaplc.com
20 michael@jameshawkinsaplc.com
21 james@jameshawkinsaplc.com

22 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs, on behalf of the State of*
23 *California and Aggrieved Employees*

24
25
26
27
28
**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO**

17 TROY CROSSLEY and EARNELL WORTHY,
18 on Behalf of the State of California and
19 Aggrieved Employees, and Others Similarly
20 Situated

21 Plaintiffs,

22 vs.

23 MANPOWER U.S., INC., KUEHNE + NAGEL,
24 INC., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

25 Defendants.

Case No. CIVSB2325323
Assigned for All Purposes To:
The Honorable David E Driscoll
Dept. 22

**DECLARATION OF JAMES HAWKINS IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS AND PAGA ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

Date: April 13, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept.: 22

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1. I am an individual over the age of 18. I am a partner at the law firm of James Hawkins, APLC. I am one of the attorneys of record for Plaintiffs Troy Crossley and Earnell Worthy, (hereinafter referred to as “Plaintiffs” or “Class Representatives”), who commenced this class and PAGA action on behalf of the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement, which Plaintiffs filed concurrently herewith, along with the supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below, and if called to testify regarding them, I could and would do so competently.

11

12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19
20
21
22

23
24
25
26
27
28

1 order to present their case both at the class certification stage and trial. Defendant vigorously
2 contested liability, the amount of claimed damages, and the propriety of class certification, and
3 would have continued to do so through trial. Accordingly, I believe the Settlement to be fair,
4 reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The fairness and
5 adequacy of the Settlement is confirmed by all known facts and circumstances, including the risk
6 of significant delay and uncertainty associated with litigation, the various defenses asserted by
7 Defendant, and numerous potential appellate issues.

8 **EDUCATION AND CLASS ACTION EXPERIENCE**

9 5. In 1993, I graduated from the University of California, Los Angeles with a
10 Bachelor of Arts Degree. Following graduation, I attended Whittier Law School. In 1996, while a
11 full-time law student, I was also a full-time Judicial Extern for the Honorable Consuelo B.
12 Marshall, United States District Court, Central District. After approximately five months of
13 service for Judge Marshall, I became a full-time extern for the United States Attorney's Office,
14 Central District of California. My externship lasted for approximately five months. Thereafter, in
15 1997, I graduated from Whittier Law School. The same year, I was admitted to practice law in the
16 State of California.

17 6. From 1997 to 2007, I was a named partner at Hawkins & Sofonio, a law firm based
18 out of Irvine, California. At Hawkins & Sofonio, I pioneered the employment department
19 litigating plaintiff related employment issues such as: Wrongful Termination, Age Discrimination,
20 Disability, Wage and Hour and Sexual Harassment claims. Through the success and experience I
21 obtained litigating employment related matters, I commenced litigating Wage and Hour Class
22 Actions in 2002. Since then, I have spent the vast majority of my practice litigating Wage and
23 Hour Class Actions.

24 7. In 2007, I incorporated my wage and hour class action practice as James Hawkins,
25 APLC. Since its inception, this law firm has been exclusively involved in class action and
26 complex litigation. In 2009, I opened an additional office in Miami, Florida, prosecuting wage and
27 hour class actions. Since 2002, I have been lead or co-lead counsel in all of the cases listed in
28 below.

1 8. I am qualified to act as Class Counsel. I have a great deal of experience in wage
2 and hour class action litigation and consumer class action litigation. I have been certified and
3 approved as class counsel in many other class actions, and I am currently litigating numerous
4 others before this Court and others. Although not an all-inclusive list, over the years I have
5 prosecuted the following class action matters as lead and/or co-lead counsel, all of which
6 implicated similar law and facts to those associated with this Action:

7 ○ ***Mojica v. Compass Group, Inc., et al.*** USDC Central District, Case No. 8:13-cv-
8 01754.

9 ○ ***Dao v. 3M Company, et al.*** USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. CV-08-
10 04554.

11 ○ ***Ortiz v. Kmart***, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. SACV 06-638 ODW.

12 ○ ***Morgan v. Aramark Campus, LLC***, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No.
13 SACV08-00412.

14 ○ ***West v Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc, et al.***; Los Angeles County
15 Superior Court, Case No. BC393709.

16 ○ ***Gonzalez v. Superior Industries International, Inc., et al.***, Los Angeles County
17 Superior Court, Case No. BC 357912.

18 ○ ***Acosta v. Fleetwood Travel Trailers of California, Inc., et al.***, Riverside County
19 Superior Court, Case No. RIC 440630.

20 ○ ***Walker v. Sharkeez, et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 05CC00293.

21 ○ ***Padron v. Universal Protection Service, et al***, Orange County Superior Court, Case
22 No. 05CC00013.

23 ○ ***Martinez v. Securitas Security Services USA, et al.***, Santa Clara Superior Court,
24 Case No. 105-CV047499, et al. J.C.C.P. No. 4460.

25 ○ ***Velasquez-Lopez v. Hotel Cleaning Services, Inc. et al.***, Riverside Superior Court,
26 Case No. RIC 420909.

27 ○ ***Ruiz, et al. v. Unisource Worldwide, Inc., et al.***, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT,
28 Case No. CV09-05848.

- 1 ○ **Herrador v. Culligan International Company, et al.**, USDC, CENTRAL
2 DISTRICT, Case No. SACV 08-680.
- 3 ○ **Defries v. Domain Restaurants, et al.**, Orange County Superior Court, Case No.
4 05CC00128.
- 5 ○ **Denton v. BLB Enterprises, Inc., et al.**, Orange County Superior Court, Case No.
6 07CC01292.
- 7 ○ **Rios v. Sandberg Furniture Manufacturing Co., Inc, et al.**, Los Angeles Superior
8 Court, Case No. BC411477.
- 9 ○ **McMurray v. Dave and Busters, Inc., et al.**, Orange County Superior Court, Case
10 No. 06CC00099.
- 11 ○ **Osuna v. DFG Restaurants, Inc., et al.**, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No.
12 BC 330145.
- 13 ○ **Burns v. Gymboree Operations, Inc., et al.**, San Francisco Superior Court, Case
14 No. CGC-07-461612.
- 15 ○ **Willems v. Diedrich Coffee, Inc., et al.**, Orange County Superior Court, Case No.
16 07CC00015.
- 17 ○ **Davila, et al. v. Beckman Coulter, Inc., et al.**, Orange County Superior Court,
18 Case No. 07CC01347.
- 19 ○ **Perez v. Naked Juice Company of Glendora, Inc.**, Los Angeles Superior Court,
20 Case No. BC387088.
- 21 ○ **Coordination Proceeding Special Title [Rule 1550(b)] Wackenhut Wage and**
22 **Hour Cases**, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. JCCP 4545.
- 23 ○ **Placencia v. Amcor Packaging Distribution, Inc.**, Orange County Superior Court,
24 Case No. 30-2013-00694012-CU-OE-CXC.
- 25 ○ **Trani v. Lisi Aerospace, et al.**, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC495527.
- 26 ○ **Galvan v. Goodwin Co.**, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-
27 00637062-CU-OE-CXC.
- 28

- 1 ○ ***Reyes v. Bristol Fiberlite***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-
2 00653425-CU-OE-CXC.
- 3 ○ ***Gutierrez v. HMT Tank***, USDC Central Dist., Case No. CV14-1967-CAS(MAN)
4 ○ ***Williams v. Il Fornaio America Corp.***, Sacramento County, Case No. 34-2011-
5 0009616.
- 6 ○ ***Aguilar v. 7-Eleven, Inc.***, Orange County, Case No. 30-2009-002687141-CU-OE-
7 CXC.
- 8 ○ ***Madrigal v. Huntington Beach Market Broiler, Inc.***, Orange County, Case No.
9 30-2012-00611260.
- 10 ○ ***Vang v. Jazz Semiconductor, Inc.***, Orange County, Case no. 30-2011-00460278.
- 11 ○ ***Vigueras v. Red Robin International, Inc.***, USDC Central Dist. Case No. *SACV 17-*
12 *1422 JVS (DFMx)*;
- 13 ○ ***Smith v. Space Exploration Technologies Corp.***, Los Angeles Superior Court,
14 Case No. *BC55429*;
- 15 ○ ***Cano v. Financial Statement Services, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case
16 No. 30-2013-00653349-CU-OE-CXC;
- 17 ○ ***Mendez v. Liberty Glass Fabricators, Inc.***, Riverside County Superior Court Case
18 No. *RIC1800119*;
- 19 ○ ***Attia v. Neiman Marcus Group, Inc.***, United States District Court for the Central
20 District of California Case No. *8:16-cv-001504-DOC-FFM*;
- 21 ○ ***O'Brien, et al. v. Cerida Investment Corp.***, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No.
22 *21CV384527*;
- 23 ○ ***Gonzalez v. Quality Aluminum Forge, LLC***, Orange County Superior Court Case
24 No. 30-2015-00817941;
- 25 ○ ***Shay v. Apple, Inc.***, United States District Court for the Southern District of
26 California Case No. *3:20-cv-1629-JO-BLM*;
- 27 ○ ***Milligan v. CWI, Inc.***, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. *CIVDS 2013999*;
- 28 ○ ***Aguilar v. LDI Mechanical, Inc.***, Riverside County Case No. *RIC1610019*;

- 1 ○ ***Abron v. University Healthcare Alliance***, Alameda County Superior Court Case No.
2 RG20066438;
- 3 ○ ***Benson v. F21 Opco, LLC***, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021,00024619-
4 CU-OE-CTL;
- 5 ○ ***Allen v. Creative Stone Mfg., Inc.***, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No.
6 CIVSB2201498;
- 7 ○ ***Santamaria v. Bright Horizons Children’s Center***, San Bernardino County Superior
8 Court Case No. CIVSB2210140;
- 9 ○ ***Yniguez v. Airgas USA, LLC***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No.
10 20STCV18190;
- 11 ○ ***Cervantez v. Genuine Parts Company***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case
12 No. 22STCV00981;
- 13 ○ ***Robles v. Jetro Holdings, LLC***, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021-
14 00015414-CU-OE-CTL;
- 15 ○ ***Hernandez v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.***, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case
16 No. 20CV3770922;
- 17 ○ ***Ayala v. Veg-Land, et al.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2014-
18 00738775-CU-OE-CXC;
- 19 ○ ***Puente v. Sully-Miller Contracting Co.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No.
20 30-2015-00792088-CU-OE-CXC;
- 21 ○ ***Garcia v. Revolution Foods, Inc.***, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC579142;
- 22 ○ ***Attaway, et al. v. Alliance Residential, LLC***, Santa Clara County Superior Court
23 Case No. 20CV365134;
- 24 ○ ***Bowman v. Delta Dental of California***, Alameda County Superior Court Case No.
25 RG21108230;
- 26 ○ ***Hernandez v. Burrtec Waste & Recycling Services, LLC***, United States District
27 Court for the Central District of California Case No. 5:21-CV-001490-JWH-SP;
- 28

- 1 ○ ***Grant v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.***, United States District Court for the Central District of
2 California Case No. 2:21-CV-02268;
- 3 ○ ***Dawson v. Wonderful Pistachios and Almonds, LLC***, Kern County Superior Court
4 Case No. BCV-17-100848;
- 5 ○ ***Hamilton, et al. v. Michael Kors Stores (California), Inc.***, Los Angeles County
6 Superior Court Case NO. 19STCV37061;
- 7 ○ ***Ross v. Stater Bros.***, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1902518;
- 8 ○ ***Walker v. Barrett Business Services, Inc., et al.***, Los Angeles County Superior Court
9 Case No. 20STCV39172;
- 10 ○ ***Benitez, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-
11 2019-01069185-CU-OE-CXC;
- 12 ○ ***Montgomery v. Copart, Inc.***, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2020-
13 00280733-CU-OE-GDS;
- 14 ○ ***Vizcarra-McNamee v. Nordstrom, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No.
15 30-2020-01141916-CU-OE-CXC;
- 16 ○ ***Ayers v. Cherry Hill Programs, Inc.***, Fresno County Superior Court Case No.
17 20CECG00821;
- 18 ○ ***Sleeper v. Boot Barn, Inc.***, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2019-
19 00272000-CU-OE-GDS;
- 20 ○ ***Pulido-Dias v. Dirt Cheap, Inc.***, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No.
21 CIVDS1719694;
- 22 ○ ***Villanueva v. Nordstrom, Inc.***, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No.
23 CIVDS1918706;
- 24 ○ ***Bryson v. Madame Tussauds, et al.***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No.
25 19STCV33514
- 26 ○ ***Hightower v. Levy Premium, et al.***, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No.
27 19CV359262;
- 28

- 1 ○ ***Marquez v. Maxim Crane Works, L.P., et al***, Los Angeles County Superior Court
2 Case No. 19STCV39548;
- 3 ○ ***Rovira v. Silverado Senior Living Management, Inc.***, Orange County Superior
4 Court Case No. 30-2020-01136565-CU-OE-CXC;
- 5 ○ ***Hallum, et al. v. FCA US, LLC***, San Bernardino County Case No. CIVDS1936782;
6 ○ ***Martinez v. Placer Title Co., et al.***, Kern County Case No. BCV-19-103486;
7 ○ ***Torres v. Van Law Food Products, Inc.***, Orange County Case No. 37-2017-
8 00946312-CU-OE-CXC;
- 9 ○ ***Holmes v. Pet Food Express, LLC***, Alameda County Case No. 22CV014140;
10 ○ ***Ventura v. Conduent Commercial Solutions, LLC***, Kern County Case No. BCV-
11 22-102043;
- 12 ○ ***Ramirez v. CSI Electrical Contractors, Inc.***, Fresno County Superior Court Case
13 No. 18CECG04150;
- 14 ○ ***Magana v. Eibach Springs, Inc.***, Riverside County Superior Court Case No.
15 RIC1708917;
- 16 ○ ***Knox v. Express Messenger Systems, Inc.***, San Bernardino County Superior Court
17 Case No. CIVDS1932323;
- 18 ○ ***Corona, et al. v. G&M Oil Company, Inc.***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case
19 No. BC640876
- 20 ○ ***Aguilar v. Peach Home Services, Inc., et al.***, Riverside County Superior Court Case
21 No. RIC1823057;
- 22 ○ ***Wilson v. M.C. LLC***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2019-01103382-
23 CU-OE-CXC.
- 24 ○ ***Nunez v. Nevell Group, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2015-
25 00783269.
- 26 ○ ***Bendorf, et al. v. Sea World LLC, et al.***, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-
27 2021-00034922-CU-OE-CTL;
- 28

- ***DeMartini, et al. v. Safelite Fulfillment, Inc.***, Marin County Case No. CIV20002076;
- ***Ayala, et al., v. Macy's West Stores, Inc.***, Los Angeles County Case No. 20STCV34182;
- ***Wallen, et al. v. United Rentals (North America), Inc., et al.***, Stanislaus County Case No. CV-22-000399;
- ***Granados v. Hyatt Corporation***, United States District Court for the Southern District of California Case No. 3:23-cv-01001-H-VET;
- ***Vigil v. Hyatt Corporation***, United States District Court for the Northern District of California Case No. 4:22-cv-00693;
- ***Garcia v. U.S. Best Ingredients, Inc.***, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21TRCV00813.

9. In sum, my firm has been the lead or co-lead counsel in hundreds of wage and hour cases since its inception. These cases have confronted many of the same issues presented in the above-entitled action. We have been certified as class counsel on numerous occasions (both through settlement and via motion). We have successfully recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for employees in the State of California and millions for the State as well through PAGA.

10. Indeed, our firm has served as class counsel in a number of significant wage and hour settlements. Of particular note, in 2019, our firm was co-lead counsel in securing the largest wage and hour class action settlement in California history at \$130,000,000. During this case, plaintiffs appealed a decertification order. This case involved several appeals. The appellate record amassed an extensive appellate record comprising a 23-volume joint appendix, a three-volume reporters' transcript, five briefs, four letter briefs, two motions for judicial notice, a motion to augment the record, and several additional letters informing the appellate court of new legal authority issued after *Dukes*. The matter was argued to and submitted by the Court of Appeal on September 15, 2016, and on November 21, 2016, the decertification order was reversed, and the case was remanded for further proceedings. (*Lubin v. The Wackenhut Corporation* ("Lubin"), 5 Cal. App. 5th 960 (2016)). Wackenhut filed a petition for review of the Court of Appeal decision to the California Supreme

1 Court. The Supreme Court denied Wackenhut's petition for review of Lubin. The Court of Appeal
2 issued remittitur on April 10, 2017. Eventually through additional litigation, the parties were able
3 to secure the \$130 million settlement that was approved on October 21, 2019, by Judge Highberger
4 in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

5 11. Additionally, in early 2020, our firm served as lead counsel in a class action jury trial
6 in the Central District of California involving the class and PAGA representative claims of over
7 23,000 employees which successfully resolved in the midst of trial for \$8,500,000.

8 12. Our firm has settled many high-stakes class and representative actions. Hawkins'
9 settlements have directly compensated hundreds of thousands of California workers and
10 consumers. Hawkins' actions have also forced employers to modify their policies for the benefit of
11 employees including changing the compensation structure for certain employees and changing
12 practices to ensure that workers will be able to take timely rest and meal breaks. A leader in
13 prosecuting class and PAGA enforcement actions, Hawkins has secured millions of dollars for
14 workers and in civil penalties for the State of California.

15 13. Here, the Parties' agreement to pay Class Counsel Fees of up to \$239,750.00 (i.e.
16 35% of the Gross Settlement Amount) is reasonable. My firm and I along with co-counsel have
17 been the only counsel to represent Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class in this matter, and we have
18 borne the entire risk and cost of this litigation on a pure contingency fee basis. The legal issues
19 raised drew significantly upon my experience and that of our co-counsel. Class Counsel has
20 devoted extensive review and analysis of documents and information by me and others at my firm
21 and our co-counsel. In a complex action such as this, the proposed attorneys' fees are, at the very
22 least, on the low side of the market rate for contingency fees.

23 14. At this juncture, with the addition of more hours due to the administration of the
24 Settlement by Class Counsel, administration of the Settlement, and preparation for final approval,
25 counsel for Plaintiffs will provide a detailed analysis of their time in advance of the final approval
26 hearing.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on
November 25, 2025 at Irvine, California.


James R. Hawkins

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28