

1 MAYALL HURLEY P.C.
2 ROBERT J. WASSERMAN (SBN: 258538)
3 rwasserman@mayallaw.com
4 JENNY D. BAYSINGER (SBN:)
5 jbaysinger@mayallaw.com
6 2453 Grand Canal Boulevard
Stockton, California 95207-8253
Telephone: (209) 477-3833
Facsimile: (209) 473-4818

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Samantha Owens and the Class

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

10 SAMANTHA OWENS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 FIDELITY NATIONAL HOME
14 WARRANTY COMPANY; and DOES 1-
15 100, inclusive,

16 Defendants.

Case No.: MSC21-00731

DECLARATION OF JENNY D.
BAYSINGER IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT AND MOTION
FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND
CLASS REPRESENTATIVE
ENHANCEMENT AWARD

Date: April 25, 2024

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: 12

Judge: Hon. Charles S. Treat

1 I, Jenny D. Baysinger, declare:

2 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before all the courts of this state and am a
3 shareholder of Mayall Hurley P.C., attorneys for Plaintiff Samantha Owens (“Owens” or “Plaintiff”),
4 individually, on behalf of all others similarly situated, and as proxy for the LWDA.

5 2. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and, if called upon to do so,
6 could and would competently testify thereto under oath.

7 **Pre-Mediation Procedural History**

8 3. My office began investigating Samanth Owens’ claims in January 2021 and
9 undertook formal representation of her that same month.

10 4. Owens was hired as a non-exempt dispatcher by Fidelity National Home Warranty
11 (“Defendant” or “FNHW”) on September 5, 2016. At the time of her initial offer, Owens was paid
12 at the hourly rate of \$13.00. Owens transitioned into a purchasing clerk and was later promoted to a
13 Purchasing Team Lead in November 2019. Owens was laid off in December 2020 and then rehired
14 in July 2021—Owens continues to be employed by FNHW. At all times, Owens was properly
15 classified as an hourly paid non-exempt employee.

16 5. On February 2, 2021, my office sent a notification letter to the LWDA pursuant to
17 Labor Code section 2699.3.

18 6. On April 12, 2021 my office filed the operative Class and Representative Action
19 Complaint in the instant matter (the “Complaint”). The Complaint asserts seven (7) causes of action,
20 (1) failure to pay minimum wage, (2) failure to pay overtime, (3) failure to provide meal breaks, (4)
21 failure to furnish accurate wage statements, (5) failure to pay all wages on separation, (6) unfair
22 business practices, and (7) a claim to assess and collect civil penalties pursuant to the PAGA.

23 **Investigation and Discovery**

24 7. Through independent inquiry, research, and informal discovery, Class Counsel¹
25 thoroughly and diligently investigated and pursued the claims. This process has included, but not
26 been limited to, (1) obtaining and reviewing Plaintiff’s personnel file, payroll records, and time
27

28 ¹ All capitalized terms herein shall have the same meaning and identified in the Parties’ Joint Stipulation for Class
Action Settlement and Release, attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

1 records through both formal and informal discovery; (2) identifying, researching, and pleading the
2 appropriate claims, including amending the Lawsuit to assert additional claims as they ripened
3 and/or were discovered; (3) exhausting administrative remedies; (4) identifying, requesting, and
4 securing the payroll and time records for 139 individual putative class members (nearly the entirety
5 of each Class); (5) propounding formal and informal discovery to secure relevant policy documents
6 and numerical information regarding the size of the class and the scope of the claims, (6) retaining
7 an expert to analyze the payroll and time data provided by Defendant and personally conducting spot
8 checks to ensure the accuracy of the damages calculations; (7) researching and evaluating the scope
9 of additional and/or previous actions and their potential impact on the Class Claims; (8) creating a
10 reliable damages model; and (9) developing and implementing a strategy for mediation and
11 settlement.

12 8. On August 19, 2021 my office propounded an initial set of formal written discovery
13 on Defendant, consisting of Form Interrogatories, Special Interrogatories, Requests for Admission,
14 and Requests for Production of Documents.

15 9. After meet and confer efforts, Defendant served supplemental responses in March
16 2022. In total, Defendant produced over 1000 pages of documents, and time and payroll data for
17 139 individuals.

18 10. In May 2022, while additional meet and confer efforts were underway, the Parties
19 began discussing the prospect of mediation. In June 2022, the Parties agreed to mediate with
20 experienced wage and hour mediator David Phillips, Esq. and scheduled mediation for October 27,
21 2022.

22 11. At that point, the Parties agreed to table formal discovery and proceed informally
23 with pre-mediation production instead. My office requested documents and data points for
24 mediation purposes in September 2022.

25 12. The Parties were unable to exchange the necessary information for mediation in
26 advance of the October scheduled mediation date and thus continued the mediation to February
27 2023. The Parties subsequently continued the mediation again. Mediation with Mr. Phillips
28 ultimately took place on May 5, 2023.

13. Between August 2021 and execution of the Joint Stipulation for Class Action Settlement and Release (“Settlement”, “Settlement Agreement” or “SA”) in September 2023, the Parties engaged in an informal pre-mediation information/data exchange relating to the entirety of the Class. In total, Defendant provided Class Counsel with more than 1000 pages of policy documents and documents relating to Plaintiff, and more than 60,000-line items of data (each consisting of multiple data points) relating to the time entries and payroll of Class Members.

14. Class Counsel retained a qualified and experienced expert to analyze the data and create a damages model for use during the mediation. This included providing full evaluation of all of the data furnished by Defendant and then extrapolating as necessary to determine potential exposure for the claims of each Class over the entire Class Period.

15. Prior to the mediation, I had multiple discussions with Plaintiff's retained expert to ensure the parameters of the analysis were appropriate and accurate, the data provided was usable and reliable (including cross-checking with Plaintiff's time records to ensure accuracy), and the reliability of the damages model. I also personally spot-checked a number of calculations to ensure their accuracy.

16. Prior to the mediation, I had multiple discussions with Defendant’s counsel regarding the scope of claims, their merits, and the potential impact of a prior class action settlement in *Dillard v. Fidelity National Home Warranty*, Contra Costa Superior Court Case NO. MSC18-00394 (the “*Dillard Matter*”).

Settlement Negotiations and Mediation

17. The Parties participated in a full-day mediation with experienced wage and hour class action mediator David Phillips on May 5, 2023.

18. The Parties were not able to reach an agreement at the mediation. Following the mediation, however, the Parties continued to discuss potential resolution, both independently and with the assistance of the mediator.

19. On July 15, 2023, Mr. Phillips communicated a mediator's proposal to the Parties. On July 20, 2023, the mediator advised the Parties his proposal had been accepted.

// // //

20. After additional months of negotiations regarding specific terms, the Parties executed the Settlement in September 2023. At all times, the Parties' negotiations were adversarial, non-collusive, and at arms' length.

Summary of General Settlement Terms

21. The Settlement provides for payment of a total Gross Settlement Amount of \$285,000 ("GSA"); \$10,000 allocated to resolve PAGA Claims and \$275,000 allocated to resolve the claims of the Classes. Due to the nature of the claims, varying time periods for each, and the impact of the *Dillard* Matter on some claims, the Settlement Class is defined as follows:

- a. All non-exempt individuals who worked for Defendant in California at any time during the Class Period and who are members of one or more of the following subclasses: (1) the "Meal Period Subclass," which includes those who worked at least one shift of more than five (5) hours from March 1, 2020, through and including September 21, 2023; (2) the "Overtime Subclass," which includes those who earned a non-discretionary bonus or other remuneration pertaining to a workweek when he or she also worked overtime on at least one occasion from April 12, 2017, through and including September 21, 2023, and who were not part of the "Overtime Class" in the matter of *Dillard/Menchaca v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc., et al.*, Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No. C18-00394; and (3) the "Wage Statement Subclass," which includes those who received one or more wage statements at any time from April 12, 2020, through and including September 21, 2023. SA ¶ 15.

22. The Net Settlement Amount is to be divided 50% to the Meal Period Subclass, 15% to the Overtime Subclass, 20% to the Wage Statement Subclass, and 15% to members of the Meal Period Subclass or Overtime Subclass whose employment with Defendant ended at any time between April 12, 2018 and September 21, 2023. SA ¶¶ 28.D.iii-28.D.vi.

23. As of mediation, FNHW represented there were 104 Meal Period Subclass members, 106 Overtime Subclass members, and 104 Wage Statement Subclass members. In order to protect the Class and ensure the Settlement represents a fair, reasonable, and adequate resolution, if any of

///

those numbers increased by more than 10%, the Class Period for any respective subclass with an increase was to be adjusted (shortened). SA ¶¶ 28.D.iii-28.D.vi.

24. The “escalator clause” in the Settlement was not triggered. The final class sizes, based on data from the Settlement Administrator are as follows: 121 total Settlement Class Members; 112 Meal Period Sub-class Members who worked 12,866 total workweeks, 99 Overtime Sub-class Members who worked 23,148 workweeks, 112 Wage Statement Sub-class Members who worked 12,123 workweeks, and 76 Waiting Time Penalty Sub-class Members.

25. Absent the negotiated resolution, it is my strong belief that Class Members would not realize any monetary recovery from the claims asserted through this matter.

26. Each Class Member’s individual settlement share will be calculated based on the number of Workweeks he/she worked during the respective Class Period of each subclass. SA ¶¶ 28.D.iii-28.D.vi.

27. Based on the final class sizes, the portion of the GSA allocated to resolution of Class Claims is expected to yield a gross average recovery of \$1,227.67 for Meal Period Subclass members (\$10.68/workweek), \$416.67 for Overtime Subclass Members (\$1.78/workweek), and \$491.07 for Wage Statement Subclass members (\$4.54/workweek). Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Members will each receive an *additional* \$542.76. The allocation of the GSA amongst the classes was done in an effort to provide a proportionally appropriate recovery for each class based on the maximum and realistic values of the claims available to each subclass. These expected average recoveries are cognizable and beneficial.

28. The actual expected average distribution (net recoveries), after fees, costs, Plaintiff’s Enhancement Payment, the PAGA Allocation, and administrative expenses are deducted, are as follows: \$659.60 for Meal Period Subclass members, \$223.86 for Overtime subclass members, and \$263.84 for Wage Statement subclass members. Meal Period subclass and Overtime subclass members whose employment ended between April 12, 2018 and September 21, 2023 will share equally in an additional \$22,162.50 (the Waiting Time Penalty Share).

29. There are 76 former employees, which will result in an average of \$291.61 in additional monies for those individuals.

1 30. The average PAGA distribution is \$22.52 for 111 aggrieved employees.

2 31. These recoveries are cognizable and, in my opinion, are fair, adequate, and reasonable
3 under the circumstances.

4 **Class Counsel's Damages Analysis and Valuation of the Class Claims**

5 32. The Settlement Agreement represents a compromise of highly disputed claims.
6 Defendant vigorously denies Plaintiff's allegations in their entirety, denies that certification is
7 appropriate (except for settlement purposes), contends it has complied with the law, and asserts
8 numerous affirmative defenses, both legal and factual.

9 33. The Complaint and Settlement have and will result in substantial benefits to all Class
10 Members and is in the collective best interest of the Class.

11 34. Reviewing and analyzing the payroll/time data, Class Counsel and their expert
12 developed a damages model illustrating Defendant's maximum exposure for each of the claims
13 asserted by each sub-class. Class Counsel also evaluated the maximum damages against the
14 defenses asserted by Defendant and the likelihood of success of some of Defendant's arguments to
15 determine a more realistic and reasonable anticipated damages exposure. This damages model
16 informed the litigation and the settlement negotiations.

17 **Maximum Damages Analysis**

18 **Meal Period Subclass Claims**

19 35. Plaintiff's meal break claim was primarily based on a theory that Defendant adopted
20 a policy in approximately March 2020 (at or near the time employees began working from home
21 due to Covid) that prohibited employees from clocking in even one minute late for meal periods
22 and thus, effectively, forced employees to cut their meal periods short in order to avoid being
23 reprimanded for violating the prohibition.

24 36. Based on the records reviewed, Plaintiff's expert identified 39,720 meal period
25 eligible shifts; 23,531 with a presumed meal period violation. This reflected a meal period
26 violation rate of nearly 60%, which is relatively substantial. My office also interviewed multiple
27 class members who advised Defendant had, in fact, directed employees they could not be even one
28

1 minute late clocking back in from lunch and actually threatened discipline when employees did
2 clock in from lunch late.

3 37. In total, Plaintiff's expert calculated the damages for unpaid meal period premiums
4 to be **\$410,203**.

5 38. The amount of the GSA allocated to resolve claims of the Meal Period Subclass
6 (50% of \$275,000 or \$137,500) equates to 33.5% of the *maximum* damages expected to be
7 available to that subclass.

8 39. The net amount to be distributed to the Meal Period Subclass (\$73,875) represents
9 18% of the maximum recovery for this subclass.

10 Overtime Subclass Claims

11 40. The crux of Plaintiff's overtime claim is based on alleged failures to properly
12 calculate the "regular rate of pay" because items of compensation, particularly non-discretionary
13 bonuses, were not factored in and/or were not accurately calculated. Specifically, Plaintiff
14 frequently earned amounts identified as "bonus" on her wage statements and (usually) paid on a
15 monthly basis (see **Exhibit 2**). While those flat rate bonuses were non-discretionary and earned for
16 meeting certain defined goals, FNHW did not factor them into the rate paid for overtime and
17 doubletime hours worked.

18 41. Plaintiff's expert calculated the total underpaid/unpaid overtime wages to be
19 **\$13,247**.

20 42. In addition, Plaintiff raised a minimum wage/overtime claim based on alleged errors
21 in rounding. Based on a review of Plaintiff's records, and extrapolating over the entire Class and
22 Class Period, Plaintiff's expert determined there was **\$16,310.12** in underpaid minimum wage
23 owed to Overtime Subclass members, plus liquidated damages in an equivalent amount.

24 43. In total, Plaintiff believes Defendants are liable to the Overtime Subclass for
25 **\$45,867.24**.

26 44. The amount of the GSA allocated to resolve claims of the Overtime Subclass (15%
27 of \$275,000 or \$41,250) equates to 90% of the *maximum* damages expected to be available to that
28 subclass.

45. The net amount to be distributed to the Overtime Subclass (\$22,162.50) represents 48.3% of the maximum recovery for this subclass.

Wage Statement Subclass Claims

46. The primary theory asserted by the Wage Statement subclass is that the wage statements furnished violate Labor Code section 226(a)(2) because they 1) do not have a separate line item for “total hours worked” and 2) the hours column includes multiple items (including overtime hours being listed twice) thus making it impossible for class members to “promptly determine” the total hours worked each pay period. *McKenzie v. Fed. Express* (C.D. Cal. 2011) 765 F.Supp.2d 1222, 1228-1229.

47. Based on numerical information/data points furnished by Defendant prior to mediation, the maximum 226(e) statutory penalty exposure to the Wage Statement Subclass was calculated to be **\$416,000**.

48. The amount of the GSA allocated to resolve claims of the Wage Statement Subclass (20% of \$275,000 or \$55,000) equates to 13.2% of the *maximum* damages expected to be available to that subclass.

49. The net amount that is expected to be distributed to the Wage Statement Subclass (\$29,550) represents 7.1% of the maximum recovery for this subclass.

Waiting Time Penalties

50. Plaintiff also asserted a derivative waiting time penalty claim that impacts members of the Meal Period Subclass and the Overtime Subclass whose employment ended between April 12, 2018 and September 21, 2023.

51. In total, based on the final number of Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Members (76), the waiting time penalty claim has a maximum value of **\$317,011.20**

52. The amount of the GSA allocated to resolve claims waiting time penalty claims (15% of \$275,000 or \$41,250) equates to 13% of the *maximum* damages available to that subclass.

53. The net amount to be distributed to members of the Meal Period Subclass and Overtime Subclass whose employment ended between April 12, 2018 and September 21, 2023 (\$22,162.50) represents 7% of the maximum recovery for these individuals.

1 54. In total, our expert calculated Defendant's *maximum* exposure to all Class Members
2 for Released Class Claims at **\$1,189,081.44**. The portion of the GSA relating to class claims
3 (\$275,000) represents a substantial 23.12% of the *maximum* damages and statutory penalties
4 available from the entirety of the Released Class Claims.

5 55. The maximum recovery equates to an average of just over \$10,000 (\$10,616.80) for
6 each individual Settlement Class Member.

7 56. Given the amount of the Settlement as compared to the potential value of the claims,
8 the Settlement is most certainly fair and reasonable.

9 57. The above maximum calculations should then be adjusted in consideration of both
10 the risk of certification and the risk of establishing class-wide liability on all claims.

11 58. Defendant disputes Plaintiff's estimates and believes the amount she can recover for
12 herself and the Class/respective subclasses, if anything at all, is actually much lower. Under the
13 circumstances, and considering the legal and factual defenses asserted by Defendant, 100% success
14 is unlikely.

15 **Defendant's Defenses and Realistic Liability**

16 59. Because 100% success in litigation is unrealistic, Class Counsel also determined a
17 much more realistic estimate for the potential recovery of each of the class claims asserted. Under
18 this more measured approach, Class Counsel (1) applied no discount to wage claims of the Overtime
19 Subclass leaving \$45,867.24; (2) applied a 33.33% discount to the meal period claim to account for
20 the likelihood that some of the presumptively denied meal periods were actually taken by Class
21 Members and/or were voluntarily foregone without any input/interference by Defendant, and to
22 account for the overarching difficulty of certifying meal break claims (E.g. *Aguirre v. Genesis*
23 *Logistics* (C.D. Cal. 2016) 2016 WL 6573986 * 6 (cataloguing cases), leaving \$273,468.67; (3)
24 applied a 50% discount to the wage statement claim to account for the potential that injury could not
25 be demonstrated and accounting for Defendant's argument that the total hours worked can be
26 ascertained by simply adding the total "Overtime" hours and the total "Regular" hours (*Morgan v.*
27 *United Retail* (2010) 186 Cal.App.4th 1136) and/or that the wage statements complied with the
28 principles of *General Atomics v. Sup. Ct.* (2021) 64 Cal.App.5th 987, leaving \$208,000; and (4)

1 applied a 25% discount to the waiting time penalty claim to account for the potential that some of the
2 Meal Period Subclass and Overtime Subclass who are also former employees will be unable to
3 demonstrate any compensable wages that were actually unpaid during employment and Defendant's
4 anticipated argument that its failure to pay meal/rest premium wages was not willful and the law was
5 unsettled at the time of the non-payments, that bonuses paid were discretionary (or there was a valid
6 legal argument to that effect), that the law at the time as to the propriety of rounding was unsettled at
7 least until recently (*Donahoe v. AMN Svcs., LLC* (2021) 11 Cal.5th 58, 74-75) leaving \$230,258.40.

8 60. Under this more measured approach, I determined the Class' realistic expectations as
9 to a damages/statutory penalty award after trial would be **\$757,594.31**; \$273,468.67 to the Meal
10 Period Subclass, \$45,867.24 to the Overtime Subclass, \$208,000 to the Wage Statement Subclass,
11 and \$230,258.40 to the members of the Meal Period Subclass and the Overtime Subclass whose
12 employment ended between April 12, 2018 and September 21, 2023.

13 61. The portion of GSA allocated to Class claims, \$275,000 (\$285,000 - \$10,000
14 allocated to resolve PAGA Claims), represents a substantial 36.30% of this realistic recovery.

15 62. The Meal Period Subclass is making a gross recovery of 50.2% of its realistic
16 recovery; the Overtime Subclass is making a gross recovery of nearly 90% (89.93) of its realistic
17 recovery; the Wage Statement Subclass is making a gross recovery of 26.4% of its realistic recovery;
18 and Meal Period Subclass and Overtime Subclass members whose employment has ended are
19 making a gross recovery of 17.91% of the realistic exposure for waiting time penalties.

20 63. Considering all of the risks, most notably that class certification as to the meal break
21 claims would be difficult to obtain and maintain and that Defendant's contend their wage statements
22 are fully compliant and do not cause any injury to class members, I believe this recovery is fair,
23 adequate, and reasonable.

24 64. The portion of the GSA allocated to resolution of Class Claims is expected to yield a
25 gross average recovery of \$1,227.68 for Meal Period Subclass members, \$416.67 for Overtime
26 Subclass Members, and \$491.07 for Wage Statement Subclass members. The allocation of the GSA
27 amongst the classes was done in an effort to provide a proportionally appropriate recovery for each

28 ///

1 class based on the maximum and realistic values of the claims available to each subclass. These
2 expected average recoveries are cognizable and beneficial.

3 65. The actual expected average distributions, after fees, costs, Plaintiff's Enhancement
4 Payment, the PAGA Allocation, and administrative expenses are deducted, are as follows: \$659.60
5 for Meal Period Subclass members, \$223.86 for Overtime subclass members, and \$263.84 for Wage
6 Statement subclass members. Meal Period subclass and Overtime subclass members whose
7 employment ended between April 12, 2018 and September 21, 2023 will share equally in an
8 additional \$22,162.50 (the Waiting Time Penalty Share). There are 76 former employees, which
9 will result in an average of \$291.61 in additional monies for those individuals.

10 66. Overall, Defendant raised a number of legitimate substantive arguments against the
11 Class Claims, which have the potential to substantially limit and/or potentially eviscerate the Class'
12 recovery. At the very least, the defenses would have resulted in substantial motion practice,
13 particularly on Defendant's challenges to class certification (particularly of meal/rest break and wage
14 statements claims), along with Defendant's continued contention it never violated any applicable
15 laws and always appropriately compensated employees.

16 67. Defendant was expected to vigorously challenge the substantive validity of the meal
17 break claims, as well as their certifiability.

18 68. The existence of a uniform policy or practice, whether written or unwritten,
19 consistently applied to a group of employees can appropriately underscore class certification.

20 *Brinker Rest. Corp. v. Sup. Ct.* (2012) 53 Cal.4th 1004, 1033.

21 69. Plaintiff's overtime claims are extremely likely to be certified as "regular rate" claims
22 generally implicate certifiable issues. *Evans v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.* (C.D. Cal. 2019) 2019 WL
23 7169791 * 6-7. To the extent Defendant asserts the monthly bonuses were discretionary and need
24 not be included in "regular rate", that merits defense should not impact certifiability and, regardless,
25 would apply to the claims of all Overtime Subclass members. *Id.* at * 6. That said, the
26 proportionally small amount of damages available to this subclass could jeopardize the propriety of
27 class action treatment. *Gonzalez v. HUB Intl. Ltd.* (C.D. Cal. 2021) 2021 WL 3261634 *8.

28 ///

1 70. Meal period claims are traditionally difficult to certify. *Pittmon v. CACI Intl.* (C.D.
2 Cal. 2022) 2022 WL 4596554 * 7-8. Here, the claims are founded on policies that were not
3 memorialized in an employee handbook (or other formal writing), but instead communicated to
4 employee’s during Zoom meetings and/or other communications post-Covid.

5 71. Here, Plaintiff and other putative Meal Period Subclass members have consistently
6 explained they were instructed that they could not clock back in from lunch *even a minute late* or
7 they would be disciplined. As a result of this consistently applied policy/practice, employees felt
8 compelled to cut their meal breaks short and were thereby denied compliant meal breaks.

9 72. I believe certification of this claim on this theory is likely, but establishing damages
10 poses a further hurdle as Defendant’s obligation is only to make meal periods “available” and not to
11 “police” that they were actually being taken by employees (most of whom worked almost
12 exclusively off-site). Although the records show a nearly 60% overall presumptive meal break
13 violation rate, the records actually reflect only a small percentage of short meal breaks (1,250/25,000
14 or 5%). This casts some potential doubt on the volume of damages arising from Plaintiff’s theory of
15 meal period violations and threatens the amount of the Meal Period Subclass’ ultimate recovery.

16 73. The certifiability of any derivative wage statement claims are tied to the certifiability
17 of the underlying claims and are thus subject to the risks outlined in the preceding sections. E.g.
18 *Dawson v. Hertz Transporting, Inc.* (C.D. Cal. 2018) 2018 WL 6112623 * 9; *Lampe v. Queen of the*
19 *Valley Med. Ctr.* (2018) 19 Cal.App.5th 832, 852. In addition, the derivative wage statement claim
20 is subject to the substantive challenge that requisite injury is lacking because of the wholly
21 derivative nature of the claims and the wage statements accurately outlined the amounts *actually*
22 *paid* to Class Members. *Maldonado v. Epsilon Plastics, Inc.* (2018) 22 Cal.App.5th 1308, 1336-
23 1337. While I believe the derivative wage statement claim is viable because the wage statements did
24 not accurately reflect the wages actually earned (primarily due to the failure to accurately calculate
25 regular rate of pay), the case law presents a risk. *Castillo v. Bank of Am. Nat. Assn.* (C.D. Cal. 2019)
26 2019 WL 7166055 * 8.

27 74. The stand-alone wage statement claim based on alleged violations of Labor Code
28 section 226(a)(2) because the wage statements do not have a separate line item for “total hours

1 worked” and the hours column includes multiple different categories of hours and compensation
2 (and adding them does not yield an accurate number) is likely to have a smooth path to certification.
3 E.g. *McKenzie v. Fed. Exp. Corp.* (C.D. Cal. 2011) 275 F.R.D. 290 (granting certification of claims
4 based on similar facts).

5 75. From a substantive standpoint, however, Defendant contends there was no injury (and
6 thus no entitlement to damages or statutory penalties) because employees could look at the wage
7 statements and ascertain the total hours worked by adding together the “Regular Hours” and
8 “Straight Time OT” hours identified on the wage statement. Defendant contends this satisfies the
9 principles of *General Atomics v. Sup. Ct.* (2021) 64 Cal.App.5th 987, 1001 and that class members
10 can determine the total hours worked.

11 76. I do not believe that defense is likely to prevail, as the circumstances are identical to
12 those in *McKenzie* and employees cannot “promptly and easily” determine total hours worked
13 because they would have to know which categories of hours to include and which ones to exclude,
14 which is not knowledge a layperson is likely to have.

15 77. Considering all of the circumstances, and the inherent risks in further litigation that
16 would undoubtedly have included multi-pronged legal attacks in the Class Claims, the Settlement—
17 which is expected to *actually provide* a cognizable \$659.60 for Meal Period Subclass members,
18 \$223.86 for Overtime subclass members, \$263.84 for Wage Statement subclass members, and
19 \$291.61 in additional monies for members of the Meal Period Subclass and the Overtime Subclass
20 whose employment ended between April 12, 2018 and September 21, 2023—presents a fair,
21 adequate, and reasonable result and the *most* viable avenue to actually receiving monetary redress
22 for the alleged misconduct of Defendant.

23 78. While I am confident that certification and success on the merits could have been
24 attained, continued litigation was guaranteed to be costly, time consuming, and uncertain in
25 outcome. Appellate proceedings would only further delay and jeopardize recovery by Class
26 Members. Shifting sentiment regarding PAGA claims add yet another layer of concern to continued
27 litigation. By contrast, the proposed Settlement ensures timely relief and substantial recovery for the
28 Class.

1 79. The Released Class Claims, as outlined in paragraphs 43.A-C of the Settlement, were
2 narrowly tailored to track the factual allegations underlying the claims advanced on behalf of each of
3 the respective subclasses and do not include a Civil Code section 1542 waiver. Each subclass is only
4 waiving claims implicated by the theories asserted by *that* particular subclass, as opposed to broad
5 waivers of all claims asserted in the pleadings.

6 **Prior Class Action Settlement – *Dillard v. Fidelity National Financial, et al.***

7 80. There was a prior settlement of class action claims in the matter of *Dillard v. Fidelity*
8 *National Financial, et al.*, Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No. C18-00394 that implicated
9 some claims of Class Members in this action.

10 81. That settlement was on behalf of three separate classes, an Overtime Class, a Wage
11 Statement Class, and a Section 203 Class. The class periods implicated in that matter were between
12 2 ½ and 4 years. The total settlement fund in that case was \$595,000 (\$575,000 for class claims and
13 \$20,000 for PAGA), divided 50% to the Wage Statement Class (\$287,500 for 441 individuals—
14 \$651.92/class member), 20% to the Overtime Class (\$115,000 for 408 individuals—\$281.86/class
15 member), and 30% to the Section 203 Class (\$172,500 for 268 individuals—\$643.65/class member).

16 82. The gross recoveries provided for in the instant Settlement, \$1,227.68 for Meal
17 Period Subclass members, \$416.67 for Overtime Subclass Members, \$491.07 for Wage Statement
18 Subclass members, and \$542.76 for Waiting Time Subclass Members are comparable to those
19 secured (and approved) in the *Dillard* matter.

20 **PAGA Penalty Exposure Calculations**

21 83. Defendant’s maximum exposure for civil penalties pursuant to the PAGA was
22 calculated to be \$1,538,891. This valuation assumed that PAGA civil penalties would be awarded
23 at the maximum rate per pay period without stacking. It is also of note that only 25% of any
24 PAGA recovery would be distributed to Class Members employed during the PAGA Period.

25 84. Because the amount of PAGA penalties imposed is discretionary, often reduced
26 significantly by courts, and because the propriety of assessing a full violation for each and every
27 pay period is unlikely, a steep discount of 90% or more is appropriate, leaving \$153,889.10.

85. The Settlement allocates \$10,000 to the resolution of PAGA claims for civil penalties. SA ¶¶ 28.H.

86. This equates to 0.6% of the maximum and 6.5% of the realistic PAGA exposure, which is an appropriate resolution under the circumstances because the punitive and deterrent purposes of the PAGA are being served by the substantial damages and statutory penalties being paid to the Class in resolution of the substantive Class Claims. *Jennings v. Open Door Marketing, LLC* (N.D. Cal. 2018) 2018 WL 4773057 *8-9 (approving PAGA recovery of 0.6% of maximum); *Ahmed v. Beverly Health & Rehabilitation Svcs. (E.D. Cal. 2018) Inc.*, 2018 WL 746393 *10.

87. After deduction of administrative costs, attorneys' fees and costs, a service payment to each Plaintiff, and the LWDA's portion of the PAGA allocation, \$147,750 will remain to be distributed to Qualified Class Members and an additional \$2,500 will be distributed to Settlement Class Members who were employed during the PAGA Period.

88. There are 111 Settlement Class Members who were employed during the PAGA Period and those individuals worked approximately 6,065 pay periods in the PAGA Period, yielding an average PAGA distribution of \$22.52 (\$0.41/pay period). This is substantial and cognizable monetary recovery, particularly considering the nature and inherent risks of further litigation and the ability of the Court to exercise its discretion to reduce the civil penalty amount imposed.

Distribution of the Notice Complied with the CCP, CRC, and Due Process

89. Attached as **Exhibit A** to the Admin Dec. is a true and correct copy of the Notice Packet (the "Class Notice") that was approved by the Court on January 12, 2024 and mailed February 9, 2024.

90. The Class Notice meets all of the requirements of procedural due process and Rule 23(e) by identifying the Plaintiff and Defendant and describing the claims and the Class Action in a straightforward manner; succinctly describing the essential terms of the proposed Settlement, including the GSA, the GSA's allocation amongst subclasses and the limited releases applied to each respective subclass, the Class Representative's proposed Enhancement Payment and the amount Class Counsel will request for attorney's fees and costs; identifying all parties against whom claims

1 are being released; providing Class Members with information on how to participate in, exclude
2 themselves from, or object to the Settlement; clearly providing all applicable deadlines for such
3 action; informing Class Members of the consequences if they decide to exclude themselves from the
4 Settlement; and advising them that, if they choose to participate and the Settlement is approved, they
5 will be bound by the resulting judgment, including informing them that this may affect their ability
6 to participate in other pending litigation. Further, the Class Notice clearly explains the manner in
7 which Class Members can obtain further information (e.g., through the Court's website) or by
8 contacting the Administrator or Counsel and that the Final Approval Hearing may be moved without
9 further notice.

10 91. Because all Class Members are current or former employees of Defendant, for whom
11 Defendant had current or last known addresses as well as SSNs, notice here was simpler and more
12 reliable than in other types of class actions that require published notice to reach unidentifiable class
13 members.

14 92. On February 9, 2024, the Court-approved Class Notice was mailed to each Class
15 Member via First Class mail. Of the 120 Class Notices mailed, none was returned as undeliverable.
16 This equates to a remarkable 100% of the Class having been successfully noticed. Admin Dec. ¶ 7.

17 93. The Class Notice informed Class Members about the terms of the Settlement,
18 including the fact that Plaintiff would request: (1) an award of attorney's fees of \$95,000 to be paid
19 from the Gross Settlement Amount, (2) reimbursement of up to \$15,000 in litigation costs, and (3)
20 an Enhancement Award of of \$10,000 for Plaintiff. See Class Notice; Exhibit A to the Admin. Dec.

21 94. Since the mailing of the court-approved Class Notice I have not been contacted by
22 any Class Member indicating any opposition to or dissatisfaction with the proposed Enhancement
23 Award to Plaintiff or the fees and costs of Class Counsel as set forth in the Class notice.

24 95. As reported by the Settlement Administrator, as of the filing of Plaintiff's Motion for
25 Final Approval and Fees Motion, not one of the 121 Participating Class Members has objected in
26 any way, to any degree, to the terms of the Settlement including Class Counsel's requested award of
27 fees and costs or Plaintiff's requested Enhancement Award. Admin Dec. ¶¶ 6-12.

1 96. No individual Class Member has requested to opt out of the Settlement either, which
2 further underscores its propriety. Admin Dec. ¶ 8; *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.* (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th
3 1794, 1802.

4 **Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions Should be Approved as Claims Administrator**

5 97. My office solicited bids from several national settlement administrators, including
6 Atticus Administration, Simpluris, Inc., and Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions. After
7 negotiating in an effort to obtain the most reliable and cost-effective service possible, the Parties
8 selected Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions (“Phoenix”) to serve as Administrator for a
9 capped bid of \$7,250.

10 98. I have experience with Phoenix and have found their fees fair and competitive
11 amongst other administrators in the industry. I believe Phoenix’s s bid and incurred costs of \$7,250,
12 which was less than the other bids received, is reasonable in relation to a class of this size, the work
13 to be performed, and as compared to estimates received from other administrators.

14 **Notice to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency**

15 99. The Parties agreed that \$10,000 will be allocated to settle claims to assess and collect
16 civil penalties brought pursuant to the PAGA. Labor Code § 2699(i) affirmatively mandates that 75
17 percent of the apportioned PAGA Settlement Amount – or \$7,500 – shall be payable to the LWDA.
18 The remaining 25 percent, or \$2,500, will be distributed to Class Members who were employed
19 during the PAGA Period. Under the circumstances, I believe such amount is reasonable and
20 sufficiently serves the deterrent and punitive purposes of the PAGA.

21 100. I transmitted the Settlement Agreement on September 26, 2023. See **Exhibit 3**. My
22 office provided a copy of its preliminary approval papers, and notice of the preliminary approval
23 hearing date, when the moving papers were filed.

24 101. Although I advised the LWDA of the Settlement on September 26, 2023, more than
25 six (6) months ago, the LWDA has not voiced any objection or concern with the Settlement.

26 **Plaintiff Has and Will Serve the Class Well**

27 102. Plaintiff’s interests in prosecuting this Class Action and obtaining the most beneficial
28 recovery possible fully comports with the collective interests of the Settlement Class Members in

1 each of the respective subclasses. Plaintiff understands the claims and theories that are being
2 advanced, has been involved through the litigation, and has no conflicts with the other members of
3 the Class and each subclass she seeks to jointly represent. Plaintiff was subjected to the same
4 unlawful policies, practices, and procedures, having been 1) underpaid because of Defendant's
5 failures to properly calculate and pay overtime and minimum wages (primarily because of errors in
6 calculating the regular rate of pay), 2) denied meal and rest periods and associated premiums, 3)
7 furnished inaccurate itemized wage statements, and 4) not timely paid all wages due and owing upon
8 separation. Were she not serving as Class Representative, Plaintiff would be a member of the
9 Settlement Class and each of the Meal Period Subclass, the Overtime Subclass, and the Wage
10 Statement Subclass. She is also both a former employee, who will be entitled to a portion of the
11 Waiting Time Penalty Share, and also remains employed. As such, she is uniquely positioned to
12 understand and represent the interests of every Settlement Class Member.

13 103. Plaintiff has and is prepared to continue adequately representing the interests of the
14 Class Members. Plaintiff demonstrated her commitment to prosecuting this Class Action on behalf
15 of the Class Members by, amongst other things, locating and retaining attorneys, participating in
16 discovery and investigation, filing this action and willingly exposing her name and reputation to
17 detriment by filing the Class Action, and helping to facilitate the Settlement for which approval is
18 now sought, including participating in and being available throughout the entire remote mediation
19 process and subsequent settlement negotiations with respect to the MOU and the long form
20 settlement agreement.

21 104. Plaintiff, who has worked diligently with Class Counsel throughout this entire
22 litigation, including taking numerous calls with Class Counsel, participating in responding to the
23 Parties' formal and informal information exchange, and participating in the mediation and settlement
24 negotiations, should be rewarded for taking the initiative to pursue these claims on behalf of her
25 Coworkers (and former coworkers), and for her role in reaching a settlement providing for valuable
26 monetary relief to the Class.

27 ///

28 ///

1 105. Plaintiff is applying for a Class Representative Enhancement Payment in the amount
2 \$10,000, or 3.5% of the \$285,000 GSA in consideration for her service as Class Representative. I
3 believe this to be fair, reasonable and appropriate.

4 106. Class Members have been apprised of Plaintiff's request as well as their ability to
5 review the moving papers on the Court's website, or through the Administrator, and to object to the
6 request if they so desire. No Class Member has objected to the Settlement, including the proposed
7 Enhancement Award.

8 **The Attorneys' Fees Sought by Class Counsel are Reasonable and Should be Approved**

9 107. Plaintiff seeks a total attorneys' fees award of one-third of the \$285,000 Gross
10 Settlement Amount, or \$95,000. Based upon my experience, as well as my review of fee awards in
11 similar class and representative actions, the fee request is reasonable under the circumstances and is
12 consistent with recent Federal and California court awards in similar wage and hour class
13 settlements.²

14 108. Litigating large employment class and representative actions is neither appealing to,
15 nor realistic for, many lawyers and law firms. The law is constantly changing and a single ruling or
16 legislative change can devastate a case. See e.g., *Epic Systems Corp. v. Lewis*, 138 S.Ct. 1612
17 (2018); *Magadia v. Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.* (9th Cir. 2021) 999 F.3d 668; Assembly Bill No.
18 1506. Plaintiff's lawyers undertake the obligation to finance the litigation and bear significant risk
19 in the event of an unsuccessful outcome, at trial or otherwise. This case was taken on a contingency
20 basis with the possibility that Class Counsel would receive no compensation whatsoever for our
21 efforts. The potential costs that must be advanced in wage and hour class and representative actions
22 like this one are often substantial.

23
24 ² *In re Omnivision Tech., Inc.* (N.D. Cal. 2008) 559 F. Supp. 2d 1036, 1047); *Beaver v. Tarsadia Hotels* (S.D. Cal.
25 2017) (2017 WL 4310707 *9 (approving fee of 1/3 of the common fund in wage and hour class action); *Campbell v.*
26 *Best Buy Stores, L.P.* (C.D. Cal. 2016) 2016 WL 6662719, at *10 (approving a fee of one-third of the common
27 fund); *Millan v. Cascade Water Services* (E.D. Cal. 2016) 2016 WL 3077710, at *11-12) (approving an award of 33%
28 of the common fund);); *Taylor v. Shipper's Transport Express, Inc.* (C.D., Cal. 2015) 2015 WL 12658458, at *17
(holding that 33% was reasonable given the result, the risk, and counsel's time investment) *Barbosa v. Cargill Meat*
Solutions Corp., 297 F.R.D. 431, 449 (E.D. Cal. 2013) (awarding one-third of the settlement fund). The Ninth Circuit
has also upheld awards of one-third of a common fund. See *In re Mego Fin. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 213 F.3d 454, 460 (9th
Cir. 2000) (affirming an award of one-third of total recovery); *In re Pacific Enters. Sec. Litig.*, 47 F.3d 373, 379 (9th
Cir. 1995) (affirming an award of one-third of a \$12 million common fund).

1 109. Representing employees in class and representative actions also requires specialized
2 skill and the willingness to assume the aforementioned risks. As set forth below, this office has
3 extensive experience in employment class and representative actions.

4 110. In standard, single-plaintiff wage-and-hour cases, Mayall Hurley, P.C. routinely
5 charges a contingent fee at or above one-third of the gross recovery. Therefore, had we negotiated
6 individual retainer agreements with the Class Members in this action, we would have expected equal
7 to or more than the attorneys' fees we are presently requesting.

8 111. Throughout the litigation of this case, Class Counsel worked efficiently and
9 diligently, without compensation (or any promise of compensation), to achieve the Settlement
10 reached. Work performed on this matter necessarily required Mayall Hurley P.C. to forego other
11 profitable work.

12 112. At all times during the pendency of this action, Mayall Hurley, P.C., has operated
13 under a computerized billing system. On all cases, including this case, attorneys and paralegals
14 entered their time worked on the case contemporaneously with the work done. Each billing entry is
15 identified by the initials of the timekeeper performing the work, the date the work was performed, a
16 description of the work performed, the time worked, the assigned hourly rate for the timekeeper, and
17 the total value of the work performed. Attached as **Exhibit 4** is the consolidated billing record of
18 Mayall Hurley, P.C. generated by the timekeepers working on this case. These records accurately
19 reflect the hours our attorneys and paralegals have worked on this case, during which we sought to
20 efficiently manage, staff, assign, and divide the work between our respective attorneys and to avoid
21 duplication of effort. All of these hours worked were reasonably and necessarily expended on this
22 litigation.

23 113. The hourly rates of individual attorneys vary depending upon his or her level of
24 experience, with more experienced attorneys billed at higher rates. The customary hourly rate my
25 office charges in plaintiff's employment class action cases ranges from \$878/hr (for a shareholder
26 with 11 years' experience litigating plaintiffs' employment cases) to \$1057/hr (for a senior partner
27 with over 40 years of experience), are commensurate with the rates of practitioners with similar
28

experience in plaintiffs' wage-and-hour class actions within the California legal market, and have also been approved by numerous federal and state courts across the state.³

114. As of the filing of Plaintiffs' Motion, Mayall Hurley, P.C.'s attorneys have worked 224.40 hours in prosecuting this case. The result is a lodestar of \$197,023.20. The lodestar is summarized in the chart below:

<u>Timekeeper</u>	<u>Experience</u>	<u>Rate/Hour</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Total</u>
Jenny D. Baysinger (Shareholder)	2007	\$878	143.50	\$125,993
Robert Wasserman (Shareholder)	2008	\$878	80.90	\$71,030.20
Total:			224.40	\$197,023.20

³ *Ali v. Sutter Gould Medical Foundation, Inc.*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2017-00217486, Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, May 30, 2019 (approving fee request at rates based upon the Laffey Matrix and with multiplier of more than 1.5); *Pacheco v. Bushfire Grill, Inc.*, United States District Court, Southern District of California Case No. 3:18-CV-01696-JAH-WVG, Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Granting Motion for Attorneys' Fees. Costs and Service Payment (awarding 33 1/3% of common fund based on similar rates); *Grady and Duran v. People 2.0 dba The Hire Source, et al.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2017-13867, Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, May 29, 2019, (approving fee request at identical rates based upon the Laffey Matrix); *Kumar v. Forty Niners Stadium Management Company, LLC*, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV3121427, Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Fees and Costs of Class Counsel, Service Payment to Class Representative, Settlement Administration Costs, and Entering Final Judgment, March 29, 2019 (approving fee request at nearly identical rates based upon the Laffey Matrix); *Smith v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, United States District Court, Northern District, Case No. 3:16-cv-02832-JD, Order of Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Judgment, Dkt. No. 86 filed September 21, 2018 (approving fee request at nearly identical rates based upon the Laffey Matrix); *Ahmed v. Beverly Health and Rehabilitation Services, Inc., et al.*, United States District Court of California, Eastern District, Case No. 2:16-1747-WBS-KJN, Memorandum and Order RE: Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Class Representative Service Payment, Dkt. No. 49, April 25, 2018 (approving fee request of one-third of the common fund at nearly identical rates based upon the Laffey Matrix); *Hugues v. The Morning Star Trucking Company, LLC*, Yolo County Superior Court Case No. CV-1215, Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Service Payment and Final Judgment, August 3, 2017 (approving fee request at nearly identical rates based on the Laffey Matrix and awarding multiplier of 1.407); *Davis v. Balfour Beatty Infrastructure, Inc.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BV592580, Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Service Payment and Entering Final Judgment, August 7, 2017 (approving fee request of one-third at nearly identical rates based on the Laffey Matrix) and; *Jaime v. Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.*, United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. SACV 15-01618-CJC(DFMx), Order Granting Plaintiff's Motions for Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement and for Attorney Fees, Costs, and Service Payment, Docket No. 34, June 26, 2017 (approving fee request at nearly identical rates based on the Laffey Matrix and awarding a multiplier of 1.44).

115. Class Counsel's hourly rates are reasonable and consistent with attorneys with comparable class action experience and qualifications, as well as consistent with rates approved in other class action matters.⁴

116. The total fee requested by Mayall Hurley P.C. is \$95,000. Based on this lodestar, a negative multiplier (i.e. less than 1) is necessary to reach the amount of fees Mayall Hurley is actually requesting (0.49). This is well below the "3-4 range [that] are common in lodestar awards for lengthy and complex class action litigation" (*Van Vranken v. Atlantic Richfield Co.*, 901 F.Supp. 294, 298 (C.D. Cal. 1995) and well below the 1.9 to 5.1 typically approved (4 NEWBERG ON CLASS ACTIONS § 14.7). The fees requested are entirely reasonable. See *Carlin v. DairyAmerica, Inc.*, 380 F.Supp.3d 998, 1023 (E.D. Cal. 2019) (recognizing that a negative multiplier "strongly" supports the reasonableness of a fee request).

117. I anticipate Mayall Hurley P.C. will incur several thousand dollars of additional attorneys' fees in representing the Class through final judgment in this matter. This will include, but not be limited to, fielding additional Class inquiries, updating the Court re the final participation numbers, attending the Final Approval Hearing, preparing for and attending a final compliance hearing, and overseeing administration of the Settlement.

118. Class Members have been apprised of Class Counsel's request as well as their ability to review their moving papers on the Court's website, and through the Administrator, and to object to their request if they so desire. As of the date of this declaration, not a single Class Member objected to the attorneys' fees sought by Class Counsel.

The Litigation Costs Sought by Class Counsel are Reasonable and Should be Approved

119. To date, Mayall Hurley P.C. has incurred actual costs of \$15,035.40. These costs include filing fees, process server fees, court reporter fees, postage, travel expenses, computerized legal research charges, mediation fees, expert analysis fees, etc. All of these costs were necessarily incurred in the efficient but diligent prosecution of this action. (Exhibit 4 pgs. 16-17). These entries were contemporaneously entered by staff members responsible for recording the same. Mayall

⁴ *Pemberton v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC*, 2020 WL 230014 *2 (S.D. Cal. 2020) (finding hourly rate of \$750 for class counsel to be reasonable).

1 Hurley P.C. has kept contemporaneous and accurate costs records, receipts and invoices, and will
2 readily produce them to the Court, if ordered to do so.

3 120. Although my office has actually incurred slightly more than \$15,000, we are only
4 requesting reimbursement of \$15,000.

5 121. Class Members have been apprised of Plaintiff's request for reimbursement of up to
6 \$15,000 in costs as well as their ability to review the moving papers on the Court's website, or
7 through the administrator, and to object to the request if they so desire. As of the filing of this
8 declaration, not a single Class Member has voiced any objection or other concern.

9 **Experience of Class Counsel**

10 122. In May 2004, I received a B.A. from California State University, Sacramento. In
11 May 2007, I received a J.D. with distinction from the University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of
12 Law. In November 2007, I became a member of the State Bar of California. I have been an attorney
13 with Mayall Hurley P.C. since 2013. I became a Shareholder in March 2019 and have been a
14 member of the management team as the Human Resources Director since 2020. Prior to joining
15 Mayall Hurley, I spent two (2) years as a Deputy District Attorney, and an additional three (3) years
16 as a litigation associate for the Sacramento law firm of Downey Brand, LLP. I have been focusing
17 my practice almost exclusively on employment litigation, representing both employees and
18 employers, for the past eleven (11) years.

19 123. My law firm, Mayall Hurley P.C., primarily through attorneys Robert J. Wassermann
20 and me, has pursued this action aggressively, and many other similar class, collective, and
21 representative actions. For instance, Mayall Hurley P.C. has recently been approved as class counsel
22 in *Kabasele v. ULTA Salon, Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc.*, Eastern District of California Case No.
23 2:21-cv-01639-WBS-CKD; *Carralez v. Satellite Healthcare, Inc.*, Eastern District of California Case
24 No. 2:22-cv-01613-KJM-DB; *Kalaveras v. NCR Corporation*, Contra Costa County Superior Court
25 Case No. C20-01186; *Smith v. DI Logistics, Inc., et al*, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case
26 No. CIVDS2011469; *Gonzalez v. Xtreme Manufacturing, LLC*, Eastern District of California Case
27 No. 2:20-cv-03734-PSG-AGR; *Markson, et al. v. CRST International, Inc., et al*, Central District of
28 California Case No. 5:17-cv-01261-SB; *Espinoza/Renteria v. Love's Country Stores, Inc.*, San

1 Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS2016581; *Rodriguez v. Allen Distribution, L.P.*,
2 San Joaquin County Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2020-000; *McGee v. Brosnan Risk*
3 *West Coast*, San Mateo County Superior Court Case No. 19-CV-04464; *Botti v. SAFE Credit Union*,
4 Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2019-00261736; *Mediodia v. SoCal Jet Services,*
5 *Inc.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 18STCCV09751; *Flores v. Dart Container*
6 *Corp., et al*, Eastern District of California Case No. 2:19-cv-00083-WBS-EFB; *Rodriguez v. J-M*
7 *Manufacturing, Inc.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2019-6065; *Arata*
8 *v. Cozad Trailer Sales, LLC*, San Joaquin County Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2020-
9 1774; *Modica v. Iron Mountain Information Management Svcs., Inc.*, United States District Court,
10 Eastern District of California Case No. 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-JDP; *Magee v. Thyssenkrupp Materials,*
11 *N.A., et al.*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG19027231; *Basinger v. Il Palio Restaurant*
12 *Group, Inc.*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-00004296-CU-OE-CTL; *Pacheco*
13 *v. Bushfire Grill, Inc., et al*, United States District Court, Southern District of California, Case No.
14 3:18-cv-01696-JAH-WVG; *Wise v. ULTA Salon, Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc.*, United States
15 District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 1:17-cv-00853-DAD-EPG; *Fernando and*
16 *Kalaveras v. Burroughs, Inc.*, Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG18906875; *Mansur v.*
17 *Owens-Brockway Glass Container, Inc.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-
18 UOW-2018-14631; *Alderson v. Alameda County Agricultural Fair Association*, Alameda County
19 Superior Court, Case No. RG18912654; *Terry v. Mare Island Dry Dock, LLC*, Solano County
20 Superior Court, Case No. FCS051650; *Mettler, Bender, and Rojas v. Les Schwab Tire Centers of*
21 *California, Inc.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2018-476; *Escalera*
22 *v. La Tapatia Mexican Market, Inc.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No., ST-CV-UOE-
23 2017-5296; *Garcia, et al. v. HMS Host USA, Inc.*, United States District Court, Northern District of
24 California, Case No. 17-cv-03069-RS; *Ali v. Sutter Valley Medical Foundation, Inc.*, Sacramento
25 County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2017-00217486; *Grady, et al. v. People 2.0 dba The Hire*
26 *Source, et al.*, San Joaquin County Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2017-13867; *Kumar v. Forty Niners*
27 *Stadium Management Company*, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV3121427; *Gast*
28 *v. Flooring Liquidators, Inc.*, Stanislaus County Superior Court, Case No. 2026223; *Russell v. KeHe*


1 *Distributors, Inc.*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-at-
2 00592; *Smith v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. et al.*, United States District Court, Northern District of
3 California, Case No. 3:16-cv-02832-JD; *Titus v. The Martin-Brower, LLC*, United States District
4 Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-00558-JAM-GGH; *Hugues v. The Morning*
5 *Star Trucking Company, LLC, et al.*, Yolo County Superior Court Case No. CV16-1215; *Ahmed v.*
6 *Beverly Health and Rehabilitation Services, Inc., et al.*, United States District Court, Eastern District
7 of California, Case No. 2:16-cv-01747-WBS-KJN; *Guzman-Padilla, et al. v. Gerard Van De Pol, et*
8 *al.*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-00196-JAM-KJN;
9 *Jaime v. Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.*, United States District Court, Central District of
10 California, Case No. SACV 15-01618-CJC(DFMx); *Stevens v. Suncrest Solar, Inc.*, Fresno County
11 Superior Court, Case No. 16CECG03355; *Cardoza v. Blazona Concrete Construction, Inc.*,
12 Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17866256; *Maasrani v. Waterton Hospitality*
13 *Management*, San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. 17CIV05470; *Huynh v. Parker-Hannifin*
14 *Corporation*, Stanislaus County Superior Court, Case No. 2022325; *Moser v. O'Connor Woods*
15 *Housing Corporation*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2014-0009861;
16 *Bastami v. Semiconductor Components Industries, LLC*, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case
17 No. 16cv297447; *Davis v. Balfour Beatty Infrastructure, Inc.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court,
18 Case No. BC592580; *Van Lith v. iHeartmedia + Entertainment, Inc. et al.*, United States District
19 Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 1-16-cv-00066-SKO; *Clark v. Arrow Sign Co.*, San
20 Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2016-6457, and *Moreno v. B.R. Funsten &*
21 *Co.*, Solano County Superior Court Case No. FCS046149, all wage-and-hour class actions with
22 claims similar to the instant matter. Not including this matter, we have several other wage-and-hour
23 class actions currently pending final approval in which we have been preliminarily approved as class
24 counsel. My law firm and I have also represented plaintiffs in numerous representative employment
25 actions, settlements in which have been approved by multiple California and federal courts, and are
26 currently prosecuting dozens more.

27 124. Mayall Hurley, P.C. has also obtained class and/or conditional certification (outside
28 of the settlement context) in a number of cases, including *Liu v. QNAP, Inc.*, Los Angeles County

1 Superior Court Case No. 19PSCV00668; *Bice v. Vensure HR, Inc., et al.*, San Joaquin County
2 Superior Court, Case No. STK-CU-UOE-2016-1264; *Perez et al. v. Abbate Family Farms Limited*
3 *Partnership et al.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. 39-2012-00288653-CU-OE-STK,
4 *Wilk v. Skechers U.S.A., Inc.*, United States District court, Central District of California, Case No. 5-
5 18-CV-01921-JGB-SP; *Titus v. Paramount Equity Mortgage, LLC*, United States District Court,
6 Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-00349-MCE-KJN; and *Solati v. RPM Mortgage,*
7 *LLC*, Solano County Superior Court Case No. FCS048401.

8 125. I am also currently lead counsel or co-lead counsel in approximately 10 employment
9 class action cases and a handful of PAGA representative cases.

10 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
11 foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 2nd day of April, 2024, in Lodi, California.

12 

13 _____
JENNY D. BAYSINGER

EXHIBIT 1

MAYALL HURLEY P.C.
Robert J. Wassermann (SBN: 258538)
rwassermann@mayallaw.com
Jenny D. Baysinger (SBN: 251014)
jbaysinger@mayallaw.com
2453 Grand Canal Boulevard
Stockton, California 95207-8253
Telephone: (209) 477-3833
Facsimile: (209) 473-4818
Website: www.mayallaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Samantha Owens and the
Putative Class

LITTLER MENDELSON P.C.
Angela J. Rafoth (SBN: 241966)
arafoth@littler.com
333 Bush Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 433-1940
Fax No.: (415) 399-8490

Attorneys for Defendant
Fidelity National Home Warranty Company

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

SAMANTHA OWENS,

Plaintiff,

v.

FIDELITY NATIONAL HOME WARRANTY
COMPANY; and DOES 1-100 inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. MSC21-00731

JOINT STIPULATION FOR CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

1 This Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release (hereinafter, "Settlement") is
2 made and entered into by and between Plaintiff Samantha Owens, individually and on behalf of the
3 Settlement Class, and Defendant Fidelity National Home Warranty Company, and is subject to the
4 terms and conditions hereof and the approval of the Court.

5 **DEFINITIONS**

6 1. "Action" means this lawsuit between the Parties pending in the Superior Court for
7 the County of Contra Costa as Case No. MSC21-00731, including the alleged class claims,
8 representative claims, and individual claims asserted therein.

9 2. "Class Counsel" refers to the law firm of Mayall Hurley P.C.

10 3. "Class Notice" means the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement that shall be
11 mailed to Settlement Class Members, subject to court approval, in substantially the form attached
12 hereto as "**Exhibit A.**"

13 4. "Class Period" is the period from April 12, 2017, through and including September
14 21, 2023.

15 5. "Defendant" means Fidelity National Home Warranty Company.

16 6. "Defendant's Counsel" refers to the law firm of Littler Mendelson, P.C.

17 7. "LWDA" means the California Labor & Workplace Development Agency.

18 8. "PAGA" means the California Labor Code's Private Attorneys General Act of 2004,
19 as amended, section 2698, *et seq.*

20 9. "PAGA Period" is the period from April 12, 2020, through and including September
21 21, 2023.

22 10. "Parties" means Plaintiff and Defendant, collectively.

23 11. "Plaintiff" means named Plaintiff Samantha Owens.

24 12. "Qualified Class Member" means a Settlement Class Member who does not timely
25 seek exclusion from the Settlement Agreement. All Qualified Class Members shall be included in
26 the Settlement and shall receive a Settlement Share in exchange for the full release of the Settlement
27 Class Released Claims (as defined herein) for the entire Class Period.
28

15. “Settlement Class” includes all non-exempt individuals who worked for Defendant in California at any time during the Class Period and who are members of one or more of the following subclasses: (1) the “Meal Period Subclass,” which includes those who worked at least one shift of more than five (5) hours from March 1, 2020, through and including September 21, 2023; (2) the “Overtime Subclass,” which includes those who earned a non-discretionary bonus or other remuneration pertaining to a workweek when he or she also worked overtime on at least one occasion from April 12, 2017, through and including September 21, 2023, and who were not part of the “Overtime Class” in the matter of *Dillard/Menchaca v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc., et al.*, Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No. C18-00394; and (3) the “Wage Statement Subclass,” which includes those who received one or more wage statements at any time from April 12, 2020, through and including September 21, 2023. All Settlement Class Members shall receive a portion of the PAGA Allocation in exchange for the full release of the PAGA Release (as defined herein) for the entire PAGA Period. A “Settlement Class Member” is an individual member of the Settlement Class.

16. “Total Workweeks” means the number of calendar workweeks that the respective Settlement Class Member worked during the Class Period as a member of the Meal Period Subclass, Overtime Subclass, and/or Wage Statement Subclass.

17. On February 2, 2021, Plaintiff provided notice to LWDA of the alleged Labor Code violations in this Action.

1 18. On April 12, 2021, Plaintiff filed the Class and Representative Action Complaint in
2 this Action, alleging seven causes of action: (1) Failure to Pay Minimum Wage; (2) Failure to Pay
3 Overtime; (3) Failure to Provide Meal Breaks; (4) Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage
4 Statements; (5) Failure to Timely Pay All Wages Due and Owing; (6) Unfair Business Practices;
5 and (7) Violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (collectively, the "Class Allegations").

6 **RECITALS**

7 19. The Parties have conducted formal and informal discovery and investigation of the
8 facts and law in this Action. Such discovery and investigation have included, inter alia, the
9 exchange of informal data and discoverable information in preparation for the mediation session.
10 The Parties have analyzed payroll and other data pertaining to Plaintiff and the Settlement Class
11 during the relevant Class Period, including but not limited to, employee workweeks, time records,
12 meal period records, wage statements, wages, hours worked, compensation paid, and rates of hourly
13 pay.

14 20. Plaintiff believes the action is meritorious. Plaintiff and Class Counsel have
15 considered the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to continue the action
16 against Defendant through litigation, pre-trial motions, trial, and any possible appeals. Plaintiff
17 and Class Counsel have also taken into account the uncertainty and risk of the outcome of further
18 litigation, and the difficulties inherent in such litigation. Plaintiff and Class Counsel are also aware
19 of the burdens of proof necessary to establish liability for the claims asserted in the Action,
20 Defendant's defenses thereto, and the difficulties in establishing Plaintiff's entitlement to monetary
21 recovery and relief. Plaintiff and Class Counsel have also considered the settlement negotiations
22 conducted by the Parties with the mediator, David Phillips. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff and
23 Class Counsel have determined that the Settlement is fair and reasonable, and in the best interests
24 of Plaintiff and the Settlement Class.

25 21. Defendant disputes the merits of the action and deny all liability and wrongdoing of
26 any kind associated with the claims alleged in the Action or otherwise. Defendant contends, inter
27 alia, that at all times it fully complied with all state and federal wage and hour laws, including all
28 Labor Code and Wage Order requirements, and all regulations applicable to Plaintiff and the

1 Settlement Class in connection with the services performed for Defendant. Nothing contained in
2 this Settlement Agreement, nor any documents referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out
3 and/or consummate the Settlement is, or may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of
4 liability, culpability, negligence or wrongdoing by or against Defendant as to the merits or lack
5 thereof of the claims being settled by this Settlement Agreement.

6 22. On May 5, 2023, the Parties mediated the Action before David Phillips, who is a
7 highly experienced and well-regarded mediator in employment litigation, specifically including
8 wage and hour class action matters. After a full day of mediation, the Parties were not able to
9 resolve this Action. The Parties continued their settlement negotiations for more than two months,
10 and with the assistance of mediator David Phillips, agreed to the material terms of this settlement
11 by accepting the mediator's settlement proposal on July 20, 2023.

12 23. The Parties now enter into this Settlement Agreement to submit to the Court for
13 approval in accordance with their agreement to obtain final approval of the Settlement. As more
14 particularly set forth below, it is the desire of the Parties to fully, finally and forever settle,
15 compromise and discharge the Settlement Class Released Claims. In addition, Plaintiff agrees to
16 enter into a general release of all claims as more particularly set forth below.

17 24. For settlement purposes only, the Parties conditionally stipulate and agree that all of
18 the requisites for establishing class certification are met with respect to the "Settlement Class," as
19 defined above, and stipulate to certification under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 of this class.
20 Defendant does not waive, and instead expressly reserves, its right to challenge the propriety of
21 class certification for any purpose should the Court not approve the Settlement. Defendant's
22 willingness to stipulate to class certification as part of the Settlement shall have no bearing on, and
23 shall not be admissible in or considered in connection with, the issue of whether a class should be
24 certified in a non-settlement context in this Action and shall have no bearing on, and shall not be
25 admissible or considered in connection with, the issue of whether a class should be certified in any
26 other lawsuit.

27 25. Should the Settlement not achieve final approval for any reason, the Parties agree
28 that this Action shall revert to the procedural posture that existed as of the date the Parties accepted

1 the mediator's proposal on July 20, 2023, including but not limited to any pending discovery
2 requests and motions, and no Party shall have waived any rights between the Parties and/or the
3 Settlement Class, and/or any individual member of the Settlement Class.

4 26. The Parties intend that this Settlement Agreement shall include a full and complete
5 settlement and release, as more particularly set forth below, of Defendant, and each of its past,
6 present and future affiliates, parent companies, subsidiaries, shareholders, lenders, officers,
7 partners, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, representatives,
8 accountants, plan administrators, heirs, trustees and successors and assigns, and each and all of
9 their respective past, present and future officers, partners, directors, servants, agents, shareholders,
10 members, employees, representatives, accountants, insurers, and attorneys and all persons acting
11 under, by, through, or in concert with any of them (the "Released Parties").

12 27. In connection with the proposed certification of the Settlement Class, the Parties
13 shall cooperate and present to the Court for its consideration any additional competent evidence, as
14 may be requested by the Court, under the applicable due process requirements and standards for
15 class certification, and shall take all steps necessary and appropriate to obtain preliminary and final
16 approval of the Settlement and to effectuate all aspects of the Settlement.

17 **SETTLEMENT STRUCTURE**

18 28. NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, promises, and
19 warranties set forth herein, the Parties agree, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

20 A. Settlement: This Action shall be settled and compromised as between
21 Plaintiff, the Settlement Class, and Defendant, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this
22 Settlement Agreement and the approval of the Superior Court of California, County of Contra
23 Costa. In the event that Judgment is not entered, or in the event that this Settlement Agreement is
24 modified in any material respect, or in the event that the Effective Date, as defined herein, does not
25 occur, this Settlement Agreement shall be deemed null and void and shall be of no force or effect
26 whatsoever, and shall not be referred to or utilized for any purpose whatsoever.

27 B. Gross Settlement Amount: The settlement is in the gross amount of Two
28 Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$285,000.00) (hereinafter, the "Gross

1 Settlement Amount"). This Gross Settlement Amount is all-inclusive of all payments contemplated
2 in this resolution, excluding any employer-side payroll taxes on the portion of the Settlement Shares
3 allocated to wages which shall be separately paid by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator.
4 The Gross Settlement Amount shall be inclusive of, as described and defined herein, all settlement
5 payments to Qualified Class Members, the costs of settlement administration, Class Counsel's
6 reasonable attorneys' fees, Class Counsel's reasonable litigation expenses and costs, service fee
7 award to Plaintiff, and the PAGA Allocation. No other funds shall be owed or paid by Defendant
8 in excess of the Gross Settlement Amount, other than the employer-side tax obligations identified
9 above.

10 C. Net Settlement Amount: The Net Settlement Amount shall be the Gross
11 Settlement Amount, less all of the following: the costs of settlement administration (subject to the
12 approval of the Court), Class Counsel's reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs (subject to
13 the approval of the Court), Plaintiff's service fee award (subject to the approval of the Court), and
14 the PAGA Allocation (subject to the approval of the Court). It is estimated that the Net Settlement
15 Amount will be One Hundred Forty-Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$147,500).

16 D. Settlement Share of Qualified Class Members: Each Qualified Class
17 Member's respective share of the Net Settlement Amount shall be calculated as follows:

18 i. As described below, Defendant will provide the Settlement
19 Administrator with Settlement Class Information (as defined herein), including the Settlement
20 Class Members' respective dates of employment.

21 ii. From the Settlement Class Information, the Settlement Administrator
22 shall determine the Total Workweeks for each Qualified Class Member as a member of the (1)
23 Meal Period Subclass, (2) Overtime Subclass, and/or (3) Wage Statement Subclass.

24 iii. After final approval of this Settlement and according to the
25 timetables set forth in this Settlement Agreement, all Qualified Class Members who are members
26 of the Meal Period Subclass are eligible to receive a pro-rata share of fifty percent (50%) of the Net
27 Settlement Amount (the "Meal Period Share"). Each Qualified Class Member who is a member of
28 the Meal Period Subclass shall receive a Meal Period Share in exchange for the full release of the

1 Settlement Class Released Claims for the entire Class Period. Qualified Class Members of the
2 Meal Period Subclass shall ultimately be entitled to a distribution in the amount of that fraction of
3 the Meal Period Share, in which the numerator is the number of the respective Qualified Class
4 Member's Total Workweeks between March 1, 2020 and September 21, 2023, and the denominator
5 is the sum, aggregate total of the Total Workweeks of all Qualified Class Members of the Meal
6 Period Subclass between March 1, 2020 and September 21, 2023. Defendant represented at the
7 mediation on May 5, 2023 that there were approximately 104 members of the Meal Period Subclass.
8 If Defendant has underrepresented the number of members of the Meal Period Subclass as of May
9 5, 2023 for any reason by more than ten (10) percent, Defendant agrees to reduce the Class Period
10 for the Meal Period Subclass to a date earlier than September 21, 2023 to reduce the increase to ten
11 (10) percent or less.

12 iv. After final approval of this Settlement and according to the
13 timetables set forth in this Settlement Agreement, all Qualified Class Members who are members
14 of the Overtime Subclass are eligible to receive a pro-rata share of fifteen percent (15%) of the Net
15 Settlement Amount (the "Overtime Share"). Each Qualified Class Member who is a member of the
16 Overtime Subclass shall receive an Overtime Share in exchange for the full release of the Settlement
17 Class Released Claims for the entire Class Period. Qualified Class Members of the Overtime
18 Subclass shall ultimately be entitled to a distribution in the amount of that fraction of the Overtime
19 Share, in which the numerator is the number of the respective Qualified Class Member's Total
20 Workweeks between April 12, 2017 and September 21, 2023, and the denominator is the sum,
21 aggregate total of the Total Workweeks of all Qualified Class Members of the Overtime Subclass
22 between April 12, 2017 and September 21, 2023. Defendant represented at the mediation on May
23 5, 2023 that there were approximately 106 members of the Overtime Subclass. If Defendant has
24 underrepresented the number of members of the Overtime Subclass as of May 5, 2023 for any
25 reason by more than ten (10) percent, Defendant agrees to reduce the Class Period for the Overtime
26 Subclass to a date earlier than September 21, 2023 to reduce the increase to ten (10) percent or less.

27 v. After final approval of this Settlement and according to the
28 timetables set forth in this Settlement Agreement, all Qualified Class Members who are members

1 of the Wage Statement Subclass are eligible to receive a pro-rata share of twenty percent (20%) of
2 the Net Settlement Amount (the "Wage Statement Share"). Each Qualified Class Member who is a
3 member of the Wage Statement Subclass shall receive a Wage Statement Share in exchange for the
4 full release of the Settlement Class Released Claims for the entire Class Period. Qualified Class
5 Members of the Wage Statement Subclass shall ultimately be entitled to a distribution in the amount
6 of that fraction of the Wage Statement Share, in which the numerator is the number of the respective
7 Qualified Class Member's Total Workweeks between April 12, 2020 and September 21, 2023, and
8 the denominator is the sum, aggregate total of the Total Workweeks of all Qualified Class Members
9 of the Wage Statement Class between April 12, 2020 and September 21, 2023. Defendant
10 represented at the mediation on May 5, 2023 that there were approximately 104 members of the
11 Wage Statement Subclass. If Defendant has underrepresented the number of members of the Wage
12 Statement Subclass as of May 5, 2023 for any reason by more than ten (10) percent, Defendant
13 agrees to reduce the Class Period for the Wage Statement Subclass to a date earlier than September
14 21, 2023 to reduce the increase to ten (10) percent or less.

15 vi. After final approval of this Settlement and according to the
16 timetables set forth in this Settlement Agreement, all Qualified Class Members who are members
17 of the Meal Period Subclass or the Overtime Subclass whose employment with Defendant ended
18 between April 12, 2018 and September 21, 2023 will additionally receive an equal pro-rata portion
19 of fifteen percent (15%) of the Net Settlement Amount (the "Waiting-Time Penalty Share").

20 vii. The Meal Period Share, Overtime Share, Wage Statement Share, and
21 Waiting-Time Penalty Share shall together constitute the entire potential Settlement Share of each
22 Qualified Class Member ("Settlement Share"). For tax purposes, the Settlement Share shall be
23 allocated as follows: (1) the Meal Period Share shall be allocated as penalties; (2) the Overtime
24 Share shall be allocated as wages subject to reduction for payroll tax and withholding requirements;
25 (3) the Wage Statement Share shall be allocated as penalties; and (4) the Waiting-Time Penalty
26 Share shall be allocated as penalties. Each Qualified Class Member shall assume full responsibility
27 and liability for correctly characterizing the Settlement Share he or she receives for tax purposes
28 and for the payment of any other taxes owing on that amount.

1 viii. The Settlement Share will not count as earnings or compensation for
2 purposes of any benefits (e.g., 401(k) plans or retirement plans) sponsored by Defendant.

3 ix. A Qualified Class Member's act of negotiating his or her Settlement
4 Share for payment shall operate as, and be deemed to express, the Qualified Class Member's intent
5 to opt in, join, settle, and fully release and discharge all of the Settlement Class Released Claims,
6 including any claims the Qualified Class Member may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act,
7 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*

8 E. Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees: Defendant agrees that it will not oppose
9 Plaintiff's request for an award of attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in an amount up to one-third
10 (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount in order to compensate and reimburse Class Counsel for all
11 of the work already performed in this case, all of the work remaining to be performed by Class
12 Counsel in documenting the Settlement, securing Court approval of the Settlement, making sure
13 that the Settlement is fairly administered and implemented, and obtaining final approval of this
14 Settlement. Plaintiff believe such a percentage fee is warranted considering, without limitation: (1)
15 the time and labor required of Class Counsel in this Action; (2) the complexity involved and the
16 results obtained; (3) the potential contingent nature of the compensation; (4) the opportunity costs
17 to Class Counsel in the time the engagement has taken away from other potential matters; and (5)
18 the informed consent of Plaintiff to a percentage fee to Class Counsel of up to one-third of the
19 Gross Settlement Amount. The Parties acknowledge and understand that Class Counsel's
20 attorneys' fees will be paid entirely from the Gross Settlement Amount, regardless of the amount
21 approved by the Court, and that should the Court approve a lesser amount than set forth in this
22 subparagraph, the unapproved amount shall be allocated to the Net Settlement Amount.

23 F. Class Counsel's Costs: Class Counsel will separately seek recovery of their
24 actual, reasonable litigation costs and expenses. Defendant agrees that it will not oppose or
25 otherwise object to Plaintiff's request for an award of costs in the amount up to Fifteen Thousand
26 Dollars (\$15,000). The Parties acknowledge and understand that Class Counsel's costs will be paid
27 entirely from the Gross Settlement Amount, regardless of the amount approved by the Court, and
28

1 that should the Court approve a lesser amount than set forth in this subparagraph, the unapproved
2 amount shall be allocated to the Net Settlement Amount.

3 G. Enhancement Payment for Plaintiff: Subject to Court approval, Defendant
4 will not object to application for a service fee award of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) for
5 Plaintiff. It is understood that this service fee award is in addition to Plaintiff's Settlement Share
6 as a Qualified Class Member. The Settlement Administrator will issue IRS Form 1099 for the
7 service fee award to Plaintiff. Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility and liability for correctly
8 characterizing this compensation on her personal income tax return for tax purposes and for paying
9 any taxes on the amounts received. The Parties acknowledge and understand that the service fee
10 award will be paid entirely from the Gross Settlement Amount, regardless of the amount approved
11 by the Court, and that should the Court approve a lesser amount(s) than set forth in this
12 subparagraph, the unapproved amount(s) shall be allocated to the Net Settlement Amount.

13 H. The PAGA Allocation: The Parties have agreed that Ten Thousand Dollars
14 (\$10,000) of the Gross Settlement Amount shall be allocated to the resolution of all Settlement
15 Class Members' claims arising under PAGA (the "PAGA Allocation"). Pursuant to PAGA,
16 seventy-five percent (75%) of the PAGA Allocation shall be paid to the LWDA, and the remaining
17 25% shall be distributed evenly amongst all Settlement Class Members, regardless of whether the
18 Settlement Class Member is a Qualified Class Member, in exchange for the PAGA Release. The
19 Parties acknowledge and understand that the PAGA Allocation will be paid entirely from the Gross
20 Settlement Amount, regardless of the amount approved by the Court, and that any unapproved
21 amount of the PAGA Allocation shall be allocated to the Net Settlement Amount.

22 I. Settlement Administration Costs: The Settlement Administrator has agreed
23 to perform all necessary class administration duties for a fee estimated to be no more than Seven
24 Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$7,500). These administration duties shall include, without
25 limitation, searching for updated addresses before mailing Class Notices, performing necessary
26 skip traces on notices returned as undeliverable, calculating, processing, and mailing settlement
27 checks, handling tax withholding, contributions, reporting and filings. The Parties acknowledge
28 and understand that the Settlement Administrator's fees will be paid entirely from the Gross

1 Settlement Amount, regardless of the amount approved by the Court, and that any unapproved or
2 unused portion below the amount set forth in this paragraph shall be allocated to the Net Settlement
3 Amount.

4 29. Effective Date: The "Effective Date" is a condition of performance of the
5 obligations under this Settlement. The Effective Date is the latter of: (1) the date upon which an
6 order granting final approval or final judgment is entered, if no Objection (defined herein) to the
7 Settlement is timely received from a Settlement Class Member; or (2) if any Objection to the
8 Settlement is received, the last date after the final judgment by which a notice of appeal of the order
9 granting final approval or the final judgment may be timely filed, and none is filed; or (3) if any
10 Objection is received and a notice of appeal from the order granting final approval or the final
11 judgment is filed, the date on which the Superior Court's order granting final approval or final
12 judgment is finally affirmed or upheld without any further right of appeal by any party or third
13 party and following the expiration of any such period for such further appeal that may be available.

14 30. Settlement Funding and Payment Timetable: Within fourteen (14) calendar days of
15 the Effective Date, Defendant will provide to the Settlement Administrator the Gross Settlement
16 Amount pursuant to this Settlement and the order and Judgment of final approval. The Settlement
17 Administrator shall be responsible for depositing the funds in the Settlement Account and solely
18 responsible for taking all necessary and reasonable steps to ensure the entirety of the funds are
19 securely deposited into and remain in the Settlement Account for the distributions specified in this
20 Agreement. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, the Settlement Administrator shall calculate
21 any Employer-side taxes due on the Gross Settlement amount and advise Defendant of same.
22 Defendant shall deposit any additional amount due, which the Parties believe will only be amounts
23 relating to the Overtime Share funds, within ten (10) days of being advised of the amount. Within
24 fourteen (14) calendar days of the Settlement Administrator's receipt of the Gross Settlement
25 Amount from Defendant, the Settlement Administrator will make all required distributions
26 pursuant to this Settlement and the order and Judgment of final approval including, to the extent
27 ordered, all Settlement Shares to Qualified Class Members and Court-approved attorneys' fees,
28 costs, enhancement payment, costs of settlement administration, and PAGA Allocation.

1 31. Resolution of Dispute: If any Settlement Class Member timely disputes the number
2 of his or her Total Workweeks, by way of a written dispute that is postmarked within the Notice
3 Period (as defined below) as provided for in the Class Notice, the dispute shall be determined by
4 the Settlement Administrator, who shall examine all available written records in an attempt to
5 resolve the dispute. Defendant's records shall be presumed accurate and control unless the
6 Settlement Class Member provides satisfactory proof that Defendant's records are incorrect. In
7 any event, the Settlement Administrator will make every reasonable effort to resolve any such
8 disputes before final approval of this Settlement. The Settlement Administrator's determination of
9 the eligibility for and amount of any Settlement Share shall be final, not subject to appeal, and
10 binding upon the Settlement Class Member and the Parties.

11 **NOTICE TO THE SETTLEMENT CLASS**

12 32. Subject to Court approval, the Parties agree that, within fourteen (14) calendar days
13 after the Court enters any Order granting preliminary approval of the Settlement, Defendant will
14 provide to the Settlement Administrator the following information for each Settlement Class
15 Member in a reasonable format requested by the Settlement Administrator: (1) name; (2) last known
16 home address and telephone numbers; (3) social security number; and (4) dates of employment
17 (collectively, the "Settlement Class Information"). The Settlement Administrator will perform
18 address updates and verifications, as necessary, prior to the first mailing. Within fourteen (14)
19 calendar days after the Settlement Administrator receives the Settlement Class Information from
20 Defendant, and subject to Court approval, the Settlement Administrator will mail the Class Notice
21 by first class mail.

22 **SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION**

23 33. Settlement Class Information. Any Settlement Class Information or other personnel
24 data provided by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator, shall be treated as confidential and
25 shall not be used by the Settlement Administrator for any purpose other than as permitted by this
26 Agreement. Settlement Class Information shall not be disclosed to Class Counsel, Plaintiff, or any
27 Settlement Class Member without the written consent of Defendant or order of the Court. Further,
28 the Settlement Administrator shall use commercially reasonable efforts to secure the data provided

1 by Defendant at all times so as to avoid inadvertent or unauthorized disclosure or use of such data
2 other than as permitted by this Agreement. At no time during the settlement process will any
3 Settlement Class Member's Social Security Number be filed with the Court, except under seal as
4 may be ordered by the Court. The Settlement Administrator shall ensure that the Notice and any
5 other communications to Settlement Class Members shall not include the Settlement Class
6 Members' Social Security Number, except for the last four digits.

7 34. Notice Period. Settlement Class Members will be afforded the opportunity to submit
8 an objection to the Settlement ("Objection") or to exclude themselves from the Settlement
9 ("Exclusion Request") by mail. Settlement Class Members will be permitted no more than forty-
10 five days (45) calendar days from the date the Class Notices are mailed by the Settlement
11 Administrator to postmark their Objection or Exclusion Request to the Settlement Administrator
12 (the "Notice Period"). To be valid, an Objection or Exclusion Request must be timely submitted
13 during the Notice Period and must follow the procedures outlined below. These procedures must
14 also be described in the Class Notice. A Settlement Class Member may not submit both an
15 Objection and an Exclusion Request; if a Settlement Class Member submits to Exclusion Request
16 to exclude himself or herself from the Settlement, he or she has no right to submit an Objection to
17 the Settlement.

18 35. Exclusion Requests. Any Settlement Class Member, other than Plaintiff, may elect
19 to submit an Exclusion Request during the Notice Period. To be effective, any such election: (i)
20 must be made in writing; (ii) must contain the name and sufficient information to verify the
21 individual's identity in the opinion of the Settlement Administrator, which may include address,
22 telephone number, and/or last four digits of the social security number of the Settlement Class
23 Member requesting exclusion; (iii) must be signed by the Settlement Class Member who is electing
24 to be excluded; and (iv) must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator on or before the end of the
25 Notice Period. As applicable, the date of the postmark on the mailing envelope shall be the
26 exclusive means to determine whether an Exclusion Request is timely. Any Settlement Class
27 Member who timely submits an Exclusion Request in compliance with these requirements shall not
28 be a Qualified Class Member, shall not be entitled to receive any Settlement Share, shall not be

1 entitled to submit an Objection to the Settlement Agreement, and shall not be bound by the terms
2 of this Settlement Agreement, except that the PAGA Release shall apply to all Settlement Class
3 Members and all Settlement Class Members shall still be entitled to his or her individual share of
4 the PAGA Allocation. Under no circumstances may any Settlement Class Member request
5 exclusion from that portion of the Settlement relating to the PAGA Allocation, and any request for
6 exclusion shall not have any effect on the PAGA Release, which shall remain in full force and
7 effect.

8 36. Objections: Any Qualified Class Member (one who does not timely seek exclusion
9 from the Settlement Agreement), other than Plaintiff, may submit an Objection to final approval of
10 this Settlement, provided that the Objection is made in writing and mailed to the Settlement
11 Administrator by no later than the last day of the Notice Period. As applicable, the date of the
12 postmark on the mailing envelope shall be the exclusive means to determine whether the Objection
13 is timely. An Objection must clearly state the name of the case and case number and must also
14 include the objector's full name, address, and the basis for any Objection and, if the objector is
15 represented by counsel, the name and address of the objector's counsel. The Objection must also
16 state whether the Qualified Class Member intends to appear at the final approval hearing and
17 present oral argument. At the Court's discretion, any Qualified Class Member who has not
18 complied with these requirements may not be heard at the final approval hearing. Any Qualified
19 Class Member who fails to comply with these requirements will be deemed to have waived any
20 right to submit an Objection to the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Administrator shall
21 promptly forward any and all Objections that it receives to Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel.

22 37. Re-mailing. The Settlement Administrator will perform one skip-trace on any
23 returned Class Notices and re-mail Class Notices to any updated address (if any) within seven (7)
24 calendar days of receipt of the returned mail for all returned mail received by the Settlement
25 Administrator by the conclusion of the Notice Period. The deadline for a Settlement Class Member,
26 who has been re-mailed the Class Notice, to submit the Exclusion Request, or to object to the
27 Settlement, shall be the later of the conclusion of the Notice Period or fifteen (15) calendar days
28

1 from the date the Class Notice is re-mailed. It is the intent of the Parties that the Settlement
2 Administrator use reasonable and customary means to locate Settlement Class Members.

3 38. Deficiency Notice. Within seven (7) calendar days of receipt by the Settlement
4 Administrator of any timely submitted, but deficient Exclusion Request, the Settlement
5 Administrator will send a deficiency notice to the Settlement Class Member regarding any
6 irregularities ("Deficiency Notice"). The Deficiency Notice will provide any applicable Settlement
7 Class Member no more than fifteen (15) calendar days from the mailing of any Deficiency Notice
8 to postmark the response to any deficiencies in writing. The failure of a Settlement Class Member
9 to cure a deficiency shall invalidate an Exclusion Request, unless counsel for both of the Parties
10 stipulate otherwise.

11 39. Weekly Report. On a weekly basis, the Settlement Administrator will advise Class
12 Counsel and Defendant's Counsel regarding the number of Exclusion Requests and/or Objections
13 that have been submitted. The Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for calculating the
14 Settlement Share for each Qualified Class Member, issuing payments to Qualified Class Members,
15 and performing all other settlement administration duties required by this Settlement Agreement.
16 Upon completion of the Notice Period, the Settlement Administrator shall provide Class Counsel
17 and Defendant's Counsel with a report listing the amount of all payments to be made to each
18 Qualified Class Member. Proof of Payment will be filed with the Court and provided to the Parties'
19 respective counsel.

20 40. Tax Payment. The Settlement Administrator shall withhold all payroll and income
21 taxes required by law to be withheld and/or paid on behalf of the employees from its distributions
22 to the Settlement Class, and report and remit the same to the appropriate government agencies. The
23 Settlement Administrator shall report individual settlement amounts to all required taxing and other
24 authorities, and issue IRS Forms W-2 and 1099. Upon completion of administration of the
25 Settlement, the Settlement Administrator shall provide written certification of such completion to
26 the Court and counsel for all Parties.

27 41. Settlement Checks. Checks issued by the Settlement Administrator to Qualified
28 Class Members for their respective Settlement Shares shall be negotiable for one hundred and

1 twenty (120) calendar days. At the conclusion of the 120-day period the checks shall be void. A
2 Qualified Class Member's act of negotiating his or her Settlement Share for payment shall operate
3 as, and be deemed to express, the Qualified Class Member's intent to opt in, join, settle, and fully
4 release and discharge all of the Settlement Class Released Claims, including any claims the
5 Qualified Class Member may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*

6 42. Uncashed Funds. With the sole exception of the residual, if any, resulting from any
7 Qualified Class Members' failure to deposit the check issued to them for their respective Settlement
8 Shares within the 120-day period provided above (hereafter, the "Residual"), the Parties agree that
9 Code of Civil Procedure section 384 ("Section 384") is not applicable to any portion of the Gross
10 Settlement Amount (including but not limited to any portion of the Net Settlement Amount).
11 Neither Plaintiff nor Class Counsel shall take, or cause any other person to take, a position before
12 the Court that Section 384 applies to any portion of the Gross Settlement Amount, with the sole
13 exception of the Residual. The Parties agree that any Residual will be distributed to the nonprofit
14 organization CASA of Contra Costa County, a child advocacy program that places Court Appointed
15 Special Advocates For Children (website is www.casaofalamedacounty.org), and accordingly there
16 will be no "unpaid cash residue and unclaimed or abandoned funds" within the meaning of Section
17 384. However, in the event the Residual is determined by the Court to constitute "unpaid cash
18 residue and unclaimed or abandoned funds" within the meaning of Section 384, the Residual shall
19 be distributed in compliance with Section 384, as directed by the Court after a hearing regarding
20 the actual amount paid to the class members, to the nonprofit organization CASA of Contra Costa
21 County.

22 **SETTLEMENT CLASS RELEASED CLAIMS AND PAGA RELEASE**

23 43. Other than the rights created by this Settlement Agreement, upon the Effective Date,
24 Plaintiff and each Qualified Class Member shall fully release and discharge the Released Parties
25 for the entire Class Period from any and all claims as follows:

26 A. Meal Period Subclass Members shall release the Released Parties from
27 causes of action, disputes, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses,
28 interest, attorneys' fees, penalties, and damages, whether suspected or unsuspected, contingent or

1 accrued, known or unknown, arising from the factual allegations and claims set forth in the Action,
2 and occurring during the Class Period relevant to that subclass (March 1, 2020 through September
3 21, 2023) that were alleged or could have been alleged based on the factual allegations related to
4 that subclass, including, without limitation: Class Allegations predicated on allegations of Meal
5 Period violations; any claims for alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202,
6 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 512, 558, 1174, and their subparts and applicable IWC Wage
7 Orders to the extent such claims are predicated on allegations of Meal Period violations; any
8 derivative claims for unpaid wages or penalties, and for unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business
9 practices under the California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* to the extent
10 they are predicated on allegations of Meal Period violations; any other state or federal statute, rule,
11 or regulating arising from or relating to allegations of Meal Period violations; and all related
12 remedies of any nature whatsoever, including without limitation all claims for restitution and other
13 equitable relief, injunctive relief, statutory damages, liquidated damages, exemplary damages,
14 punitive damages, waiting-time penalties, statutory penalties, civil penalties, interest, attorneys'
15 fees, costs, and expenses to the extent they are predicated on allegations of Meal Period violations
16 (collectively, the "Meal Period Subclass Released Claims").

17 B. Overtime Subclass Members shall release the Released Parties from causes
18 of action, disputes, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses, interest,
19 attorneys' fees, penalties, and damages, whether suspected or unsuspected, contingent or accrued,
20 known or unknown, arising from the factual allegations and claims set forth in the Action, and
21 occurring during the Class Period relevant to that subclass (April 12, 2017 through September 21,
22 2023) that were alleged or could have been alleged based on the factual allegations related to that
23 subclass, including, without limitation: Class Allegations predicated on allegations of Regular Rate
24 or overtime violations; any claims for alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201,
25 202, 203, 204, 210, 233, 246, 510, 558, 1174, 1194, and 1198 and their subparts and applicable
26 IWC Wage Orders to the extent such claims are predicated on allegations of Regular Rate or
27 overtime violations; any derivative claims for unpaid wages or penalties, and for unfair, unlawful,
28 and fraudulent business practices under the California Business and Professions Code sections

1 17200, *et seq.* to the extent they are predicated on allegations of Regular Rate or overtime
2 violations; any other state or federal statute, rule, or regulating arising from or relating to allegations
3 of Regular Rate or overtime violations; and all related remedies of any nature whatsoever, including
4 without limitation all claims for restitution and other equitable relief, injunctive relief, statutory
5 damages, liquidated damages, exemplary damages, punitive damages, waiting-time penalties,
6 statutory penalties, civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to the extent they
7 are predicated on allegations of Regular Rate or overtime violations (collectively, the "Overtime
8 Subclass Released Claims").

9 C. Wage Statement Subclass Members shall release the Released Parties from
10 causes of action, disputes, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses,
11 interest, attorneys' fees, penalties, and damages, whether suspected or unsuspected, contingent or
12 accrued, known or unknown, arising from the factual allegations and claims set forth in the Action,
13 and occurring during the Class Period relevant to that subclass (April 12, 2020 through September
14 21, 2023) that were alleged or could have been alleged based on the factual allegations related to
15 wage statements, including, without limitation: Class Allegations predicated on wage statement
16 violations; any claims for alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 226, 226.3, and 1174
17 and their subparts and applicable IWC Wage Orders to the extent such claims are predicated on
18 allegations of wage statement violations; any derivative claims for penalties, and for unfair,
19 unlawful, and fraudulent business practices under the California Business and Professions Code
20 sections 17200, *et seq.* to the extent they are predicated on allegations of wage statement violations;
21 any other state or federal statute, rule, or regulating arising from or relating to allegations of wage
22 statement violations; and all related remedies of any nature whatsoever, including without
23 limitation all claims for restitution and other equitable relief, injunctive relief, statutory damages,
24 liquidated damages, exemplary damages, punitive damages, waiting-time penalties, statutory
25 penalties, civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to the extent they are
26 predicated on allegations of wage statement violations (collectively, the "Wage Statement Subclass
27 Released Claims").
28

1 44. In addition, other than the rights created by this Settlement Agreement, upon the
2 Effective Date, Plaintiff, each Settlement Class Member, and the LWDA, in exchange for the
3 PAGA Allocation, shall fully release and discharge the Released Parties for the entire PAGA Period
4 from any and all claims seeking penalties, or any other relief under PAGA based on or arising from
5 the allegations in the Action, including and any claims that could have been asserted under PAGA
6 based on the facts and statutes pled in the Action, which include any applicable Industrial Welfare
7 Commission Wage Order and claims under the California Labor Code, including but not limited to
8 Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 233, 246, 510, 512, 558, 1182.12
9 et seq., 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and their subparts, and any and all claims for Plaintiff's costs,
10 expenses, interest, and attorneys' fees pertaining to them (collectively, the "PAGA Release").

11 **PLAINTIFF'S GENERAL RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS**

12 45. Other than the rights created by this Settlement Agreement, in addition to the Meal
13 Period Subclass Released Claims, the Overtime Subclass Released Claims, the Wage Statement
14 Subclass Released Claims, and PAGA Release, Plaintiff individually and on behalf of each of her
15 executors, administrators, representatives, attorneys, successors and assigns knowingly and
16 voluntarily releases and forever discharges Defendant and the Released Parties, to the full extent
17 permitted by law, of and from any and all claims, known and unknown, asserted and unasserted,
18 which Plaintiff has or may have against the Released Parties as of the Effective Date of this
19 Settlement Agreement, including, but not limited to, any alleged violation of Title VII of the Civil
20 Rights Act of 1964; the Civil Rights Act of 1991; the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990; the
21 Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; the Family and Medical Leave Act; the Equal Pay
22 Act; the California Family Rights Act – Cal. Gov't Code § 12945.2; the California Fair
23 Employment and Housing Act – Cal. Gov't Code § 12900 *et seq.*; the California Equal Pay Law –
24 Cal. Lab. Code § 1197.5; any other federal, state or local civil or human rights law or any other
25 federal, state or local law, regulation or ordinance; any public policy, contract, tort or common law;
26 or; any basis for fees or other expenses including attorneys' fees incurred in these matters.

27 46. To affect a full and complete general release as described above, Plaintiff expressly
28 waives and relinquishes all rights and benefits of section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of

California, and does so understanding and acknowledging the significance and consequence of specifically waiving section 1542. Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California provides, "A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party." Thus, notwithstanding the provisions of section 1542, and to implement a full and complete release and discharge of the Released Parties, Plaintiff expressly acknowledges this Settlement Agreement is intended to include in its effect, without limitation, all claims Plaintiff does not know or suspect to exist in their favor at the time of the Effective Date of this Settlement Agreement, and that this Settlement Agreement contemplates the extinguishment of any such claims.

DUTIES OF THE PARTIES PRIOR TO PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

47. The Parties shall promptly submit this Settlement Agreement to the Court in support of a request for preliminary approval and determination by the Court as to its fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness. Promptly upon execution of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties shall apply to the Court for the entry of an order of preliminary approval, substantially in the form attached hereto as "**Exhibit B**," which shall:

- A. Schedule a final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the Settlement Class.
- B. Certify the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only;
- C. Certify this action under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Rule 3.760, *et seq.*, of the California Rules of Court as a class action for settlement purposes only;
- D. Approve as to form and content the proposed Class Notice;
- E. Approve the Settlement Administrator and preliminarily approve the estimated costs of settlement administration;
- F. Direct the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice by first class mail to the Settlement Class Members;
- G. Preliminarily approve the Settlement subject only to the Objections of Settlement Class Members and final review by the Court;

1 H. Preliminarily approve Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs subject to
2 final review of the Court; and

3 I. Preliminarily approve the Plaintiff's service fee awards subject to final
4 review of the Court.

5 **DUTIES OF THE PARTIES PRIOR TO FINAL APPROVAL**

6 48. In advance of the final approval hearing, Class Counsel will submit to the Court a
7 proposed order of final approval and Judgment, substantially in the form attached hereto as
8 "Exhibit C," which shall:

9 A. Approve the Settlement, adjudging the terms thereof to be fair, reasonable
10 and adequate, and directing consummation of its terms and provisions;

11 B. Approve the award of attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel;

12 C. Approve the enhancement award to Plaintiff as set forth in the Settlement;
13 and

14 D. Approve the administration costs of the Settlement Administrator as set forth
15 in the Settlement.

16 **FINAL JUDGMENT AND CONTINUING JURISDICTION**

17 49. If the Court approves this Settlement at the Final Approval Hearing, the Parties shall
18 request that the Court enter the Final Judgment after the Settlement has been fully funded,
19 incorporating the terms of the Settlement in accordance with California Rules of Court, Rule
20 3.769(h). Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h), after granting final approval of the
21 Settlement, the Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce the terms of the judgment.

22 **PARTIES' AUTHORITY**

23 50. The signatories hereto hereby represent that they are fully authorized to enter into
24 this Settlement Agreement and bind the Parties hereto to the terms and conditions hereof.

25 **MUTUAL FULL COOPERATION**

26 51. The Parties agree to fully cooperate with each other to accomplish the terms of this
27 Settlement Agreement, including but not limited to, execution of such documents and to take such
28 other action as may reasonably be necessary to implement the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

1 The Parties to this Settlement Agreement shall use their best efforts, including all efforts
2 contemplated by this Settlement Agreement and any other efforts that may become necessary by
3 order of the Court, or otherwise, to effectuate this Settlement Agreement and the terms set forth
4 herein. As soon as practicable after execution of this Settlement Agreement, Class Counsel shall,
5 with the assistance and cooperation of Defendant and their counsel, take all necessary steps to
6 secure the Court's preliminary and then final approval of this Settlement.

7 **NO PRIOR ASSIGNMENTS**

8 52. The Parties hereto represent, covenant, and warrant that they have not directly or
9 indirectly assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber to any
10 person or entity any portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action or rights herein
11 released and discharged except as set forth herein.

12 **NO ADMISSION OF LIABILITY**

13 53. Nothing contained herein, nor the consummation of this Settlement Agreement, is
14 to be construed or deemed an admission of liability, culpability, negligence or wrongdoing on the
15 part of Defendant. Defendant expressly denies that it has violated the law in any manner and
16 affirmatively asserts that its wage and hour practices, and any other acts related to its employees,
17 are and have been in full compliance with all applicable statutes and regulations. This Settlement
18 Agreement is a settlement document and shall, pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1152,
19 be inadmissible as evidence in any proceeding except a proceeding to enforce its terms. Each of
20 the Parties hereto has entered into this Settlement Agreement with the intention to avoid further
21 disputes and litigation with the attendant inconvenience and expenses.

22 **CONSTRUCTION**

23 54. The Parties hereto agree that the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement
24 are the result of lengthy, arms-length negotiations between the Parties, and that this Settlement
25 Agreement shall not be construed in favor of or against any party by reason of the extent to which
26 any party or her or its counsel participated in this drafting of this Settlement Agreement.

27 **CAPTIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS**

MODIFICATION

DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO RESCISSION

INTEGRATION CLAUSE

59. This Settlement Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the settlement and transaction contemplated hereby, and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, representations, and statements, whether oral or written, and whether

1 by a Party or such Party's legal counsel, are merged herein. No rights hereunder may be waived
2 except in writing.

3 **BINDING ON ASSIGNS**

4 60. This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the
5 Parties hereto and their respective heirs, trustees, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns.

6 **SIGNATORIES**

7 61. It is agreed that because of the large number of Settlement Class Members, it is
8 impossible or impractical to have each Settlement Class Member execute this Settlement
9 Agreement. The Class Notice will advise all Settlement Class Members of the binding nature of
10 the release and such shall have the same force and effect as if this Settlement Agreement were
11 executed by each Settlement Class Member.

12 **PUBLIC COMMENT**

13 62. The Parties and their counsel agree that they will not issue any press releases, initiate
14 any contact with the press, respond to any press inquiry or have any communication with the press
15 about the fact, amount or terms of this Settlement. In addition, the Parties and their counsel agree
16 that they will not engage in any advertising or distribute any marketing materials relating to the
17 settlement of this case in any manner that identifies Defendant, including but not limited to any
18 postings on any websites maintained by Class Counsel except as explicitly provided below. Neither
19 Plaintiff nor Class Counsel will discuss the terms or the fact of the settlement with third parties
20 other than (1) their immediate family members, (2) their respective accountants or lawyers as
21 necessary for tax purposes; or (3) other Settlement Class Members. Plaintiff and Class Counsel
22 agree not to publish any of the terms or conditions of this Settlement in any manner that identifies
23 Defendant. The only limited exception is that Class Counsel may identify the fact of the Settlement
24 of this action in a court filing in another case to demonstrate their adequacy as counsel in such other
25 matters, but in no event shall Class Counsel identify the amount of this Settlement as associated
26 with Defendant. In the event that Class Counsel seeks to reference this settlement, Class Counsel
27 must receive express written consent to do so from Defendant's counsel prior to its publication.
28 Defendant shall act in good faith and shall not unreasonably withhold such approval if requested.

COUNTERPARTS

63. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and when each party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart shall be deemed an original, and, when taken together with other signed counterparts, shall constitute one Settlement Agreement, which shall be binding upon and effective as to all Parties. Copies of the executed Settlement Agreement shall be effective for all purposes as though the signatures contained therein were original signatures.

Dated: 9/15/2023

DocuSigned by:

Samantha Owens

43D28D9E500A470...

Samantha Owens
Plaintiff/Class Representative

Dated: 09/21/2023

Madeline G. M. Lovejoy
By: Madeline G. M. Lovejoy
Assistant Vice President and Assistant Secretary
Fidelity National Home Warranty Company

APPROVED AS TO FORM

MAYALL HURLEY P.C.

Dated: September 15, 2023

By:

Robert J. Wassermann
Robert J. Wassermann
Jenny D. Baysinger
Attorneys for Plaintiff and Settlement Class

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

Dated: September 21, 2023

By:

Angela J. Rafoth
Angela J. Rafoth
Attorneys for Defendant

EXHIBIT A

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION
AND HEARING DATE FOR FINAL COURT APPROVAL**

**(Owens v. Fidelity National Home Warranty Co., Superior Court of the State of California,
County of Contra Costa, Case No. MSC21-00731)**

**YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED WHETHER YOU ACT OR DO NOT
ACT. PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY.**

SUMMARY OF YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT:	
Do Nothing and Receive a Payment	To receive a cash payment from the Settlement, you do not have to do anything. If the Settlement is approved by the Court, your estimated Settlement Share is: \$<<__>>. See the explanation in Section 5 below. If you do nothing, you will be considered a Qualified Class Member and will be part of the Settlement as explained more fully below. After final approval by the Court, the payment will be mailed to you at the same address as this notice. In exchange for the Settlement Share payment, you will release the Released Class Claims as detailed in Section 4 below. If your address has changed, you must notify the Settlement Administrator as explained in Section 6 below.
Exclude Yourself The Response Deadline is _____	To exclude yourself, you must send a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator as provided below. If you request exclusion, you will not receive any Settlement Share and you will not be bound by the Settlement; however, if you were employed during the PAGA Period, you will still be paid your individual share of the PAGA Allocation and will remain bound by the PAGA Release regardless of whether you submit a request for exclusion. If you choose to exclude yourself, you must notify the Settlement Administrator as explained in Section 7 below.
Object The Response Deadline is _____	Write to the Court about why you object to or do not agree with the Settlement, or appear at the Final Approval Hearing to make an oral objection. Instructions are provided in Section 8 below.

1. Why did I get this Notice?

A proposed class action settlement of the above-captioned action (the “Settlement”) pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Contra Costa (the “Court”) has been reached between Plaintiff Samantha Owens (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Fidelity National Home Warranty (“Defendant”) and has been granted preliminary approval by the Court. You may be entitled to receive money from this Settlement.

You have received this Class Notice because you have been identified as a member of one or more of the following groups within the Settlement Class defined as follows:

All non-exempt individuals who worked for Defendant in California at any time during the Class Period and who are members of one or more of the following subclasses:

(1) the “Meal Period Subclass,” which includes those who worked at least one shift of more than five (5) hours from March 1, 2020, through and including September 21, 2023;

(2) the “Overtime Subclass,” which includes those who earned a non-discretionary bonus or other remuneration pertaining to a workweek when he or she also worked overtime on at least one occasion from April 12, 2017, through and including September 21, 2023, and who were not part of the “Overtime Class” in the matter of *Dillard/Menchaca v. Fidelity National Home Warranty Co., et al.*, Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No. C18-00394; and

(3) the “Wage Statement Subclass,” which includes those who received one or more wage statements at any time from April 12, 2020, through and including September 21, 2023.

This Class Notice explains the lawsuit, the Settlement, and your legal rights. It is important that you read this Class Notice carefully as your rights may be affected by the Settlement. To exclude yourself from, or object to, the Settlement you must take action by certain deadlines. If you want to be part of the Settlement, you don’t need to do anything to obtain your Settlement Share. Defendant will not retaliate against you for any actions you take with respect to the Settlement.

2. What is this class and representative action lawsuit about?

On April 12, 2021, Plaintiff filed a Complaint against Defendant in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Contra Costa, Case No. MSC21-00731 (the “Action”), alleging the following claims against Defendant: failure to pay minimum wage, failure to properly calculate and pay overtime wages, failure to provide required meal periods and pay meal period premiums, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure to timely pay wages at termination, unfair competition, and violation of California’s Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”) (collectively, the “Class Allegations”).

Defendant denies and disputes all claims and the Class Allegations. Specifically, Defendant contends that Plaintiff and the Settlement Class were properly compensated for wages under California law; that Plaintiff and the Settlement Class were provided with meal periods and/or premiums in compliance with California law; that Defendant complied with California wage statement and payroll requirements; that Defendant is not liable for any of the damages or penalties claimed or that could be claimed in the Action; and that this Action cannot be maintained as a class action.

The Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement on <<INSERT PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE>>. At that time, the Court also preliminarily approved Plaintiff to serve as Class Representative and the law firm of Mayall Hurley P.C. to serve as Class Counsel.

3. What are the terms of the Settlement?

Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant has agreed to pay an “all in” amount of Two Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$285,000) (the “Gross Settlement Amount”) to fund the settlement of this Action. The Gross Settlement Amount includes all payments of Settlement Shares to Qualified Class Members, the costs of the Settlement Administrator, Class Counsel’s reasonable attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs, Plaintiff’s Service Award, and the PAGA Allocation. Any employer-side payroll taxes on the portion of the Settlement Shares allocated to wages shall be separately paid by Defendant.

Within fourteen (14) calendar days of the Effective Date, Defendant will fund the Gross Settlement Amount by depositing the money with the Settlement Administrator. The “Effective Date” is the latter of: (1) the date upon which an order granting final approval or final judgment is entered, if no Objection to the Settlement is timely received from a Settlement Class Member; or (2) if any Objection to the Settlement is received, the last date after the final judgment by which a notice of appeal of the order granting final approval or the final judgment may be timely filed, and none is filed; or (3) if any Objection is received and a notice of appeal from the order granting final approval or the final judgment is filed, the date on which the Superior Court’s order granting final approval or final judgment is finally affirmed or upheld without any further right of appeal by any party or third party and following the expiration of any such period for such further appeal that may be available. Fourteen (14) calendar days after the settlement is funded, the Settlement Administrator will mail checks for the Settlement Shares to Qualified Class Members.

Amounts to be Paid From the Gross Settlement Amount. The following proposed payments subject to Court approval will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount before Settlement Shares are made to Class Members who do not request exclusion (“Qualified Class Members”):

- Costs of Settlement Administration. Payment to the Settlement Administrator, estimated not to exceed \$7,500, for expenses, including without limitation expenses of notifying the Class Members of the Settlement, processing exclusion requests and objections, distributing Settlement Shares, the PAGA Allocation, and tax forms, and handling inquiries and uncashed checks.
- Class Counsel’s Reasonable Attorneys’ Fees, Expenses, and Costs. Payment to Class Counsel of reasonable attorneys’ fees not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, which is \$95,000, and an additional amount to reimburse actual litigation costs incurred by the Plaintiff not to exceed \$15,000. Class Counsel has been prosecuting the Action on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class on a contingency fee basis (that is, without being paid any money) and has been paying all litigation costs and expenses. The amounts stated are what Class Counsel will request and the final amounts to be paid will be decided by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing.
- Class Representative Service Award. The Class Representative Service Award in an amount not to exceed \$10,000 to Plaintiff, or such lesser amount as may be approved by the Court, to compensate her for services on behalf of the Class in initiating and prosecuting the Action, and for the risks she undertook. The amount stated is what Plaintiff will request and the final amount to be paid will be decided by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing.
- PAGA Allocation. A payment of \$10,000 relating to the claim for penalties under the Private Attorney Generals Act (“PAGA”), \$7,500 of which will be paid to the State of California’s Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA Payment”). The remaining \$2,500 will be distributed to the Settlement Class Members employed during

the PAGA Period (April 12, 2020 through September 21, 2023) based on the number of pay periods worked during the PAGA Period. Settlement Class Members employed during the PAGA Period will receive a pro rata distribution of the PAGA Allocation, regardless of whether they submit a Request for Exclusion and will be subject to the PAGA Release set forth below.

Calculation of Payments to Class Members. After all of the payments of the Court-approved Class Counsel's Reasonable Attorneys' Fees, Expenses and Costs, the Class Representative Service Award, the PAGA Allocation, and the Costs of Settlement Administration are deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount, the remaining portion, called the "Net Settlement Amount", shall be distributed as Settlement Shares to the Qualified Class Members. The Net Settlement Amount is estimated to be at least \$147,500, based upon the above proposed deductions. The Net Settlement Amount will be allocated amongst the various subclasses, the Meal Period Subclass, Overtime Subclass, and Wage Statement Subclass as follows:

Meal Period Share:	50% of the Net Settlement Amount
Overtime Share:	15% of the Net Settlement Amount
Wage Statement Share:	20% of the Net Settlement Amount

In addition, 15% of the Net Settlement Amount will be allocated for distribution to members of the Meal Period Subclass and/or the Overtime Subclass whose employment ended between April 12, 2018 and September 21, 2023 (the "Waiting Time Penalty Share").

Individual Settlement Shares from the Net Settlement Fund will be calculated as follows:

Qualified Class Members of the Meal Period Subclass shall ultimately be entitled to a distribution in the amount of that fraction of the Meal Period Share, in which the numerator is the number of the respective Qualified Class Member's Total Workweeks between March 1, 2020 and September 21, 2023, and the denominator is the sum, aggregate total of the Total Workweeks of all Qualified Class Members of the Meal Period Subclass between March 1, 2020 and September 21, 2023

Qualified Class Members of the Overtime Subclass shall ultimately be entitled to a distribution in the amount of that fraction of the Overtime Share, in which the numerator is the number of the respective Qualified Class Member's Total Workweeks between April 12, 2017 and September 21, 2023, and the denominator is the sum, aggregate total of the Total Workweeks of all Qualified Class Members of the Overtime Subclass between April 12, 2017 and September 21, 2023.

Qualified Class Members of the Wage Statement Subclass shall ultimately be entitled to a distribution in the amount of that fraction of the Wage Statement Share, in which the numerator is the number of the respective Qualified Class Member's Total Workweeks between April 12, 2020 and September 21, 2023, and the denominator is the sum, aggregate total of the Total Workweeks of all Qualified Class Members of the Wage Statement Class between April 12, 2020 and September 21, 2023.

All Qualified Class Members who are members of the Meal Period Subclass or the Overtime Subclass whose employment with Defendant ended between April 12, 2018 and

September 21, 2023 will additionally receive an equal pro-rata portion of the Waiting Time Penalty Share.

If the Settlement is approved by the Court and you do not exclude yourself, you will automatically be mailed a check for your Settlement Share to the same address as this Class Notice. In addition, if the Settlement is approved by the Court and you were employed during the PAGA Period, you will automatically be mailed a check for your individual share of the PAGA Allocation. You do not have to do anything to receive a payment. If your address has changed, you must contact the Settlement Administrator to inform them of your correct address to ensure you receive your payment.

Tax Matters. For tax purposes, the Settlement Shares shall be allocated as follows: (1) the Meal Period Share shall be allocated as penalties; (2) the Overtime Share shall be allocated as wages subject to reduction for payroll tax and withholding requirements; (3) the Wage Statement Share shall be allocated as penalties; and (4) the Waiting-Time Penalty Share shall be allocated as penalties. The employee portion of all applicable income and payroll taxes will be the responsibility of the Qualified Class Members. Neither Class Counsel nor Defendant's Counsel intend anything contained in this Class Notice to constitute advice regarding taxes or taxability. The tax issues for each Qualified Class Member are unique to him/her, and each Qualified Class Member may wish to consult a tax advisor concerning the tax consequences of the payments received under the Settlement.

Conditions of Settlement. This Settlement is conditioned upon the Court granting final approval of the Settlement and entering the Judgment.

4. What Do I Release Under the Settlement?

Upon the Effective Date, Qualified Class Members will release claims against Defendant, and each of its past, present and future affiliates, parent companies, subsidiaries, shareholders, lenders, officers, partners, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, representatives, accountants, plan administrators, heirs, trustees and successors and assigns, and each and all of their respective past, present and future officers, partners, directors, servants, agents, shareholders, members, employees, representatives, accountants, insurers, and attorneys and all persons acting under, by, through, or in concert with any of them (the "Released Parties") as follows:

Meal Period Subclass Members shall release the Released Parties from causes of action, disputes, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, penalties, and damages, whether suspected or unsuspected, contingent or accrued, known or unknown, arising from the factual allegations and claims set forth in the Action, and occurring during the Class Period relevant to that subclass (March 1, 2020 through September 21, 2023) that were alleged or could have been alleged based on the factual allegations related to that subclass, including, without limitation: Class Allegations predicated on allegations of Meal Period violations; any claims for alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 512, 558, 1174, and their subparts and applicable IWC Wage Orders to the extent such claims are predicated on allegations of Meal Period violations; any derivative claims for unpaid wages or penalties, and for unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices under the California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* to the extent they are predicated on allegations of Meal Period violations; any other state or federal statute, rule, or regulation

arising from or relating to allegations of Meal Period violations; and all related remedies of any nature whatsoever, including without limitation all claims for restitution and other equitable relief, injunctive relief, statutory damages, liquidated damages, exemplary damages, punitive damages, waiting-time penalties, statutory penalties, civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to the extent they are predicated on allegations of Meal Period violations (the "Meal Period Subclass Released Claims").

Overtime Subclass Members shall release the Released Parties from causes of action, disputes, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, penalties, and damages, whether suspected or unsuspected, contingent or accrued, known or unknown, arising from the factual allegations and claims set forth in the Action, and occurring during the Class Period relevant to that subclass (April 12, 2017 through September 21, 2023) that were alleged or could have been alleged based on the factual allegations related to that subclass, including, without limitation: Class Allegations predicated on allegations of Regular Rate or overtime violations; any claims for alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 233, 246, 510, 558, 1174, 1194, and 1198 and their subparts and applicable IWC Wage Orders to the extent such claims are predicated on allegations of Regular Rate or overtime violations; any derivative claims for unpaid wages or penalties, and for unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices under the California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* to the extent they are predicated on allegations of Regular Rate or overtime violations; any other state or federal statute, rule, or regulation arising from or relating to allegations of Regular Rate or overtime violations; and all related remedies of any nature whatsoever, including without limitation all claims for restitution and other equitable relief, injunctive relief, statutory damages, liquidated damages, exemplary damages, punitive damages, waiting-time penalties, statutory penalties, civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to the extent they are predicated on allegations of Regular Rate or overtime violations (the "Overtime Subclass Released Claims").

Wage Statement Subclass Members shall release the Released Parties from causes of action, disputes, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, penalties, and damages, whether suspected or unsuspected, contingent or accrued, known or unknown, arising from the factual allegations and claims set forth in the Action, and occurring during the Class Period relevant to that subclass (April 12, 2020 through September 21, 2023) that were alleged or could have been alleged based on the factual allegations related to that subclass, including, without limitation: Class Allegations predicated on wage statement violations; any claims for alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 226, 226.3, and 1174 and their subparts and applicable IWC Wage Orders to the extent such claims are predicated on allegations of wage statement violations; any derivative claims for penalties, and for unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices under the California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* to the extent they are predicated on allegations of wage statement violations; any other state or federal statute, rule, or regulating arising from or relating to allegations of wage statement violations; and all related remedies of any nature whatsoever, including without limitation all claims for restitution and other equitable relief, injunctive relief, statutory damages, liquidated damages, exemplary damages, punitive damages, waiting-time penalties, statutory penalties, civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to the extent they are predicated on allegations of wage statement violations (the "Wage Statement Subclass Released Claims").

In addition, a Qualified Class Member's act of negotiating his or her Settlement Share for payment shall operate as, and be deemed to express, the Qualified Class Member's intent to opt in, join, settle, and fully release and discharge all of the Settlement Class Released Claims, including any claims the Qualified Class Member may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*

Each individual will only release claims based on the subclass(es) of which he/she is a Qualified Class Member.

If you do not timely exclude yourself from the Settlement, you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of any other lawsuit against Defendant and/or any other Released Party about any of the Released Claims resolved by the Settlement. It also means that all of the Court's orders in the Action will apply to you and legally bind you.

Released PAGA Claims by All Settlement Class Members. In addition, upon the Effective Date, Plaintiff, each Settlement Class Member, and the LWDA, in exchange for the PAGA Allocation, shall fully release and discharge the Released Parties for the entire PAGA Period from any and all claims seeking penalties, or any other relief under PAGA based on or arising from the allegations in the Action, including any claims that could have been asserted under PAGA based on the facts and statutes pled in the Action, which include any applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order and claims under the California Labor Code, including but not limited to Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 233, 246, 510, 512, 558, 1182.12 *et seq.*, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and their subparts, and any and all claims for Plaintiff's costs, expenses, interest, and attorneys' fees pertaining to them (collectively, the "PAGA Release").

5. How much will my payment be?

Defendant's records reflect that you have worked <<____>> workweeks for Defendant as a Meal Period Subclass Member; <<____>> workweeks for Defendant as an Overtime Subclass Member; <<____>> workweeks for Defendant as a Wage Statement Subclass Member; and that you are/are not entitled to a Waiting-Time Penalty Share.

Based on this information, your estimated Settlement Share [and portion of the PAGA Allocation] from the Net Settlement Amount is <<____>>.

If you wish to challenge the information set forth above, then you must submit a written and signed dispute challenging the information along with supporting documents, to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided in this Class Notice no later than _____ [forty-five (45) days after the mailing of the Class Notice or 10 additional days after re-mailing, whichever is later] ("Response Deadline").

6. How can I get a payment?

To get money from the Settlement, you do not have to do anything. Following final approval of the Settlement by the Court, a check for your Settlement Share will be mailed automatically to the same address as this Class Notice. Similarly, if you were employed during the PAGA Period, payment for your individual share of the PAGA Allocation will be mailed automatically following final approval of the Settlement by the Court. If your address is incorrect or has changed, you must notify the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator can be contacted at: _____ or (800) _____.

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing on _____, at _____ to decide whether to approve the Settlement and fix the amounts to be paid as attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, the service award to Plaintiff, the costs for settlement administrator, and the PAGA Allocation. If the Court approves the Settlement and there are no objections or appeals, payments will be mailed approximately one month after this hearing. If there are objections or appeals, resolving them can take time, usually more than a year. Please be patient.

7. What if I don't want to be a part of the Settlement?

If you do not wish to participate in the Settlement, you may request exclusion from the Settlement or "opt out." **If you opt out, you will not receive a Settlement Share from the Settlement, and you will not be bound by the Settlement which means you will retain the right to sue Defendant for the Released Class Claims.** However, you will still be paid your individual portion of the PAGA Allocation and will remain bound by the release of the Released PAGA Claims regardless whether you submit a request for exclusion from the Settlement.

To opt out, you must mail to the Settlement Administrator, by First Class Mail, a written, signed and dated request to opt-out postmarked no later than the Response Deadline, which is _____ [forty-five (45) days after the mailing of the Class Notice or 10 days after re-mailing, whichever is later]. The request to opt-out must be postmarked or received by the Settlement Administrator by this deadline and should: (1) contain a clear statement that you are requesting to opt out of, or be excluded from the Settlement and Released Class Claims in the *Owens v. Fidelity National Home Warranty* lawsuit; (2) contain the name, address, and the last four digits of the Social Security Number of the person requesting exclusion; and (3) be signed by the Class Member or his or her lawful representative. Please include the name and number of the case, which is *Owens v. Fidelity National Home Warranty*, Case No. MSC21-00731. The request to opt-out must be signed by you. No other person may opt-out for a living member of the Class.

The address for the Settlement Administrator is _____. Written requests for exclusion that are postmarked after _____, or are incomplete or unsigned may be rejected; and if so, those Class Members will remain bound by the Settlement and the Released Class Claims described above.

8. How do I tell the Court that I don't agree with the Settlement?

Any Class Member who has not opted out and believes that the Settlement should not be finally approved by the Court for any reason may object to the proposed Settlement, including the attorneys' fees, costs, and administration expenses, the service award, and/or the PAGA Allocation either in writing or in person. Objections that are in writing should state the Class Member's name, current address, telephone number, and the dates of employment in California by Defendant, and describe why you believe the Settlement is unfair. All written objections or other correspondence should also state the name and number of the case, which is *Owens v. Fidelity National Home Warranty*, in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Contra Costa, Case No. MSC21-00731.

All written objections must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at _____, no later than _____ [forty-five (45) days after the mailing of the Class Notice or 10 days after re-mailing, whichever is later].

Alternatively, Class Members may appear at the Final Approval Hearing on _____ at _____ to make an oral objection. The Final Approval Hearing can be attended remotely through CourtCall. Please check the Court's website for current information concerning appearances and how to view court proceedings: <https://www.cc-courts.org/civil/civil.aspx>. For assistance in making an appearance at the Final Approval Hearing, please contact Class Counsel below.

To object to the Settlement, you must not opt out, and if the Court approves the Settlement despite your objection, you will be bound by the terms of the Settlement in the same way as Class Members who do not object and you will still be mailed a check for your Settlement Share.

The addresses for Parties' counsel are as follows:

Class Counsel:

Robert J. Wassermann
Jenny D. Baysinger
Mayall Hurley P.C.
2453 Grand Canal Boulevard
Stockton, CA 95207
Tel.: (209) 477-3833
Fax: (209) 473-4818
Email: rwassermann@mayalllaw.com
jbaysinger@mayalllaw.com

Counsel for Defendant:

Angela J. Rafoth
Littler Mendelson P.C.
333 Bush Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: (415) 433-1940
Fax: (415) 399-8490
Email: arafoth@littler.com

9. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing at _____ on _____, at the Contra Costa County Superior Court, located at 725 Court Street, Martinez, California 94553, in Department 39 before Judge Edward G. Weil. At this hearing the Court will consider whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The purpose of this hearing is for the Court to determine whether to grant final approval to the Settlement and to fix the amounts to be paid as attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, the service payment to Plaintiff, the settlement administration costs, and the PAGA Allocation. If there are objections, the Court will consider them. This hearing may be rescheduled by the Court without further notice to you. If you are interested in participating in the Final Approval Hearing, you should confirm the date, time and location by contacting Class Counsel. **You are not required to attend** the Final Approval Hearing, although any Class Member is welcome to attend the hearing. If the hearing is continued, the new hearing date can be found on the Court Public Portal webpage for the Contra Costa County Superior Court (<https://odyportal.cc-courts.org/portal>) by entering the Case No. MSC21-00731.

10. How do I get more information about the Settlement?

You may call the Settlement Administrator at _____ or write to *Owens v. Fidelity* Settlement Administrator, c/o _____; or contact Class Counsel.

This Class Notice summarizes the proposed settlement. You may also get more details by examining the Court's file on the Internet via the Court Public Portal webpage for the Contra Costa County Superior Court (<https://odyportal.cc-courts.org/portal>) and entering the Case No. MSC21-

00731. If you wish to view the Court files in person, you can go to the Clerk's Office at 1111 Ward Street, Martinez, CA 94553.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL THE COURT ABOUT THIS NOTICE.

IMPORTANT:

- You must inform the Settlement Administrator of any change of address to ensure receipt of your Settlement Share and portion of the PAGA Allocation.
- Settlement checks will be null and void if not cashed within one hundred twenty (120) days after its mailing. In such event, the Settlement Administrator shall pay all unclaimed funds to the nonprofit organization CASA of Contra Costa County, a child advocacy program that places Court Appointed Special Advocates For Children (website is www.casaofalamedacounty.org).
- If your check is lost or misplaced, you should contact the Settlement Administrator immediately to request a replacement.

EXHIBIT B

1 MAYALL HURLEY P.C.
2 ROBERT J. WASSERMAN (SBN: 258538)
3 rwasserman@mayallaw.com
4 JENNY D. BAYSINGER (SBN:)
5 jbaysinger@mayallaw.com
6 2453 Grand Canal Boulevard
7 Stockton, California 95207-8253
8 Telephone: (209) 477-3833
9 Facsimile: (209) 473-4818

Attorneys for Plaintiff Samantha Owens and the Class

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

SAMANTHA OWENS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FIDELITY NATIONAL HOME WARRANTY
COMPANY; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: MSC21-00731

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Plaintiff Samantha Owens' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion") came on for hearing on _____, at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable Charles S Treat. Jenny D. Baysinger appeared on behalf of Plaintiff Owens ("Plaintiff") and Curt Graham appeared on behalf of Defendant Fidelity National Home Warranty Company ("FNHW"). The Court, having fully and carefully reviewed Plaintiff's Motion, the memorandum and declaration in support thereof, and the Settlement Agreement including the proposed Class Notice, hereby makes the following determinations and orders¹:

1. Plaintiff Samanth Owens is preliminarily appointed as Class Representative.

¹ All terms used in this Order of Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Order") shall have the same meanings given those terms in the Parties' Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), a copy which is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and made a part of this Order.

1 2. Mayall Hurley P.C., by and through Jenny D. Baysinger and Robert J. Wassermann, is
2 hereby preliminarily approved and appointed as Class Counsel.

3 3. Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions is appointed as the Settlement
4 Administrator and shall administer the Settlement in accordance with the terms set forth in the
5 Settlement Agreement and perform the functions set forth therein. The Court also preliminarily
6 approves declared fees and costs of administering the Settlement of up to \$7,500.

7 4. The Class of employees covered by the Parties' Settlement consists of:

- 8 a. All non-exempt individuals who worked for Defendant in California at any time
9 during the Class Period and who are members of one or more of the following
10 subclasses: (1) the "Meal Period Subclass," which includes those who worked at
11 least one shift of more than five (5) hours from March 1, 2020, through and
12 including September 21, 2023; (2) the "Overtime Subclass," which includes
13 those who earned a non-discretionary bonus or other remuneration pertaining to
14 a workweek when he or she also worked overtime on at least one occasion from
15 April 12, 2017, through and including September 21, 2023, and who were not
16 part of the "Overtime Class" in the matter of *Dillard/Menchaca v. Fidelity*
17 *National Financial, Inc., et al.*, Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No.
18 C18-00394; and (3) the "Wage Statement Subclass," which includes those who
19 received one or more wage statements at any time from April 12, 2020, through
20 and including September 21, 2023. SA ¶ 15.

21 5. Pursuant to California Civil Procedure section 382, and for purposes of settlement only,
22 the Class is preliminarily and conditionally certified. The Meal Period Subclass is estimated to consist
23 of 104 individuals, the Overtime Subclass is estimated to consist of 106 individuals, and the Wage
24 Statement Subclass is expected to consist of 104 individuals.

25 6. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement between Plaintiff and
26 Defendant, based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

27 ///

28 ///

- 1 a. As to the Class and subclasses, the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair,
2 adequate and reasonable, and the Court preliminarily approves the terms of the
3 Settlement Agreement.
- 4 b. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice, in substantially the
5 form attached as **Exhibit A** to the Settlement Agreement. The Court further
6 approves the procedure by which Class Members may opt out of, and object to, the
7 Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the Class Notice.

8 7. The Court directs mailing of the Class Notice in accordance with the terms of the
9 Settlement Agreement and on the schedule set forth below. The Court finds the dates selected for the
10 mailing and distribution of the Class Notice, as set forth below, meet the requirements of due process
11 and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient
12 notice to all persons entitled thereto.

13 8. Subject to further consideration by the Court at the time of the Final Approval Hearing,
14 the proposed PAGA allocation of \$10,000 and payment to the LWDA of \$7,500 is preliminarily
15 approved. Distribution of \$2,500 to the Settlement Class Members employed during the PAGA Period
16 based on the formula outlined in the Settlement Agreement is also approved.

17 9. Subject to further consideration by the Court at the time of the Final Approval Hearing,
18 the proposed Class Representative Enhancement Award of \$10,000 each, 3.5% of the Gross
19 Settlement Amount, to Plaintiff for her service as Class Representative is preliminarily approved.

20 10. Subject to further consideration by the Court at the time of the Final Approval Hearing,
21 Class Counsel's request of attorneys' fees in the amount of 33.33% of the Gross Settlement Amount,
22 presently expected to be \$95,000, and declared costs of up to \$15,000, are preliminarily approved.

23 11. The Court adopts the following dates and deadlines:

24 Administrator to mail Class Notice	Within 20 calendar days of the POA
25 Deadline for Class Members to object or opt out	Within 45 calendar days after Notice mailed.
26 Plaintiff to file Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Service Payment.	Not less than 16 court days before the Final Approval hearing.
27 Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final Approval.	Not less than 16 court days before the Final Approval hearing.
28 Final Approval Hearing.	Not less than 100 days after the POA

1
2
3 12. A Final Approval hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement,
4 attorneys' fees to Class Counsel, and the Class Representatives' Service Payments should be finally
5 approved as fair, reasonable and adequate is scheduled in for _____, 2024 at
6 ____:____ a.m. / p.m.. The parties to the Agreement are directed to carry out their obligations under
7 the Settlement Agreement.

8
9 Dated: _____

10 _____
11 HON. CHARLES S. TREAT
12 SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT C

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

SAMANTHA OWENS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FIDELITY NATIONAL HOME WARRANTY
COMPANY; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: MSC21-00731

**[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER
AND JUDGMENT**

Date:

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: 12

Judge: Charles S. Treat

1 The motion of Plaintiff Samnatha Owens (“Plaintiff”) for an order finally approving the
2 Parties’ Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release (“Agreement”) with Defendant
3 Fidelity National Home Warranty Company (“Defendant”), and the motion for attorneys’ fees and
4 costs, enhancement payment, and the fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator, duly came on
5 for hearing on _____ before the Honorable Charles S. Treat.

6 **I.**

7 **FINDINGS**

8 Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the
9 motion, the Court makes the following findings:

- 10 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 11 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation pending before the
12 California Superior Court for the County of Contra Costa, and over all Parties to this litigation,
13 including the Class.
- 14 3. Based on a review of the papers submitted by Plaintiff and a review of the applicable
15 law, the Court finds that the GSA of \$285,000 and the terms set forth in the Agreement are fair,
16 reasonable, and adequate.
- 17 4. The Court further finds that the Settlement was the result of arm's length negotiations
18 conducted after Class Counsel had adequately investigated the claims and became familiar with the
19 strengths and weaknesses of those claims. In particular, the amount of the Settlement, the significant
20 risks relating to certification, liability, and damages issues, and the assistance of an experienced
21 mediator in the settlement process, among other factors, support the Court's conclusion that the
22 Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

23 **Preliminary Approval of the Settlement**

- 24 5. On _____ the Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement.
25 At this same time, the Court approved conditional certification of the Class for settlement purposes
26 only.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **Notice to the Class**

2 6. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was mailed by
3 first class mail to members of the Class at their last known addresses on or about _____.
4 Mailing of the Class Notice to their last known addresses was the best notice option under the
5 circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual notice of the litigation and the
6 proposed settlement to the Class. The Class Notice given to the Class Members fully and accurately
7 informed the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement and of their
8 opportunity to object to or comment thereon or to seek exclusion from the Settlement; was valid,
9 due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of
10 California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice
11 fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided Class Members adequate instructions
12 and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

13 7. The deadline for opting out of the Class or submitting written objections to the
14 Settlement was _____, or _____ if the Class Notice was remailed. There
15 was an adequate interval between notice and the deadline to permit Class Members to choose what to
16 do and act on their decision. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate
17 in this hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Class
18 Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed
19 Settlement and Class. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely
20 and properly submit a request for exclusion are bound by the Settlement and this Final Approval
21 Order and Judgment.

22 **Fairness Of Settlement**

23 8. The Agreement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.* 48
24 Cal. App. 4th 1794, 1801 (1996).

- 25 a. The settlement was reached through arm's-length bargaining between the parties
26 during an all-day mediation before David Phillips, Esq., a respected and experienced
27 mediator of wage and hour class actions. There has been no collusion between the
28 parties in reaching the proposed settlement.

- 1 b. Plaintiff's investigation and discovery have been sufficient to allow the Court and
2 counsel to act intelligently.
- 3 c. Counsel for both parties are experienced in similar employment class action litigation.
4 All counsel recommended approval of the Agreement.
- 5 d. The percentage of objectors and requests for exclusion is small. _____ objections
6 were received and _____ requests for exclusion were received.
- 7 e. The participation rate was high. _____ Class Members are Qualified Class
8 Members and will be mailed a settlement payment, representing _____ of the
9 overall Class.

10 9. The consideration to be given to the Class Members under the terms of the Agreement
11 is fair, reasonable and adequate considering the strengths and weaknesses of the claims asserted in
12 this action and is fair, reasonable and adequate compensation for the release of Class Members'
13 claims, given the uncertainties and significant risks of the litigation and the delays which would
14 ensue from continued prosecution of the action.

15 10. The Agreement is approved as fair, adequate and reasonable and in the best interests
16 of the Class Members.

17 **Attorneys' Fees and Costs**

18 11. An award of \$95,000 for attorneys' fees, representing one-third (1/3) of the Gross
19 Settlement Amount, and \$15,000 for litigation costs and expenses, is reasonable, in light of the
20 contingent nature of Class Counsel's fee, the hours worked by Class Counsel, and the results
21 achieved by Class Counsel. The requested awards have been supported by Class Counsel's lodestar
22 and billing statement.

23 **Class Representative Enhancement Payment**

24 12. The Agreement provides for a Class Representative Enhancement Payment of not
25 more than \$10,000 to Plaintiff, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds that a Class
26 Representative Enhancement Payment in the amount of \$ 10,000 to Plaintiff is reasonable in light of
27 the risks and burdens undertaken by Plaintiff in the litigation, for er time and effort in bringing and
28 prosecuting this matter on behalf of the Class, and for her execution of a general release.

1 **Settlement Administration Expenses**

2 13. The Settlement Administrator shall calculate and administer the payment to be made
3 to the Class Members, transmit payment for attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, transmit the
4 Class Representative Service Payments to the Plaintiffs, distribute the PAGA Payment, issue any
5 required tax reporting forms, calculate withholdings and perform the other remaining duties set forth
6 in the Agreement. The Settlement Administrator has documented \$7,500 in fees and expenses, and
7 this amount is reasonable in light of the work performed by the Settlement Administrator.

8 **PAGA Payment**

9 14. The Agreement provides for a PAGA Allocation out of the Gross Settlement Amount
10 of \$10,000, which shall be allocated \$7,500 to the LWDA as the LWDA's 75% share of the
11 settlement of civil penalties paid pursuant to the PAGA and \$2,500 to be distributed to Settlement
12 Class Members employed during the PAGA Period based on their respective pay periods employed
13 during the PAGA Period (April 12, 2020 through September 21, 2023). All Settlement Class
14 Members who were employed during the PAGA Period, regardless of whether they opt-out of the
15 Settlement, will share in a portion of the PAGA Allocation. The Court finds this PAGA Allocation to
16 be reasonable. All Aggrieved Employees will be sent their share of the PAGA Payment and will be
17 subject to the release of the Released PAGA Claims as set forth below, whether or not they opt out of
18 the Settlement.

19 **II.**

20 **ORDERS**

21 Based on the foregoing findings, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 22 1. The certification of the Class for the purposes of settlement is confirmed. The Class is
23 defined as follows:
- 24 a. All non-exempt individuals who worked for Defendant in California at any time during the
25 Class Period and who are members of one or more of the following subclasses: (1) the
26 “Meal Period Subclass,” which includes those who worked at least one shift of more than
27 five (5) hours from March 1, 2020, through and including September 21, 2023; (2) the
28 “Overtime Subclass,” which includes those who earned a non-discretionary bonus or other

1 remuneration pertaining to a workweek when he or she also worked overtime on at least
2 one occasion from April 12, 2017, through and including September 21, 2023, and who
3 were not part of the "Overtime Class" in the matter of *Dillard/Menchaca v. Fidelity*
4 *National Financial, Inc., et al.*, Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No. C18-00394;
5 and (3) the "Wage Statement Subclass," which includes those who received one or more
6 wage statements at any time from April 12, 2020, through and including September 21,
7 2023. SA ¶ 15.

8 2. All persons who meet one or more of the foregoing definitions are members of the
9 Class, except for those individuals who filed a valid request for exclusion ("opt out") from the Class.

10 3. The Agreement is hereby finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the
11 best interest of the Class.

12 4. Class Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$95,000 and costs in the
13 amount of \$15,000. Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or
14 reimbursement from Defendant, Plaintiff or members of the Class.

15 5. The payment of the Class Representative Enhancement Payment in the amount of
16 \$10,000 to Plaintiff is approved.

17 6. The payment of \$7,500 to the Settlement Administrator for their fees and expenses is
18 approved.

19 7. The PAGA Payment of \$10,000 is approved and shall be allocated in accordance with
20 the Agreement.

21 8. The Agreement and this Settlement are not an admission by Defendant, nor is this
22 Final Approval Order and Judgment a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any
23 wrongdoing by Defendant or that this Action is appropriate for class treatment (other than for
24 settlement purposes). Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, nor any
25 document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement is, may be construed as,
26 or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing or liability
27 whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings
28 related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or

1 concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant. Notwithstanding these restrictions,
2 Defendant may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this Final Approval Order and
3 Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the
4 Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or
5 issue preclusion or similar defense at to the Released Claims and/or the Released PAGA Claims.

6 9. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to all Parties
7 by Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff and all Class Members. It shall not be necessary to send
8 notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment to individual Class Members.

9 10. If the Agreement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of
10 the Agreement, then this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all orders entered in connection
11 therewith, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their
12 respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement, and expressly reserve their respective
13 rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action, including all available defenses and
14 affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the Action could not be certified as a class
15 action and/or managed as a representative action.

16 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED, AND DECREED THAT:**

17 1. Except as set forth in the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment,
18 Plaintiff, and all members of the Class, shall take nothing in the Action.

19 2. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, the Court shall retain
20 jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement and enforce the Agreement, to hear and resolve any
21 contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute
22 arising from or in connection with distribution of settlement benefits.

23 3. The Parties are authorized to agree to and to adopt such amendments, modifications
24 and expansions of the Agreement and all exhibits attached thereto which are consistent with this
25 Final Approval Order and Judgment and as approved by the Court.

26 4. Each party shall bear its own attorney's fees and costs, except as otherwise provided
27 in the Agreement and in this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

1 5. Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiff and each Qualified Class Member shall fully
2 release and discharge the Released Parties for the entire Class Period as follows:

3 a. Meal Period Subclass Members shall release the Released Parties from causes of
4 action, disputes, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses,
5 interest, attorneys' fees, penalties, and damages, whether suspected or unsuspected,
6 contingent or accrued, known or unknown, arising from the factual allegations and
7 claims set forth in the Action, and occurring during the Class Period relevant to that
8 subclass (March 1, 2020 through September 21, 2023) that were alleged or could have
9 been alleged based on the factual allegations related to that subclass, including,
10 without limitation: Class Allegations predicated on allegations of Meal Period
11 violations; any claims for alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201,
12 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 512, 558, 1174, and their subparts and
13 applicable IWC Wage Orders to the extent such claims are predicated on allegations
14 of Meal Period violations; any derivative claims for unpaid wages or penalties, and
15 for unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices under the California Business
16 and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* to the extent they are predicated on
17 allegations of Meal Period violations; any other state or federal statute, rule, or
18 regulating arising from or relating to allegations of Meal Period violations; and all
19 related remedies of any nature whatsoever, including without limitation all claims for
20 restitution and other equitable relief, injunctive relief, statutory damages, liquidated
21 damages, exemplary damages, punitive damages, waiting-time penalties, statutory
22 penalties, civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to the extent
23 they are predicated on allegations of Meal Period violations (collectively, the "Meal
24 Period Subclass Released Claims").

25 b. Overtime Subclass Members shall release the Released Parties from causes of action,
26 disputes, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses, interest,
27 attorneys' fees, penalties, and damages, whether suspected or unsuspected, contingent
28 or accrued, known or unknown, arising from the factual allegations and claims set

1 forth in the Action, and occurring during the Class Period relevant to that subclass
2 (April 12, 2017 through September 21, 2023) that were alleged or could have been
3 alleged based on the factual allegations related to that subclass, including, without
4 limitation: Class Allegations predicated on allegations of Regular Rate or overtime
5 violations; any claims for alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201,
6 202, 203, 204, 210, 233, 246, 510, 558, 1174, 1194, and 1198 and their subparts and
7 applicable IWC Wage Orders to the extent such claims are predicated on allegations
8 of Regular Rate or overtime violations; any derivative claims for unpaid wages or
9 penalties, and for unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices under the
10 California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* to the extent they
11 are predicated on allegations of Regular Rate or overtime violations; any other state or
12 federal statute, rule, or regulation arising from or relating to allegations of Regular
13 Rate or overtime violations; and all related remedies of any nature whatsoever,
14 including without limitation all claims for restitution and other equitable relief,
15 injunctive relief, statutory damages, liquidated damages, exemplary damages, punitive
16 damages, waiting-time penalties, statutory penalties, civil penalties, interest,
17 attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to the extent they are predicated on allegations of
18 Regular Rate or overtime violations (collectively, the "Overtime Subclass Released
19 Claims").

- 20 c. Wage Statement Subclass Members shall release the Released Parties from causes of
21 action, disputes, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses,
22 interest, attorneys' fees, penalties, and damages, whether suspected or unsuspected,
23 contingent or accrued, known or unknown, arising from the factual allegations and
24 claims set forth in the Action, and occurring during the Class Period relevant to that
25 subclass (April 12, 2020 through September 21, 2023) that were alleged or could have
26 been alleged based on the factual allegations related to wage statements, including,
27 without limitation: Class Allegations predicated on wage statement violations; any
28 claims for alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 226, 226.3, and 1174

1 and their subparts and applicable IWC Wage Orders to the extent such claims are
2 predicated on allegations of wage statement violations; any derivative claims for
3 penalties, and for unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices under the
4 California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* to the extent they
5 are predicated on allegations of wage statement violations; any other state or federal
6 statute, rule, or regulating arising from or relating to allegations of wage statement
7 violations; and all related remedies of any nature whatsoever, including without
8 limitation all claims for restitution and other equitable relief, injunctive relief,
9 statutory damages, liquidated damages, exemplary damages, punitive damages,
10 waiting-time penalties, statutory penalties, civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees,
11 costs, and expenses to the extent they are predicated on allegations of wage statement
12 violations (collectively, the "Wage Statement Subclass Released Claims").

13 6. In addition, other than the rights created by this Settlement Agreement, upon the
14 Effective Date, Plaintiff, each Settlement Class Member, and the LWDA, in exchange for the PAGA
15 Allocation, shall fully release and discharge the Released Parties for the entire PAGA Period from
16 any and all claims seeking penalties, or any other relief under PAGA based on or arising from the
17 allegations in the Action, including and any claims that could have been asserted under PAGA based
18 on the facts and statutes pled in the Action, which include any applicable Industrial Welfare
19 Commission Wage Order and claims under the California Labor Code, including but not limited to
20 Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 233, 246, 510, 512, 558, 1182.12 *et*
21 *seq.*, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and their subparts, and any and all claims for Plaintiff's costs,
22 expenses, interest, and attorneys' fees pertaining to them (collectively, the "PAGA Release").

23 7. In addition, other than the rights created by this Settlement Agreement, in addition to
24 the Meal Period Subclass Released Claims, the Overtime Subclass Released Claims, the Wage
25 Statement Subclass Released Claims, and PAGA Release, Plaintiff individually and on behalf of
26 each of her executors, administrators, representatives, attorneys, successors and assigns knowingly
27 and voluntarily releases and forever discharges Defendant and the Released Parties, to the full extent
28 permitted by law, of and from any and all claims, known and unknown, asserted and unasserted,

1 which Plaintiff has or may have against the Released Parties as of the Effective Date of this
2 Settlement Agreement, including, but not limited to, any alleged violation of Title VII of the Civil
3 Rights Act of 1964; the Civil Rights Act of 1991; the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990; the
4 Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; the Family and Medical Leave Act; the Equal Pay
5 Act; the California Family Rights Act – Cal. Gov’t Code § 12945.2; the California Fair Employment
6 and Housing Act – Cal. Gov’t Code § 12900 *et seq.*; the California Equal Pay Law – Cal. Lab. Code
7 § 1197.5; any other federal, state or local civil or human rights law or any other federal, state or local
8 law, regulation or ordinance; any public policy, contract, tort or common law; or; any basis for fees
9 or other expenses including attorneys’ fees incurred in these matters.

10 **LET JUDGMENT BE FORTHWITH ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12 Dated: _____

13
14 _____
15 HON. CHARLES S. TREAT
16 JUDGE, SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 2



Payroll Professional

[Home](#) [Logout](#) [Preferences](#) [Help](#)
Logged In As **6078711**

Payslip

Organization Email Address Samantha.Owens@fnf.comBusiness Group **US Fidelity National
Financial**

Pay Information

From Date To Date

(example: 06/27/2021) (example: 06/27/2021)

Choose a Payslip

Employee	Samantha Owens	Employer name	FNMS Management Services, Inc.
Job Title	N.Clerk.Purchasing.	Employer Phone Number	
Employee Number	6094813	Organization	FNT HW PURCHASING DEPT
Latest Hire Date	09/05/2016	Pay Calculation Method	
Original Hire Date	09/05/2016	Pay Basis	Hourly
Adjusted Service Date		Frequency	Day
Assignment Number	6094813	Shift	
Location	US CA CON 1850 STE 400	Bargaining Unit	
Position		Collective Agreement	
Payroll	FNF Bi-Weekly	Contract	
Employee Address	1704 clayton rd apt 306 Concord CA 94520	Grade	
		Employer Address	601 Riverside Avenue Tower Bldg Jacksonville FL 32204
		Employer BIN	

Pay Period and Salary

Assignment Number	Assignment Type	Pay Period	Payment Date	Pay Begin Date	Pay End Date	Pay Rate	Annual Salary
6094813	Primary	Bi-Week	04/28/2017	04/10/2017	04/23/2017	13.00	13.00

Summary

Current or YTD	Gross	Pre-Tax	Taxes	Deductions	Net Pay
Current	1,169.82	67.97	272.50	0.00	829.35
YTD	10,391.60	607.66	2,299.91	0.00	7,484.03

Hours and Earnings

Description	Start Date	End Date	Current Hours	Current Amount	YTD Hours	YTD Amount
Bonus Monthly				125.00		375.00
Regular Hours			79.98	1,039.74	672.38	8,740.94
Double Time Premium				0.00	4.00	52.00
Straight Time OT			0.26	3.38	7.34	95.42
Half Time OT			0.26	1.70	23.56	153.24
Sick Taken				0.00	35.00	455.00
Holiday				0.00	40.00	520.00

Rate Details

Pre-Tax Deductions

Description	Current	YTD
Vision	3.98	35.82
Dental	3.84	34.56
Aetna	25.06	225.54
FNF 401K Percent	35.09	311.74

Taxes

Description	Current	YTD
Federal Tax	146.20	1,223.15
Social Security	70.49	625.93
Medicare	16.49	146.39
CA State Tax	29.09	213.58
CA Disability	10.23	90.86

After-Tax Deductions

Description	Current	YTD
No results found.		

Accruals

Description	Current	Balance
Vacation	3.08	30.77
Sick	3.00	7.00
Personal Choice Holiday	0.00	16.00

Tax Withholding Information

Type	Marital Status	Exemptions	Secondary Exemptions	Additional Amount	Override Amount	Override Percentage
Federal	Single	0		0.00	0.00	0
California	Single/Married w/Two Incomes	0	0	0.00	0.00	0

EXHIBIT 3

Jenny Baysinger

From: DIR PAGA Unit <lwdadonotreply@dir.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2023 9:58 AM
To: Jenny Baysinger
Subject: Thank you for your Proposed Settlement Submission

09/26/2023 09:57:20 AM

Thank you for your submission to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency.

Item submitted: Proposed Settlement

If you have questions or concerns regarding this submission or your case, please send an email to pagainfo@dir.ca.gov.

DIR PAGA Unit on behalf of
Labor and Workforce Development Agency

Website:

https://nam12.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Flabor.ca.gov%2FPrivate_Attorneys_General_Act.htm&data=05%7C01%7Cjbaysinger%40mayallaw.com%7Ccfc5a4f40c164d3b8fef08dbbeb1ceb6%7C05d52ced3a0f41ca99a7a9f9e83f079b%7C0%7C0%7C638313443133599385%7CUnknown%7CTWfpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimc4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiv2luMzliLCJBtIl6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=UsPGxjFDRBS6yZc5iaHQG0FU6dV8gKEBqUiKp95b35A%3D&reserved=0

EXHIBIT 4

LAW OFFICES
MAYALL HURLEY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Voice (209) 477-3833
Facsimile (209) 473-4818

2453 GRAND CANAL BOULEVARD
SECOND FLOOR
STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA 95207-8253

Tax ID No. [REDACTED]

April 2, 2024

Invoice Number 0

SAMANTHA OWENS (CLASS ACTION)

In the matter of: OWENS V. FIDELITY - CONTINGENCY

Our file number: WAS 20301

For Professional Services Rendered

RJW	01/14/2021		4.25	4.25	878.00	3,731.50
01/14/2021	RJW	Emails with potential client Owens re claims. Reviewed wage statements. Research re Fidelity, prior lawsuits, etc.		4.25		\$3,731.50
RJW	01/22/2021		2.50	2.50	878.00	2,195.00
01/22/2021	RJW	Telephone conference with potential client Sam Owens. Research re claims.		2.50		\$2,195.00
RJW	01/25/2021		1.50	1.50	878.00	1,317.00
01/25/2021	RJW	Emails/telephone calls with Owens re facts/claims. Drafted legal services agreement, auths, etc.		1.50		\$1,317.00
RJW	01/28/2021		3.50	3.50	878.00	3,073.00
01/28/2021	RJW	Began drafting complaint. Research as necessary re claims.		3.50		\$3,073.00
RJW	01/29/2021		1.75	1.75	878.00	1,536.50
01/29/2021	RJW	Completed first draft of complaint. Prepared exhibits. Emails with Baysinger re facts/claims/strategy.		1.75		\$1,536.50
RJW	02/01/2021		1.25	1.25	878.00	1,097.50
02/01/2021	RJW	Reviewed / approved Baysinger's comments to complaint. Conferences with Owens and Baysinger re facts, claims, strategy, complaint.		1.25		\$1,097.50
RJW	02/01/2021		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
02/01/2021	RJW	Drafted records request letters.		0.50		\$439.00
RJW	02/01/2021		1.75	1.75	878.00	1,536.50
02/01/2021	RJW	Drafted LWDA letter. Research as necessary.		1.75		\$1,536.50
JDE	02/01/2021		1.00	1.00	878.00	878.00
02/01/2021	JDE	Review and edit initial complaint.		1.00		\$878.00
RJW	02/02/2021		1.00	1.00	878.00	878.00
02/02/2021	RJW	Finalized Owens complaint.		1.00		\$878.00
JDE	02/02/2021		0.80	0.80	878.00	702.40
02/02/2021	JDE	Review and edit LWDA letter, finalize for mailing, and submit online to LWDA.; Owens v. Fidelity		0.80		\$702.40
RJW	02/04/2021		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00

02/04/2021	RJW	Telephone conference with client re complaint. Prepared for filing.	0.50		\$439.00
JDE	02/05/2021		0.80	0.80	878.00
02/05/2021	JDE	Prepare civil case cover sheet, summons, and finalize complaint for submission to Court. Prepare mailing to Contra Costa County Superior Court.	0.80		\$702.40
RJW	02/12/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
02/12/2021	RJW	Emails from OPC re status.	0.20		\$175.60
JDE	02/12/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
02/12/2021	JDE	Receipt and review of correspondence from Fidelity in-house counsel and respond.	0.20		\$175.60
RJW	02/26/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
02/26/2021	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re status / strategy.	0.20		\$175.60
RJW	03/02/2021		0.75	0.75	878.00
03/02/2021	RJW	Research re in-house counsel. Reviewed file.	0.75		\$658.50
JDE	03/02/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
03/02/2021	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding teleconference scheduling.	0.20		\$175.60
RJW	03/03/2021		0.35	0.35	878.00
03/03/2021	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re facts / status / strategy.	0.35		\$307.30
RJW	04/08/2021		1.00	1.00	878.00
04/08/2021	RJW	Began revision / editing of complaint.	1.00		\$878.00
RJW	04/09/2021		2.00	2.00	878.00
04/09/2021	RJW	Completed revision/editing/filing of complaint. Determined filing status / problems with mailing. Conference with Baysinger re facts, claims, strateg.	2.00		\$1,756.00
JDE	04/09/2021		0.80	0.80	878.00
04/09/2021	JDE	Review new complaint with PAGA allegations, finalize, and prepare for filing.	0.80		\$702.40
RJW	04/14/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
04/14/2021	RJW	Emails with valpro re service.	0.20		\$175.60
RJW	05/11/2021		0.25	0.25	878.00
05/11/2021	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re status / strategy.	0.25		\$219.50
RJW	05/19/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
05/19/2021	RJW	Emails with OPC re extension	0.20		\$175.60
JDE	05/19/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
05/19/2021	JDE	Telephone conference with Curt Graham regarding deadline to answer.	0.20		\$175.60
RJW	06/01/2021		1.00	1.00	878.00
06/01/2021	RJW	Reviewed Answer. Emails with OPC Re e-service	1.00		\$878.00
RJW	06/09/2021		0.25	0.25	878.00
06/09/2021	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re facts, claims, strategy.	0.25		\$219.50
RJW	06/11/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
06/11/2021	RJW	Emails with OPC re status.	0.20		\$175.60
JDE	06/11/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
06/11/2021	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding possible mediation and.	0.20		\$175.60
RJW	06/14/2021		0.35	0.35	878.00
06/14/2021	RJW	Emails with co-counsel re conference call / pre-lit docs. Set for	0.35		\$307.30

JDE	06/14/2021		0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
06/14/2021	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding outstanding personnel and payroll documents and phone conference scheduling.		0.30		\$263.40
JDE	06/15/2021		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
06/15/2021	JDE	Telephone conference with Curt Graham regarding claims and ADR.		0.50		\$439.00
RJW	06/29/2021		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
06/29/2021	RJW	Emails with OPC re pre-settlement negotiations data/docs. Conference with Baysinger re strategy.		0.50		\$439.00
JDE	06/29/2021		0.80	0.80	878.00	702.40
06/29/2021	JDE	Review file and prepare list of initial information/data necessary to evaluate case and engage in settlement discussions.		0.80		\$702.40
RJW	07/01/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
07/01/2021	RJW	Emails with OPC re data / docs		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	07/01/2021		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
07/01/2021	RJW	Drafted CMC and jury fee deposit		0.50		\$439.00
RJW	07/14/2021		0.35	0.35	878.00	307.30
07/14/2021	RJW	Emails with Owens / telephone conference with Baysinger about facts / call from OPC		0.35		\$307.30
JDE	07/14/2021		0.40	0.40	878.00	351.20
07/14/2021	JDE	Telephone conference with Curt Graham regarding claims.		0.40		\$351.20
JDE	07/14/2021		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
07/14/2021	JDE	Telephone conference with client regarding re-hire on temporary basis at Fidelity.		0.50		\$439.00
JDE	07/20/2021		0.60	0.60	878.00	526.80
07/20/2021	JDE	Appear for initial CMC		0.60		\$526.80
JDE	07/20/2021		0.80	0.80	878.00	702.40
07/20/2021	JDE	Review personnel file/payroll records provided by OPC.		0.80		\$702.40
RJW	08/19/2021		1.50	1.50	878.00	1,317.00
08/19/2021	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re status / strategy. Reviewed EFI, FI, SI, RFP, and RFA to Fidelity.		1.50		\$1,317.00
JDE	08/19/2021		6.80	6.80	878.00	5,970.40
08/19/2021	JDE	Prepare initial written discovery requests (SI, RFA, RFPD, FIG and FIE).		6.80		\$5,970.40
JDE	08/19/2021		0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
08/19/2021	JDE	Communicate with client regarding employment status and return to work, and status and strategy of litigation.		0.30		\$263.40
RJW	09/17/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
09/17/2021	RJW	Emails with OPC re discovery extension		0.20		\$175.60
JDE	09/17/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
09/17/2021	JDE	Communicate with OPC and authorize 3 week extension to respond to discovery.		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	10/11/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
10/11/2021	RJW	Emails with OPC re discovery		0.20		\$175.60
JDE	10/11/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
10/11/2021	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding discovery.		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	10/15/2021		0.75	0.75	878.00	658.50

10/15/2021	RJW	Reviewed Fidelity's CMC and Notice of Change of Counsel. Research re new counsel.	0.75		\$658.50
RJW	10/20/2021		0.25	0.25	878.00
10/20/2021	RJW	Emails with Baysinger/staff re CMC, e-filing, etc.	0.25		\$219.50
JDE	10/20/2021		0.40	0.40	878.00
10/20/2021	JDE	Appear for CMC via Courtcall	0.40		\$351.20
RJW	10/26/2021		1.00	1.00	878.00
10/26/2021	RJW	Reviewed SI, RFP, and NOD from OPC	1.00		\$878.00
JDE	10/26/2021		0.10	0.10	878.00
10/26/2021	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding discovery extension.	0.10		\$87.80
RJW	11/03/2021		1.50	1.50	878.00
11/03/2021	RJW	Reviewed Fidelity's Responses to FI, EFI, SI, RFA, and RFP	1.50		\$1,317.00
JDE	11/03/2021		2.10	2.10	878.00
11/03/2021	JDE	Review discovery requests and identify deficient responses. Begin outlining meet and confer letter.	2.10		\$1,843.80
JDE	11/23/2021		3.20	3.20	878.00
11/23/2021	JDE	Review documents provided by Fidelity and identify those relevant/helpful to prove claims.	3.20		\$2,809.60
JDE	11/29/2021		4.20	4.20	878.00
11/29/2021	JDE	Finalize meet and confer letter and serve.	4.20		\$3,687.60
JDE	12/10/2021		4.20	4.20	878.00
12/10/2021	JDE	Finalize discovery responses, organize documents and produce.	4.20		\$3,687.60
JDE	12/14/2021		1.00	1.00	878.00
12/14/2021	JDE	Review file and pre-deposition prep meeting with client.	1.00		\$878.00
RJW	12/15/2021		0.20	0.20	878.00
12/15/2021	RJW	Emails with OPC re depo	0.20		\$175.60
JDE	12/15/2021		0.40	0.40	878.00
12/15/2021	JDE	Communicate with client regarding deposition rescheduling.	0.40		\$351.20
RJW	12/16/2021		0.35	0.35	878.00
12/16/2021	RJW	Emails with OPC re discovery / plaintiff's depo	0.35		\$307.30
JDE	12/16/2021		0.50	0.50	878.00
12/16/2021	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding MTC deadlines and rescheduling plaintiff's deposition.	0.50		\$439.00
JDE	12/20/2021		0.30	0.30	878.00
12/20/2021	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding discovery issues and meet and confer conference scheduling.	0.30		\$263.40
JDE	01/13/2022		0.80	0.80	878.00
01/13/2022	JDE	Review file to determine pleading/CMC requirements and draft CMC statement.	0.80		\$702.40
JDE	01/14/2022		0.30	0.30	878.00
01/14/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding upcoming CMC and attempt to determine CMC filing status.	0.30		\$263.40
JDE	01/19/2022		0.30	0.30	878.00
01/19/2022	JDE	Appear for CMC via Courtcall	0.30		\$263.40
JDE	01/21/2022		0.40	0.40	878.00
01/21/2022	JDE	Prepare notice of further CMC and communicate with OPC regarding 1/19 CMC.	0.40		\$351.20

RJW	02/02/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/02/2022	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re status.	0.20	\$175.60	
JDE	02/16/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/16/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding status of supplemental responses and intention to file MTC.	0.20	\$175.60	
JDE	02/22/2022	3.50	3.50	878.00	3,073.00
02/22/2022	JDE	Begin preparing separate statement in anticipation of need to file MTC.	3.50	\$3,073.00	
JDE	02/23/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/23/2022	JDE	Compose further meet and confer email to OPC regarding outstanding discovery.	0.20	\$175.60	
JDE	02/24/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/24/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding final extension to provide supplemental responses and associated MTC deadline.	0.20	\$175.60	
JDE	02/24/2022	2.10	2.10	878.00	1,843.80
02/24/2022	JDE	Continue working on separate statement outline for MTCs.	2.10	\$1,843.80	
JDE	03/18/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/18/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding status of discovery and further extension.	0.20	\$175.60	
RJW	03/28/2022	1.00	1.00	878.00	878.00
03/28/2022	RJW	Reviewed Fidelity's Supp responses.	1.00	\$878.00	
JDE	03/28/2022	0.40	0.40	878.00	351.20
03/28/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding outstanding discovery issues.	0.40	\$351.20	
JDE	04/04/2022	2.50	2.50	878.00	2,195.00
04/04/2022	JDE	Begun drafting MTC regarding RFP and SI.	2.50	\$2,195.00	
JDE	04/05/2022	0.90	0.90	878.00	790.20
04/05/2022	JDE	Prepare draft Joint CMC Statement and provide to OPC for review.	0.90	\$790.20	
JDE	04/08/2022	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
04/08/2022	JDE	Telephone conference with OPC regarding discovery issues and prepare email summarizing discussion.	0.50	\$439.00	
JDE	04/20/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
04/20/2022	JDE	Appear for CMC via Courtcall.	0.20	\$175.60	
JDE	05/05/2022	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
05/05/2022	JDE	Prepare further meet and confer to OPC regarding outstanding discovery responses.	0.50	\$439.00	
RJW	05/06/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
05/06/2022	RJW	Emails with OPC Re discovery, class cert, status	0.20	\$175.60	
RJW	05/10/2022	1.50	1.50	878.00	1,317.00
05/10/2022	RJW	Reviewed Supp Disc Responses.	1.50	\$1,317.00	
JDE	05/10/2022	1.50	1.50	878.00	1,317.00
05/10/2022	JDE	Review supplemental discovery responses, evaluate, and reach out to OPC regarding mediation/resolution.	1.50	\$1,317.00	
JDE	05/18/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
05/18/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding potential mediation.	0.20	\$175.60	
JDE	05/24/2022	0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
05/24/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding mediation and obtain Judge Pate's availability.	0.30	\$263.40	

RJW	06/21/2022	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
06/21/2022	RJW	Emails with Mediator / OPC re mediation.		0.50	\$439.00
JDE	07/13/2022	0.60	0.60	878.00	526.80
07/13/2022	JDE	Review file and draft stipulation to postpone class cert motion deadline until after mediation.		0.60	\$526.80
RJW	09/09/2022	0.10	0.10	878.00	87.80
09/09/2022	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re status		0.10	\$87.80
JDE	09/09/2022	0.80	0.80	878.00	702.40
09/09/2022	JDE	Appear for CMC via Zoom.		0.80	\$702.40
JDE	09/09/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
09/09/2022	JDE	Prepare notice of further CMC.		0.20	\$175.60
JDE	09/13/2022	1.20	1.20	878.00	1,053.60
09/13/2022	JDE	Review discovery requests and evaluate information needed for mediation. Prepare email to OPC regarding information that remains outstanding.		1.20	\$1,053.60
JDE	09/15/2022	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
09/15/2022	JDE	Zoom conference with Dominique Thomas regarding pre-mediation discovery.		0.50	\$439.00
JDE	09/22/2022	0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
09/22/2022	JDE	Review correspondence from Thomas regarding data requested and prepare response.		0.30	\$263.40
RJW	09/23/2022	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
09/23/2022	RJW	Reviewed / approved Expert retainer		0.50	\$439.00
JDE	10/03/2022	0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
10/03/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding status of pre-mediation production.		0.30	\$263.40
RJW	10/17/2022	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
10/17/2022	RJW	Emails with Baysinger / Expert re calcs, docs, etc.		0.50	\$439.00
JDE	10/17/2022	0.60	0.60	878.00	526.80
10/17/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC and mediator's office regarding postponing mediation due to OPC's failure to respond/provide pre-mediation data.		0.60	\$526.80
JDE	10/17/2022	0.80	0.80	878.00	702.40
10/17/2022	JDE	Review data and provide limited data we have regarding Plaintiff to expert for evaluation.		0.80	\$702.40
JDE	10/17/2022	0.80	0.80	878.00	702.40
10/17/2022	JDE	Multiple communications with OPC regarding outstanding data and inability to proceed to mediation. Coordinate cancellation.		0.80	\$702.40
RJW	10/18/2022	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
10/18/2022	RJW	Emails with OPC re data / status. Conference with Baysinger re status		0.50	\$439.00
JDE	10/18/2022	0.60	0.60	878.00	526.80
10/18/2022	JDE	Review documents and communicate with expert regarding pre-mediation analysis.		0.60	\$526.80
RJW	10/19/2022	0.35	0.35	878.00	307.30
10/19/2022	RJW	Emails with OPC and mediator re rescheduling		0.35	\$307.30
RJW	10/19/2022	0.35	0.35	878.00	307.30
10/19/2022	RJW	Emails with OPC and Expert re data / mediation		0.35	\$307.30

JDE	10/19/2022	0.80	0.80	878.00	702.40
10/19/2022	JDE	Telephone conference with Dominique Thomas, review file, and compose email detailing scope of records needed with backup as to justifications based on complaint allegations.		0.80	\$702.40
RJW	10/20/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
10/20/2022	RJW	Emails with OPC and mediator re new dates		0.20	\$175.60
JDE	10/20/2022	2.00	2.00	878.00	1,756.00
10/20/2022	JDE	Review and evaluate workbook provided by Melissa.		2.00	\$1,756.00
RJW	10/27/2022	0.35	0.35	878.00	307.30
10/27/2022	RJW	Emails with OPC and mediator re rescheduled mediation		0.35	\$307.30
RJW	10/28/2022	0.25	0.25	878.00	219.50
10/28/2022	RJW	Emails with mediator re mediation / status		0.25	\$219.50
RJW	10/31/2022	0.35	0.35	878.00	307.30
10/31/2022	RJW	Emails from Mediator re scheduling, fees, etc.		0.35	\$307.30
RJW	11/14/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
11/14/2022	RJW	Emails with OPC re pre-mediation data, sampling, status		0.20	\$175.60
JDE	11/14/2022	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
11/14/2022	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding status of data production and data points.		0.20	\$175.60
RJW	11/22/2022	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
11/22/2022	RJW	Emails with OPC re Joint CMC. Reviewed the same.		0.50	\$439.00
JDE	11/22/2022	0.60	0.60	878.00	526.80
11/22/2022	JDE	Prepare updated draft Joint CMC Statement.		0.60	\$526.80
JDE	11/28/2022	0.70	0.70	878.00	614.60
11/28/2022	JDE	Appear for CMC via Zoom.		0.70	\$614.60
RJW	12/29/2022	0.25	0.25	878.00	219.50
12/29/2022	RJW	Emails with OPC / Baysinger re status.		0.25	\$219.50
JDE	12/29/2022	0.40	0.40	878.00	351.20
12/29/2022	JDE	Review email from Curt Graham regarding sampling and prepare response outlining 4 year scope is appropriate.		0.40	\$351.20
RJW	01/12/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
01/12/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re status		0.20	\$175.60
JDE	01/12/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
01/12/2023	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding status of pre-mediation production.		0.20	\$175.60
RJW	01/13/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
01/13/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re status.		0.20	\$175.60
JDE	01/13/2023	0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
01/13/2023	JDE	Telephone call with Curt Graham regarding status of pre-mediation production.		0.30	\$263.40
RJW	01/18/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
01/18/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re status.		0.20	\$175.60
RJW	01/19/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
01/19/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re status		0.20	\$175.60
JDE	01/19/2023	0.80	0.80	878.00	702.40
01/19/2023	JDE	Telephone conference with Curt Graham regarding pre-mediation data.		0.80	\$702.40

RJW	02/01/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/01/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re mediation	0.20	\$175.60	
JDE	02/01/2023	0.40	0.40	878.00	351.20
02/01/2023	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding mediation rescheduling issues.	0.40	\$351.20	
RJW	02/02/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/02/2023	RJW	Emails re mediation	0.20	\$175.60	
RJW	02/02/2023	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
02/02/2023	RJW	Correspondence from mediator. Reviewed set up docs.	0.50	\$439.00	
RJW	03/01/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/01/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re status.	0.20	\$175.60	
RJW	03/02/2023	0.35	0.35	878.00	307.30
03/02/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re status / Conference with Baysinger re same.	0.35	\$307.30	
JDE	03/02/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/02/2023	JDE	Telephone conference with Curt Graham regarding status of sampling.	0.20	\$175.60	
RJW	03/07/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/07/2023	RJW	Emails re CMC	0.20	\$175.60	
JDE	03/13/2023	0.60	0.60	878.00	526.80
03/13/2023	JDE	Appear for further CMC via Zoom.	0.60	\$526.80	
RJW	03/14/2023	0.10	0.10	878.00	87.80
03/14/2023	RJW	Emails re CMC	0.10	\$87.80	
RJW	03/15/2023	0.25	0.25	878.00	219.50
03/15/2023	RJW	Emails with Expert re data / status.	0.25	\$219.50	
JDE	03/15/2023	0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
03/15/2023	JDE	Prepare email to expert transmitting sampling and numerical data and follow-up with OPC to confirm accuracy of numerical information.	0.30	\$263.40	
JDE	03/15/2023	2.50	2.50	878.00	2,195.00
03/15/2023	JDE	Review sampling data and numerical information provided pre-mediation.	2.50	\$2,195.00	
RJW	03/17/2023	0.25	0.25	878.00	219.50
03/17/2023	RJW	Emails with re CMC, etc.	0.25	\$219.50	
RJW	03/22/2023	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
03/22/2023	RJW	Telephone conference with Expert re facts, claims, calcs, assignment.	0.50	\$439.00	
JDE	03/22/2023	0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
03/22/2023	JDE	Pre-mediation call with expert regarding analysis and scope of claims.	0.30	\$263.40	
JDE	03/24/2023	1.80	1.80	878.00	1,580.40
03/24/2023	JDE	Identify any and all meal period violations in Plaintiff's data and calculate OT damages relating directly to Plaintiff.	1.80	\$1,580.40	
JDE	03/27/2023	2.00	2.00	878.00	1,756.00
03/27/2023	JDE	Review OT and bonus data provided by OPC.	2.00	\$1,756.00	
JDE	04/05/2023	0.10	0.10	878.00	87.80
04/05/2023	JDE	Communicate with expert regarding status of analysis.	0.10	\$87.80	
JDE	04/12/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
04/12/2023	JDE	Telephone conference with Melissa Daniel.	0.20	\$175.60	

RJW	04/13/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
04/13/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re mediation / data		0.20	\$175.60
JDE	04/13/2023	0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
04/13/2023	JDE	Follow-up with OPC regarding missing pre-mediation data and to confirm numerical data points.		0.30	\$263.40
RJW	04/17/2023	0.35	0.35	878.00	307.30
04/17/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re pre-mediation data.		0.35	\$307.30
RJW	04/28/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
04/28/2023	RJW	Emails with Mediator re status		0.20	\$175.60
JDE	05/02/2023	0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
05/02/2023	JDE	Telephone conference with expert pre-mediation.		0.30	\$263.40
JDE	05/02/2023	3.60	3.60	878.00	3,160.80
05/02/2023	JDE	Review damages analysis workbook provided by expert and conduct spot-check calculations of Plaintiff's damages. Review and evaluate minimum wage claim.		3.60	\$3,160.80
RJW	05/03/2023	1.50	1.50	878.00	1,317.00
05/03/2023	RJW	Reviewed Mediation Brief. Conference with Baysinger re damages / strategy.		1.50	\$1,317.00
JDE	05/03/2023	4.00	4.00	878.00	3,512.00
05/03/2023	JDE	Prepare mediation brief with exhibits.		4.00	\$3,512.00
RJW	05/04/2023	3.00	3.00	878.00	2,634.00
05/04/2023	RJW	Prepared for mediation.		3.00	\$2,634.00
RJW	05/04/2023	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
05/04/2023	RJW	Emails with mediator and OPC re mediation, final numbers, etc...		0.50	\$439.00
JDE	05/04/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
05/04/2023	JDE	Provide copy of mediation brief to OPC and advise of revised maximum exposure in light of former employee number.		0.20	\$175.60
RJW	05/05/2023	9.00	9.00	878.00	7,902.00
05/05/2023	RJW	Prepared for / participated in mediation		9.00	\$7,902.00
JDE	05/05/2023	8.40	8.40	878.00	7,375.20
05/05/2023	JDE	Appear for Zoom mediation.		8.40	\$7,375.20
RJW	05/08/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
05/08/2023	RJW	Emails with Admin		0.20	\$175.60
RJW	05/08/2023	1.00	1.00	878.00	878.00
05/08/2023	RJW	Reviewed SI-2. Conference with Baysing re same / strategy		1.00	\$878.00
JDE	05/08/2023	2.20	2.20	878.00	1,931.60
05/08/2023	JDE	Review prior discovery and draft SI2 and RFP2. Confer with administrator regarding Dillard settlement and Owens' participation to determine which class(es) she was actually in.		2.20	\$1,931.60
JDE	05/10/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
05/10/2023	JDE	Communicate with expert and provide post-mediation status and discuss next steps.		0.20	\$175.60
RJW	05/11/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
05/11/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re discovery / depositions		0.20	\$175.60
RJW	05/11/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
05/11/2023	RJW	Emails with Mediator re status		0.20	\$175.60
RJW	05/11/2023	0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60

05/11/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re discovery / M&C	0.20			\$175.60
JDE	05/11/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
05/11/2023	JDE	Review proposed correspondence to mediator and confer with RJW.	0.20			\$175.60
RJW	05/18/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
05/18/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re discovery extensions.	0.20			\$175.60
RJW	05/30/2023		0.25	0.25	878.00	219.50
05/30/2023	RJW	Emails with mediator re status / negotiations.	0.25			\$219.50
RJW	06/05/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
06/05/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re discovery extension / depo dates.	0.20			\$175.60
JDE	06/09/2023		0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
06/09/2023	JDE	Communicate with client regarding status of case and deposition scheduling.	0.30			\$263.40
RJW	06/13/2023		0.35	0.35	878.00	307.30
06/13/2023	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re call with OPC. Emails with Mediator re status. Conference with Baysinger re strategy.	0.35			\$307.30
JDE	06/13/2023		0.60	0.60	878.00	526.80
06/13/2023	JDE	Telephone conference with Curt Graham regarding status, ongoing discovery, and settlement issues.	0.60			\$526.80
JDE	06/13/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
06/13/2023	JDE	Reach out to mediator regarding ongoing settlement discussions.	0.20			\$175.60
RJW	06/22/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
06/22/2023	RJW	Emails with Mediator re status / possible proposals	0.20			\$175.60
RJW	06/23/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
06/23/2023	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re status / mediator's proposal. Emails with Mediator re same.	0.50			\$439.00
JDE	06/23/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
06/23/2023	JDE	Communicate with mediator regarding potential proposal and confer with RJW on next steps.	0.50			\$439.00
RJW	06/26/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
06/26/2023	RJW	Emails with Mediator re status / conference with Baysinger re strategy	0.50			\$439.00
JDE	06/26/2023		2.80	2.80	878.00	2,458.40
06/26/2023	JDE	Prepare updated meet and confer letter. Review file, correspondence, and scope of information provided to date.	2.80			\$2,458.40
RJW	06/27/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
06/27/2023	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re analysis, strategy, conference with OPC. Emails with Mediator re same.	0.50			\$439.00
JDE	06/27/2023		0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
06/27/2023	JDE	Communicate with client regarding residence and deposition location. Provide update on status of settlement and ongoing efforts to resolve.	0.30			\$263.40
JDE	06/27/2023		1.10	1.10	878.00	965.80
06/27/2023	JDE	Telephone conference with Curt Graham.	1.10			\$965.80
JDE	06/28/2023		0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
06/28/2023	JDE	Telephone conference with client regarding potential resolution and damages targets.	0.30			\$263.40
RJW	06/30/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00

06/30/2023	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re strategy. Emails with mediator re negotiations / proposal. Emails with OPC re settlement.	0.50		\$439.00
JDE	06/30/2023		0.40	0.40	878.00
					351.20
06/30/2023	JDE	Communicate with mediator regarding potential resolution. Prepare LBF demand at \$300K and send to Graham.	0.40		\$351.20
RJW	07/07/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00
					175.60
07/07/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re status	0.20		\$175.60
RJW	07/11/2023		0.25	0.25	878.00
					219.50
07/11/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re settlement / status.	0.25		\$219.50
JDE	07/11/2023		1.50	1.50	878.00
					1,317.00
07/11/2023	JDE	Reach out to OPC to advise of order and also advise of additional wage statement problem arising out of Straight Time OT and Half Time OT not matching. Compose multiple emails with citations to documents produced and legal authority.	1.50		\$1,317.00
JDE	07/11/2023		3.20	3.20	878.00
					2,809.60
07/11/2023	JDE	Review wage statements and time records and further compare and evaluate claims. Review recent order grantinf cert on similar wage statement theory.	3.20		\$2,809.60
RJW	07/13/2023		0.75	0.75	878.00
					658.50
07/13/2023	RJW	Emails with Mediator re status / proposal. Conference with Baysinger re strategy.	0.75		\$658.50
RJW	07/13/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00
					439.00
07/13/2023	RJW	Emails with Mediator re proposal. Conference with Baysinger re strategy.	0.50		\$439.00
RJW	07/15/2023		0.25	0.25	878.00
					219.50
07/15/2023	RJW	Emails with Mediator re proposal	0.25		\$219.50
RJW	07/20/2023		0.25	0.25	878.00
					219.50
07/20/2023	RJW	Emails with mediator / OPC re mutual acceptance of mediator's proposal.	0.25		\$219.50
JDE	07/21/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00
					439.00
07/21/2023	JDE	Appear for CMC/status conference via Zoom and advise court of settlement.	0.50		\$439.00
RJW	07/24/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00
					439.00
07/24/2023	RJW	Emails with Administrators re bids.	0.50		\$439.00
RJW	08/01/2023		1.00	1.00	878.00
					878.00
08/01/2023	RJW	Began reviewing / editing Settlement Agreement	1.00		\$878.00
RJW	08/01/2023		0.25	0.25	878.00
					219.50
08/01/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re settlement terms	0.25		\$219.50
JDE	08/01/2023		1.50	1.50	878.00
					1,317.00
08/01/2023	JDE	Draft long form settlement agreement.	1.50		\$1,317.00
RJW	08/02/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00
					439.00
08/02/2023	RJW	Completed review/ editing of Settlement Agreement. Conference with Baysinger re same.	0.50		\$439.00
RJW	08/02/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00
					175.60
08/02/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re settlement agreement.	0.20		\$175.60
RJW	08/14/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00
					175.60
08/14/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re status.	0.20		\$175.60
RJW	08/17/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00
					175.60

08/17/2023	RJW	Emails with OPC re status.			0.20	\$175.60
JDE	08/24/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
08/24/2023	JDE	Telephone conference with Curt Graham regarding settlement agreement.			0.50	\$439.00
JDE	08/28/2023		1.00	1.00	878.00	878.00
08/28/2023	JDE	Review draft long-form from Graham and make necessary edits.			1.00	\$878.00
RJW	08/29/2023		1.50	1.50	878.00	1,317.00
08/29/2023	RJW	Reviewed / revised / edited settlement agreement. Conference with Baysinger re same.			1.50	\$1,317.00
RJW	09/05/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
09/05/2023	RJW	Reviewed Baysinger and OPC's changes to settlement agreement. Conference with Baysinger re strategy.			0.50	\$439.00
JDE	09/05/2023		1.20	1.20	878.00	1,053.60
09/05/2023	JDE	Communicate with OPC regarding class settlement agreement terms and draft class notice.			1.20	\$1,053.60
JDE	09/08/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
09/08/2023	JDE	Review class notice and additional SA edits from OPC, finalize agreement and class notice.			0.50	\$439.00
RJW	09/14/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
09/14/2023	RJW	Reviewed change of counsel.			0.20	\$175.60
JDE	09/15/2023		4.50	4.50	878.00	3,951.00
09/15/2023	JDE	Begin working on declaration in support of motion for preliminary approval.			4.50	\$3,951.00
JDE	09/25/2023		4.00	4.00	878.00	3,512.00
09/25/2023	JDE	Continue working on declaration in support of preliminary approval.			4.00	\$3,512.00
RJW	09/26/2023		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
09/26/2023	RJW	Email with Admin re status			0.20	\$175.60
JDE	09/26/2023		5.20	5.20	878.00	4,565.60
09/26/2023	JDE	Begin drafting MPA in support of preliminary approval.			5.20	\$4,565.60
RJW	09/27/2023		3.50	3.50	878.00	3,073.00
09/27/2023	RJW	Reviewing / revising / editing Baysinger dec ISO Motion for Preliminary Approval. Reviewed mediation notes, brief, analysis, etc.			3.50	\$3,073.00
JDE	09/28/2023		3.50	3.50	878.00	3,073.00
09/28/2023	JDE	Finalize motion for preliminary approval of settlement and provide draft to OPC.			3.50	\$3,073.00
JDE	10/02/2023		3.50	3.50	878.00	3,073.00
10/02/2023	JDE	Finalize draft preliminary approval motion documents and send to OPC.			3.50	\$3,073.00
RJW	10/17/2023		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
10/17/2023	RJW	Reviewed / approved amended notice			0.50	\$439.00
RJW	01/11/2024		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
01/11/2024	RJW	Reviewed tentative re preliminary approval. Emails with OPC re same / status.			0.50	\$439.00
JDE	01/11/2024		0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
01/11/2024	JDE	Review and evaluate court's tentative and communicate with OPC.			0.30	\$263.40
JDE	01/11/2024		1.50	1.50	878.00	1,317.00

01/11/2024	JDE	Revise proposed order to incorporate tentative, revise class notice, and prepare declaration requested by court regarding cy pres.	1.50	\$1,317.00		
RJW	01/18/2024		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
01/18/2024	RJW	Reviewed Order Granting Preliminary Approval		0.50		\$439.00
RJW	01/18/2024		0.25	0.25	878.00	219.50
01/18/2024	RJW	Emails with Admin re status		0.25		\$219.50
JDE	01/18/2024		0.30	0.30	878.00	263.40
01/18/2024	JDE	Review formal PA order and provide it and class notice to administrator to initiate administration process.		0.30		\$263.40
RJW	01/19/2024		0.25	0.25	878.00	219.50
01/19/2024	RJW	Emails from Admin re timeline		0.25		\$219.50
RJW	01/26/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
01/26/2024	RJW	Emails with Admin re status		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	01/30/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
01/30/2024	RJW	Emails with Admin/OPC re status		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	01/31/2024		0.25	0.25	878.00	219.50
01/31/2024	RJW	Emails with Admin / OPC re Class List		0.25		\$219.50
RJW	02/01/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/01/2024	RJW	Emails with Admin re Class List.		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	02/08/2024		0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
02/08/2024	RJW	Emails with OPC and Admin re mailing. Reviewed mailing / calcs.		0.50		\$439.00
RJW	02/09/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/09/2024	RJW	Emails with Admin re status / mailing		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	02/13/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/13/2024	RJW	Emails with Admins re status		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	02/23/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
02/23/2024	RJW	Weekly Report		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	03/01/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/01/2024	RJW	Weekly report		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	03/08/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/08/2024	RJW	Weekly Report		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	03/15/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/15/2024	RJW	Weekly Report		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	03/18/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/18/2024	RJW	Telephone conference with CM re status / mailing		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	03/22/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/22/2024	RJW	Weekly report		0.20		\$175.60
JDE	03/28/2024		5.40	5.40	878.00	4,741.20
03/28/2024	JDE	Draft JDB Dec in support of final approval and fee motions and begin drafting MPAs.		5.40		\$4,741.20
RJW	03/29/2024		0.20	0.20	878.00	175.60
03/29/2024	RJW	Weekly report		0.20		\$175.60
RJW	03/29/2024		0.25	0.25	878.00	219.50
03/29/2024	RJW	Emails with Admin re dec / status		0.25		\$219.50
JDE	03/29/2024		4.00	4.00	878.00	3,512.00
03/29/2024	JDE	Continue working on fee and final approval motions.		4.00		\$3,512.00

RJW	04/01/2024	0.50	0.50	878.00	439.00
04/01/2024	RJW	Reviewed Admin dec. Emails re same.		0.50	\$439.00
JDE	04/01/2024	7.20	7.20	878.00	6,321.60
04/01/2024	JDE	Finalize motion for final approval and motion for fees for filing 4/2.		7.20	\$6,321.60

Total professional services:**\$197,023.20****Expenses**

C001	02/02/2021	\$75.00	
02/02/2021	Clerk/Court Filing Fees : STATE/LWDAcc		\$75.00
C001	02/04/2021	\$435.00	
Invoice # WAS-20301			
02/04/2021	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT; Invoice # WAS-20301; Clerk/Court Filing Fees		\$435.00
C001	04/13/2021	\$1,615.86	
04/13/2021	Clerk/Court Filing Fees : RAPIDLEGAL#4440196cc		\$1,615.86
E113	04/21/2021	\$90.00	
Invoice # jebay.36047			
04/21/2021	VALPRO ATTORNEY SERVICES; Invoice # jebay.36047; Subpoena/Processor Fees		\$90.00
C021	06/22/2021	\$94.00	
06/22/2021	Telephonic Court : COURTCALL; Ref# 11261866cc		\$94.00
C009	07/01/2021	\$25.84	
07/01/2021	Messenger/Delivery Fees : FEDEX		\$25.84
C016	07/01/2021	\$150.00	
Invoice # WAS-20301			
07/01/2021	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT; Invoice # WAS-20301; Jury Fees/Deposit		\$150.00
C006	12/31/2021	\$80.00	
Invoice # 202112063569801			
12/31/2021	FILE & SERVEXPRESS, LLC; Invoice # 202112063569801; Process/Court Server Fees		\$80.00
C021	01/13/2022	\$94.00	
01/13/2022	Telephonic Court : COURTCALL; Ref# 11429526cc		\$94.00
C006	01/31/2022	\$138.00	
Invoice # 202201063569801			
01/31/2022	FILE & SERVEXPRESS, LLC; Invoice # 202201063569801; Process/Court Server Fees		\$138.00
C006	02/28/2022	\$80.00	
Invoice # 202202063569801			
02/28/2022	FILE & SERVEXPRESS, LLC; Invoice # 202202063569801; Process/Court Server Fees		\$80.00
C021	04/19/2022	\$94.00	
04/19/2022	Telephonic Court : COURTCALL; Ref# 11500517cc		\$94.00
C006	05/10/2022	\$109.00	
Invoice # 202204063569801			
05/10/2022	FILE & SERVEXPRESS, LLC; Invoice # 202204063569801; Process/Court Server Fees		\$109.00
C006	05/20/2022	\$40.00	

Invoice # 202203063569801		
05/20/2022	FILE & SERVEXPRESS, LLC; Invoice # 202203063569801; Process/Court Server Fees	\$40.00
C020	06/21/2022	\$7,450.00
Invoice # WAS-20301		
06/21/2022	SIGNATURE RESOLUTION, LLC; Invoice # WAS-20301; Mediation Fee	\$7,450.00
C001	08/31/2022	-\$435.00
Invoice # WAS-20301		
08/31/2022	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT; Invoice # WAS-20301; Clerk/Court Filing Fees	-\$435.00
E119	11/09/2022	\$2,223.00
Invoice # 22680		
11/09/2022	ECON ONE RESEARCH, INC.; Invoice # 22680; Experts	\$2,223.00
C001	11/22/2022	\$16.30
11/22/2022	Clerk/Court Filing Fees : RAPIDLEGAL#6746133-01cc	\$16.30
C001	11/23/2022	\$93.15
11/23/2022	Clerk/Court Filing Fees : RAPIDLEGAL#6746133-02cc	\$93.15
C001	04/11/2023	\$16.30
04/11/2023	Clerk/Court Filing Fees : RAPIDLEGAL#7367785cc	\$16.30
E119	04/11/2023	\$543.40
Invoice # #23600		
04/11/2023	ECON ONE RESEARCH, INC.; Invoice # #23600; Experts	\$543.40
E119	05/09/2023	\$747.65
Invoice # #23728		
05/09/2023	ECON ONE RESEARCH, INC.; Invoice # #23728; Experts	\$747.65
C001	10/17/2023	\$79.05
10/17/2023	Clerk/Court Filing Fees: RAPIDLEGAL#8370674cc	\$79.05
E119	12/12/2023	\$1,129.55
Invoice # INVOICE#24915		
12/12/2023	ECON ONE RESEARCH, INC.; Invoice # INVOICE#24915; Experts	\$1,129.55
C001	12/18/2023	\$17.10
12/18/2023	Clerk/Court Filing Fees: RAPIDLEGAL#8736400cc	\$17.10
C001	01/12/2024	\$17.10
01/12/2024	Clerk/Court Filing Fees: RAPIDLEGAL#8888446cc	\$17.10
C001	01/12/2024	\$17.10
01/12/2024	Clerk/Court Filing Fees: RAPIDLEGAL#8889471cc	\$17.10

Total expenses: **\$15,035.40**

Current charges: **\$212,058.60**

Summary:

Invoice number 0

Page 16

Payments applied:

\$0.00

Current Charges:

\$212,058.60

Discount

\$0.00

Total Amount Now Due:

\$212,058.60

Service Provider Summary

Baysinger, Jenny D.

143.50

878.00

125,993.00

Wasserman, Robert J.

80.90

878.00

71,030.20

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, certify and declare as follows:

I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action. My business address is 112 S. Church Street, Lodi, CA 95240, that is located in the county where the mailing and/or delivery below took place.

On April 2, 2024, I served the following document:

**DECLARATION OF JENNY D. BAYSINGER IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES,
COSTS, AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT AWARD**

addressed to:

Curtis A. Graham
LITTLER MENDELSON P.C.
633 West 5th Street, 63rd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Email: cagraham@littler.com
Sarah Fleming
safleming@littler.com
Gina Camacho
gcamacho@littler.com


Angela J. Rafter, Esq.
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
333 Bush Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
Email: arafter@littler.com

☐ **BUSINESS PRACTICE TO ENTRUST DEPOSIT TO OTHERS:** I am readily familiar with the business practice at my place of business for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Correspondence so collected and processed is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business. On the date specified below, at my place of business at Stockton, California a copy of the document described above was placed for deposit in the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope, with postage fully prepaid addressed to the individuals and/or entities mentioned above; and that envelope was placed for collection and mailing on that date following ordinary business practice.

☒ **BY EMAIL:** In accordance with Code of Civil Procedure, Section 1010.6, on the date specified below, I caused a copy of the document(s) described above to be sent to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) listed above. My business e-mail address is lriley@mayallaw.com. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

I certify and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 2, 2024, at Lodi, California.


LINDSAY RILEY