

Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417)
justin@wilshirelawfirm.com
Benjamin H. Haber (SBN 315664)
benjamin@wilshirelawfirm.com
Arrash T. Fattahi (SBN 333676)
afattahi@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM
3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90010
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

DANIEL LUPERCIO, individually, and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

WESTERN CNC, INC., a California corporation,
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 37-2021-00010314-CU-OE-CTL

CLASS ACTION

[Assigned to: Hon. John S. Meyer, Dept. C-64]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

[Filed concurrently with: Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Memorandum of Points and Authorities; and Declaration of Justin F. Marquez]

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING

Date: August 18, 2023

Time: 10:30 a.m.

Dept: C-64

Reservation No.: 2947669

1 The Court has before it Plaintiff Daniel Lupercio (“Plaintiff”) Motion for Preliminary
2 Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval
3 of Class Action Settlement, the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez, the Class Action and PAGA
4 Settlement Agreement and Class Notice (which is referred to here as the “Settlement” or
5 “Settlement Agreement”), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and orders as
6 follows:

7 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
8 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
9 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon
10 the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant Western CNC,
11 Inc. (“Defendant”), attached to the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez in Support of Plaintiff’s
12 Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibit 2.

13 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
14 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
15 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
16 approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of
17 \$1,000,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to class members who do not validly opt out;
18 (b) a \$50,000.00 payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency
19 (“LWDA”) for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys
20 General Act (“PAGA”), with 75% of which (\$37,500.00) being paid to the LWDA and 25%
21 (\$12,500.00) being paid to the Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative service payment
22 of up to \$15,000.00 for Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel’s attorneys’ fees, not to exceed 33 1/3% of
23 the Gross Settlement Amount (\$333,333.33), and up to \$40,000.00 in costs for actual litigation
24 expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to
25 \$17,000.00.

26 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within
27 the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and
28 applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair

1 and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further
2 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)
3 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
4 that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
5 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
6 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as
7 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
8 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
9 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

10 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement,
11 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the LWDA for its share of the settlement
12 of claims for penalties under the PAGA, and the class representative's enhancement award
13 should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is
14 hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

15 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
16 (the "Settlement Class"): all individuals employed by Western CNC, Inc. in California and
17 classified as an hourly-paid or non-exempt employee during the Class Period.

18 6. "Class Period" means the period from March 9, 2017 to February 28, 2023.

19 7. "PAGA Period" means the period from March 10, 2020 to February 28, 2023.

20 8. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
21 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
22 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
23 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
24 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the
25 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
26 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
27 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

28 9. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only,

Plaintiff Manuel Garduno. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award up to \$15,000.00.

10. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Justin F. Marquez, Benjamin H. Haber, and Arrash T. Fattahi of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Total Settlement Amount (\$333,333.33), and costs not to exceed \$40,000.00.

11. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$17,000.00.

12. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

13. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

14. Any class member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

15. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendant to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	September 1, 2023
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packets	September 15, 2023
Response Deadline	October 30, 2023
Deadline for Administrator to Submit Report	7 days before Plaintiff's Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Service Award to Plaintiff

Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Service Award to Plaintiff	16 court days before hearing on Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Service Award to Plaintiff
Final Approval Hearing	_____, 2023 at _____ a.m./p.m., or first available date thereafter, in Department C-64

16. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: _____

Hon. John S. Meyer
Stanislaus County Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE

Lupercio v. Western CNC, Inc., et al.
37-2021-00010314-CU-OE-CTL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, Ashley Narinyans, state that I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action. My business address is 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Fl., Los Angeles, California 90010. My electronic service address is anarinyans@wilshirelawfirm.com.

On March 14, 2023, I served the foregoing **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**, on the interested parties by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope by following one of the methods of service as follows:

Dick A. Semerdjian (SBN 123630)
das@sscelaw.com

Sarah Brite Evans (SBN 210980)
sarah@sscelaw.com

Chad M. Thurston (SBN 339151)
chad@sscelaw.com

Marie E. Landeros
marie@sscelaw.com

**SCHWARTZ SEMERDJIAN CAULEY &
EVANS, LLP**

101 West Broadway, Suite 810

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 236-8821

Facsimile: (619) 236-8827

Attorneys for Defendant
Western CNC, Inc

(X) **BY UPLOAD:** I hereby certify that the documents were uploaded by my office to the State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Online Filing Site.

(X) **BY E-MAIL:** I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known email address or e-mail of record in this action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this **March 14, 2023**, at Los Angeles, California.



Ashley Narinyans