

MAKAREM & ASSOCIATES, APLC

Ronald W. Makarem, Esq. (SBN 180442)
makarem@law-rm.com

Samuel Almon, Esq. (SBN 243569)
almon@law-rm.com

Daniel J. Bass, Esq. (SBN 287466)
bass@law-rm.com

11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2440
Los Angeles, CA 90025-2440

Tel.: (310) 312-0299

Fax: (310) 312-0296

Attorneys for Plaintiff JOAQUIN ROJAS,
individually, and on behalf of all others similarly
situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JOAQUIN ROJAS, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAR TRANSPORTATION INC., a California
Corporation, RAYMOND PAUL JUNE, an
individual, FEDEX GROUND PACKAGE
SYSTEM, INC., a Delaware corporation; and
DOES 1-20, inclusive;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 20STCV48213

**DECLARATION OF DANIEL J.
BASS IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION
AND MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

*[Filed Concurrently With Motion For
Final Approval and [Proposed] Order
and Judgment]*

Date: November 6, 2023

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept: 11

Complaint Filed: April 26, 2021
Consolidated with 21STCV41706

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1. I am an attorney licensed and admitted to practice before all courts of the State of California. I am an attorney for the law firm of Makarem & Associates APLC, the counsel of record for the Plaintiff in this case. I make this declaration in support of Plaintiff's Motion For Final Approval of a Class Action and PAGA Settlement. I have personal knowledge of the facts herein, and if called as a witness I could and would competently testify to them under oath.

2. **Defendant and Plaintiff** - Defendants employed Plaintiff as a non-exempt employee, serving as a delivery driver from approximately April 2020 to January 2021. During their employment, Plaintiff and fellow non-exempt employees made pick ups and deliveries in Los Angeles County and other locations within California.

4. **Plaintiff's Allegations** - This matter involves two lawsuits brought by Plaintiff on behalf of non-exempt employees of Defendants. The first action is the putative class action pending in Los Angeles County, Case No. 21STCV15613, filed on April 26, 2021.

6. **Defendants' Response** – Defendants contend that they at all times complied with California law. Defendants assert that they properly paid employees for all time worked. Defendants further contend that it provided Plaintiff and other putative class members with compliant meal and rest periods, and that to the extent any employee did not take a compliant meal

1 or rest period, the reason for the non-compliant meal or rest period would be an individualized issue
2 that would preclude class certification.

3 7. **Investigation** - Before filing the lawsuit, Class Counsel investigated and researched
4 the facts and circumstances underlying the pertinent issues and the laws applicable thereto. This
5 required thorough discussions between Class Counsel and the Plaintiff, as well as preliminary
6 research into the various legal issues and employment practices involved in the case. After
7 conducting their initial investigation, Class Counsel determined that Plaintiff claims were well-
8 suited for class action adjudication owing to what appeared to be a common set of policies and
9 procedures that impacted Plaintiff and other putative class members in a similar fashion.

10 8. After filing the lawsuit, Class Counsel conducted an extensive investigation of the
11 facts and claims giving rise to the action, including:

- 12 (1) reviewing and analyzing time and payroll records and Defendants' written
policies and procedures;
- 13 (2) researching the applicable law and evaluating potential defenses;
- 14 (3) evaluating the damages based on countervailing interpretations of law;
- (4) reviewing other documentation provided by Defendants.

15 9. Defendants, for their part, vigorously contested liability, the amount of claimed
16 damages, and the propriety of class certification. After Class Counsel analyzed the relevant
17 documentary and testamentary evidence, Class Counsel determined that this case was appropriate
18 for resolution via settlement.

19 10. **Mediation** - On July 7, 2022, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation
20 presided over by Gig Kyriacou which led to this Agreement to settle the Action. Over the next
21 months the parties negotiated the details of the long form settlement agreement which was fully
22 executed on January 31, 2023 and for which was granted preliminary approval on June 15, 2023.

23 **TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT**

24 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of the Class Action and
25 PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"). The Court entered the ORDER
26 GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
27 SETTLEMENT ("Preliminary Approval Order") on June 15, 2023. A true and correct copy of the
28 signed order is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

1 12. **Settlement Class** - The “Class” or “Settlement Class” means all individuals
2 employed by Defendant JAR Transportation Inc., as a non-exempt employee in the state of
3 California at any time between July 1, 2020 and September 7, 2022. Based on its records, JAR
4 estimates that there are 91 Class Members who collectively worked a total of 3,196 Workweeks,
5 and 91 Aggrieved Employees who worked a total 3,070 of PAGA Pay Periods.

6 13. **Gross Settlement Amount** – Under the terms the terms of the proposed agreement,
7 the parties have agreed that all claims brought on behalf of the Class shall be fully and finally
8 resolved for a “Gross Settlement Amount” of \$165,000.00. The Gross Settlement Amount is non-
9 reversionary and no portion of the Settlement Amount will be returned to Defendant. The following
10 amounts will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount, with the amount remaining after
11 these deductions constituting the Net Settlement Amount.

12 14. **Attorney’s Fees/Costs:** The settlement calls for up to 33 1/3% of the Gross
13 Settlement Amount or \$55,000 in attorneys’ fees plus up to \$20,000 in actual litigation costs and
14 expenses. The fee request is in line with fee awards commonly granted across this state as well as
15 the fee percentage commonly contracted for by attorneys within this state. Moreover, Plaintiff’s
16 counsel has limited such litigation costs to \$15,823.

17 15. **Class Representative Payment:** Up to \$7,500 to the named Plaintiff for his service
18 as a class representative. The payment is for the service rendered in furtherance of class members
19 claims, for the risk undertaken for payment of costs in the event such litigation was unsuccessful,
20 for any stigma the plaintiff may suffer going forward due to the public information available via
21 the Internet for having filed a class action against an employer, and for his agreement to provide a
22 full release of his claims as compared to the limited release required of class members.

23 16. Here, the class representative was not simply a bystander in the prosecution of this
24 action. From the time that this case was filed, the representative whenever needed, actively
25 provided information and evidence. Further, the class representative was always available to
26 consult with, and regularly did consult with counsel, both in person and via telephone. The class
27 representative was also actively involved in discussions regarding the resolution of this matter and
28 approved the settlement terms on behalf of himself and the class members.

1 17. **Settlement Administration Costs:** The proposed settlement administrator is CPT
2 Group, Inc. (“CPT”). CPT is an experienced class notice administration company, and has acted as
3 claims administrator in numerous wage and hour cases throughout the country. CPT has presented
4 that its charges to administer the proposed settlement will not exceed \$10,000.

5 18. **LWDA PAGA Penalty Amount and PAGA Group Payment:** 75% share of the
6 \$10,000.00 (or \$7,500.00) allocated from the Gross Settlement Amount for PAGA penalties that
7 will be paid to the LWDA. The remaining 25% share of the \$10,000.00 (or \$2,500.00) allocated
8 from the Maximum Settlement Amount for PAGA penalties will be paid to PAGA Members.

9 19. **Net Settlement Amount and Payout to Class Members:** The Net Settlement
10 Amount was estimated to be approximately \$65,000, including \$2,500.00 distributed to PAGA
11 Group Payment to PAGA Members. Each Settlement Class Member shall be eligible to receive an
12 Individual Class Settlement Payment, which is the number of the Class Member’s individual
13 Covered Class Workweeks divided by the total Covered Class Workweeks for all Class Members.
14 This fraction shall be multiplied by the Net Settlement Amount.

15 20. Assuming this Court makes no modification, the estimated 91 Class Members shall
16 recover an estimated average recovery of approximately \$714.29 with the highest estimated
17 settlement payment being \$2,255.48 and the lowest estimated settlement payment of \$20.36 before
18 redistribution of the \$4,177 in unused litigation expenses.

19 **Claims and Settlement Fund Distribution Procedure**

20 21. According to the Agreement, JAR Transportation Inc. and Raymond Paul June
21 (“Defendants”) shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount, and also fund the amounts necessary
22 to fully pay Defendants’ share of payroll taxes by transmitting the funds to the Administrator no
23 later than 14 days after the Effective Date.¹ (Exh. A at 4.3). Within 14 days after Defendants fund
24

25 ¹ “Effective Date” means the date by when both of the following have occurred: (a) the Court
26 enters a Judgment on its Order Granting Final Approval of the Settlement; and (b) the Judgment
27 is final. The Judgment is final as of the latest of the following occurrences: (a) if no Participating
28 Class Member objects to the Settlement, the day the Court enters Judgment; (b) if one or more
Participating Class Members objects to the Settlement, the day after the deadline for filing a
notice of appeal from the Judgment; or if a timely appeal from the Judgment is filed, the day after
the appellate court affirms the Judgment and issues a remittitur. (Exh. A at 1.19)

1 the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will provide a disbursement summary to all
2 counsel for review and approval and mail checks for all Individual Class Payments, all Individual
3 PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, the Administration Expenses Payment, the Class
4 Counsel Fees Payment, the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Class
5 Representative Service Payment. Disbursement of the Class Counsel Fees Payment, the Class
6 Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and the Class Representative Service Payment shall not
7 precede disbursement of Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments. (*Id.*, Exh. A
8 at 4.4.).

9 22. According to the Agreement, the Administrator will issue checks for the Individual
10 Class Payments and/or Individual PAGA Payments and send them to the Class Members via First
11 Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid. The face of each check shall prominently state the date (not less
12 than 180 days after the date of mailing) when the check will be voided. The Administrator will
13 cancel all checks not cashed by the void date. The Administrator will send checks for Individual
14 Settlement Payments to all Participating Class Members (including those for whom Class Notice
15 was returned undelivered). The Administrator will send checks for Individual Settlement Payments
16 to all Participating Class Members (including those for whom Class Notice was returned
17 undelivered). The Administrator may send Participating Class Members a single check combining
18 the Individual Class Payment and the Individual PAGA Payment. Before mailing any checks, the
19 Settlement Administrator must update the recipients' mailing addresses using the National Change
20 of Address Database. (Exh. A at 4.4.1)

21 23. **Release By Class Members:** All Participating Class Members, on behalf of
22 themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs,
23 administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from (i) all claims that were
24 alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated in the
25 Operative Complaints and ascertained in the course of the Action , including (1) failure to pay all
26 earned wages, (2) unpaid minimum wages, (3) unpaid overtime wages, (4) failure to provide meal
27 periods, (5) failure to provide rest periods, (6) failure to provide accurate wage statements, (7)
28 waiting time penalties, (8) failure to maintain accurate payroll, (9) failure to pay all wages earned

1 for labor performed each pay period, (10) failure to indemnify for all necessary business
2 expenditures, (11) unfair competition pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section
3 17200, et seq., and (12) violation of California Labor Code section 2698, et seq. Except as set forth
4 in Section 6.3 of this Agreement, Participating Class Members do not release any other claims,
5 including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and
6 Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, or
7 claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period.

8 **24. Release By PAGA Members** - All Non-Participating Class Members who are
9 Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former
10 and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the
11 Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have
12 been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint's PAGA Notice,
13 including violation of California Labor Code section 2698, et seq., and ascertained in the course of
14 the Action.

15 **25. Released Claims with respect to Plaintiff Only** - Plaintiff and his respective
16 former and present spouses, representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors,
17 and assigns generally, release and discharge Released Parties from all claims, transactions, or
18 occurrences that occurred during the Class Period, including, but not limited to: (a) all claims that
19 were, or reasonably could have been, alleged, based on the facts contained, in the Operative
20 Complaints and (b) all PAGA claims that were, or reasonably could have been, alleged based on
21 facts contained in the Operative Complaints, Plaintiff's PAGA Notice, or ascertained during the
22 Action and released under 6.2 below. ("Plaintiff's Release.") Plaintiff's Release does not extend
23 to any claims or actions to enforce this Agreement, or to any claims for vested benefits,
24 unemployment benefits, disability benefits, social security benefits, workers' compensation
25 benefits that arose at any time, or based on occurrences outside the Class Period. Plaintiff
26 acknowledges that Plaintiff may discover facts or law different from, or in addition to, the facts or
27 law that Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true but agrees, nonetheless, that Plaintiff's Release
28 shall be and remain effective in all respects, notwithstanding such different or additional facts or

Plaintiff's discovery of them.

26. The settlement amount was a negotiated compromise, factoring in the risks related to certification, liability and damages. Taking into account all of the circumstances of the action and the significant factual and legal defenses raised by Defendant against certification, liability and damages, Class Counsel believe that the settlement is fair and reasonable. Each Class Member's individual share of the settlement amount is tailored to the number of workweeks worked by each Class Member during the class period; so the distribution is weighted in favor of those Class Members who were potentially most affected by the alleged violations. Moreover, because the class consists of numerous employees, it was unlikely that the potential monetary claims of individual Class Members would have proved viable without the class-action mechanism.

27. The Settlement should be approved accordance with the holdings in *Clark v. American Residential Services LLC* (2009) 175 Cal. App. 4th 785 (hereinafter, “*Clark*”) and *Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc.* (2008) 168 Cal. App. 4th 116 (“*Kullar*”). The *Clark* and *Kullar* courts principally wanted to ensure that trial courts, when deciding whether a class action settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, should independently evaluate the settlement amount in light of the strength of the plaintiff’s case, and that plaintiff should provide the court the information necessary to make that evaluation. The *Clark* and *Kullar* courts cite the recognized factors set forth in cases like *Dunk v. Ford Motors Co.* (1996) 48 Cal. App. 4th 1794, 1801, that trial courts should examine during the approval process, including (1) the extent of discovery completed and the stage of the proceedings; (2) the strength of plaintiff’s case in light of the settlement amount; (3) the risk, expense, complexity, and likely duration of further litigation, as well as the risk of maintaining class-action status through trial; (4) the experience and views of counsel; and (5) the reaction of class members to the proposed settlement. *Id.* at 799

**THE STRENGTH OF PLAINTIFF’S CASE AND COMPLEXITIES OF FURTHER
LITIGATION**

28. Plaintiff believes that the Settlement Class claims in this action are legally meritorious and present a reasonable probability of a favorable determination on behalf of the class.

1 At the same time, there is undeniably significant litigation risk avoided by the proposed Settlement,
2 both at class certification proceedings, at trial, and potentially in the appellate courts.

3 29. Liability remains highly contested by Defendant, who denies that it engaged in any
4 violations of the law, and they further deny any liability or engagement in wrongdoing of any kind
5 associated with the claims alleged in the Action by the Plaintiff or any Class Member. Defendant
6 contends that at all times it complied with all wage-and-hour laws in connection with the
7 employment and/or retention of services of Plaintiff and the Class Members.

8 30. Plaintiff's counsel understood and appreciated the defenses and position of
9 Defendant but believed that Plaintiff would ultimately succeed in the action. In light of the strongly
10 divergent views, the parties, were able to negotiate a fair settlement, considering the costs and risks
11 of continued litigation. The negotiations were at all times conducted professionally and at arm's
12 length and have produced a result that the Parties believe to be in their respective best interests.

13 31. Parties have also considered the uncertainty and risk of the outcome of further
14 litigation, and the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation. Plaintiff is also aware of the
15 burdens of proof necessary to establish liability for the claims asserted in the action, the defenses
16 thereto, and the difficulties in establishing damages. In evaluating the settlement, the Court will
17 seek a reasonable estimate of the nature and amount of recovery that each class member could have
18 obtained if the Plaintiff had prevailed in the litigation. Numerous decisions summarize a trial court's
19 task when considering whether to approve the settlement of a putative class action.

20 32. Here, in preparation for mediation, Plaintiff calculated Defendants' liability to Class
21 Members to be in excess of the settlement amount. Plaintiff's valuation assumed that Plaintiff: (1)
22 prevailed in certifying the case; (2) prevailed in establishing liability on the merits; and (3) was
23 awarded the full amount of all discretionary penalties.

24 33. The realistic range of outcomes for this litigation took into account the present state
25 of the law regarding meal and rest break claims and the other claims brought in the Action,
26 Defendant's defenses for each claim, and the likelihood of prevailing on a class certification motion
27 as well as trial and any appeals. Where both sides face significant uncertainty, the attendant risks
28 favor settlement. *Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp.* (9th Cir. 1998) 150 F.3d 1011,1026.

1 34. **Kullar v. Footlocker Analysis** - To allow this Court to make an informed
2 assessment pursuant to *Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc.* (2008) 18 Cal.App.4th 116, Plaintiff's
3 Counsel provides the following assessment of the case and settlement. Plaintiff's Counsel believes
4 that the settlement is a very good one for the class members. In this case, Plaintiff sought unpaid
5 wages and statutory penalties as well as equitable remedies of declaratory relief, disgorgement,
6 accounting, and restitution.

7 35. As discussed in Plaintiff's Supplemental Briefing in Support of Motion for
8 Preliminary Approval, Plaintiff's counsel was provided time and earnings records for 25 of
9 Defendants' 91 employees identified as putative class members. Thereafter, Plaintiff's counsel
10 relayed to Berger Consulting for analysis that information agreed to and provided by Defendants'
11 counsel. This information consisted of time punch and earnings records for 25 sample class
12 members for the dates of July 2020 through June 2022. Just like the current class size estimate, as
13 of mediation the class size including all locations was estimated to be 91 employees. According to
14 Berger, using the industry standard statistical sample size calculator from Raosoft, the 25
15 employees analyzed out of the class size of 91 employees provides a 92% confidence level with an
16 15% margin of error.

17 36. Plaintiff estimated Defendant's total non-penalty exposure at \$415,417.00. As such,
18 the settlement represents over approximately 39.7% of Defendant's non-penalty exposure based on
19 Plaintiff's analysis. This in a reasonable recovery, particularly given the number of lass members
20 at issue and the size of Defendant's operations.

21 37. As explained further below, Plaintiff details the issues that necessitated deductions
22 from the maximum potential value of this case to arrive at the reasonable Maximum Settlement
23 Amount derived in this action: Here there are approximately 91 Class Members who collectively
24 worked a total of 2,953 Workweeks and 91 Aggrieved Employees who worked an estimated 2,921
25 PAGA Pay Periods.

26 38. **Rest Periods**

27 Plaintiff calculated Defendant's maximum liability to be about 4.5 violations per employee
28 per week at the average hourly rate of \$15.86 per violation. As such, with a 100% violation rate,

1 Plaintiff estimated that Defendant's exposure could be as high as \$210,320. Plaintiff did not
2 discount this number or the calculations that follow in order to arrive at the settlement; rather,
3 Plaintiff discounted the number in order to reach a settlement that understood the risks of continued
4 litigation and difficulties in showing a 100% violation rate for rest breaks during employee shifts.

5 **39. Meal Periods**

6 Plaintiff again calculated Defendant's maximum liability at about a 46.4% unique violation
7 rate for meal breaks or 1,030 unique meal period violations. However, the data provided also
8 showed approximately 491 meal premium payments were paid, reducing potential violations to
9 approximately 539 instances or 24.3% of eligible breaks. As such, Plaintiff estimated that
10 Defendant's exposure could be as high as \$3,420. Plaintiff did not discount this number or the
11 calculations that follow in order to arrive at the settlement; rather, Plaintiff discounted the number
12 in order to reach a settlement that understood the risks of continued litigation and difficulties in
13 showing a 100% violation rate for meal breaks during employee shifts.

14 **40. Unpaid Off-the-Clock**

15 Based on Plaintiff's experiences and personal observations at work, Plaintiff estimated that
16 approximately 0.5 hours (30 minutes) of work was performed off-the-clock by employees on a
17 daily basis, resulting in an approximately \$143,806 in underpayments at employees' regular rate
18 and \$5,667 in unpaid overtime.

19 **41. Penalties**

20 Although the Class arguably could have seen a payout of approximately \$279,061 for waiting time
21 penalties (for 62 terminated employees during a three-year statutory period) and \$202,300 in wage
22 statement penalties (for 91 employees for a one-year period), Plaintiff's Counsel had to weigh the
23 likelihood of recovery against probable defenses and the wide discretion Courts have exercised in
24 awarding Labor code penalties. Along these lines, Plaintiff considered that Defendant would argue
25 that Plaintiff could not prove the "willful" prong needed to obtain waiting time penalties under Cal.
26 Lab. Code § 203 and would further argue that Plaintiff could not show that Class Members suffered
27 an "injury" as a result of wage statement violations, as required by Cal. Lab. Code § 226. Further,
28 Plaintiff arguably could not recover any waiting time penalties for meal and rest claims. Moreover,

1 Plaintiff would not recover any derivative penalties if he failed to prove the underlying claims.

2 42. Plaintiff, in agreeing to the settlement had to take into account the difficulties in
3 certifying a class and maintaining any such certification. Moreover, Plaintiff had to take into
4 account the uncertainties, including business uncertainties facing Defendant and similar employers
5 during the ongoing COVID 19 pandemic. Each of these concerns weighed into Plaintiff's analysis
6 to eventually agree to a settlement that is less than Defendant's total projected liability even if
7 Plaintiff eventually won every aspect of this case including potential appeal. A settlement now
8 guarantees that class members will 1) obtain a recovery and 2) that they will get the recovery in a
9 time period that is shorter than one if the case went through a full trial and appeal process.

10 43. The settlement amount was a negotiated compromise, factoring in the risks related
11 to certification, liability, and damages. Taking into account all of the circumstances of the action
12 and the significant factual and legal defenses raised by Defendant against certification, liability,
13 and damages, Class Counsel believe that the settlement is fair and reasonable. Each Class
14 Member's individual share of the settlement amount is tailored to the number of shifts worked by
15 each Class Member during the class period so the distribution is weighted in favor of those Class
16 Members who were potentially most affected by the alleged violations. Moreover, because the
17 class consists of several employees, it was unlikely that the potential monetary claims of individual
18 Class Members would have proved viable without the class-action mechanism.

19 **EXPERIENCE AND VIEWS OF COUNSEL**

20 44. My firm has litigated numerous complex business matters, including employment
21 cases. We have settled multiple cases for seven-figures and several more for six-figure amounts.
22 We have obtained seven-figure judgments in complex litigation matters. This is a seven-attorney
23 law firm in West Los Angeles. The firm practices in the area of employment litigation and complex
24 business litigation.

25 45. My colleague, Ronald W. Makarem, has been approved as class counsel in wage
26 and hour class actions over 30 times, including matters certified on contested motions. He was
27 named a "Top 20 Attorney under the Age of 40", in California, by the Daily Journal in 2007, and
28 a California Super Lawyer from 2010 to the present. He was awarded "Top 100 Attorney" in

1 Southern California by Los Angeles Magazine and Super Lawyers for 2013 and 2019. In 2012, he
2 received the CLAY award for ‘Attorney of the Year’ by California Lawyer Magazine for his work
3 on a landmark California Supreme Court case. He is a certified legal malpractice specialist by the
4 California State Bar. In 2015, he received the AALASC Trailblazer Award which recognizes
5 attorneys who demonstrate vision, courage and tenacity in their practice.

6 46. I have been litigating for nearly a decade, almost all of which was representing
7 employees in similar complex employment actions, including class actions and representative
8 actions. I have been approved as class counsel in wage and hour class actions over 20 times,
9 including matters certified on contested motions. I was named a California Super Lawyer “Rising
10 Star” from 2020 to the present.

11 47. I and the other attorneys at Makarem & Associates have engaged in all aspects of
12 class action litigation, including having interviewed potential class action representatives to
13 determine the potential merits of their allegations, conducted pre-litigation investigation, including
14 interview, research and analysis, drafted class action complaints, drafted class action discovery and
15 responses thereto, conducted and defended depositions, drafted, opposed and orally argued
16 numerous class action law and motion pleadings (including oppositions to removal, oppositions to
17 demurrer, oppositions to motions to strike, motions to compel, oppositions to motions to compel,
18 class certification motions, oppositions to motions to decertify, and preliminary and final approval
19 motions), conducted class member interviews, legal research, settlement negotiations and meetings
20 with defense counsel, statistical analysis of potential damages, and attended numerous mediation
21 sessions for purposes of negotiating class action settlements.

22 48. At any given time Makarem & Associates has in excess of 30 wage and hour class
23 actions pending in various stages of the litigation process, from pre-litigation investigation to final-
24 approval pending.

25 49. Makarem & Associates is well qualified because of our experience, knowledge, and
26 resources to act as counsel and represent Plaintiff and the putative class in this action. A substantial
27 percentage of our practice is devoted to litigating wage, hour, and working-conditions violations,
28 and the bulk of these cases are class actions.

1 50. The following is a partial list of putative class action in which Makarem &
2 Associates has been approved as class counsel through contested motions and through settlements:
3 *Becerra v. Sunridge Nurseries, Inc.*, Kern County Superior Court Case No. BCV-19-102625;
4 *Fodera et al v. Equinox Holdings, Inc.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of
5 California, Case No. 3:19-cv-05072-WHO; *Sanchez v. ARB, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court
6 Case No. 30-2016-00837130; *Weckiewicz v. Execap, Inc.*, Stanislaus Superior Court Case No. CV-
7 18-001292; *Werner v. Crown Equipment Corp.*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC439428;
8 *Castillo v. DHL Express (USA), Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC409169; *Aglubat v.*
9 *FormFactor, Inc.*, Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG13692639; *Lopez v. ADIR International,*
10 *LLC*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC436697; *Martinez v. G&M Oil, Inc.*, Orange County
11 Superior Court Case No. 30-2009-00238965; *Lopez-Gallardo v. L&R Auto Parks, Inc.*, Los
12 Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC394399; *Scanlan v. BLB Enterprises, Inc.*, Orange County
13 Superior Court Case No. 07CC00002; *Martinez v. Providian Holdings, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior
14 Court Case NO. BC4612987; *DeLeon v. Westlake Services, LLC*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case
15 No. BC526750; *Reyes v. PQ Beverly Hills, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC500609;
16 *Funez v. GMG Janitorial, Inc.* San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-13-532261; *Fematt v.*
17 *Mission Linen Supply*, Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 15CV03714; *Orozco v. California*
18 *Terra Garden, Inc.*, San Mateo Superior Court Case No. CIV531629; *Powell v. Badger Daylighting*
19 *Corp.* No. Kern County Superior Court Case No. BCV-15-101202; *Barrerra v. Hacienda on the*
20 *Lake*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2016-00839837-CU-OE-CXC; *Pantoja v.*
21 *Autopia Car Wash*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG14713663; *Galvez v. Ray Tech,*
22 Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG14737391; *Guzman v. Pick N Pull Auto Dismantlers,*
23 Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG16816283.

24 51. The foregoing discussion has sought to determine the fairness of the class action
25 settlement in the present case. It has done so by analyzing the settlement in light of the appellate
26 decisions in *Clark* and *Kullar*. This analysis has concluded that the Settlement is fair, reasonable,
27 and adequate. Class Counsel conducted a thorough investigation of the subject wage-and-hour
28 practices, and engaged in non-collusive, arm's-length negotiations through a respected wage and

1 hour mediator in order to reach settlement. Defendant has agreed to pay fair value to settle
2 Plaintiff's principal claims for failure to pay all overtime, and failure to provide compliant meal
3 and rest periods, as well as the derivative waiting time penalties claim. From Class Counsel's
4 substantial experience with wage-and-hour class action lawsuits, Class Counsel believes that this
5 case could not have been settled on better terms under the circumstances. Class Counsel's diligent,
6 efficient, and creative pursuit of this matter positioned Plaintiff to settle this action successfully,
7 affording redress to the entire Class and avoiding the inevitable expense and risk attendant to
8 protracted litigation. Request is therefore made that the settlement be granted final approval so that
9 those persons whom it is intended to benefit shall be afforded the opportunity to receive settlement
10 funds.

11 52. In sum, Class Counsel is experienced in employment class action litigation, and is
12 adequate to represent the putative class in the instant action.

13 **REQUEST FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES**

14 53. My firm took this case on a contingent-fee basis against a business represented by a
15 reputable defense firm. Plaintiff signed a contingency-fee agreement with Makarem & Associates
16 stating that Class Counsel could receive a fee of up to 40% of the overall recovery. When we take
17 contingent cases, we must pay careful attention to the economics involved or one bad case can
18 destroy years of work. Accordingly, when we take contingency cases, we anticipate that we shall,
19 if successful, receive a fee that exceeds our normal hourly rate; otherwise, the risk is often too great
20 to bear. Even when we work long hours, the number of hours in a day is limited. Because of this,
21 when we take on one particular matter, we are unable to take on other matters. When Class Counsel
22 became involved in this case, we realized the time commitment that it would entail and we were
23 forced to turn down matters that we otherwise should have been able to handle. In sum, this case
24 claimed a significant portion of Class Counsel's time and attention throughout its pendency.

25 54. The settlement calls for the payment of up to 33 1/3% of the Maximum Settlement
26 Amount or \$55,000 for attorneys' fees. This request is fair, reasonable, and adequate to compensate
27 Class Counsel for the substantial work they have put into this case and, moreover, the risk they
28 assumed by taking it in the first place. The attorneys' fees award is intended to reimburse Class

Counsel for all uncompensated work that they have already done and for all the work they will continue to do in carrying out and overseeing the notification to class members, communicating with class members regarding their claims, and assisting in the administration of the settlement if it is finally approved and judgment entered.

55. In this case, my firm has incurred substantial attorney's fees conducting pre-filing investigation, analyzing the claims, conducting legal research, reviewing records and speaking with Plaintiff, negotiating the class settlement, preparing the long-form settlement agreement, preparing this motion for preliminary approval, and otherwise litigating the case.

56. In other words, my firm has expended a significant amount of time and effort in litigating the present case. The proposed Settlement also does not place the attorneys' interests ahead of class members' interests. Settlement provisions relating to attorneys' fees and litigation expenses in the action were not negotiated until after an agreement was reached in principle with respect to the direct class settlement benefits and the gross settlement. In achieving the Settlement, which has been overwhelmingly embraced by the Class, the attorneys involved have expended a significant number of hours. The following table summarizes the time spent by the attorneys of Makarem & Associates regarding their time spent on this case:

Attorney	Experience	Billing Rate	Types of Tasks Assigned	Hours	Lodestar
Ronald W. Makarem	27+ years	\$850	Initial Investigation and Document Review, Complaint, Pleadings, Violation Calculation & Analysis of Damages, Expert Consultation, Preparation for Mediation, Settlement Agreement	16.1	\$13,685.00
Rex Phillips	18+ years	\$750	Pleadings, Violation Calculation & Analysis of Damages, Expert Consultation, Preparation for and attended Mediation, Settlement Agreement, Motion for Preliminary Approval	47.7	\$35,775.00
Daniel Bass	10+ years	\$750	Pleadings, Violation Calculation & Analysis of Damages, Communication with OC, Meet and Confer/Informal	70.2	\$52,650.00

			Document Review, Expert Consultation, Settlement Agreement, Preliminary Approval Motion, Final Approval Motion		
Samuel Almon	17+ years	\$795	Complaint Amendment, Pleadings, Expert Consultation, Preparation for and attended Mediation, Settlement Agreement	46.8	\$37,206.00
Total				180.8	\$139,316.00

57. The rates used in the above chart are rates that have been submitted and accepted by courts in the State of California in applications and/or motions for attorney's fees, throughout the state. They are also less than the rates set by the Laffey Matrix, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as “**Exhibit C.**” California Courts have applied the Laffey Matrix with adjustments to the specific California locality where the Counsel’s office is located based on “Locality Pay Tables.” (*Syers Properties III, Inc. v. Rankin* (2014) 226 Cal.App.4th 691, 695-696 [affirming fee award based on Laffey Matrix with upward 9 percent rate adjustment from D.C. area compared to San Francisco Bay Area based on Locality Pay Tables]; *In re HPL Techs., Inc., Secs. Litig.* (N.D. Cal. April 22, 2005, No. C-02-3510 VRW) 365 F.Supp.2d 912, 921-922 [using Laffey Matrix with upward adjustment of 9 percent for San Francisco based on difference between D.C. Area’s +15.98 locality pay compared to San Francisco’s +26.39 percent locality pay differential from the federal courts’ “Judiciary Salary Plan Pay Tables.”].)

58. The total lodestar for all counsel for Plaintiff's counsel is \$139,316.00 and does not even include all of the time spent filing this motion and the over 30 additional hours that I would anticipate Makarem & Associates being required to finalize this motion, appear for final approval and facilitate the settlement, including answering questions brought by Class Members, assisting the Settlement Administrator, and submitting a final accounting to the Court.

59. The contingency fees Makarem & Associates fees have charged our clients have generally fallen within the range of 33.3% to 40% of the recovery achieved. Additionally, I am

1 aware by virtue of my years in the field, that such contingency fees are normal and customary in
2 the field, and have been for the entire length of my career. Of course, since we are a contingent
3 firm, in the cases where we do not achieve a recovery, which has unfortunately occurred including
4 on cases we have taken all the way through trial and appeal, we then recover nothing, and are
5 normally out of pocket on all of the expenses and costs advanced.

6 60. Additionally, and to date, my firm has incurred costs of over \$15,823.00. These
7 costs reflect the type of expenses typically billed by attorneys to their paying clients in the
8 marketplace, and include such costs as the above noted payments to experts and mediation
9 expenses to date. The costs incurred in prosecuting this case are reflected in our firm records
10 which are attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.

11 61. It is only because of our continued and aggressive litigation of this lawsuit that a
12 settlement was eventually achieved. But for our continued pursuit of this litigation, a vast
13 majority of Class Members would likely have received nothing from Defendant. As such, we
14 request that the Court award the requested fees and costs of \$55,000.00 and \$15,823.00
15 respectively. The requested fee is reasonable for the services provided to class members and for
16 the benefits they are to receive.

17 **SERVICE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT AND UNCASHED CHECKS**

18 62. Plaintiff has taken the extraordinary step of challenging his former employer's
19 actions by bringing this case. Plaintiff did so in the belief that he, and the members of the Settlement
20 Class, were, in fact, entitled to the relief sought in this case. Until this case was filed, no one was
21 pursuing the claims raised in this matter. Plaintiff's actions have resulted in a recovery for the
22 members of the Settlement Class, and the service enhancement he seeks is reasonable.

23 63. Plaintiff fully participated in this action throughout the pendency of the litigation.
24 He was kept well informed of the nature and extent of settlement discussions and approved the
25 same. As such, the service enhancement sought for Plaintiff is reasonable and represents a small
26 percentage of the Net Settlement Amount. Absent the actions taken by Plaintiff, none of the Class
27 Members would have reaped the rewards of this action.

28 64. According to the Settlement Agreement for any Class Member whose Individual

1 Class Payment check or Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void
2 date, the Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California
3 Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Class Member thereby leaving no "unpaid
4 residue" subject to the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 384, subd. (b).

5 **SUBMISSION TO THE LWDA AND REVIEW BY DEFENDANT**

6 65. Concurrently with this submission to the Court, I uploaded this declaration (save for
7 the attached Exhibit E), the concurrently filed declarations, final approval motion, Proposed Order
8 and proposed judgment to the LWDA's website. A true and correct copy of the LWDA's Case
9 Detail for this matter is attached hereto as "**Exhibit E.**"

10 66. A draft of Plaintiff's Motion and the Proposed Order and Judgment were provided
11 to Counsel for Defendant in advance of filing. Defendants' counsel provided their proposed
12 revisions to the content of the Motion or Proposed Order and Judgment. As such it is not anticipated
13 that Defendant's will oppose Plaintiff's Motion.

14 I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of United States of America in the state of
15 California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 11, 2023 in Los Angeles,
16 California.

17 *Daniel Bass*

18 Daniel J. Bass

EXHIBIT A

CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”) is made by and between plaintiff JOAQUIN ROJAS (“Plaintiff”) and defendants JAR TRANSPORTATION INC., a California corporation and RAYMOND PAUL JUNE, an individual (“Defendants”). The Agreement refers to Plaintiff and Defendants collectively as “Parties,” or individually as “Party.”

1. DEFINITIONS.

- 1.1. “Action” means the Plaintiff’s lawsuit alleging wage and hour violations against Defendants captioned *ROJAS V. JAR TRANSPORTATION INC. ET AL.*, Case No. 21STCV15613 initiated on April 26, 2021 and pending in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles to be consolidated with a Complaint for Violation of Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code Sections 2698 et seq. action against JAR captioned *ROJAS V. JAR TRANSPORTATION INC., ET AL.*, Case No. STCV41706 initiated on November 12, 2021 and also pending in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 1.2. “Administrator” means CPT Group Class Action Administrators, the neutral entity the Parties have agreed to appoint to administer the Settlement.
- 1.3. “Administration Expenses Payment” means the amount the Administrator will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount to reimburse its reasonable fees and expenses in accordance with the Administrator’s “not to exceed” bid submitted to the Court in connection with Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.
- 1.4. “Aggrieved Employee” means all individuals employed by Defendant JAR Transportation Inc., as a non-exempt employee in the state of California at any time between September 8, 2020 and September 7, 2022.
- 1.5. “Class” means all individuals employed by Defendant JAR Transportation Inc., as a non-exempt employee in the state of California at any time between July 1, 2020 and September 7, 2022.
- 1.6. “Class Counsel” means Ronald W. Makarem, Samuel Almon, and Daniel J. Bass of Makarem & Associates APLC.
- 1.7. “Class Counsel Fees Payment” and “Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment” mean the amounts allocated to Class Counsel for reimbursement of reasonable attorneys’ fees and expenses, respectively, incurred to prosecute the Action.
- 1.8. “Class Data” means Class Member identifying information in JAR’s possession including the Class Member’s name, last-known mailing address, Social Security number, and number of Class Period Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods.
- 1.9. “Class Member” or “Settlement Class Member” means a member of the Class, as either

a Participating Class Member or Non-Participating Class Member (including a Non-Participating Class Member who qualifies as an Aggrieved Employee).

- 1.10. “Class Member Address Search” means the Administrator’s investigation and search for current Class Member mailing addresses using all reasonably available sources, methods and means including, but not limited to, the National Change of Address database, skip traces, and direct contact by the Administrator with Class Members.
- 1.11. “Class Notice” means the COURT APPROVED NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND HEARING DATE FOR FINAL COURT APPROVAL, to be mailed to Class Members in English (with a Spanish translation), in the form, without material variation, attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference into this Agreement.
- 1.12. “Class Period” means the period from July 1, 2020 to September 7, 2022.
- 1.13. “Class Representative” means the named Plaintiff in the operative complaint in the Action seeking Court approval to serve as a Class Representative.
- 1.14. “Class Representative Service Payment” means the payment to the Class Representative for initiating the Action and providing services in support of the Action.
- 1.15. “Court” means the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 1.16. “Defendants” mean named Defendants JAR Transportation Inc., and Raymond Paul June.
- 1.17. “JAR” means named Defendant JAR TRANSPORTATION INC., a California corporation.
- 1.18. “Defense Counsel” means Chandra A. Beaton and Kathleen M. Fellows of LightGabler.
- 1.19. “Effective Date” means the date by when both of the following have occurred: (a) the Court enters a Judgment on its Order Granting Final Approval of the Settlement; and (b) the Judgment is final. The Judgment is final as of the latest of the following occurrences: (a) if no Participating Class Member objects to the Settlement, the day the Court enters Judgment; (b) if one or more Participating Class Members objects to the Settlement, the day after the deadline for filing a notice of appeal from the Judgment; or if a timely appeal from the Judgment is filed, the day after the appellate court affirms the Judgment and issues a remittitur.
- 1.20. “Final Approval” means the Court’s order granting final approval of the Settlement.
- 1.21. “Final Approval Hearing” means the Court’s hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement.

- 1.22. “Final Judgment” means the Judgment Entered by the Court upon Granting Final Approval of the Settlement.
- 1.23. “Gross Settlement Amount” means \$ 165,000.00 (One Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents) which is the total amount Defendants agree to pay under the Settlement except as provided in Paragraph 9 below. The Gross Settlement Amount will be used to pay Individual Class Payments, Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, Class Counsel Fees, Class Counsel Expenses, Class Representative Service Payment and the Administrator’s Expenses.
- 1.24. “Individual Class Payment” means the Participating Class Member’s pro rata share of the Net Settlement Amount calculated according to the number of Workweeks worked during the Class Period.
- 1.25. “Individual PAGA Payment” means the Aggrieved Employee’s pro rata share of 25% of the PAGA Penalties calculated according to the number of Workweeks worked during the PAGA Period.
- 1.26. “Judgment” means the judgment entered by the Court based upon the Final Approval.
- 1.27. “LWDA” means the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, the agency entitled, under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (i).
- 1.28. “LWDA PAGA Payment” means the 75% of the PAGA Penalties paid to the LWDA under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (i).
- 1.29. “Net Settlement Amount” means the Gross Settlement Amount, less the following payments in the amounts approved by the Court: Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, Class Representative Service Payment, Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Administration Expenses Payment. The remainder is to be paid to Participating Class Members as Individual Class Payments.
- 1.30. “Non-Participating Class Member” means any Class Member who opts out of the Settlement by sending the Administrator a valid and timely Request for Exclusion.
- 1.31. “PAGA Pay Period” means any Pay Period during which an Aggrieved Employee worked for JAR for at least one day during the PAGA Period.
- 1.32. “PAGA Period” means the period from September 8, 2020 to September 7, 2022.ⁱⁱⁱ
- 1.33. “PAGA” means the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698. et seq.).
- 1.34. “PAGA Notice” means Plaintiff’s April 26, 2021 letter to Defendants and the LWDA providing notice pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd.(a).

- 1.35. "PAGA Penalties" means the total amount of PAGA civil penalties to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, allocated 25% to the Aggrieved Employees (\$2,500.00) and the 75% to LWDA (\$7,500.00) in settlement of PAGA claims.
- 1.36. "Participating Class Member" means a Class Member who does not submit a valid and timely Request for Exclusion from the Settlement.
- 1.37. "Plaintiff" means Joaquin Rojas, the named plaintiff in the Action.
- 1.38. "Preliminary Approval" means the Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.
- 1.39. "Preliminary Approval Order" means the proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval and Approval of PAGA Settlement.
- 1.40. "Released Class Claims" means the claims being released as described in Paragraph 6.2 below.
- 1.41. "Released PAGA Claims" means the claims being released as described in Paragraph 6.2 below.
- 1.42. "Released Parties" means: Defendants and each of their present and former parents, subsidiaries, affiliated, and joint ventures, and each of their present and former parents, shareholders, direct and/or indirect owners, members, trustees, beneficiaries, subrogees, executors, partners, joint employees, principals, heirs, managers, officers, officials, directors, employees, agents, registered representatives, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, successors, affiliates, related companies, and assigns, and any other person acting by, through, under, or in concert with any of them.
- 1.43. "Request for Exclusion" means a Class Member's submission of a written request to be excluded from the Class Settlement signed by the Class Member.
- 1.44. "Response Deadline" means 45 days after the Administrator mails Notice to Class Members and Aggrieved Employees, and shall be the last date on which Class Members may: (a) fax, email, or mail Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement, or (b) fax, email, or mail his or her Objection to the Settlement. Class Members to whom Notice Packets are resent after having been returned undeliverable to the Administrator shall have an additional 14 calendar days beyond the Response Deadline has expired.
- 1.45. "Settlement" means the disposition of the Action effected by this Agreement and the Judgment.
- 1.46. "Workweek" means any week during which a Class Member worked for JAR for at least one day, during the Class Period.

2. RECITALS.

- 2.1. On April 26, 2021, Plaintiff commenced this Action by filing a Complaint

alleging causes of action against Defendants and FedEx Ground Package System, Inc.¹ for 1) Recovery of Unpaid Minimum Wages - Labor Code sections 1194 and 1194.2; 2) Recovery of Unpaid Overtime Wages - Labor Code sections 510 and 1194; 3) Failure to Provide Meal Periods- Labor Code sections 512 and 226.7; 4) Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements - Labor Code section 226; and 5) Waiting Time Penalties - Labor Code section 201 et seq.; and 6) Violation of Unfair Competition Law - Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. Also on April 26, 2021, Plaintiff provided notice to the Defendants and LWDA regarding an intended representative action pursuant to the PAGA. On November 12, 2021 Plaintiff filed a separate representative action for penalties under the PAGA. On January 4, 2022, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint alleging the same causes of action against Defendants. The First Amended Complaint and PAGA action complaint are the operative complaints in the Action (the “Operative Complaints”). Defendants deny the allegations in the Operative Complaints, deny any failure to comply with the laws identified in in the Operative Complaints and deny any and all liability for the causes of action alleged.

- 2.2. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd. (a), Plaintiff gave timely written notice to Defendants and the LWDA by sending the PAGA Notice.
- 2.3. On July 7, 2022, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation presided over by Gig Kyriacou which led which led to this Agreement to settle the Action.
- 2.4. Prior to mediation, Plaintiff obtained, through informal discovery, policy documents, time sheets and payroll records from Defendants. Plaintiff’s investigation was sufficient to satisfy the criteria for court approval set forth in *Dunk v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc.* (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1801 and *Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc.* (2008) 168 Cal.App.4th 116, 129-130 (“*Dunk/Kullar*”).
- 2.5. The Court has not granted class certification.
- 2.6. The Parties, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel represent that they are not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or affected by the Settlement.

3. MONETARY TERMS.

- 3.1. Gross Settlement Amount. Except as otherwise provided by Paragraph 9 below, Defendants promise to pay \$165,000.00 and no more as the Gross Settlement Amount and to separately pay any employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portions of the Individual Class Payments. Defendants have no obligation to pay the Gross Settlement Amount (or any payroll taxes) prior to the deadline stated in Paragraph 6.1 of this Agreement. The Administrator will disburse the entire Gross Settlement Amount without asking or requiring Participating Class Members or Aggrieved Employees to submit any claim as a condition of payment. None of the Gross Settlement Amount will revert to Defendants.

¹ Defendant FedEx Ground Package System, Inc. was subsequently dismissed from the Action without prejudice and is not a party to this Agreement.

3.2. Payments from the Gross Settlement Amount. The Administrator will make and deduct the following payments from the Gross Settlement Amount, in the amounts specified by the Court in the Final Approval:

3.2.1. To Plaintiff: Class Representative Service Payment to the Class Representative of not more than \$7,500.00 in addition to any Individual Class Payment and any Individual PAGA Payment the Class Representative is entitled to receive as a Participating Class Member. Defendants will not oppose Plaintiff's request for a Class Representative Service Payment that does not exceed this amount. As part of the motion for Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Litigation Expenses Payment, Plaintiff will seek Court approval for any Class Representative Service Payments no later than 16 court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. If the Court approves a Class Representative Service Payment less than the amount requested, the Administrator will retain the remainder in the Net Settlement Amount. The Administrator will pay the Class Representative Service Payment using IRS Form 1099. Plaintiff assumes full responsibility and liability for employee taxes owed on the Class Representative Service Payment.

3.2.2. To Class Counsel: A Class Counsel Fees Payment of not more than 3 1 / 3 %, which is currently estimated to be \$55,000.00 and a Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment of not more than \$20,000. Defendants will not oppose requests for these payments provided that do not exceed these amounts. Plaintiff and/or Class Counsel will file a motion for Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Litigation Expenses Payment no later than 16 court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. If the Court approves a Class Counsel Fees Payment and/or a Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment less than the amounts requested, the Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement Amount. Released Parties shall have no liability to Class Counsel or any other Plaintiff's Counsel arising from any claim to any portion any Class Counsel Fee Payment and/or Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment. The Administrator will pay the Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Expenses Payment using one or more IRS 1099 Forms. Class Counsel assumes full responsibility and liability for taxes owed on the Class Counsel Fees Payment and the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and holds Defendants harmless, and indemnifies Defendants, from any dispute or controversy regarding any division or sharing of any of these Payments.

3.2.3. To the Administrator: An Administrator Expenses Payment not to exceed \$11,800.00 except for a showing of good cause and as approved by the Court. To the extent the Administration Expenses are less or the Court approves payment less than \$11,800.00, the Administrator will retain the remainder in the Net Settlement Amount.

3.2.4. To Each Participating Class Member: An Individual Class Payment calculated by (a) dividing the Net Settlement Amount by the total number of Workweeks worked by all Participating Class Members during the Class Period and

(b) multiplying the result by each Participating Class Member's Workweeks.

3.2.4.1. Tax Allocation of Individual Class Payments. 20% of each Participating Class Member's Individual Class Payment will be allocated to settlement of wage claims (the "Wage Portion"). The Wage Portions are subject to tax withholding and will be reported on an IRS W-2 Form. The remaining 80% of each Participating Class Member's Individual Class Payment will be allocated to settlement of claims for interest and penalties (the "Non-Wage Portion"). The Non-Wage Portions are not subject to wage withholdings and will be reported on IRS 1099 Forms. Participating Class Members assume full responsibility and liability for any employee taxes owed on their Individual Class Payment.

3.2.4.2. Effect of Non-Participating Class Members on Calculation of Individual Class Payments. Non-Participating Class Members will not receive any Individual Class Payments. The Administrator will retain amounts equal to their Individual Class Payments in the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Participating Class Members on a pro rata basis.

3.2.5. To the LWDA and Aggrieved Employees: PAGA Penalties in the amount of \$10,000.00 to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, with 75% (\$7,500.00) allocated to the LWDA PAGA Payment and 25% (\$2,500.00) allocated to the Individual PAGA Payments.

3.2.5.1. The Administrator will calculate each Individual PAGA Payment by (a) dividing the amount of the Aggrieved Employees' 25% share of PAGA Penalties \$2,500.00 by the total number of PAGA Period Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the result by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Period Pay Periods. Aggrieved Employees assume full responsibility and liability for any taxes owed on their Individual PAGA Payment.

3.2.5.2. If the Court approves PAGA Penalties of less than the amount requested, the Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement Amount. The Administrator will report the Individual PAGA Payments on IRS 1099 Forms.

4. SETTLEMENT FUNDING AND PAYMENTS.

4.1. Class Workweeks and Aggrieved Employee Pay Periods. Based on a review of its records to date, JAR estimates there are 91 Class Members who collectively worked a total of 3,196 Workweeks, and 91 Aggrieved Employees who worked a total 3,070 of PAGA Pay Periods.

4.2. Class Data. Not later than 15 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement, JAR will simultaneously deliver the Class Data to the Administrator, in the form of a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. To protect Class Members' privacy rights,

the Administrator must maintain the Class Data in confidence, use the Class Data only for purposes of this Settlement and for no other purpose, and restrict access to the Class Data to Administrator employees who need access to the Class Data to effect and perform under this Agreement. JAR has a continuing duty to immediately notify Class Counsel if it discovers that the Class Data omitted class member identifying information and to provide corrected or updated Class Data as soon as reasonably feasible. Without any extension of the deadline by which JAR must send the Class Data to the Administrator, the Parties and their counsel will expeditiously use best efforts, in good faith, to reconstruct or otherwise resolve any issues related to missing or omitted Class Data.

- 4.3. Funding of Gross Settlement Amount. Defendants shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount, and also fund the amounts necessary to fully pay Defendants' share of payroll taxes by transmitting the funds to the Administrator no later than 14 days after the Effective Date.
- 4.4. Payments from the Gross Settlement Amount. Within 14 days after Defendants funds the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will mail checks for all Individual Class Payments, all Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, the Administration Expenses Payment, the Class Counsel Fees Payment, the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Class Representative Service Payment. Disbursement of the Class Counsel Fees Payment, the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and the Class Representative Service Payment shall not precede disbursement of Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments.
 - 4.4.1. The Administrator will issue checks for the Individual Class Payments and/or Individual PAGA Payments and send them to the Class Members via First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid. The face of each check shall prominently state the date (not less than 180 days after the date of mailing) when the check will be voided. The Administrator will cancel all checks not cashed by the void date. The Administrator will send checks for Individual Settlement Payments to all Participating Class Members (including those for whom Class Notice was returned undelivered). The Administrator will send checks for Individual PAGA Payments to all Aggrieved Employees including Non-Participating Class Members who qualify as Aggrieved Employees (including those for whom Class Notice was returned undelivered). The Administrator may send Participating Class Members a single check combining the Individual Class Payment and the Individual PAGA Payment. Before mailing any checks, the Settlement Administrator must update the recipients' mailing addresses using the National Change of Address Database.
 - 4.4.2. The Administrator must conduct a Class Member Address Search for all other Class Members whose checks are returned undelivered without USPS forwarding address. Within seven (7) days of receiving a returned check the Administrator must re-mail checks to the USPS forwarding address provided or to an address ascertained through the Class Member Address Search. The Administrator need not take further steps to deliver checks to Class Members whose re-mailed checks are returned as undelivered. The Administrator shall promptly

send a replacement check to any Class Member whose original check was lost or misplaced, requested by the Class Member prior to the void date.

4.4.3. For any Class Member whose Individual Class Payment check or Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Class Member thereby leaving no "unpaid residue" subject to the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 384, subd. (b).

4.4.4. The payment of Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments shall not obligate Defendants to confer any additional benefits or make any additional payments to Class Members (such as 401(k) contributions or bonuses) beyond those specified in this Agreement.

5. RESERVED IN COURT'S FORM

6. **RELEASES OF CLAIMS.** Effective on the date when Defendants fully fund the entire Gross Settlement Amount and fund all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class Payments, Plaintiff, Class Members, and Class Counsel will release claims against all Released Parties as follows:

6.1 Plaintiff's Release. Plaintiff and his respective former and present spouses, representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns generally, release and discharge Released Parties from all claims, transactions, or occurrences that occurred during the Class Period, including, but not limited to: (a) all claims that were, or reasonably could have been, alleged, based on the facts contained, in the Operative Complaints and (b) all PAGA claims that were, or reasonably could have been, alleged based on facts contained in the Operative Complaints, Plaintiff's PAGA Notice, or ascertained during the Action and released under 6.2 below. ("Plaintiff's Release.") Plaintiff's Release does not extend to any claims or actions to enforce this Agreement, or to any claims for vested benefits, unemployment benefits, disability benefits, social security benefits, workers' compensation benefits that arose at any time, or based on occurrences outside the Class Period. Plaintiff acknowledges that Plaintiff may discover facts or law different from, or in addition to, the facts or law that Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true but agrees, nonetheless, that Plaintiff's Release shall be and remain effective in all respects, notwithstanding such different or additional facts or Plaintiff's discovery of them.

6.1.1 Plaintiff's Waiver of Rights Under California Civil Code Section 1542. For purposes of Plaintiff's Release, Plaintiff expressly waives and relinquishes the provisions, rights, and benefits, if any, of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her

settlement with the debtor or released party.

- 6.2 Release by Participating Class Members Who Are Not Aggrieved Employees: All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from (i) all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaints and ascertained in the course of the Action, including (1) failure to pay all earned wages, (2) unpaid minimum wages, (3) unpaid overtime wages, (4) failure to provide meal periods, (5) failure to provide rest periods, (6) failure to provide accurate wage statements, (7) waiting time penalties, (8) failure to maintain accurate payroll, (9) failure to pay all wages earned for labor performed each pay period, (10) failure to indemnify for all necessary business expenditures, (11) unfair competition pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq., and (12) violation of California Labor Code section 2698, et seq. Except as set forth in Section 6.3 of this Agreement, Participating Class Members do not release any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, or claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period.

- 6.3 Release by Non-Participating Class Members Who Are Aggrieved Employees: All Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaints PAGA Notice, including violation of California Labor Code section 2698, et seq., and ascertained in the course of the Action.

7. **MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL.** The Parties agree to jointly prepare and file a motion for preliminary approval ("Motion for Preliminary Approval") that complies with the Court's current checklist for Preliminary Approvals.

- 7.1 Defendants' Declaration in Support of Preliminary Approval. Within 14 days of the full execution of this Agreement, Defendant will prepare and deliver to Class Counsel a signed Declaration from Defendants and Defense Counsel disclosing all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflicts of interest with the Administrator. In their Declarations, Defense Counsel and Defendants shall aver that they are not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or adversely affected by the Settlement.

- 7.2 Plaintiff's Responsibilities. Plaintiff will prepare and deliver to Defense Counsel all documents necessary for obtaining Preliminary Approval, including: (i) a draft of the notice, and memorandum in support, of the Motion for Preliminary Approval that includes an analysis of the Settlement under *Dunk/Kullar* and a request for approval of the PAGA Settlement under Labor Code Section 2699, subd. (f)(2)); (ii) a draft proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval and Approval of PAGA Settlement; (iii) a draft proposed Class Notice; (iv) a signed declaration from the Administrator attaching its

“not to exceed” bid for administering the Settlement and attesting to its willingness to serve; competency; operative procedures for protecting the security of Class Data; amounts of insurance coverage for any data breach, defalcation of funds or other misfeasance; all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflicts of interest with Class Members; and the nature and extent of any financial relationship with Plaintiff, Class Counsel or Defense Counsel; (v) a signed declaration from Plaintiff confirming willingness and competency to serve and disclosing all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflicts of interest with Class Members, and/or the Administrator; (v) a signed declaration from each Class Counsel firm attesting to its competency to represent the Class Members; its timely transmission to the LWDA of all necessary PAGA documents (initial notice of violations (Labor Code section 2699.3, subd. (a)), Operative Complaint (Labor Code section 2699, subd. (1)(1)), this Agreement (Labor Code section 2699, subd. (1)(2)); (vi) a redlined version of the parties’ Agreement showing all modifications made to the Model Agreement ready for filing with the Court; and (vii) all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflict of interest with Class Members and/or the Administrator. In their Declarations, Plaintiff and Class Counsel shall aver that they are not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or adversely affected by the Settlement.

7.3 Responsibilities of Counsel. Class Counsel and Defense Counsel are jointly responsible for expeditiously finalizing and filing the Motion for Preliminary Approval no later than 30 days after the full execution of this Agreement; obtaining a prompt hearing date for the Motion for Preliminary Approval; and for appearing in Court to advocate in favor of the Motion for Preliminary Approval. Class Counsel is responsible for delivering the Court’s Preliminary Approval Order to the Administrator.

7.4 Duty to Cooperate. If the Parties disagree on any aspect of the proposed Motion for Preliminary Approval and/or the supporting declarations and documents, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously work together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to resolve the disagreement. If the Court does not grant Preliminary Approval or conditions Preliminary Approval on any material change to this Agreement, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously work together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to modify the Agreement and otherwise satisfy the Court’s concerns.

8. SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION.

8.1 Selection of Administrator. The Parties have jointly selected CPT Group Class Action Administrators to serve as the Administrator and verified that, as a condition of appointment, CPT Group Class Action Administrators agrees to be bound by this Agreement and to perform, as a fiduciary, all duties specified in this Agreement in exchange for payment of Administration Expenses. The Parties and their Counsel represent that they have no interest or relationship, financial or otherwise, with the Administrator other than a professional relationship arising out of prior experiences administering settlements.

- 8.2 Employer Identification Number. The Administrator shall have and use its own Employer Identification Number for purposes of calculating payroll tax withholdings and providing reports state and federal tax authorities.
- 8.3 Qualified Settlement Fund. The Administrator shall establish a settlement fund that meets the requirements of a Qualified Settlement Fund (“QSF”) under US Treasury Regulation section 468B-1.
- 8.4 Notice to Class Members.
- 8.4.1 No later than three (3) business days after receipt of the Class Data, the Administrator shall notify Class Counsel that the list has been received and state the number of Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, Workweeks, and Pay Periods reflected in the Class Data.
- 8.4.2 Using best efforts to perform as soon as possible, and in no event later than fourteen (14) days after receiving the Class Data, the Administrator will send to all Class Members identified in the Class Data, via first-class United States Postal Service (“USPS”) mail, the Class Notice (with Spanish translation) substantially in the form attached to this Agreement as Exhibit A. The first page of the Class Notice shall prominently estimate the dollar amounts of any Individual Class Payment and/or Individual PAGA Payment payable to the Class Member, and the number of Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods (if applicable) used to calculate these amounts. Before mailing Class Notices, the Administrator shall update Class Member addresses using the National Change of Address database.
- 8.4.3 Not later than three (3) business days after the Administrator’s receipt of any Class Notice returned by the USPS as undelivered, the Administrator shall re-mail the Class Notice using any forwarding address provided by the USPS. If the USPS does not provide a forwarding address, the Administrator shall conduct a Class Member Address Search, and re-mail the Class Notice to the most current address obtained. The Administrator has no obligation to make further attempts to locate or send Class Notice to Class Members whose Class Notice is returned by the USPS a second time.
- 8.4.4 The deadlines for Class Members’ written objections, challenges to Workweeks and/or Pay Periods, and Requests for Exclusion will be extended an additional 14 days beyond the 45 days otherwise provided in the Class Notice for all Class Members whose notice is re-mailed. The Administrator will inform the Class Member of the extended deadline with the re-mailed Class Notice.
- 8.4.5 If the Administrator, Defendants or Class Counsel is contacted by or otherwise discovers any persons who believe they should have been included in the Class Data and should have received Class Notice, the Parties will expeditiously meet and confer in person or by telephone, and in good faith, in an effort to agree on whether to include them as Class Members. If the Parties agree, such persons will be Class Members entitled to the same rights as other Class Members, and the Administrator will send, via email or overnight delivery, a

Class Notice requiring them to exercise options under this Agreement not later than 14 days after receipt of Class Notice, or the deadline dates in the Class Notice, which ever are later.

8.5 Requests for Exclusion (Opt-Outs).

8.5.1 Class Members who wish to exclude themselves (opt-out of) the Class Settlement must send the Administrator, by fax, email, or mail, a signed written Request for Exclusion not later than 45 days after the Administrator mails the Class Notice (plus an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed). A Request for Exclusion is a letter from a Class Member or his/her representative that reasonably communicates the Class Member's election to be excluded from the Settlement and includes the Class Member's name, address and email address or telephone number and Social Security number. To be valid, a Request for Exclusion must be timely faxed, emailed, or postmarked by the Response Deadline.

8.5.2 The Administrator may not reject a Request for Exclusion as invalid because it fails to contain all the information specified in the Class Notice. The Administrator shall accept any Request for Exclusion as valid if the Administrator can reasonably ascertain the identity of the person as a Class Member and the Class Member's desire to be excluded. The Administrator's determination shall be final and not appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge. If the Administrator has reason to question the authenticity of a Request for Exclusion, the Administrator may demand additional proof of the Class Member's identity. The Administrator's determination of authenticity shall be final and not appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge.

8.5.3 Every Class Member who does not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion is deemed to be a Participating Class Member under this Agreement, entitled to all benefits and bound by all terms and conditions of the Settlement, including the Participating Class Members' Releases under Paragraphs 6.2 and 6.3 of this Agreement, regardless whether the Participating Class Member actually receives the Class Notice or objects to the Settlement.

8.5.4 Every Class Member who submits a valid and timely Request for Exclusion is a Non-Participating Class Member and shall not receive an Individual Class Payment or have the right to object to the class action components of the Settlement. Because future PAGA claims are subject to claim preclusion upon entry of the Judgment, Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release the claims identified in Paragraph 6.4 of this Agreement and are eligible for an Individual PAGA Payment.

8.6 Challenges to Calculation of Workweeks. Each Class Member shall have 45 days after the Administrator mails the Class Notice (plus an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed) to challenge the number of Class Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods (if any) allocated to the Class Member in the Class

Notice. The Class Member may challenge the allocation by communicating with the Administrator via fax, email or mail. The Administrator must encourage the challenging Class Member to submit supporting documentation. In the absence of any contrary documentation, the Administrator is entitled to presume that the Workweeks contained in the Class Notice are correct so long as they are consistent with the Class Data. The Administrator's determination of each Class Member's allocation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods shall be final and not appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge. The Administrator shall promptly provide copies of all challenges to calculation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods to Defense Counsel and Class Counsel and the Administrator's determination regarding the challenges.

8.7 Objections to Settlement.

8.7.1 Only Participating Class Members may object to the class action components of the Settlement and/or this Agreement, including contesting the fairness of the Settlement, and/or amounts requested for the Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and/or Class Representative Service Payment.

8.7.2 Participating Class Members may send written objections to the Administrator, by fax, email, or mail. In the alternative, Participating Class Members may appear in Court (or hire an attorney to appear in Court) to present verbal objections at the Final Approval Hearing. A Participating Class Member who elects to send a written objection to the Administrator must do so not later than 45 days after the Administrator's mailing of the Class Notice (plus an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice was re-mailed).

8.7.3 Non-Participating Class Members have no right to object to any of the class action components of the Settlement.

8.8 Administrator Duties. The Administrator has a duty to perform or observe all tasks to be performed or observed by the Administrator contained in this Agreement or otherwise.

8.8.1 Website, Email Address and Toll-Free Number. The Administrator will establish and maintain and use an internet website to post information of interest to Class Members including the date, time and location for the Final Approval Hearing and copies of the Settlement Agreement, Motion for Preliminary Approval, the Preliminary Approval, the Class Notice, the Motion for Final Approval, the Motion for Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and Class Representative Service Payment, the Final Approval and the Judgment. The Administrator will also maintain and monitor an email address and a toll-free telephone number to receive Class Member calls, faxes and emails.

8.8.2 Requests for Exclusion (Opt-outs) and Exclusion List. The Administrator will promptly review on a rolling basis Requests for Exclusion to ascertain their

validity. Not later than 5 days after the expiration of the deadline for submitting Requests for Exclusion, the Administrator shall email a list to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel containing (a) the names and other identifying information of Class Members who have timely submitted valid Requests for Exclusion (“Exclusion List”); (b) the names and other identifying information of Class Members who have submitted invalid Requests for Exclusion; and (c) copies of all Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement submitted by the Class Members (whether valid or invalid).

8.8.3 Weekly Reports. The Administrator must, on a weekly basis, provide written reports to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel that, among other things, tally the number of: Class Notices mailed or re-mailed, Class Notices returned undelivered, Requests for Exclusion (whether valid or invalid) received, objections received, challenges to Workweeks and/or PAGA Pay Periods received and/or resolved, and checks mailed for Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments (“Weekly Report”). The Weekly Reports must include the Administrator’s assessment of the validity of Requests for Exclusion and attach copies of all Requests for Exclusion and objections received.

8.8.4 Workweek and/or Pay Period Challenges. The Administrator has the authority to address and make final decisions consistent with the terms of this Agreement on all Class Member challenges over the calculation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods. The Administrator’s decision shall be final and not appealable or otherwise susceptible to challenge.

8.8.5 Administrator’s Declaration. Not later than 14 days before the date by which Plaintiff is required to file the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement, the Administrator will provide to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel, a signed declaration suitable for filing in Court attesting to its due diligence and compliance with all of its obligations under this Agreement, including, but not limited to, its mailing of Class Notice, the Class Notices returned as undelivered, the re-mailing of Class Notices, attempts to locate Class Members, the total number of Requests for Exclusion from Settlement it received (both valid or invalid), the number of written objections and attach the Exclusion List. The Administrator will supplement its declaration as needed or requested by the Parties and/or the Court. Class Counsel is responsible for filing the Administrator’s declaration(s) in Court.

8.8.6 Disbursement Summary. Prior to disbursement of any funds, the Administrator will provide a disbursement summary of the calculations for the Class Representative Service Award, Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, LWDA PAGA Payment, Administration Expenses Payment, Individual Class Payments, and Individual PAGA Payments for review and approval by Class Counsel and Defense Counsel.

8.8.7

8.8.8 Final Report by Settlement Administrator. Within 10 days after the

Administrator disburses all funds in the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will provide Class Counsel and Defense Counsel with a final report detailing its disbursements by employee identification number only of all payments made under this Agreement. At least 15 days before any deadline set by the Court, the Administrator will prepare, and submit to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel, a signed declaration suitable for filing in Court attesting to its disbursement of all payments required under this Agreement. Class Counsel is responsible for filing the Administrator's declaration in Court.

9. **CLASS SIZE ESTIMATES** Based on its records, JAR represents that, as of the date of this Settlement Agreement, (1) there are 91 Class Members and 3,196 Total Workweeks during the Class period and (2) there were 91 Aggrieved Employees who worked 3,070 Pay Periods during the PAGA Period.
10. **DEFENDANTS' RIGHT TO WITHDRAW.** If the number of valid Requests for Exclusion identified in the Exclusion List exceeds 7.5% of the total of all Class Members, Defendants may, but are not obligated, elect to withdraw from the Settlement. The Parties agree that, if Defendants withdraw, the Settlement shall be void ab initio, have no force or effect whatsoever, and that none of the Parties will have any further obligation to perform under this Agreement; provided, however, Defendants will remain responsible for paying all Settlement Administration Expenses incurred to that point. Defendants must notify Class Counsel and the Court of their election to withdraw not later than seven (7) days after the Administrator sends the final Exclusion List to Defense Counsel; late elections will have no effect.
11. **MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL.** Not later than sixteen (16) court days before the calendared Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiff will file in Court, a motion for final approval of the Settlement that includes a request for approval of the PAGA settlement under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (l), a Proposed Final Approval Order and a proposed Judgment (collectively "Motion for Final Approval"). Plaintiff shall provide drafts of these documents to Defense Counsel not later than five days prior to filing the Motion for Final Approval. Class Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously meet and confer in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to resolve any disagreements concerning the Motion for Final Approval.
 - 11.1 Response to Objections. Each Party retains the right to respond to any objection raised by a Participating Class Member, including the right to file responsive documents in Court no later than five (5) court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, or as otherwise ordered or accepted by the Court.
 - 11.2 Duty to Cooperate. If the Court does not grant Final Approval or conditions Final Approval on any material change to the Settlement (including, but not limited to, the scope of release to be granted by Class Members), the Parties will expeditiously work together in good faith to address the Court's concerns by revising the Agreement as necessary to obtain Final Approval. The Court's decision to award less than the amounts requested for the Class Representative Service Payment, Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and/or Administrator Expenses Payment

shall not constitute a material modification to the Agreement within the meaning of this paragraph.

- 11.3 Continuing Jurisdiction of the Court. The Parties agree that, after entry of Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 over the Parties, Action, and the Settlement solely for purposes of (i) enforcing this Agreement and/or Judgment, (ii) addressing settlement administration matters, and (iii) addressing such post-Judgment matters as are permitted by law.
- 11.4 Waiver of Right to Appeal. Provided the Judgment is consistent with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, specifically including the Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment reflected set forth in this Settlement, the Parties, their respective counsel, and all Participating Class Members who did not object to the Settlement as provided in this Agreement, waive all rights to appeal from the Judgment, including all rights to post-judgment and appellate proceedings, the right to file motions to vacate judgment, motions for new trial, extraordinary writs, and appeals. The waiver of appeal does not include any waiver of the right to oppose such motions, writs or appeals. If an objector appeals the Judgment, the Parties' obligations to perform under this Agreement will be suspended until such time as the appeal is finally resolved and the Judgment becomes final, except as to matters that do not affect the amount of the Net Settlement Amount.
- 11.5 Appellate Court Orders to Vacate, Reverse, or Materially Modify Judgment. If the reviewing Court vacates, reverses, or modifies the Judgment in a manner that requires a material modification of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, the scope of release to be granted by Class Members), this Agreement shall be null and void. The Parties shall nevertheless expeditiously work together in good faith to address the appellate court's concerns and to obtain Final Approval and entry of Judgment, sharing, on a 50-50 basis, any additional Administration Expenses reasonably incurred after remittitur. An appellate decision to vacate, reverse, or modify the Court's award of the Class Representative Service Payment or any payments to Class Counsel shall not constitute a material modification of the Judgment within the meaning of this paragraph, as long as the Gross Settlement Amount remains unchanged.
- 12. AMENDED JUDGMENT.** If any amended judgment is required under Code of Civil Procedure section 384, the Parties will work together in good faith to jointly submit and a proposed amended judgment.
- 13. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS.**
- 13.1 No Admission of Liability, Class Certification or Representative Manageability for Other Purposes. This Agreement represents a compromise and settlement of highly disputed claims. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or should be construed as an admission by Defendants that any of the allegations in the Operative Complaints and/or PAGA Notices have merit or that Defendants have any liability for any claims asserted; nor should it be intended or construed as an admission by Plaintiff that Defendants' defenses in the Action have merit. The Parties agree that class certification and

representative treatment is for purposes of this Settlement only. If, for any reason the Court does grant Preliminary Approval, Final Approval or enter Judgment, Defendants reserve the right to contest certification of any class for any reasons, and Defendants reserve all available defenses to the claims in the Action, and Plaintiff reserves the right to move for class certification on any grounds available and to contest Defendants' defenses. The Settlement, this Agreement and Parties' willingness to settle the Action will have no bearing on, and will not be admissible in connection with, any litigation (except for proceedings to enforce or effectuate the Settlement and this Agreement).

13.2 Confidentiality Prior to Preliminary Approval. Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants and Defense Counsel separately agree that, until the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement is filed, they and each of them will not disclose, disseminate and/or publicize, or cause or permit another person to disclose, disseminate or publicize, any of the terms of the Agreement directly or indirectly, specifically or generally, to any person, corporation, association, government agency, or other entity except: (1) to the Parties' attorneys, accountants, or spouses, all of whom will be instructed to keep this Agreement confidential; (2) counsel in a related matter; (3) to the extent necessary to report income to appropriate taxing authorities; (4) in response to a court order or subpoena; or (5) in response to an inquiry or subpoena issued by a state or federal government agency. Each Party agrees to immediately notify each other Party of any judicial or agency order, inquiry, or subpoena seeking such information. Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants and Defense Counsel separately agree not to, directly or indirectly, initiate any conversation or other communication, before the filing of the Motion for Preliminary Approval, with any third party regarding this Agreement or the matters giving rise to this Agreement except to respond only that "the matter was resolved," or words to that effect. This paragraph does not restrict Class Counsel's communications with Class Members in accordance with Class Counsel's ethical obligations owed to Class Members.

13.3 No Solicitation. The Parties separately agree that they and their respective counsel and employees will not solicit any Class Member to opt out of or object to the Settlement, or appeal from the Judgment. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to restrict Class Counsel's ability to communicate with Class Members in accordance with Class Counsel's ethical obligations owed to Class Members.

13.4 Integrated Agreement. Upon execution by all Parties and their counsel, this Agreement together with its attached exhibits shall constitute the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the Settlement, superseding any and all oral representations, warranties, covenants, or inducements made to or by any Party.

13.5 Attorney Authorization. Class Counsel and Defense Counsel separately warrant and represent that they are authorized by Plaintiff and Defendants, respectively, to take all appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by such Parties pursuant to this Agreement to effectuate its terms, and to execute any other documents reasonably required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement including any amendments to this Agreement.

13.6 Cooperation. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their

best efforts, in good faith, to implement the Settlement by, among other things, modifying the Settlement Agreement, submitting supplemental evidence and supplementing points and authorities as requested by the Court. In the event the Parties are unable to agree upon the form or content of any document necessary to implement the Settlement, or on any modification of the Agreement that may become necessary to implement the Settlement, the Parties will seek the assistance of a mediator and/or the Court for resolution.

- 13.7 No Prior Assignments. The Parties separately represent and warrant that they have not directly or indirectly assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber to any person or entity and portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action, or right released and discharged by the Party in this Settlement.
- 13.8 No Tax Advice. Neither Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Defendants nor Defense Counsel are providing any advice regarding taxes or taxability, nor shall anything in this Settlement be relied upon as such within the meaning of United States Treasury Department Circular 230 (31 CFR Part 10, as amended) or otherwise.
- 13.9 Modification of Agreement. This Agreement, and all parts of it, may be amended, modified, changed, or waived only by an express written instrument signed by all Parties or their representatives, and approved by the Court.
- 13.10 Agreement Binding on Successors. This Agreement will be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors of each of the Parties.
- 13.11 Applicable Law. All terms and conditions of this Agreement and its exhibits will be governed by and interpreted according to the internal laws of the state of California, without regard to conflict of law principles.
- 13.12 Cooperation in Drafting. The Parties have cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Agreement. This Agreement will not be construed against any Party on the basis that the Party was the drafter or participated in the drafting.
- 13.13 Confidentiality. To the extent permitted by law, all agreements made, and orders entered during Action and in this Agreement relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive the execution of this Agreement.
- 13.14 Use and Return of Class Data. Information provided to Class Counsel pursuant to Cal. Evid. Code §1152, and all copies and summaries of the Class Data provided to Class Counsel by Defendants in connection with the mediation, other settlement negotiations, or in connection with the Settlement, may be used only with respect to this Settlement, and no other purpose, and may not be used in any way that violates any existing contractual agreement, statute, or rule of court. Not later than 90 days after the date when the Court discharges the Administrator's obligation to provide a Declaration confirming the final pay out of all Settlement funds, Plaintiff shall destroy, all paper and electronic versions of Class Data received from JAR unless, prior to the Court's discharge of the Administrator's obligation, JAR makes a written request to Class

Counsel for the return, rather than the destructions, of Class Data.

13.15 Headings. The descriptive heading of any section or paragraph of this Agreement is inserted for convenience of reference only and does not constitute a part of this Agreement.

13.16 Calendar Days. Unless otherwise noted, all reference to “days” in this Agreement shall be to calendar days. In the event any date or deadline set forth in this Agreement falls on a weekend or federal legal holiday, such date or deadline shall be on the first business day thereafter.

13.17 Notice. All notices, demands or other communications between the Parties in connection with this Agreement will be in writing and deemed to have been duly given as of the third business day after mailing by United States mail, or the day sent by email or messenger, addressed as follows:

To Plaintiff:

Ronald W. Makarem
Samuel Almon
Daniel J. Bass
Makarem & Associates APLC
11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2440
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1760
Tel (310) 312-0299
Fax (310) 312-0296
almon@law-rm.com
bass@law-rm.com
clerks@law-rm.com

To Defendants:

Chandra A. Beaton (cbeaton@lightgablerlaw.com)
Kathleen M. Fellows (kfellows@lightgabler.law.com)
LightGabler
760 Paseo Camarillo, Suite 300
Camarillo, CA 90310
Tel (805) 248-7208
Fax (805) 248-7209

13.18 Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts by facsimile, electronically (i.e. DocuSign), or email which for purposes of this Agreement shall be accepted as an original. All executed counterparts and each of them will be deemed to be one and the same instrument if counsel for the Parties will exchange between themselves signed counterparts. Any executed counterpart will be

admissible in evidence to prove the existence and contents of this Agreement.

13.19 Stay of Litigation. The Parties agree that upon the execution of this Agreement the litigation shall be stayed, except to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The Parties further agree that upon the signing of this Agreement that pursuant to CCP section 583.330 to extend the date to bring a case to trial under CCP section 583.310 for the entire period of this settlement process.

Date: 01 / 31 / 2023

By:


Plaintiff Joaquin Rojas


Date: 01 / 31 / 2023

By:


Samuel Almon
Makarem & Associates
Counsel for Plaintiff


Date: 1-27-2023

By:

 for JAR Transportation Inc.
JAR TRANSPORTATION INC.

Date: 1-27-2023

By:


RAYMOND PAUL JUNE

Date: 1/27/2023

By:

LIGHTGABLER

Chandra A. Beaton
Kathleen M. Fellows
Attorneys for Defendants
JAR Transportation Inc. and
Raymond Paul June

COURT APPROVED NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND HEARING DATE FOR FINAL COURT APPROVAL

(Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Nos. 21STCV15613 and 21STCV41706)

***The Superior Court for the State of California authorized this Notice. Read it carefully!
It's not junk mail, spam, an advertisement, or solicitation by a lawyer. You are not being sued.***

You may be eligible to receive money from an employee class action lawsuit (“Action”) against JAR Transportation Inc. (“JAR”) and Raymond Paul June (who will be referred to in this Notice as “Defendants”) for alleged wage and hour violations. The Action was filed by a former JAR employee Joaquin Rojas (“Plaintiff”) and seeks payment of alleged (1) failure to pay all unpaid wages, (2) unpaid minimum wages, (3) unpaid overtime wages, (4) failure to provide meal periods, (5) failure to provide rest periods, (6) failure to provide accurate wage statements, (7) waiting time penalties, (8) failure to maintain accurate payroll, (9) failure to pay all wages earned for labor performed each pay period, (10) failure to indemnify for all necessary business expenditures, and (11) unfair competition pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq., for a class of JAR’s non-exempt employees in the State of California (“Class Members”) at any time between July 1, 2020 and September 7, 2022 (“Class Period”); and (2) penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”) for JAR’s non-exempt employees in the State of California who worked during the PAGA Period (September 8, 2020 to September 7, 2022) (“Aggrieved Employees”).

The proposed Settlement has two main parts: (1) a Class Settlement requiring Defendants to fund Individual Class Payments, and (2) a PAGA Settlement requiring Defendants to fund Individual PAGA Payments and pay penalties to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”).

Based on JAR’s records, and the Parties’ current assumptions, **your Individual Class Payment is estimated to be \$ _____ (less withholding) and your Individual PAGA Payment is estimated to be \$ _____**. The actual amount you may receive likely will be different and will depend on a number of factors. (If no amount is stated for your Individual PAGA Payment, then according to JAR’s records you are not eligible for an Individual PAGA Payment under the Settlement because you did not work during the PAGA Period.)

The above estimates are based on JAR’s records showing that **you worked _____ workweeks** during the Class Period and **you worked _____ workweeks** during the PAGA Period. If you believe that you worked more workweeks during either period, you can submit a challenge by the deadline date. See Section 4 of this Notice.

The Court has already preliminarily approved the proposed Settlement and approved this Notice. The Court has not yet decided whether to grant final approval. Your legal rights are affected whether you act or not act. Read this Notice carefully. You will be deemed to have carefully read and understood it. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will decide whether to finally approve the Settlement and how much of the Settlement will be paid to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s attorneys (“Class Counsel”). The Court will also decide whether to enter a judgment that requires Defendants to make payments under the Settlement and requires Class Members and Aggrieved Employees to give up their rights to assert certain claims against Defendants.

If you worked for JAR during the Class Period and/or the PAGA Period, you have two basic options under the Settlement:

(1) **Do Nothing.** You don't have to do anything to participate in the proposed Settlement and be eligible for an Individual Class Payment and/or an Individual PAGA Payment. As a Participating Class Member, though, you will give up your right to assert Class Period wage claims and PAGA Period penalty claims against Defendants.

(2) **Opt-Out of the Class Settlement.** You can exclude yourself from the Class Settlement (opt-out) by submitting the written Request for Exclusion or otherwise notifying the Administrator in writing. If you opt-out of the Settlement, you will not receive an Individual Class Payment. You will, however, preserve your right to personally pursue Class Period wage claims against Defendants, and, if you are an Aggrieved Employee, remain eligible for an Individual PAGA Payment. You cannot opt-out of the PAGA portion of the proposed Settlement.

Defendants will not retaliate against you for any actions you take with respect to the proposed Settlement.

SUMMARY OF YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT

You Don't Have to Do Anything to Participate in the Settlement	If you do nothing, you will be a Participating Class Member, eligible for an Individual Class Payment and an Individual PAGA Payment (if any). In exchange, you will give up your right to assert the wage claims against Defendants that are covered by this Settlement (Released Claims).
You Can Opt-out of the Class Settlement but not the PAGA Settlement The Opt-out Deadline is _____	If you don't want to fully participate in the proposed Settlement, you can opt-out of the Class Settlement by sending the Administrator a written Request for Exclusion. Once excluded, you will be a Non-Participating Class Member and no longer eligible for an Individual Class Payment. Non-Participating Class Members cannot object to any portion of the proposed Settlement. See Section 6 of this Notice. You cannot opt-out of the PAGA portion of the proposed Settlement. Defendants must pay Individual PAGA Payments to all Aggrieved Employees and the Aggrieved Employees must give up their rights to pursue Released Claims (defined below).
Participating Class Members Can Object to the Class Settlement but not the PAGA Settlement Written Objections Must be Submitted by _____	All Class Members who do not opt-out ("Participating Class Members") can object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement. The Court's decision whether to finally approve the Settlement will include a determination of how much will be paid to Class Counsel and Plaintiff who pursued the Action on behalf of the Class. You are not personally responsible for any payments to Class Counsel or Plaintiff, but every dollar paid to Class Counsel and Plaintiff reduces the overall amount paid to Participating Class Members. You can object to the amounts requested by Class Counsel or Plaintiff if you think they are unreasonable. See Section 7 of this Notice.

You Can Participate in the Final Approval Hearing	The Court's Final Approval Hearing is scheduled to take place on _____. You don't have to attend but you do have the right to appear (or hire an attorney to appear on your behalf at your own cost), in person, by telephone or by using the Court's virtual appearance platform. Participating Class Members can verbally object to the Settlement at the Final Approval Hearing. See Section 8 of this Notice.
You Can Challenge the Calculation of Your Workweeks/Pay Periods Written Challenges Must be Submitted by _____	The amount of your Individual Class Payment and PAGA Payment (if any) depend on how many workweeks you worked at least one day during the Class Period and how many Pay Periods you worked at least one day during the PAGA Period, respectively. The number Class Period Workweeks and number of PAGA Period Pay Periods you worked according to JAR's records is stated on the first page of this Notice. If you disagree with either of these numbers, you must challenge it by _____. See Section 4 of this Notice.

1. WHAT IS THE ACTION ABOUT?

Plaintiff is a former JAR employee. The Action alleges that Defendants violated California labor laws by failing to pay overtime wages, minimum wages, wages due upon termination and reimbursable expenses and failing to provide meal periods, rest breaks and accurate itemized wage statements. Based on the same claims, Plaintiff has also asserted a claim for civil penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.) ("PAGA"). Plaintiff is represented by attorneys in the Action: Ron Makarem, Samuel Almon, and Daniel Bass of Makarem & Associates, APC ("Class Counsel.")

Defendants strongly deny violating any laws or failing to pay any wages and contend they complied with all applicable laws.

2. WHAT DOES IT MEAN THAT THE ACTION HAS SETTLED?

So far, the Court has made no determination whether Defendants or Plaintiff is correct on the merits. In the meantime, Plaintiff and Defendants hired an experienced, neutral mediator to hold a daylong mediation in an effort to resolve the Action by negotiating an to end the case by agreement (settle the case) rather than continuing the expensive and time-consuming process of litigation. The negotiations were successful. By signing a lengthy written settlement agreement ("Agreement") and agreeing to jointly ask the Court to enter a judgment ending the Action and enforcing the Agreement, Plaintiff and Defendants have negotiated a proposed Settlement that is subject to the Court's Final Approval. Both sides agree the proposed Settlement is a compromise of disputed claims. By agreeing to settle, Defendants do not admit any violations or concede the merit of any claims.

Plaintiff and Class Counsel strongly believe the Settlement is a good deal for you because they believe that: (1) Defendants have agreed to pay a fair, reasonable and adequate amount considering the strength of the claims and the risks and uncertainties of continued litigation; and (2) Settlement is in the best interests of the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees. The Court preliminarily approved the proposed Settlement as fair, reasonable and adequate, authorized this Notice, and scheduled a hearing to determine Final Approval.

3. WHAT ARE THE IMPORTANT TERMS OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?

1. Defendants will Pay \$165,000.00 as the Gross Settlement Amount (Gross Settlement). Defendants have agreed to deposit the Gross Settlement into an account controlled by the Administrator of the Settlement. The Administrator will use the Gross Settlement to pay the Individual Class Payments, Individual PAGA Payments, Class Representative Service Payment, Class Counsel's attorney's fees and expenses, the Administrator's expenses, and penalties to be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"). Assuming the Court grants Final Approval, Defendants will fully fund the Gross Settlement not more than 14 days after the Judgment entered by the Court become final. The Judgment will be final on the date the Court enters Judgment, or a later date if Participating Class Members object to the proposed Settlement or the Judgment is appealed.
2. Court Approved Deductions from Gross Settlement. At the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiff and/or Class Counsel will ask the Court to approve the following deductions from the Gross Settlement, the amounts of which will be decided by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing:
 - A. Up to \$ 55,000.00 (33 1/3% of the Gross Settlement) to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees and up to \$20,000.00 for their litigation expenses. To date, Class Counsel have worked and incurred expenses on the Action without payment.
 - B. Up to \$7,500.00 as a Class Representative Award to Plaintiff for filing the Action, working with Class Counsel and representing the Class. A Class Representative Award will be the only monies Plaintiff will receive other than Plaintiff's Individual Class Payment and any Individual PAGA Payment.
 - C. Up to \$11,800.00 to the Administrator for services administering the Settlement.
 - D. Up to \$10,000.00 for PAGA Penalties, allocated 75% to the LWDA PAGA Payment (\$7,500.00) and 25% in Individual PAGA Payments (\$2,500.00) to the Aggrieved Employees based on their PAGA Period Pay Periods.

Participating Class Members have the right to object to any of these deductions. The Court will consider all objections.

3. Net Settlement Distributed to Class Members. After making the above deductions in amounts approved by the Court, the Administrator will distribute the rest of the Gross

Settlement (the “Net Settlement”) by making Individual Class Payments to Participating Class Members based on their Class Period Workweeks.

4. Taxes Owed on Payments to Class Members. Plaintiff and Defendants are asking the Court to approve an allocation of 20% of each Individual Class Payment to taxable wages (“Wage Portion”) and 80% to interest and penalties (“Non-Wage Portion.”). The Wage Portion is subject to withholdings and will be reported on IRS W-2 Forms. Defendants will separately pay employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion. The Individual PAGA Payments are counted as penalties rather than wages for tax purposes. The Administrator will report the Individual PAGA Payments and the Non-Wage Portions of the Individual Class Payments on IRS 1099 Forms.

Although Plaintiff and Defendants have agreed to these allocations, neither side is giving you any advice on whether your Payments are taxable or how much you might owe in taxes. You are responsible for paying all taxes (including penalties and interest on back taxes) on any Payments received from the proposed Settlement. You should consult a tax advisor if you have any questions about the tax consequences of the proposed Settlement.

5. Need to Promptly Cash Payment Checks. The front of every check issued for Individual Class Payments and Individual PAGA Payments will show the date when the check expires (the void date). If you don’t cash it by the void date, your check will be automatically cancelled, and the monies will be deposited with the California Controller’s Unclaimed Property Fund in your name. If the monies represented by your check is sent to the Controller’s Unclaimed Property, you should consult the rules of the Fund for instructions on how to retrieve your money.
6. Requests for Exclusion from the Class Settlement (Opt-Outs). You will be treated as a Participating Class Member, participating fully in the Class Settlement, unless you notify the Administrator in writing, not later than _____, that you wish to opt-out. The easiest way to notify the Administrator is to send a written and signed Request for Exclusion by the _____ Response Deadline. The Request for Exclusion should be a letter from a Class Member or his/her representative setting forth a Class Member’s name, present address, telephone number, Social Security number, and a simple statement electing to be excluded from the Settlement. Excluded Class Members (i.e., Non-Participating Class Members) will not receive Individual Class Payments, but will preserve their rights to personally pursue wage and hour claims against Defendants.

You cannot opt-out of the PAGA portion of the Settlement. Class Members who exclude themselves from the Class Settlement (Non-Participating Class Members) remain eligible for Individual PAGA Payments and are required to give up their right to assert PAGA claims against Defendants based on the PAGA Period facts alleged in the Action.

7. The Proposed Settlement Will be Void if the Court Denies Final Approval. It is possible the Court will decline to grant Final Approval of the Settlement or decline enter a Judgment. It is also possible the Court will enter a Judgment that is reversed on appeal. Plaintiff and Defendants have agreed that, in either case, the Settlement will be void: Defendants will not pay any money and Class Members will not release any claims against Defendants.
8. Administrator. The Court has appointed a neutral company, CPT Group Class Action Administrators (the “Administrator”) to send this Notice, calculate and make payments, and process Class Members’ Requests for Exclusion. The Administrator will also decide Class Member Challenges over Workweeks, mail and re-mail settlement checks and tax forms, and perform other tasks necessary to administer the Settlement. The Administrator’s contact information is contained in Section 9 of this Notice.
9. Participating Class Members’ Release. After the Judgment is final and Defendants have fully funded the Gross Settlement and separately paid all employer payroll taxes, Participating Class Members will be legally barred from asserting any of the claims released under the Settlement. This means that unless you opted out by validly excluding yourself from the Class Settlement, you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of any other lawsuit against Defendants or related entities for wages based on the Class Period facts and PAGA penalties based on PAGA Period facts, as alleged in the Action and resolved by this Settlement.

The Participating Class Members will be bound by the following release:

All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from (i) all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaints and ascertained in the course of the Action , including (1) failure to pay all earned wages, (2) unpaid minimum wages, (3) unpaid overtime wages, (4) failure to provide meal periods, (5) failure to provide rest periods, (6) failure to provide accurate wage statements, (7) waiting time penalties, (8) failure to maintain accurate payroll, (9) failure to pay all wages earned for labor performed each pay period, (10) failure to indemnify for all necessary business expenditures, (11) unfair competition pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq., and (12) violation of California Labor Code section 2698, et seq. Except as set forth in Section 6.3 of this Agreement, Participating Class Members do not release any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers’ compensation, or claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period.

10. Aggrieved Employees’ PAGA Release. After the Court’s judgment is final, and

Defendants have paid the Gross Settlement and separately paid the employer-side payroll taxes, all Aggrieved Employees will be barred from asserting PAGA claims against Defendants, whether or not they exclude themselves from the Settlement. This means that all Aggrieved Employees, including those who are Participating Class Members and those who opt-out of the Class Settlement, cannot sue, continue to sue, or participate in any other PAGA claim against Defendants or their related entities based on the PAGA Period facts alleged in the Action and resolved by this Settlement.

The Aggrieved Employees' Releases for Participating and Non-Participating Class Members are as follows:

All Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaints PAGA Notice, including violation of California Labor Code section 2698, et seq., and ascertained in the course of the Action.

4. HOW WILL THE ADMINISTRATOR CALCULATE MY PAYMENT?

1. Individual Class Payments. The Administrator will calculate Individual Class Payments by (a) dividing the Net Settlement Amount by the total number of Workweeks worked by all Participating Class Members, and (b) multiplying the result by the number of Workweeks worked by each individual Participating Class Member.
2. Individual PAGA Payments. The Administrator will calculate Individual PAGA Payments by (a) dividing \$2,500.00 by the total number of PAGA Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees and (b) multiplying the result by the number of PAGA Period Pay Periods worked by each individual Aggrieved Employee.
3. Workweek/Pay Period Challenges. The number of Class Workweeks you worked during the Class Period and the number of PAGA Pay Periods you worked during the PAGA Period, as recorded in JAR's records, are stated in the first page of this Notice. You have until _____ to challenge the number of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods credited to you. You can submit your challenge by signing and sending a letter to the Administrator via mail, email or fax. Section 9 of this Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

You need to support your challenge by sending copies of pay stubs or other records. The Administrator will accept JAR's calculation of Workweeks and/or Pay Periods based on JAR's records as accurate unless you send copies of records containing contrary information. You should send copies rather than originals because the documents will not be returned to you. The Administrator will resolve Workweek and/or Pay Period challenges based on your submission and on input from Class Counsel (who will advocate on behalf of Participating Class Members) and Defendant's Counsel. The Administrator's decision is final. You can't appeal or otherwise challenge its final

decision.

5. HOW WILL I GET PAID?

1. Participating Class Members. The Administrator will send, by U.S. mail, a single check to every Participating Class Member (i.e., every Class Member who doesn't opt-out) including those who also qualify as Aggrieved Employees. The single check will combine the Individual Class Payment and the Individual PAGA Payment.
2. Non-Participating Class Members. The Administrator will send, by U.S. mail, a single Individual PAGA Payment check to every Aggrieved Employee who opts out of the Class Settlement (i.e., every Non-Participating Class Member).

Your check will be sent to the same address as this Notice. If you change your address, be sure to notify the Administrator as soon as possible. Section 9 of this Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

6. HOW DO I OPT-OUT OF THE CLASS SETTLEMENT?

Submit a written and signed letter with your name, present address, telephone number, and a simple statement that you do not want to participate in the Settlement. The Administrator will exclude you based on any writing communicating your request be excluded. Be sure to personally sign your request, identify the Action as *Rojas v. JAR Transportation Inc. et al.*, and include your identifying information (full name, address, telephone number, approximate dates of employment, and Social Security number for verification purposes). You must make the request yourself. If someone else makes the request for you, it will not be valid. **The Administrator must be sent your request to be excluded by _____, or it will be invalid.** Section 9 of the Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

7. HOW DO I OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT?

Only Participating Class Members have the right to object to the Settlement. Before deciding whether to object, you may wish to see what Plaintiff and Defendants are asking the Court to approve. At least 16 court days before the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel and/or Plaintiff will file in Court (1) a Motion for Final Approval that includes, among other things, the reasons why the proposed Settlement is fair, and (2) a Motion for Fees, Litigation Expenses and Service Award stating (i) the amount Class Counsel is requesting for attorneys' fees and litigation expenses; and (ii) the amount Plaintiff is requesting as a Class Representative Service Award. Upon reasonable request, Class Counsel (whose contact information is in Section 9 of this Notice) will send you copies of these documents at no cost to you. You can also view them on the Administrator's Website _____ (url) or the Court's website _____ (url).

A Participating Class Member who disagrees with any aspect of the Agreement, the Motion for Final Approval and/or Motion for Fees, Litigation Expenses and Service Award may wish to object, for example, that the proposed Settlement is unfair, or that the amounts requested by Class Counsel or Plaintiff are too high or too low. **The deadline for sending written objections to the Administrator is _____.** Be sure to tell the Administrator what you object to, why you object, and any facts that support your objection. Make sure you identify the Action *Rojas v. JAR Transportation Inc. et al.*, and include your name, current address, telephone number, Social Security number, and approximate dates of employment

for JAR and sign the objection. Section 9 of this Notice has the Administrator's contact information.

Alternatively, a Participating Class Member can object (or personally retain a lawyer to object at your own cost) by attending the Final Approval Hearing. You (or your attorney) should be ready to tell the Court what you object to, why you object, and any facts that support your objection. See Section 8 of this Notice (immediately below) for specifics regarding the Final Approval Hearing.

8. CAN I ATTEND THE FINAL APPROVAL HEARING?

You can, but don't have to, attend the Final Approval Hearing on _____ at (time) in Department **11** of the Los Angeles Superior Court, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012. At the Hearing, the judge will decide whether to grant Final Approval of the Settlement and how much of the Gross Settlement will be paid to Class Counsel, Plaintiff, and the Administrator. The Court will invite comment from objectors, Class Counsel and Defense Counsel before making a decision. You can attend (or hire a lawyer to attend) either personally or virtually via LACourtConnect (<https://www.lacourt.org/lacc/>). Check the Court's website for the most current information.

It's possible the Court will reschedule the Final Approval Hearing. You should check the Administrator's website _____ beforehand or contact Class Counsel to verify the date and time of the Final Approval Hearing.

9. HOW CAN I GET MORE INFORMATION?

The Agreement sets forth everything Defendants and Plaintiff have promised to do under the proposed Settlement. The easiest way to read the Agreement, the Judgment or any other Settlement documents is to go to Administrator's website at _____ (url). You can also telephone or send an email to Class Counsel or the Administrator using the contact information listed below, or consult the Superior Court website by going to (<http://www.lacourt.org/casesummary/ui/index.aspx>) and entering the Case Number for the Action, Case Nos. 21STCV15613 (Class Action) and 21STCV41706 (PAGA). You can also make an appointment to personally review court documents in the Clerk's Office at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse by calling (213) 830-0800.

DO NOT TELEPHONE THE SUPERIOR COURT TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT.

Class Counsel:

Ronald W. Makarem

Samuel Almon

Daniel J. Bass

Makarem & Associates APLC

11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2440

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1760

Tel (310) 312-0299

Fax (310) 312-0296

almon@law-rm.com
bass@law-rm.com
clerks@law-rm.com

Settlement Administrator:

Name of Company:

Email Address:

Mailing Address:

Telephone:

Fax Number:

10. WHAT IF I LOSE MY SETTLEMENT CHECK?

If you lose or misplace your settlement check before cashing it, the Administrator will replace it as long as you request a replacement before the void date on the face of the original check. If your check is already void you should consult the Unclaimed Property Fund for instructions on how to retrieve the funds.

11. WHAT IF I CHANGE MY ADDRESS?

To receive your check, you should immediately notify the Administrator if you move or otherwise change your mailing address.

EXHIBIT B

Electronically Received 01/31/2023 06:18 PM

MAKAREM & ASSOCIATES, APLC
Ronald W. Makarem, Esq. (SBN 180442)
makarem@law-rm.com
Samuel Almon, Esq. (SBN 243569)
almon@law-rm.com
Daniel J. Bass, Esq. (SBN 287466)
bass@law-rm.com
11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2440
Los Angeles, CA 90025-2440
Tel.: (310) 312-0299
Fax: (310) 312-0296

Attorneys for Plaintiff JOAQUIN ROJAS,
individually, and on behalf of all others similarly
situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JOAQUIN ROJAS, individually, and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAR TRANSPORTATION INC., a
California Corporation, RAYMOND
PAUL JUNE, an individual, FEDEX
GROUND PACKAGE SYSTEM, INC., a
Delaware corporation; and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 21STCV15613

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

*[Filed Concurrently With Motion For
Preliminary Approval and Supporting
Declarations]*

Date: April 7, 2023

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Department: 11

Complaint Filed: April 26, 2021
Consolidated with 21STCV41706

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

06/15/2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: L. McGreené Deputy

1 This matter came on for hearing on April 7, 2023, in the above-entitled court, on the
2 Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having fully reviewed and
3 considered the moving papers, and having analyzed the Class Action and PAGA Settlement
4 Agreement (“Settlement Agreement”) between Plaintiff JOAQUIN ROJAS (“Plaintiff”) and
5 Defendants JAR Transportation Inc., and Raymond Paul June (“Defendants”) (collectively
6 “Parties”), attached to the Declaration of Daniel J. Bass as Exhibit “1” and incorporated by
7 reference in full and made a part of this Order, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff’s Motion for
8 Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

9 **THIS COURT HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING ORDERS:**

10 1. This Court hereby preliminarily finds the proposed settlement as set forth in the
11 Settlement Agreement appears fair, reasonable, and adequate, and accordingly, the Court
12 preliminarily approves and incorporates the Settlement Agreement.

13 2. All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as those set forth
14 in the Settlement Agreement.

15 3. The Class Notice, attached as Exhibit “A” to Exhibit “1” of the Declaration of
16 Daniel J. Bass, is hereby approved. The Second Amended Complaint attached as Exhibit “B” to
17 Exhibit “1” of the Declaration of Daniel J. Bass, is hereby filed and served as of the date of the
18 signing of this order.

19 4. The Court finds that the distribution of the Class Notice in the manner set forth in
20 the Settlement Agreement substantially meets the requirements of California law and due process,
21 is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice
22 to all persons entitled thereto.

23 5. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the following class is hereby
24 provisionally certified pursuant to the Settlement Agreement’s terms and conditions and pursuant
25 to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 as follows:

26 The “Class” means all individuals employed by Defendant JAR
27 Transportation Inc., as a non-exempt employee in the state of
28 California at any time between July 1, 2020 and September 7, 2022.

6. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily approve Ronald W. Makarem, Samuel D. Almon, and Daniel J. Bass of Makarem & Associates, APLC (“Plaintiff’s Counsel or Class Counsel”) as Class Counsel.

7. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily approve Plaintiff as Class Representative.

8. Solely for the purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily approve of and appoint CPT Group, Inc. (“CPT”), as the Parties' Settlement Administrator, and approves of settlement administration costs of up to \$ 11,800.

9. The Court finds that the section of the Settlement Agreement regarding the disposition of uncashed checks complies with California Code of Civil Procedure Section 384 by providing that for any Class Member whose Individual Class Payment check or Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Class Member thereby leaving no "unpaid residue" subject to the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 384, subd. (b).

10. A final fairness hearing (the "Final Approval Hearing") shall be set on November 6, 2023, in Department 11 of this Court, at 10:00 AM, to review the notice process and objections, if any, and to determine whether the proposed settlement on the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, and should be approved by the Court; whether the Judgment as provided in the Settlement Agreement should be entered into; and to determine the amount of attorneys' fees and costs that should be awarded to Class Counsel and the amount of the Class Representative Service Payment that should be awarded to the Representative Plaintiff.

11. The Settlement Administrator shall supervise and administer the notice procedure pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

a. Within Not later than 15 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement Defendants will deliver the Class Data to the Administrator, in the form of a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. “Class Data” means Class Member

1 identifying information in JAR's possession including the Class Member's
2 name, last-known mailing address, Social Security number, and number of
3 Class Period Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods.

4 b. No later than three (3) business days after receipt of the Class Data the
5 Administrator shall notify Class Counsel that the list has been received and state
6 the number of Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, Workweeks, and Pay
7 Periods reflected in the Class Data.

8 c. Within 14 days after receiving the Class Data the Administrator will send to all
9 Class Members identified in the Class Data, via first-class United States Postal
10 Service ("USPS") mail, the Class Notice with Spanish translation.

11 d. 45 days after mailing Class Notice will be the Response Deadline: Last date on
12 which Class Members may: (a) fax, email, or mail Requests for Exclusion from
13 the Settlement, or (b) fax, email, or mail his or her Objection to the Settlement.
14 Class Members to whom Notice Packets are resent after having been returned
15 undeliverable to the Administrator shall have an additional 14 calendar days
16 beyond the Response Deadline has expired.

17 12. Class Members who wish to exclude themselves (opt-out of) the Class Settlement
18 must send the Administrator, by fax, email, or mail, a signed written Request for Exclusion not
19 later than 45 days after the Administrator mails the Class Notice (plus an additional 14 days for
20 Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed). A Request for Exclusion is a letter from a Class
21 Member or his/her representative that reasonably communicates the Class Member's election to
22 be excluded from the Settlement and includes the Class Member's name, address and email address
23 or telephone number and Social Security number. To be valid, a Request for Exclusion must be
24 timely faxed, emailed, or postmarked by the Response Deadline.

25 13. Only Settlement Class Members who do not opt out of the Settlement may object
26 to the non-PAGA portion of the Settlement. Class Members may send written objections to the
27 Administrator, by fax, email, or mail. In the alternative, Participating Class Members may appear
28 in Court (or hire an attorney to appear in Court) to present verbal objections at the Final Approval

1 Hearing. A Participating Class Member who elects to send a written objection to the Administrator
2 must do so not later than 45 days after the Administrator's mailing of the Class Notice (plus an
3 additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice was re-mailed.

4 14. The Court's preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement is not to be deemed
5 an admission of liability or fault by Defendant, or a finding as to the validity of any claims or
6 defenses asserted in the action.

7 15. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Final Approval Hearing
8 without further notice to the Class Members, and it will retain jurisdiction to consider all further
9 applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: 06/15/2023
12 _____, 2023


13 
14 _____
15 Judge of the Superior Court
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT C

LAFFEY MATRIX

[History](#)
[Case Law](#)
[See the Matrix](#)
[Contact us](#)
[Home](#)

			Years Out of Law School *				
Year	Adjustmt Factor**	Paralegal/ Law Clerk	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-19	20 +
6/01/22- 5/31/23	1.085091	\$225	\$413	\$508	\$733	\$829	\$997
6/01/21- 5/31/22	1.006053	\$208	\$381	\$468	\$676	\$764	\$919
6/01/20- 5/31/21	1.015894	\$206	\$378	\$465	\$672	\$759	\$914
6/01/19- 5/31/20	1.0049	\$203	\$372	\$458	\$661	\$747	\$899
6/01/18- 5/31/19	1.0350	\$202	\$371	\$455	\$658	\$742	\$894
6/01/17- 5/31/18	1.0463	\$196	\$359	\$440	\$636	\$717	\$864
6/01/16- 5/31/17	1.0369	\$187	\$343	\$421	\$608	\$685	\$826
6/01/15- 5/31/16	1.0089	\$180	\$331	\$406	\$586	\$661	\$796
6/01/14- 5/31/15	1.0235	\$179	\$328	\$402	\$581	\$655	\$789
6/01/13- 5/31/14	1.0244	\$175	\$320	\$393	\$567	\$640	\$771
6/01/12- 5/31/13	1.0258	\$170	\$312	\$383	\$554	\$625	\$753
6/01/11- 5/31/12	1.0352	\$166	\$305	\$374	\$540	\$609	\$734
6/01/10- 5/31/11	1.0337	\$161	\$294	\$361	\$522	\$589	\$709
6/01/09- 5/31/10	1.0220	\$155	\$285	\$349	\$505	\$569	\$686
6/01/08- 5/31/09	1.0399	\$152	\$279	\$342	\$494	\$557	\$671
6/01/07-5/31/08	1.0516	\$146	\$268	\$329	\$475	\$536	\$645
6/01/06-5/31/07	1.0256	\$139	\$255	\$313	\$452	\$509	\$614
6/1/05-5/31/06	1.0427	\$136	\$249	\$305	\$441	\$497	\$598
6/1/04-5/31/05	1.0455	\$130	\$239	\$293	\$423	\$476	\$574
6/1/03-6/1/04	1.0507	\$124	\$228	\$280	\$405	\$456	\$549
6/1/02-5/31/03	1.0727	\$118	\$217	\$267	\$385	\$434	\$522
6/1/01-5/31/02	1.0407	\$110	\$203	\$249	\$359	\$404	\$487
6/1/00-5/31/01	1.0529	\$106	\$195	\$239	\$345	\$388	\$468
6/1/99-5/31/00	1.0491	\$101	\$185	\$227	\$328	\$369	\$444
6/1/98-5/31/99	1.0439	\$96	\$176	\$216	\$312	\$352	\$424
6/1/97-5/31/98	1.0419	\$92	\$169	\$207	\$299	\$337	\$406
6/1/96-5/31/97	1.0396	\$88	\$162	\$198	\$287	\$323	\$389
6/1/95-5/31/96	1.032	\$85	\$155	\$191	\$276	\$311	\$375
6/1/94-5/31/95	1.0237	\$82	\$151	\$185	\$267	\$301	\$363

The methodology of calculation and benchmarking for this Updated Laffey Matrix has been approved in a number of cases. See, e.g., DL v. District of Columbia, 267 F.Supp.3d 55, 69 (D.D.C. 2017)

* $i_L \frac{1}{2}$ Years Out of Law School $i_L \frac{1}{2}$ is calculated from June 1 of each year, when most law students graduate. $i_L \frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" includes an attorney in his 1st, 2nd and 3rd years of practice, measured from date of graduation (June 1). $i_L \frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" applies to attorneys in their 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th years of practice. An attorney who graduated in May 1996 would be in tier $i_L \frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" from June 1, 1996 until May 31, 1999, would move into tier $i_L \frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" on June 1, 1999, and tier $i_L \frac{1}{2}$ 8-10" on June 1, 2003.

** The Adjustment Factor refers to the nation-wide Legal Services Component of the Consumer Price Index produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

EXHIBIT D

MAKAREM & ASSOCIATES

A Professional Law Corporation
11601 Wilshire Boulevard • Suite 2440 • Los Angeles, California 90025-1760
Telephone (310) 312-0299 • Fax (310) 312-0296
www.makaremlaw.com

Invoice Date 9/12/2023

Invoice # 15562

Invoice submitted to:

Joaquin Rojas



In Reference To: Fedex Ground Package System, Inc. and Jar Transportation, Inc. (Joaquin Rojas)

Matter No. 1837.001

Professional services rendered through September 12, 2023

Additional Charges :

Draft

	Amount
4/26/2021 Filing fee for: Complaint, Civil Case Cover Sheet, and Summons; One Legal, Credit Sale #03250413.	464.51
Initial Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA) Notice submission fee; Labor and Workforce Development Agency.	75.00
5/6/2021 Filing fee for Application for Order; Bosco, Invoice #5644964.	140.45
Filing fee; Bosco, Invoice #5641202.	234.95
5/18/2021 Fee for service of: Summons, Complaint, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location, Notice of Case Assignment - Unlimited Civil Case (Filed 04/26/2021), Notice of Case Assignment Unlimited Civil Case (Filed 05/04/2021), Notice of Case Management Conference, Application for Order Disqualifying Judge, Declaration of Samuel D. Almon [CCP §170.6], Minute Order, First Amended General Order regarding Mandatory Electronic Filing for Civil, Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations, and Alternative Dispute Resolution on FedEx Ground Package System, Inc.; Bosco, Invoice #5677372.	102.70
5/20/2021 Fee for service of: Summons, Complaint, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location, Notice of Case Assignment - Unlimited Civil Case (Filed	152.70

We accept Mastercard and Visa

In Reference To: Fedex Ground Package System, Inc. and Jar Transportation, Inc.
(Joaquin Rojas)

Professional services rendered through September 12, 2023

Amount

	04/26/2021), Notice of Case Assignment Unlimited Civil Case (Filed 05/04/2021), Notice of Case Management Conference, Application for Order Disqualifying Judge, Declaration of Samuel D. Almon [CCP §170.6], Minute Order, First Amended General Order regarding Mandatory Electronic Filing for Civil, Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations, and Alternative Dispute Resolution on JAR Transportation Inc.; Bosco, Invoice #5677278.	
5/21/2021	Filing fee for: Amended Complaint - Claim Amount Unchanged; One Legal, Credit Sale #03301234.	17.66
5/31/2021	Fee for service of: Summons, Complaint, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location, Notice of Case Assignment - Unlimited Civil Case (Filed 04/26/2021), Notice of Case Assignment Unlimited Civil Case (Filed 05/04/2021), Notice of Case Management Conference, Application for Order Disqualifying Judge, Declaration of Samuel D. Almon [CCP §170.6], Minute Order, First Amended General Order regarding Mandatory Electronic Filing for Civil, Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations, and Alternative Dispute Resolution; Bosco, Invoice #5723607.	152.70
6/7/2021	Filing fee for Proof of Service for Raymond Paul June; Bosco, Invoice #5747590.	89.20
8/17/2021	Filing fee for Joint Initial Status Conference Statement; Bosco, Invoice #6004601.	159.95
12/7/2021	System access fee and document service fee (\$24); Case Anywhere, Invoice #239537.	132.00
1/4/2022	Filing fee for Stipulation and Order; Los Angeles Superior Court, Receipt #1220104J5154.	5.00
3/5/2022	System access fee and document service fee (\$18); Case Anywhere, Invoice #247761.	138.00
6/4/2022	System access fee; Case Anywhere, invoice #256253.	120.00
6/8/2022	Filing fee for Joint Status Conference Report; One Legal, Invoice #04131065.	17.19
6/22/2022	Filing fee for Notice of Continuance; One Legal, Invoice #04163589.	17.19
	Filing fee for Notice of Continuance; One Legal, Invoice #04164238.	17.19
6/30/2022	Mediation fees; Gig Kyriacou, invoice #8003514.	9,000.00
7/26/2022	Expert services; Berger Consulting Group, invoice #6412.	4,187.50
9/10/2022	System access fee; Case Anywhere, invoice #264763.	138.00
12/8/2022	System access fee; Case Anywhere invoice #273389.	120.00
12/20/2022	Filing fees for Notice; One Legal invoice #04613844.	17.19
1/17/2023	Filing Fees	1.00
	One Legal inv. # 19646687	42.21
	One Legal inv. # 19646686	37.78
2/1/2023	One Legal inv. # 19746223	79.43
3/24/2023	One Legal inv. # 20081875 - Stipulation and Order	38.25
	One Legal inv. # 20081875 - Stipulation and Order	38.25
3/27/2023	One Legal inv. # 20081956 - Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement	43.50

In Reference To: Fedex Ground Package System, Inc. and Jar Transportation, Inc.
(Joaquin Rojas)

Professional services rendered through September 12, 2023

	Amount
3/27/2023 One Legal inv. # 20081956 - Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement	43.50
Total additional charges	\$15,823.00
Balance due	\$15,823.00

Draft

EXHIBIT E