

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC
Benjamin H. Haber (SBN 315664)
benjamin.haber@wilshirelawfirm.com
Daniel J. Kramer (SBN 314625)
daniel.kramer@wilshirelawfirm.com
Alan Wilcox (SBN 287476)
alan.wilcox@wilshirelawfirm.com
Lucy Nguyen (SBN 338783)
lucy.nguyen@wilshirelawfirm.com
Conor Gomez (SBN 337395)
conor.gomez@wilshirelawfirm.com
660 S. Figueroa St., Sky Lobby
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE**

JAVIER SANTIAGO, individually, and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAL TECH PRECISION, INC., a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 30-2021-01195725-CU-OE-CXC

CLASS & REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

*[Assigned to: Hon. David A. Hoffer, Dept.
CX103]*

**DECLARATION OF LUCY NGUYEN IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

Date: April 27, 2026
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Dept.: CX103

Complaint filed: April 15, 2021
FAC filed: August 15, 2023
Trial date: Not set

1 I, Lucy Nguyen, declare as follows:

2 1. I am admitted, in good standing, to practice as an attorney in the State of
3 California. I am a Settlement Attorney at Wilshire Law Firm, PLC (“WLF”), counsel of record
4 for Plaintiff Javier Santiago, (“Plaintiff” or “Class Representative”). I, along with Alan Wilcox
5 of WLF, have reviewed the case files for this matter as routinely kept by WLF in the course of
6 litigation and conferred with my colleagues, Benjamin H. Haber and Daniel Kramer, of WLF.
7 (collectively and inclusively “Class Counsel”). Based upon the information available to Class
8 Counsel through WLF’s record-keeping processes, I am competent to make this declaration (this
9 “Declaration”). This Declaration is submitted concurrently with and in support of Plaintiff’s
10 Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (the “Motion”), and in
11 response to the Court’s tentative ruling continuing the final approval hearing to April 27, 2026.

12 2. The Court continued the final approval hearing to April 27, 2026, and requested
13 supplemental information regarding (1) the discrepancy in the number of Class Members; (2)
14 attorneys’ bills detailed hourly breakdown; and (3) settlement administration details.

15 3. The Supplemental Declaration of CPT Group, Inc. provides updated notice
16 statistics, final participation data, payment metrics, confirmation of employer payroll tax
17 calculations, and the itemized invoice supporting the requested administration costs. The CPT
18 declaration further confirms that notice was successfully disseminated to all identified Class
19 Members.

20 4. At the time of mediation and preliminary approval, Defendant represented that
21 there were approximately 219 Class Members, which was provided in good faith based on the
22 information then available and believed to be accurate.

23 5. Following preliminary approval, the Settlement Administrator received updated
24 data from Defendant reflecting a total of 237 Class Members. Class Counsel promptly conferred
25 with Defendant and the Settlement Administrator regarding this discrepancy.

26 6. Defendant has represented that it is unable to definitively determine the precise
27 reason for the difference due to the passage of time and limitations in reconstructing the earlier
28 calculation. However, Defendant has confirmed that the original estimate of 219 was provided

in good faith and that the current figure of 237 reflects the most complete and accurate data available.

7. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff relies on the updated administrator data reflecting 237 Class Members as the operative figure for purposes of settlement administration.

8. Plaintiff Javier Santiago has submitted a declaration confirming his participation in the case and supporting his requested service award.

9. The Settlement provides for attorneys' fees (the "Class Counsel Fees Payment") to Class Counsel in an amount up to 33 1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount, for a maximum fee award of \$116,666.67, plus reasonable litigation expenses (the "Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment") of not more than \$20,000.00. I believe the requested attorneys' fees are reasonable and are supported by a lodestar cross-check, applicable case law, and the strong public policy favoring settlement of complex representative actions.

10. Class Counsel (defined as Wilshire Law Firm, PLC attorneys Benjamin H. Haber, Daniel Kramer, Alan Wilcox, and Lucy Nguyen) have expended substantial time prosecuting this action and working toward approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice, including all subsequent revisions thereto (the "Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"). Class Counsel's current lodestar is no less than the following:

Person	Role	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
Benjamin H. Haber	Partner	64.4	\$950	\$ 61,180
Daniel Kramer	Senior Attorney	16.2	\$800	\$ 12,960
Alan Wilcox	Senior Attorney	10.9	\$900	\$ 9,810
Lucy Nguyen	Settlement Attorney	6.7	\$500	\$ 3,350
Justin Marquez ¹	Former Partner	15.0	1,500	\$ 22,500

¹ Former Wilshire Law Firm, PLC Senior Partner Justin Marquez devoted a great deal of time to this matter: assisting with the complaint, participating in several meetings regarding the case and case strategy, assisting with the mediation materials and brief, and attending the mediation. Wilshire Law Firm, PLC does not have itemized billing records for Mr. Marquez as he departed in December 2024. Partner Benjamin Haber conservatively estimated Mr. Marquez's hours at 15 for the lodestar included in our Motion for Final Approval based on the information available to Mr. Haber.

Total:	113.2		\$ 109,800
---------------	-------	--	------------

11. A true and correct copy of Class Counsel’s itemized billing records is attached hereto as **Exhibits A-D**. These records reflect the time expended in investigating the claims, prosecuting this action, engaging in mediation and settlement negotiations, and administering the Settlement through final approval.

12. There are additional hours devoted to this action by my colleagues and former employees at Wilshire Law Firm, PLC.

13. Justin F. Marquez was admitted to the California State Bar in February 2009 and has more than 17 years of experience litigating complex class, representative, and appellate matters. Mr. Marquez was actively involved in the litigation and resolution of this matter from its inception through December 2024. His contingent hourly rate of \$1,500 has been approved in many comparable wage-and-hour and representative matters, including:

- a. On December 8, 2023, the Hon. Marcella O. McLaughlin of the San Diego County Superior Court approved his \$1,500 hourly rate when she granted final approval of the class action settlement in *Payabyab v. Bridge Hospice, LLC*, Case No. 7-2021-00046218-CU-OE-CTL;
- b. On January 11, 2024, the Hon. Laurel Beeler of the United States District Court, Northern District of California approved his \$1,500 hourly rate when she granted final approval of the class action settlement in *Suarez v. Bank of America, N.A.* (N.D. Cal. Jan. 11, 2024), No. 18-cv-01202-LB, 2024 WL150721, *3 (“As for the lodestar cross-check, the billing rates are normal and customary for timekeepers with similar qualifications and experience in the relevant market.”);
- c. On January 11, 2024, the Hon. Harold Hopp of the Riverside County Superior Court approved his \$1,500 hourly rate when he granted final approval of the class action settlement in *Gutierrez v. Next Level Door & Millwork, Inc.*, Case No. CVRI2105455;

- 1 d. On February 1, 2024, the Hon. Joseph T. Ortiz of the San Bernardino Court
2 approved his \$1,500 hourly rate when he granted final approval of the class
3 action settlement in *Jackson, et al. v. Apple Valley Communications, Inc., et al.*,
4 Case No. CIVSB2124721; and
- 5 e. On May 7, 2024, the Hon. Elaine Lu of the Los Angeles County Superior Court
6 approved his \$1,500 hourly rate when she granted final approval of the class
7 action settlement in *Meza v. Argus Management Company, LLC, et al.*, Case No.
8 21STCV31612.

9 14. Since this action commenced in April 2021, Class Counsel has advanced all
10 litigation costs for nearly four years and devoted substantial attorney time and firm resources
11 with no guarantee of recovery. Any reduction in the requested fee would improperly shift the
12 risk of contingency litigation onto counsel and undermine the incentives necessary to prosecute
13 complex wage-and-hour and PAGA actions, which depend heavily on private enforcement.

14 15. Plaintiff has complied with the Court's directive regarding notice to the Labor
15 and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"). Plaintiff previously submitted the settlement
16 to the LWDA in connection with preliminary approval and has also submitted the instant
17 supplemental filings to the LWDA. A true and correct copy of the proof of submission to the
18 LWDA is attached hereto as **Exhibit E.**

19 16. Based on the record as supplemented, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court
20 grant final approval of the settlement, which is fair, just, reasonable.

21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United
22 States that the foregoing is true and correct.

23 Executed on April 2, 2026, in Livermore, California.


24
25 
26 Lucy Nguyen
27
28

Exhibit A

Benjamin Haber - Timesheet for Santiago v. Cal Tech Precision

Date:	Hours:	Description	Defendant	Atty	Case Total:
01/13/21	1.2	Review intake; review client documents; discuss claims with Justin Marquez (JM)	Cal Tech Precision	BH	1.2
01/14/21	0.4	Preliminary research on company	Cal Tech Precision	BH	1.6
01/15/21	0.2	Review retainer	Cal Tech Precision	BH	1.8
01/26/21	0.4	Draft/finalize personnel records request letter	Cal Tech Precision	BH	2.2
02/22/21	0.7	Confer with staff regarding records; draft/finalize follow-up request letter	Cal Tech Precision	BH	2.9
03/09/21	0.2	E-mails/calls with staff regarding records	Cal Tech Precision	BH	3.1
03/16/21	1.5	Review personnel records; discuss with JM	Cal Tech Precision	BH	4.6
04/14/21	1.2	Review notes from intake; review/edit complaint	Cal Tech Precision	BH	5.8
04/15/21	0.2	Finalize complaint and supporting docs for filing	Cal Tech Precision	BH	6.0
05/04/21	0.6	Call with opposing counsel (OC)	Cal Tech Precision	BH	6.6
05/19/21	0.3	Review/sign stip to continue time to respond	Cal Tech Precision	BH	6.9
06/04/21	0.4	Review D's Answer; confer with JM re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	7.3
07/06/21	0.2	Confer with JM and staff re: mediation	Cal Tech Precision	BH	7.5
08/12/21	0.3	Review Minute Order; review/finalize notice of order filed by office	Cal Tech Precision	BH	7.8
12/21/21	0.7	Review mediation letter; e-mails with OC and team re: mediation and sampling methodology	Cal Tech Precision	BH	8.5
01/10/22	0.3	E-mails with OC re: mediation	Cal Tech Precision	BH	8.8
01/11/22	0.2	E-mails with OC re: mediation	Cal Tech Precision	BH	9.0
01/12/22	0.2	E-mails with OC re: mediation	Cal Tech Precision	BH	9.2
01/17/22	1.2	Call and e-mails to OC re: mediation; e-mail to mediator; e-mails with expert regarding sampling materials	Cal Tech Precision	BH	10.4
01/17/22	5	Draft mediation brief	Cal Tech Precision	BH	15.4
01/18/22	0.3	E-mails with OC and expert re: mediation	Cal Tech Precision	BH	15.7
01/18/22	4.5	Review/edit mediation brief; finalize accompanying exhibits	Cal Tech Precision	BH	20.2
01/19/22	2.3	Draft/finalize supplemental briefing on damages	Cal Tech Precision	BH	22.5
01/20/22	8.5	Attend mediation with Kelly Knight; e-mails calls with expert and financial expert	Cal Tech Precision	BH	31.0
01/21/22	1.5	E-mails with financial expert; confer with JM re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	32.5
01/26/22	0.7	Call with expert re: financial records; confer with JM re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	33.2
02/07/22	0.5	Correspondence with financial expert; review retainer docs	Cal Tech Precision	BH	33.7
03/22/22	0.7	Review analysis from financial expert	Cal Tech Precision	BH	34.4
03/24/22	0.8	Call with financial expert; confer with JM re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	35.2
03/28/22	0.2	Emails with staff re: investigation and financial review	Cal Tech Precision	BH	35.4
04/01/22	0.2	Review joint statement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	35.6
04/06/22	0.3	E-mails with staff re: financial review	Cal Tech Precision	BH	35.9
04/26/22	0.5	E-mails and call with expert re: financial review	Cal Tech Precision	BH	36.4

05/20/22	0.4	E-mails with JM re: settlement; review financial analysis	Cal Tech Precision	BH	36.8
07/08/22	0.2	Review joint statement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	37.0
07/22/22	0.3	Review potential bids for settlement administrators	Cal Tech Precision	BH	37.3
12/06/22	1.2	Review/finalize draft of settlement agreement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	38.5
02/23/23	1	Review/edit FAC and proposed stip for leave to amend	Cal Tech Precision	BH	39.5
04/03/23	0.2	Follow-up e-mails re: FAC and settlement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	39.7
05/23/23	0.3	Follow-up e-mails re: FAC and settlement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	40.0
07/28/23	0.7	Provide additional edits to the long-form agreement; e-mails to OC; confer with JM re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	40.7
07/28/23	0.3	Call with OC re: settlement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	41.0
07/30/23	1.2	Further edits to settlement; e-mails to OC re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	42.2
08/15/23	0.2	E-mails with staff re: filings and notices	Cal Tech Precision	BH	42.4
08/18/23	0.3	E-mails to OC re: settlement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	42.7
08/24/23	0.5	E-mails to staff re: client; e-mails to OC re: settlement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	43.2
09/06/23	0.3	Follow-up e-mail to OC re: settlement signing	Cal Tech Precision	BH	43.5
11/01/23	0.2	Follow-up e-mail to OC re: settlement signing	Cal Tech Precision	BH	43.7
11/02/23	0.4	Review/finalize joint statement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	44.1
11/08/23	0.2	Follow-up e-mail to OC re: settlement signing	Cal Tech Precision	BH	44.3
11/23/23	0.2	Follow-up e-mail to OC re: settlement signing	Cal Tech Precision	BH	44.5
03/14/24	0.3	Review/finalize joint stip to continue	Cal Tech Precision	BH	44.8
07/01/24	4	Draft/review motion for preliminary approval (MPA)	Cal Tech Precision	BH	48.8
07/03/24	0.3	E-mails to OC re: MPA	Cal Tech Precision	BH	49.1
07/05/24	0.5	Review minute order; e-mails to OC re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	49.6
10/25/24	0.5	Review MPA tentative ruling; confer with OC re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	50.1
10/25/24	1.2	Attend MPA hearing; draft recap notes	Cal Tech Precision	BH	51.3
12/19/24	0.2	Confer with staff re: case	Cal Tech Precision	BH	51.5
02/12/25	0.3	Confer with staff re: case	Cal Tech Precision	BH	51.8
03/05/25	0.8	Call with OC re: settlement; draft joint stip	Cal Tech Precision	BH	52.6
03/06/25	0.5	Call with OC; finalize stip	Cal Tech Precision	BH	53.1
06/23/25	1.2	Review/finalize edits to amendment	Cal Tech Precision	BH	54.3
06/24/25	0.7	Draft/review revised notice forms for settlement	Cal Tech Precision	BH	55.0
06/26/25	0.3	Follow-up e-mail and call	Cal Tech Precision	BH	55.3
07/09/25	1.2	Review/edit supplemental briefing for MPA	Cal Tech Precision	BH	56.5
07/10/25	0.6	Internal e-mails re: MPA and case notes	Cal Tech Precision	BH	57.1
07/11/25	1	Appear for MPA hearing and OSC hearing; recap notes	Cal Tech Precision	BH	58.1
09/05/25	0.2	Internal e-mails re: calendaring and filing for MPA	Cal Tech Precision	BH	58.3
09/25/25	0.3	E-mails with OC re: settlement funds	Cal Tech Precision	BH	58.6

10/02/25	0.3	Review order granting MPA	Cal Tech Precision	BH	58.9
11/25/25	0.3	E-mails to administrator re: class notice documents	Cal Tech Precision	BH	59.2
12/09/25	0.2	E-mails to/from class administrator	Cal Tech Precision	BH	59.4
12/11/25	0.2	E-mails to/from class administrator	Cal Tech Precision	BH	59.6
12/12/25	0.2	E-mails from class administrator	Cal Tech Precision	BH	59.8
12/19/25	0.1	Review status e-mail for class notice process	Cal Tech Precision	BH	59.9
12/26/25	0.1	Review status e-mail for class notice process	Cal Tech Precision	BH	60.0
12/30/25	2	Review/finalize motion for final approval	Cal Tech Precision	BH	62.0
12/31/25	1.5	Finalize MFA and supporting docs	Cal Tech Precision	BH	63.5
01/26/26	0.2	Review tentative ruling; confer with team re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	63.7
04/01/26	0.7	Review supplemental briefing and supporting documents; confer with internal team re: same	Cal Tech Precision	BH	64.4

Exhibit B

Daniel Kramer's Timesheet - Cal Tech Precision

Date:	Hours:	Task Code	Description	Defendant	Atty	Case Total:
06/30/25	0.5	L110	Draft correspondence to Plaintiff	Cal Tech Precision	DK	0.5
07/02/25	0.2	L110	Draft email	Cal Tech Precision	DK	0.7
07/09/25	2.5	L210	Draft Motion for Preliminary Approval and Supporting Documents	Cal Tech Precision	DK	3.2
07/10/25	0.5	L100	Review declaration in response to OSC	Cal Tech Precision	DK	3.7
08/15/25	0.8	L210	Finalize draft stipulation	Cal Tech Precision	DK	4.5
09/05/25	0.5	L210	Review order	Cal Tech Precision	DK	5.0
10/21/25	0.2	L210	Email re stip to continue MFA	Cal Tech Precision	DK	5.2
10/23/25	2.3	L210	Draft Stip to Cont MFA	Cal Tech Precision	DK	7.5
10/29/25	0.2	L250	Email re stip	Cal Tech Precision	DK	7.7
10/21/25	0.4	L250	Email re stip	Cal Tech Precision	DK	8.1
10/22/25	0.2	L250	Email re stip	Cal Tech Precision	DK	8.3
11/03/25	1.3	L210	Finalize Stip	Cal Tech Precision	DK	9.6
11/05/25	0.4	L210	Review draft notice	Cal Tech Precision	DK	10.0
11/11/25	0.2	L200	Email re client call back	Cal Tech Precision	DK	10.2
11/19/25	0.2	L110	Review Email	Cal Tech Precision	DK	10.4
11/25/25	0.4	L210	Draft Declaration	Cal Tech Precision	DK	10.8
12/02/25	0.2	L110	Review Email	Cal Tech Precision	DK	11.0
12/09/25	0.2	L110	Email re Motion for Final Approval (MFA)	Cal Tech Precision	DK	11.2
12/11/25	0.2	L110	Email re MFA	Cal Tech Precision	DK	11.4
12/30/25	1.1	L210	Draft MFA	Cal Tech Precision	DK	12.5
12/31/25	3.7	L210	Draft and finalize MFA	Cal Tech Precision	DK	16.2

Exhibit C

Alan Wilcox Timesheet - Cal Tech					
Date	Description of Action Taken or Costs Incurred	Person Billing	Hours	Rate	Total
6/24/2025	Reviewing the relevant records, filings, and communications to familiarize myself with the case and prepare for the MPA.	Alan Wilcox	1.0	\$900.00	\$900.00
7/10/2025	Reviewed the Court's tentative ruling and emailed Ben and Daniel about it.	Alan Wilcox	0.4	\$900.00	\$360.00
9/22/2025	Reviewed the file, prepared for the MPA hearing, emailed opposing counsel re: the tentative, and called the Court clerk to submit on the tentative. No appearance required.	Alan Wilcox	0.7	\$900.00	\$630.00
9/25/2025	Drafted and revised a revised proposed MPA order in compliance with the Court's tentative and sent it to opposing counsel for approval.	Alan Wilcox	1.1	\$900.00	\$990.00
10/29/2025	Reviewed the Administrator's calculations and responded.	Alan Wilcox	0.3	\$900.00	\$270.00
10/29/2025	Called opposing counsel Damian Moos re: continuing the MFA hearing. Left a voicemail.	Alan Wilcox	0.2	\$900.00	\$180.00
11/14/2025	Reviewed the Administrator's email re: receipt of settlement funds and provided the continued MFA date.	Alan Wilcox	0.1	\$900.00	\$90.00
11/26/2025	Reviewed the form drafts proposed by the Administrator and responded.	Alan Wilcox	0.2	\$900.00	\$180.00
12/11/2025	Responded to the Administrator re: notice response deadline and the final approval hearing.	Alan Wilcox	0.1	\$900.00	\$90.00
12/29/2025	Discussed the MFA and revisions to it with Coley.	Alan Wilcox	0.2	\$900.00	\$180.00
12/31/2025	Discussed revisions to the MFA with Coley, Ben, and Daniel, and reviewed and revised the Administrator's declaration and responded.	Alan Wilcox	0.4	\$900.00	\$360.00
1/20/2026	Reviewed and revised the supplemental filing in support of MPA and finalized billing records for submission.	Alan Wilcox	0.6	\$900.00	\$540.00
1/23/2026	Prepare for the MFA hearing.	Alan Wilcox	0.5	\$900.00	\$450.00
1/26/2026	Prepared for and attended the MFA hearing.	Alan Wilcox	0.5	\$900.00	\$450.00
FUTURE	Communicate with the Administrator and Opposing Counsel re: payment and distribution of the settlement funds.	Alan Wilcox	4.6	\$900.00	\$4,140.00
		TOTALS:	10.9		\$9,810.00

Exhibit D

Lucy Nguyen: Time Entries

3/24/26 (Tue)

- Review Court's tentative ruling and identify required revisions to settlement documents (PO, SA, Notice) – **0.8**
- Coordinate with team regarding LWDA compliance and supplemental filing requirements – **0.4**

3/25/26 (Wed)

- Review and revise settlement release language in Paragraph 6 for consistency with operative complaint and PAGA notice – **0.6**
- Review administrator declaration and confirm consistency with settlement terms and motion – **0.5**

3/26/26 (Thu)

- Revise Proposed Order to incorporate Court-ordered changes, including compliance/distribution hearing and conforming language – **1.2**
- Draft and finalize supplemental revisions for filing, including cross-referencing and final cite/exhibit conformity review – **0.9**

3/27/26 (Fri)

- Final review of PO, motion, and supporting documents for filing readiness – **0.6**

4/1/26 (Wed)

- Confer with Alan, Ben, and internal team regarding lodestar hour reconciliation, prior supplemental filing consistency, and updated projected future time estimates – **0.5**

4/2/26 (Thu)

- Revise lodestar chart to conform hourly rates and time entries to prior 12/31/25 supplemental filing; incorporate updated attorney hours and finalize supplemental attorney declaration for filing – **0.7**
- Finalize supplemental filing packet, conduct final cross-check of declarations and exhibits, and transmit to Coley for same-day filing – **0.5**

Total: 6.7

Exhibit E

PAGA NOTICE PUBLIC SEARCH - CASE DETAIL

Case Information

Case Number: LWDA-CM-829476-21
Plaintiff for PAGA Case: Javier Santiago
File/Attorney for PAGA Case: Justin Marquez
Law Firm for PAGA Plaintiff: Wilshire Law Firm, PLC
Employer: Cal Tech Precision, Inc.
Date Case Received:
Employer Filer Firm:
Court Type: California Superior Courts
Court Name: Orange County Superior Court
PAGA Court Case Number: 30-2021-01195725-CU-OE-CXC
Violation Type:
Related BOFE Case:

Attachments

Attachment Name	Description	Date Submitted	Type
Additional Document Submitted with Proposed Settlement on 12/07/2025 12:35:25 PM by Daniel Kramer	2024 07-03 Cal Tech - [Prop] Order Granting Pltff's MPA (FINAL).pdf	12/07/2025 12:35 PM	Proposed Settlement
Additional Document Submitted with Proposed Settlement on 12/07/2025 12:35:25 PM by Daniel Kramer	2025 07-09 Cal Tech - Decl of Moos iso MPA (CONFORMED).pdf	12/07/2025 12:35 PM	Proposed Settlement
Additional Document Submitted with Proposed Settlement on 12/07/2025 12:35:25 PM by Daniel Kramer	2025 07-09 Cal Tech - Decl of Haber iso MPA (CONFORMED).pdf	12/07/2025 12:35 PM	Proposed Settlement
Additional Document Submitted with Proposed Settlement on 12/07/2025 12:35:25 PM by Daniel Kramer	2024 07-03 Cal Tech - Decl of Marquez ISO Pltff's MPA (CONFORMED).pdf	12/07/2025 12:35 PM	Proposed Settlement
Additional Document Submitted with Proposed Settlement on 12/31/2025 07:26:16 PM by Benjamin Haber	2025 12-31 Cal Tech - Prop Order (FINAL).pdf	12/31/2025 07:26 PM	Proposed Settlement
Additional Document Submitted with Proposed Settlement on 12/31/2025 07:26:16 PM by Benjamin Haber	2025 12-31 Cal Tech - Admin Decl (FINAL).pdf	12/31/2025 07:26 PM	Proposed Settlement
Additional Document Submitted with Proposed Settlement on 12/31/2025 07:26:16 PM by Benjamin Haber	2025 12-31 Cal Tech - Haber Decl ISO MFA (FINAL).pdf	12/31/2025 07:26 PM	Proposed Settlement
Additional PAGA Document Submitted on 07/09/2025 10:16:00 PM by Benjamin	2025 07-09 Cal Tech - Decl of Moos iso MPA (FINAL).pdf	07/09/2025 10:16 PM	Other PAGA Document
Additional PAGA Document Submitted on 11/24/2025 12:28:49 PM by Daniel	2024 07-03 Cal Tech - [Prop] Order Granting Pltff's MPA (FINAL).pdf	11/24/2025 12:29 PM	Other PAGA Document

PAGA NOTICE PUBLIC SEARCH - CASE DETAIL

Attachment Name	Description	Date Submitted	Type
Additional PAGA Document Submitted on 11/24/2025 12:28:49 PM by Daniel	2024 07-03 Cal Tech - Decl of Marquez ISO Pltff's MPA (CONFORMED).pdf	11/24/2025 12:28 PM	Other PAGA Document
Additional PAGA Document Submitted on 01/29/2026 05:12:52 PM by Alan	2026-01-29 Notice of Ruling RE MFA.pdf	01/29/2026 05:12 PM	Other PAGA Document
Additional PAGA Document Submitted on 03/25/2026 10:56:38 AM by Alan	2026-01-26 Cal Tech (Ramos) - Tentative Ruling Continuing the MFA.pdf	03/25/2026 10:56 AM	Other PAGA Document
Additional PAGA Document Submitted on 07/09/2025 10:16:00 PM by Benjamin	2025 07-09 Cal Tech - Decl of Haber iso MPA (FINAL).pdf	07/09/2025 10:16 PM	Other PAGA Document
Additional PAGA Document Submitted on 10/24/2025 04:01:03 PM by Alan	2025 10-02 Order Granting Pltff's MPA.pdf	10/24/2025 04:01 PM	Other PAGA Document
Additional PAGA Document Submitted on 11/24/2025 12:28:49 PM by Daniel	2024 07-03 Cal Tech - Pltff's MPA (CONFORMED).pdf	11/24/2025 12:28 PM	Other PAGA Document
Court Complaint Submitted on 11/07/2023 12:22:55 PM by Justin Marquez	2023 08-15 Cal Tech - FAC (CONFORMED).pdf	11/07/2023 12:22 PM	Court Complaint
Court Order/Judgment submitted on 12/07/2025 12:42:09 PM by Daniel Kramer	2025 10-02 Order Granting Pltff's MPA.pdf	12/07/2025 12:42 PM	Court Order/Judgment
PAGA Notice Submitted on 04/15/2021 03:02:31 PM by Justin Marquez	2021 04-15 Santiago v. Cal Tech Precision - PAGA Letter (FINAL).pdf	04/15/2021 03:02 PM	PAGA Notice
Proposed Settlement Submitted on 12/07/2025 12:35:25 PM by Daniel Kramer	2024 07-03 Cal Tech - Pltff's MPA (CONFORMED).pdf	12/07/2025 12:35 PM	Proposed Settlement
Proposed Settlement Submitted on 12/31/2025 07:26:16 PM by Benjamin Haber	2025 12-31 Cal Tech - MFA (FINAL).pdf	12/31/2025 07:26 PM	Proposed Settlement