

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY NAME: Matthew Richard Soto (SBN 353499) FIRM NAME: LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC STREET ADDRESS: 450 North Brand Blvd., Suite 900 CITY: Glendale STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 91203 TELEPHONE NO.: 818.265.1020 FAX NO.: 818.265.1021 EMAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiff Dekeisha Lindsey SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Oakland, 94612 BRANCH NAME: Rene C. Davidson Courthouse	FOR COURT USE ONLY
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Dekeisha Lindsey DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: WC Logistics, Inc.	
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER (Check one): ☒ UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded exceeded \$35,000) ☐ LIMITED CASE (Amount demanded was \$35,000 or less)	CASE NUMBER: RG21109457 / Dept. 23

TO ALL PARTIES :

1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on (date): June 3, 2025
2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.

Exhibit 1 - Order and Judgment Granting Approval of Private Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor Code § 2698, Et Seq.) Settlement Agreement and Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, General Release Fee, PAGA Representative Release Fee, and Settlement Administration Costs

Date: June 9, 2025

Matthew Richard Soto

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ☐ ATTORNEY ☐ PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)



(SIGNATURE)

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Dekeisha Lindsey
 DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: WC Logistics, Inc.

CASE NUMBER:
 RG21109457 / Dept. 23

**PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL
 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER**

(NOTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order if you are a party in the action. The person who served the notice must complete this proof of service.)

1. I am at least 18 years old and **not a party to this action**. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took place, and my residence or business address is (*specify*):

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED PROOF OF SERVICE.

2. I served a copy of the *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* by enclosing it in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid and (*check one*):

- a. ☐ deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service.
 b. ☐ placed the sealed envelope for collection and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, with which I am readily familiar. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

3. The *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* was mailed:

- a. on (*date*):
 b. from (*city and state*):

4. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:

- a. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

- b. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

- c. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

- d. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

☐ Names and addresses of additional persons served are attached. (*You may use form POS-030(P).*)

5. Number of pages attached:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: June 9, 2025

Isabel Yang

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)



(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

EXHIBIT 1

Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943)
 Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479)
 Vartan Madoyan (SBN 279015)
 Matthew Richard Soto (SBN 353499)
LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC
 450 North Brand Blvd., Suite 900
 Glendale, California 91203
 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

DEKEISHA LINDSEY, individually, and on
 behalf of other members of the general public
 similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

vs.

WC LOGISTICS, INC., a California corporation;
 AIT WORLDWIDE, INC., an unknown business
 entity; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

DEKEISHA LINDSEY, individually, and on
 behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant
 to the California Private Attorneys General
 Act;

Plaintiff,

vs.

WC LOGISTICS, INC., a California corporation;
 AIT WORLDWIDE, INC., an unknown business
 entity; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

FILED
 Superior Court of California
 County of Alameda
 06/03/2025
 Chad Flake, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court
 By: T. Lopez Deputy
 T. Lopez

Case No.: RG21109457

[Consolidated with Case No.: RG21109456]

Honorable Michael Markman
 Department 23

~~**[FURTHER REVISED PROPOSED] ORDER
 AND JUDGMENT GRANTING APPROVAL OF
 PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT
 (CAL. LABOR CODE § 2698, ET SEQ.)
 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ENTRY
 OF JUDGMENT ON PAGA CLAIM,
 DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF'S INDIVIDUAL
 CLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE, DISMISSAL OF
 CLASS CLAIMS WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND
 AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS,
 GENERAL RELEASE FEE, PAGA
 REPRESENTATIVE RELEASE FEE, AND
 SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION COSTS**~~

Reservation ID: 757838649372
 Date: May 29, 2025
 Time: 10:00 a.m.
 Department: 23

Complaint Filed: August 16, 2021
 FAC Filed: November 29, 2021
 SAC Filed: August 26, 2022
 Trial Date: None Set

**[FURTHER REVISED PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING APPROVAL OF PRIVATE
 ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT (CAL. LABOR CODE § 2698, ET SEQ.) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AWARD
 OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, GENERAL RELEASE FEE, PAGA REPRESENTATIVE RELEASE FEE,
 AND SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION COSTS**

1 This matter came before the Honorable Michael Markman in Department 23 of the Superior Court
2 of the State of California, for the County of Alameda, on May 29, 2025 at 10:00 a.m., on Plaintiff
3 Dekeisha Marie Lindsey’s (“Plaintiff”) Motion for Approval of Private Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor
4 Code § 2698, *Et Seq.*) Settlement Agreement and Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Costs, General Release
5 Fee and PAGA Representative Release Fee, and Settlement Administration Costs (“Motion for Approval
6 of Settlement”). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC appeared for Plaintiff and Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP,
7 appeared for Defendants WC Logistics, Inc., and AIT Worldwide Logistics, Inc. (together, the
8 “Defendants”).

9 On or around November 1, 2024, Plaintiff and Defendants (together, the “Parties”) executed the
10 Private Attorneys General Act Settlement Agreement and Release (“Original Agreement”).

11 On February 24, 2025, Plaintiff filed the Motion for Approval of Settlement, and noticed the
12 motion for hearing for March 18, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.

13 On March 17, 2025, the Court issued a Tentative Ruling on the Motion for Approval of Settlement,
14 continuing the hearing of the Motion for Approval of Settlement to May 29, 2025, requesting additional
15 information in support of the Motion for Approval of the Settlement, and instructing the Parties to modify
16 the settlement terms (and to make corresponding modifications to the proposed cover letter) to address the
17 Court’s concerns (“March 17, 2025 Tentative Ruling”). The Parties submitted on the August 25, 2024
18 Tentative Ruling, and the Court issued its Minute Order adopting the May 17, 2025 Tentative Ruling and
19 instructing the Parties to address the issues raised by the Court therein (“March 18, 2025 Order”). On May
20 7, 2025, the Parties negotiated and entered into the First Amended Private Attorneys General Act
21 Settlement Agreement and Release (“Settlement,” “Settlement Agreement,” or “Agreement”), which was
22 submitted to the Court as “**EXHIBIT 3**” to the Supplemental Declaration of Vartan Madoyan in Support
23 of Plaintiff’s Motion for Approval of Private Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor Code § 2698, *Et Seq.*)
24 Settlement Agreement, Dismissal of Plaintiff’s Individual Claims with Prejudice, Dismissal of Class
25 Claims without Prejudice, and Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Costs, General Release Fee, PAGA
26 Representative Release Fee, and Settlement Administration Costs. The Parties also negotiated and
27 reached agreement on a revised Cover Letter (“Cover Letter”). On May 21, 2025, the Parties filed their
28

supplemental papers in support of the Motion for Approval, including *inter alia*, submitting the Amended Settlement Agreement to the Court.

On May 27, 2025, the Court issued a Tentative Ruling which the Parties submitted on and became the order of the Court when the Court issued its Minute Order on May 29, 2025 granting Plaintiff's Motion for Approval of Settlement ("May 29, 2025 Minute Order"). The May 29, 2025 Minute Order also set a Compliance Hearing for October 30, 2025 at 10:00 a.m. in the above captioned court and ordered Plaintiff to submit a revised proposed Order and Judgment, consistent with the May 29, 2025 Minute Order, within three (3) days of notice of entry of the May 29, 2025 Minute Order.

The Court has reviewed and considered (1) the Motion for Approval of Settlement, (2) the Declarations and Supplemental Declarations of Plaintiff's Counsel Vartan Madoyan and Plaintiff Dekeisha Marie Lindsey, and (3) the Settlement Agreement.

Having duly considered the Parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Motion for Approval of Settlement is granted.
2. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning defined in the Settlement Agreement.
3. Unless otherwise specified, all citations and references to the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.* ("PAGA") are to the version of the statute prior to the recent amendment effective July 1, 2024; the amended statute does not apply to the above-captioned action and the Settlement pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(v)(1), as amended, because the above-captioned action was filed prior to June 19, 2024.

4. The Court finds that Plaintiff has satisfied the prerequisites under PAGA, including, and not limited to, providing the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and Defendants with notice of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including, and not limited to, the facts and theories to support the alleged violations, in conformity with California Labor Code § 2699.3(a).

5. The Court also finds that the Settlement Agreement has been submitted to the LWDA in conformity with California Labor Code § 2699(l)(2) and that the LWDA has not sought to intervene or appear in the above-captioned actions (the "Consolidated Action").

6. The Settlement pertains to the following aggrieved employees:

“Sub-Group A”: all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt individuals who were employed by any of the Defendants in the State of California during the PAGA Period.

“Sub-Group B”: all current and former salaried customer account managers, or persons who held similar job titles and/or performed similar job duties, who were classified as exempt and employed by any of the Defendants in the State of California during the PAGA Period (together Sub-Group A and Sub-Group B are referred herein as, the “Aggrieved Employees”).

7. The Court finds that the Parties reached the Settlement as a result of arm’s-length negotiations.

8. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(l)(2), the Court has reviewed the sum allocated for payment of penalties under PAGA (“Net Settlement Amount”), and determines that it is fair, just, reasonable, and adequate. The Court hereby approves the Net Settlement Amount. The Net Settlement Amount shall be the Total Settlement Amount less the approved Attorneys’ Fees and Costs to Lawyers for Justice, PC (“Plaintiff’s Counsel”), General Release Fee and PAGA Representative Release Fee to Plaintiff, and Settlement Administration Costs to Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions (the “PAGA Settlement Administrator”). Seventy-five percent (75%) of the Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed to the LWDA (“LWDA Payment”) and twenty-five percent (25%) of the Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees (“Aggrieved Employees’ Portion”) in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement. The Total Settlement Amount is \$550,000.00, however, pursuant to Section 3(b) of the Settlement Agreement, if the actual number of Compensable Pay Periods during the period from April 5, 2020, through March 17, 2023, is more than seven and a half percent (7.5%) above 7,261 (i.e., 545 or more pay periods), the Total Settlement Amount will be increased by the percentage that the actual number exceeds 7,261 Compensable Pay Periods.

9. The Court has considered the Settlement, and the monetary allocations provided thereby, and finds that they are fair, just, reasonable, and adequate. The Court hereby directs the PAGA Settlement Administrator to administer the Settlement and to make the payments as provided for by, and consistent with, this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

10. The Court approves the payment of \$192,500.00 to Plaintiff’s Counsel for attorneys’ fees. This amount shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount and shall be disbursed in accordance with

1 this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement. Ten percent (10%) of the attorneys' fees will be
2 kept in the Settlement Administrator's trust fund until the completion of the distribution process and court
3 approval of a final accounting.

4 11. The Court approves the payment of \$15,219.81 to Plaintiff's Counsel for reimbursement of
5 litigation costs and expenses incurred in the Action. This amount shall be paid from the Total Settlement
6 Amount and shall be disbursed in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement
7 Agreement.

8 12. The Court approves payment in the amount of \$5,000.00 to Plaintiff Dekeisha Marie
9 Lindsey for her PAGA Representative Release Fee and in the amount of \$20,000.00 for her General
10 Release Fee. This amount shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount and shall be disbursed in
11 accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

12 13. The Court approves payment up to the amount of \$4,000.00 to Phoenix Class Action
13 Administration Solutions for payment of costs and expenses of administration of the Settlement
14 ("Settlement Administration Costs"). This amount shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount and
15 shall be disbursed in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

16 14. The Parties are directed to perform in accordance with the terms set forth in this Order and
17 Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

18 15. The Court further finds that notice of the Settlement need not be provided to the Aggrieved
19 Employees; however, the Court approves the Cover Letter, attached hereto as "**EXHIBIT A**," and the
20 PAGA Settlement Administrator shall distribute the Cover Letter to the Aggrieved Employees at the same
21 time that it distributes payments to Aggrieved Employees for their share of the Aggrieved Employees'
22 Portion ("Individual Settlement Share(s)").

23 16. Within fourteen (14) calendar days after the date of this Order and Judgment, Defendants
24 will provide the PAGA Settlement Administrator with the Aggrieved Employee List, in accordance with
25 this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

26 17. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of this Order and Judgment, Defendants shall
27 deposit the Total Settlement Amount into a qualified settlement account established, by the PAGA
28

Settlement Administrator for administration of the Settlement in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

18. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the PAGA Settlement Administrator receives the Aggrieved Employee List and the Total Settlement Amount, the PAGA Settlement Administrator shall distribute the LWDA Payment to the LWDA, Individual Settlement Share checks and Cover Letters to all Aggrieved Employees (together, the “PAGA Settlement Package(s)”), the General Release Fee and PAGA Representative Release Fee to Plaintiff, the Settlement Administration Costs to itself (only after the PAGA Settlement Packages have been mailed to all Aggrieved Employees), the Attorneys’ Fees and Costs to Plaintiff’s Counsel (unless instructed otherwise by Plaintiff’s Counsel), as provided for by this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

19. The Individual Settlement Share checks issued to Aggrieved Employees shall remain valid and negotiable for ninety (90) calendar days, and thereafter, shall be canceled. Funds associated with such canceled checks shall be transmitted by the PAGA Settlement Administrator to Legal Aid at Work, a non-profit organization which, among other things, operates Workers’ Rights Clinics at sites across California. Unclaimed funds should not be distributed to Legal Aid at Work, until the Court approves final accounting.

20. Aggrieved Employees shall not have the right to opt out of or object to the Settlement. Upon the date of entry of this Order and Judgment and full funding of the Total Settlement Amount, and except as to the rights and obligations created by the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff and the State of California, will be deemed to have released the Released Parties of and from the Released PAGA Claims.

21. “Released Parties” means Defendants and all related entities of Defendants’, as well as all their respective employees, agents, insurers, attorneys, officers, directors, and related entities.

22. “Released PAGA Claims” means any and all claims under state, federal, or local law, arising out of the claims expressly pleaded in the Amended PAGA Notice for civil penalties under which the PAGA claim is predicated upon: (1) failure to pay overtime wages; (2) failure to provide and pay meal period premiums; (3) failure to provide and pay rest period premiums; (4) failure to pay minimum wages; (5) failure to timely pay wages upon termination; (6) failure to timely pay wages during employment; (7) failure to provide compliant wage statements; (8) failure to keep requisite payroll records; and (9) failure

1 to reimburse necessary business expenses.

2 23. "PAGA Period" means the period from April 5, 2020 through September 17, 2023.

3 24. No individualized notice of this Order and Judgment is required to be provided to the
4 Aggrieved Employees. This Order and Judgment shall be submitted to the LWDA through the online
5 system established for the filing of notices and documents, in conformity with California Labor Code §
6 2699(1)(3).

7 25. Upon entry of this Order and Judgment, the Court hereby enters judgment, with
8 prejudice, with respect to the PAGA Claims for the reasons described above and in accordance with the
9 terms of the Settlement Agreement.

10 26. Upon entry of this Order and Judgment and pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule
11 3.770, Plaintiff Dekeisha Marie Lindsey's individual claims alleged in the action entitled *Dekeisha*
12 *Lindsey v. WC Logistics, Inc; AIT Worldwide Logistics, Inc.*, Alameda Superior Court Case No.
13 RG21109457 are hereby **dismissed with prejudice**, and the putative class claims alleged in the action
14 entitled *Dekeisha Lindsey v. WC Logistics, Inc; AIT Worldwide Logistics, Inc.*, Alameda Superior Court
15 Case No. RG21109457 are hereby **dismissed without prejudice**.

16 27. A Final Compliance Hearing is scheduled for October 30, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. in
17 Department 23. No later than 7 court days before the Final Compliance Hearing, Plaintiff must file a
18 final report and declaration regarding distribution. If the report and declaration establish that the
19 distributions are complete, appearances may not be required.

20
21
22
23 Date: 06/03/2025



Honorable Michael Markman
Judge of the Superior Court
Michael Markman / Judge

EXHIBIT A

Exhibit A

<<Mailing Date>>

To: <<First Name>><<Last Name>>
<<Street Address>>
<<City>> <<State>> <<Zip>>

Re: Settlement Payment from *Lindsey v. WC Logistics, Inc.; AIT Worldwide Logistics, Inc.*, Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG21109456.

Dear <<First Name>><<Last Name>>:

Please find enclosed a check in the amount of <<amount of payment>> (“Individual Settlement Share”). This check is your payment from the settlement in the lawsuit entitled *Lindsey v. WC Logistics, Inc., AIT Worldwide Logistics, Inc.*, Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG21109456 (the “Action”).

The Action was filed on August 16, 2021 by Dekeisha Lindsey (“Plaintiff”) against her former employer, Defendants WC Logistics, Inc. and AIT Worldwide Logistics, Inc. (“Defendants”), on behalf of the State of California, to seek recovery of civil penalties pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”), for alleged violations of the California Labor Code and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, including for failure to properly pay minimum and overtime wages, failure to provide compliant meal and rest periods and associated premiums, failure to pay wages during employment and upon termination, failure to provide compliant wage statements, failure to maintain requisite payroll records, and failure to reimburse business expenses. Prior to filing the Action, on April 5, 2021, Plaintiff submitted a letter to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”), pursuant to PAGA, to provide notice of her intent to seek civil penalties under PAGA for Defendant’s alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 551, 552, 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800, and 2802 and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, including *inter alia*, Wage Orders 4-2001, and 9-2001, thereby initiating LWDA Case Number LWDA-CM-828370-21 (the “PAGA Notice”); and on June 9, 2021, Plaintiff submitted an amended letter to the LWDA to provide notice of her intent to seek civil penalties under PAGA for Defendant’s alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 551, 552, 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800, and 2802 and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, including *inter alia*, Wage Orders 4-2001, and 9-2001.

Defendants deny all of Plaintiff’s allegations and deny any wrongdoing of any kind associated with Plaintiff’s allegations. A settlement was reached between Plaintiff and Defendants. On << Date>>, the settlement was approved by the Court, with a portion to be paid to the LWDA and a portion to be paid to all Aggrieved Employees (including Sub-Group A and Sub-Group B). Sub-Group A of the Aggrieved Employees consists of all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt individuals who were employed by any of the Defendants in the State of California during the PAGA Period. Sub-Group B of the Aggrieved Employees consists of all current and former salaried customer account managers, or persons who held similar job titles and/or performed similar job duties, who were classified as exempt and employed by any of the Defendants in the State of California during the PAGA Period. Sub-Group A and Sub-Group B, collectively, are referred to as the “Aggrieved Employees.” The period from April 5, 2020 through September 17, 2023 is the “PAGA Period.”

You are receiving a portion of the settlement (the enclosed Individual Settlement Share) because you have been identified as an Aggrieved Employee. Your Individual Settlement Share is based on the total number of pay periods that you worked for Defendant in California during the PAGA Period. The Individual Settlement Share is considered to be 100% penalties, which will be reported on an IRS Form 1099. You are responsible for paying any and all taxes that may be due as a result of any payment issued to you under the settlement and should consult a tax advisor regarding the tax consequences of such payment.

Under the settlement, Plaintiff and the State of California, are deemed to have released the Released Parties, of and from the Released PAGA Claims:

“Released Parties” means Defendants and all related entities of Defendants’, as well as all their respective employees, agents, insurers, attorneys, officers, directors, and related entities

“Released PAGA Claims” means the claims to be released by the Aggrieved Employees which include all claims under state, federal, or local law, arising out of the claims expressly pleaded in the Amended PAGA Notice for civil penalties under which the PAGA claim is predicated upon: (1) failure to pay overtime wages; (2) failure to provide and pay meal period premiums; (3) failure to provide and pay rest period premiums; (4) failure to pay minimum wages; (5) failure to timely pay wages upon termination; (6) failure to timely pay wages during employment; (7) failure to provide compliant wage statements; (8) failure to keep requisite payroll records; and (9) failure to reimburse necessary business expenses.

The enclosed check is valid for 90 days from the original date of issuance and mailing, and if it is not cashed, deposited, or otherwise negotiated within the 90-day timeframe, it will be canceled, and the funds associated with the canceled check will be transmitted to a third-party organization called Legal Aid at Work.

Do not call or write the Court, Office of the Clerk of the Court, Defendants, or Defendants’ counsel to ask questions about the settlement or to ask tax-related questions. If you have any such questions, you may contact [PAGA Settlement Administrator] at [toll-free phone number].

EXHIBIT A – COVER LETTER

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 450 North Brand Blvd., Suite 900, Glendale, California 91203.

On June 9, 2025, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER** on interested parties in this action by Electronic Service as follows:

Kristin Walker-Probst
kristin.walker-probst@wbd-us.com
Courtney C. Wenrick
Courtney.Wenrick@wbd-us.com

WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP
400 Spectrum Center Drive Suite 1700
Irvine, CA 92618

Attorneys for Defendant WC Logistics, Inc and AIT Worldwide Logistics, Inc.

[X] BY E-MAIL

The above-referenced document was transmitted to the person(s) at the e-mail addresses listed herein at their most recent known e-mail address or e-mail of record in this action. I did not receive, within reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency Web URL:
<http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-Act.html>

[X] BY ONLINE SUBMISSION

The foregoing documents were transmitted to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency through the online system established for the submission of notices and documents, in conformity with California Labor Code section 2699(l). I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

[X] STATE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on June 9, 2025, at Glendale, California.


Isabel Yang