

COPY

FILED
MAR 01 2022

K. BIEKER CLERK OF THE COURT
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

By A. Stewart, Deputy Clerk

BY FAX

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA – WAKEFIELD TAYLOR COURTHOUSE

GLORIBEL DE LA TRINIDAD, on behalf of
herself and all other aggrieved employees,

PLAINTIFF,

v.

DURANGO FOODS, INC., a California
corporation d/b/a EL POLLO LOCO; JOSEPH N.
RUBIN, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100,
inclusive,

DEFENDANTS.

Case No. C21-01819

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO
JUDGE EDWARD G. WEIL

~~PROPOSED~~ SETTLEMENT
APPROVAL ORDER

Action Filed: September 1, 2021
Trial Date: None Set.

1 **[PROPOSED] SETTLEMENT APPROVAL ORDER**

2 The Court, having carefully considered the Joint Motion for Approval of Private Attorneys
3 General Act Representative Action Settlement ("Joint Motion") filed by Plaintiff Gloribel De La
4 Trinidad, on behalf of the State of California and the Labor Workforce Development Agency, for herself
5 and all other aggrieved employees ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Durango Foods, Inc. ("Defendant" and
6 together with Plaintiff, the "Parties") and all supporting evidence, issued the detailed Tentative Ruling
7 on February 16, 2022 (attached as Exhibit A), which the Court now adopts as the final ruling of the
8 Court.¹ For the reasons stated in the Tentative Ruling, the Joint Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.

9 Pursuant to Labor Code Section 2699, subdivision (1)(2), based on the Parties' Joint Motion, all
10 filings related to the Joint Motion, all other papers filed in this action, and good cause appearing therefor,

11 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

12 The Court hereby grants the Joint Motion and approves the Parties' settlement and hereby enters
13 final judgment based on the terms set forth in the Parties' settlement in favor of Plaintiff and the PAGA
14 Eligible Employees in the amount of \$65,000.00. Of this amount, \$22,750.00 is awarded as attorneys'
15 fees paid to Plaintiff's counsel, \$2,248.28 is awarded as costs paid to Plaintiff's counsel, and \$3,798.50
16 is awarded as Administrative Costs paid to the Settlement Administrator.

17 This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions and terms in the Private Attorneys
18 General Act Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement"), and all terms defined therein shall have the same
19 meaning as set forth in the Settlement.

20 This Judgment intended to be a final disposition in its entirety of the above captioned action.
21 Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters
22 relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of the
23 Settlement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6.

24 A compliance hearing is scheduled for June 16, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 39.

25 DATED: FEB 22 2022

Edward G. Weil

26 **HONORABLE EDWARD G. WEIL**
27 **SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE**

28 ¹ The matter was heard initially on January 20, 2022 but was continued to February 17, 2022 pending submission of further information by Plaintiff.