

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798)
Email: mmatern@maternlawgroup.com
Tagore Subramaniam (SBN 280126)
tagore@maternlawgroup.com
Sydney A. Adams (SBN 319991)
sadams@maternlawgroup.com
1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200
Manhattan Beach, California 90266
Telephone: (310) 531-1900
Facsimile: (310) 531-1901

Attorneys for Plaintiff RAYMUNDO
IBARRA GARIBAY individually, and on
behalf of others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

RAYMUNDO IBARRA GARIBAY,
individually, and on behalf of others similarly
situated

Plaintiff,

vs.

EL POLLO INKA EXPRESS, INC., a
corporation; EL POLLO INKA, INC.; a
corporation; EL POLLO INKA PV, LLC, a
limited liability corporation; and DOES 1
through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

**CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED**
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

AUG 19 2021

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: Tanya Herrera, Deputy

CASE NO.:

21STCV30677

COMPLAINT

CLASS ACTION:

1. Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods
2. Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods
3. Failure to Pay Overtime Wages
4. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages
5. Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees
6. Failure to Maintain Required Records
7. Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements
8. Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties
9. Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION:

10. Penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, as Representative Action

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

By Fax

1 **INTRODUCTION**

2 PLAINTIFF RAYMUNDO IBARRA GARIBAY (“PLAINTIFF”) an individual,
3 demanding a jury trial, on behalf of himself and other persons similarly situated, hereby alleges as
4 follows:

5 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6 1. The Superior Court of the State of California has jurisdiction in this matter because
7 PLAINTIFF is a resident of the State of California, and Defendants EL POLLO INKA EXPRESS,
8 INC., a corporation; EL POLLO INKA, INC.; a corporation; EL POLLO INKA PV, LLC, a limited
9 liability corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, (collectively “DEFENDANTS”), are
10 qualified to do business in California and regularly conduct business in California. Further, no
11 federal question is at issue because the claims are based solely on California law.

12 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district and the County of Los Angeles, California
13 because PLAINTIFF, and other persons similarly situated, performed work for DEFENDANTS
14 in the County of Los Angeles, DEFENDANTS maintain offices and facilities and transact
15 business in the County of Los Angeles, and because DEFENDANTS’ illegal payroll policies and
16 practices which are the subject of this action were applied, at least in part, to PLAINTIFF, and
17 other persons similarly situated, in the County of Los Angeles.

18 **PLAINTIFF**

19 3. PLAINTIFF is a male resident of the State of California and a former employee of
20 DEFENDANTS.

21 4. PLAINTIFF, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and former
22 non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time during the four
23 years preceding the filing of this action, and continuing while this action is pending, brings this
24 class action to recover, among other things, wages and penalties from unpaid wages earned and
25 due, including but not limited to unpaid minimum wages, unpaid and illegally calculated overtime
26 compensation, illegal meal and rest period policies, failure to pay all wages due to discharged and
27 quitting employees, failure to indemnify employees for necessary expenditures and/or losses
28 incurred in discharging their duties, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure

1 to maintain required records, and interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

2 5. PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of himself and the following similarly
3 situated class of individuals ("CLASS MEMBERS"): all current and former non-exempt
4 employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time within the period
5 beginning four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and ending at the time this action
6 settles or proceeds to final judgment (the "CLASS PERIOD"). PLAINTIFF reserves the right
7 to name additional class representatives.

8 **DEFENDANTS**

9 6. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT EL
10 POLLO INKA EXPRESS, INC. is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a California corporation
11 organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. PLAINTIFF is further informed
12 and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT EL POLLO INKA EXPRESS, INC. is
13 authorized to conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State
14 of California. Specifically, DEFENDANT EL POLLO INKA EXPRESS, INC. maintains offices
15 and facilities and conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the
16 County of Los Angeles, State of California.

17 7. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT EL
18 POLLO INKA, INC. is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a California corporation organized
19 and existing under the laws of the State of California. PLAINTIFF is further informed and
20 believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT EL POLLO INKA, INC. is authorized to
21 conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State of California.
22 Specifically, DEFENDANT EL POLLO INKA, INC. maintains offices and facilities and conducts
23 business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the County of Los Angeles,
24 State of California.

25 8. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT EL
26 POLLO INKA PV, LLC is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a limited liability corporation
27 organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. PLAINTIFF is further informed
28 and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT EL POLLO INKA PV, LLC is authorized to

1 conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State of California.
2 Specifically, DEFENDANT EL POLLO INKA PV, LLC maintains offices and facilities and
3 conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the County of Los
4 Angeles, State of California.

5 9. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to
6 PLAINTIFF at this time, and PLAINTIFF therefore sues such DOE Defendants under fictitious
7 names. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each Defendant
8 designated as a DOE is in some manner highly responsible for the occurrences alleged herein, and
9 that PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS' injuries and damages, as alleged herein, were
10 proximately caused by the conduct of such DOE Defendants. PLAINTIFF will seek leave of the
11 court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities of such DOE Defendants
12 when ascertained.

13 10. At all relevant times herein, DEFENDANTS were the joint employers of
14 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon allege,
15 that at all times material to this complaint DEFENDANTS were the alter egos, divisions,
16 affiliates, integrated enterprises, joint employers, subsidiaries, parents, principals, related entities,
17 co-conspirators, authorized agents, partners, joint venturers, and/or guarantors, actual or
18 ostensible, of each other. Each Defendant was completely dominated by his, her or its co-
19 Defendant, and each was the alter ego of the other.

20 11. At all relevant times herein, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were employed
21 by DEFENDANTS under employment agreements that were partly written, partly oral, and partly
22 implied. In perpetrating the acts and omissions alleged herein, DEFENDANTS, and each of them,
23 acted pursuant to, and in furtherance of, their policies and practices of not paying PLAINTIFF
24 and CLASS MEMBERS all wages earned and due, through methods and schemes which include,
25 but are not limited to, failing to pay overtime premiums; failing to provide rest and meal periods;
26 failing to properly maintain records; failing to provide accurate itemized statements for each pay
27 period; failing to properly compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary
28 expenditures; and requiring, permitting or suffering the employees to work off the clock, in

1 violation of the California Labor Code and the applicable Welfare Commission (“IWC”) Orders.

2 12. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon allege, that each and every one
3 of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by, and/or attributable to, all
4 DEFENDANTS, each acting as agents and/or employees, and/or under the direction and control
5 of, each of the other DEFENDANTS, and that said acts and failures to act were within the course
6 and scope of said agency, employment and/or direction and control.

7 13. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 558.1, DEFENDANTS and any person acting
8 on behalf of any of the DEFENDANTS, are liable for violating, or causing to violate, any
9 provision regulating minimum wages or hours and days of work in any order of the Industrial
10 Welfare Commission, or Labor Code §§ 203, 226, 226.7, 1193.6, 1194, or 2802.

11 14. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful actions of DEFENDANTS,
12 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, from loss of earnings
13 in amounts as yet unascertained, but subject to proof at trial, and within the jurisdiction of this
14 Court.

15 **CLASS ACTION DESIGNATION**

16 15. This action is appropriately suited for a Class Action because:

17 a. The potential class is a significant number. Joinder of all current and
18 former employees individually would be impractical.

19 b. This action involves common questions of law and fact to the potential
20 class because the action focuses on DEFENDANTS’ systematic course of illegal payroll practices
21 and policies, which was applied to all non-exempt employees in violation of the Labor Code, the
22 applicable IWC wage order, and the Business and Professions Code which prohibits unfair
23 business practices arising from such violations.

24 c. The claims of PLAINTIFF are typical of the class because DEFENDANTS
25 subjected all non-exempt employees to identical violations of the Labor Code, the applicable
26 IWC wage order, and the Business and Professions Code.

27 ///

28 ///

1 d. PLAINTIFF is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of all
2 members of the class because it is in her best interests to prosecute the claims alleged herein to
3 obtain full compensation due to them for all services rendered and hours worked.

4 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods**

6 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 11]**

7 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

8 15. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
9 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

10 16. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies
11 and practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS
12 required, permitted or otherwise suffered PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to take less than
13 the 30-minute meal period, or to work through them, and have failed to otherwise provide the
14 required meal periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS pursuant to California Labor Code
15 § 226.7, 512 and IWC Order No. 5-2001, § 11.

16 17. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and IWC Wage
17 Order No. 5-2001, § 11 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were
18 not provided with a meal period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional
19 hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a meal period
20 was not provided.

21 18. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 1194,
22 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS
23 MEMBERS for all hours worked during their meal periods.

24 19. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS
25 MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages
26 earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

27 ///

28 ///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2

3

4

5
6

7
8
9
10

11
12
13
14
15

16
17
18

19

20

21

22

23
24

25
26
27
28

1 first eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive workday, with double time for all hours worked in
2 excess of twelve (12) hours in any workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours
3 on the seventh consecutive day of work in any workweek.

4 26. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are current and former non-exempt
5 employees entitled to the protections of California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage
6 Order No. 5-2001. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to compensate
7 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime hours worked as required under the
8 foregoing provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Order by, among other things:
9 failing to pay overtime at one and one-half (1 ½) or double the regular rate of pay as provided by
10 California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3; requiring, permitting
11 or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or
12 suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest breaks; illegally
13 and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to
14 properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate
15 itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF for each pay period; and other methods to be
16 discovered.

17 27. In violation of California law, DEFENDANTS have knowingly and willfully
18 refused to perform their obligations to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all
19 wages earned and all hours worked. As a proximate result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS
20 have suffered, and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such
21 wages, lost interest on such wages, and expenses and attorneys' fees in seeking to compel
22 DEFENDANTS to fully perform their obligations under state law, all to their respective damages
23 in amounts according to proof at time of trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

24 28. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 510,
25 1194, 1198 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 3. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code
26 §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the California Labor
27 Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the
28 unpaid balance of wages owed to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys'

1 fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

2 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 **Failure to Pay Minimum Wages**

4 **[Cal Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 4]**

5 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

6 29. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
7 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

8 30. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-
9 2001, § 4, payment to an employee of less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours
10 worked in a payroll period is unlawful.

11 31. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to pay PLAINTIFF and
12 CLASS MEMBERS minimum wages for all hours worked by, among other things: requiring,
13 permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring,
14 permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest
15 breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS
16 worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to
17 provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay
18 period; and other methods to be discovered.

19 32. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§
20 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 4. As a proximate result of the aforementioned
21 violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to
22 proof at trial. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1,
23 and other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and
24 CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to them by
25 DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees**

3 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203]**

4 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

5 33. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
6 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

7 34. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 201, 202, and 203, DEFENDANTS are
8 required to pay all earned and unpaid wages to an employee who is discharged. California Labor
9 Code § 201 mandates that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages accrued
10 and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.

11 35. Furthermore, pursuant to California Labor Code § 202, DEFENDANTS are
12 required to pay all accrued wages due to an employee no later than 72 hours after the employee
13 quits his or her employment, unless the employee provided 72 hours previous notice of his or her
14 intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

15 36. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, in
16 accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is
17 discharged or who quits, the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued
18 compensation to the employee at the same rate for up to 30 workdays.

19 37. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS have willfully failed to pay accrued
20 wages and other compensation to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS in accordance with
21 California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.

22 38. As a result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available
23 statutory penalties, including the waiting time penalties provided in California Labor Code § 203,
24 together with interest thereon, as well as other available remedies.

25 39. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
26 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been deprived of compensation in an amount
27 according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court, and are
28 entitled to recovery of such amounts, plus interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant

1 to California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 2699.

2 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 **Failure to Maintain Required Records**

4 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7]**

5 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

6 40. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
7 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

8 41. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies
9 and practices to deprive PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS of all wages earned and due,
10 DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed to maintain records as required under
11 California Labor Code §§ 226, 1174, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7, including but not
12 limited to the following records: total daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of
13 pay; all deductions; meal periods; time records showing when each employee begins and ends
14 each work period; and accurate itemized statements.

15 42. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
16 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
17 trial, and are entitled to all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally,
18 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including
19 but not limited to civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5,
20 and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those
21 provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies.

22 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

23 **Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements**

24 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226, 1174; IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7]**

25 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

26 43. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
27 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

28 44. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS routinely failed to provide

1 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in
2 writing showing each employee's gross wages earned, total hours worked, all deductions made,
3 net wages earned, the name and address of the legal entity or entities employing PLAINTIFF and
4 CLASS MEMBERS, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the
5 corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of California Labor Code
6 § 226 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 7.

7 45. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed
8 to provide PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage
9 statements in accordance with California Labor Code § 226(a).

10 46. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
11 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
12 trial, and seek all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and
13 CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including but not limited to
14 civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of
15 costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those provided in
16 California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies.

17 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of** 19 **Duties**

20 **[Cal. Labor Code § 2802]**

21 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

22 47. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
23 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

24 48. California Labor Code § 2802(a) requires an employer to indemnify an employee
25 for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the
26 discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer.

27 49. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and willfully failed to
28 indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all business expenses and/or losses incurred

1 in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties while working under the direction of
2 DEFENDANTS, including but not limited to expenses for uniforms, cell phone usage, and other
3 employment-related expenses, in violation of California Labor Code § 2802.

4 50. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
5 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
6 trial, and seek reimbursement of all necessary expenditures, plus interest thereon pursuant to
7 California Labor Code § 2802(b). Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are
8 entitled to all available statutory penalties and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable
9 attorneys' fees, including those provided in California Labor Code § 2802(c), as well as other
10 available remedies.

11 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices**

13 **[Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et. seq.]**

14 **(Against all DEFENDANTS)**

15 51. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
16 allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

17 52. Each and every one of DEFENDANTS' acts and omissions in violation of the
18 California Labor Code and/or the applicable IWC Wage Order as alleged herein, including but
19 not limited to DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required meal periods,
20 DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to provide required rest periods, DEFENDANTS' failure and
21 refusal to pay overtime compensation, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay minimum
22 wages, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to pay all wages due to discharged or quitting
23 employees, DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to furnish accurate itemized wage statements;
24 DEFENDANTS' failure and refusal to maintain required records, DEFENDANTS' failure and
25 refusal to indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary expenditures and/or
26 losses incurring in discharging their duties, constitutes an unfair and unlawful business practice
27 under California Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.

28 53. DEFENDANTS' violations of California wage and hour laws constitute a business

1 practice because DEFENDANTS' aforementioned acts and omissions were done repeatedly over
2 a significant period of time, and in a systematic manner, to the detriment of PLAINTIFF and
3 CLASS MEMBERS.

4 54. DEFENDANTS have avoided payment of wages, overtime wages, meal periods,
5 rest periods, and other benefits as required by the California Labor Code, the California Code of
6 Regulations, and the applicable IWC Wage Order. Further, DEFENDANTS have failed to
7 record, report, and pay the correct sums of assessment to the state authorities under the California
8 Labor Code and other applicable regulations.

9 55. As a result of DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices,
10 DEFENDANTS have reaped unfair and illegal profits during the CLASS PERIOD at the expense
11 of PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBERS, and members of the public. DEFENDANTS should be
12 made to disgorge their ill-gotten gains and to restore them to PLAINTIFF and CLASS
13 MEMBERS.

14 56. DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices entitle PLAINTIFF and
15 CLASS MEMBERS to seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including but not
16 limited to orders that DEFENDANTS account for, disgorge, and restore to PLAINTIFF and
17 CLASS MEMBERS the wages and other compensation unlawfully withheld from them.
18 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to restitution of all monies to be disgorged
19 from DEFENDANTS in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the
20 jurisdiction of this Court.

21 **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **Representative Action for Civil Penalties**

23 **[Cal. Labor Code §§ 2698–2699.5]**

24 **(Against All DEFENDANTS)**

25
26 57. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference as though fully set forth the
27 allegations in all preceding paragraphs, with exception of the allegations in paragraph 15 and the
28 subparagraphs thereto.

1 58. PLAINTIFF is an “aggrieved employee” within the meaning of California Labor
2 Code § 2699(c), and a proper representative to bring a civil action on behalf of himself and other
3 current and former employees of DEFENDANTS pursuant to the procedures specified in
4 California Labor Code § 2699.3, because PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were employed
5 by DEFENDANTS and the alleged violations of the California Labor Code were committed
6 against PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS.

7 59. Pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”),
8 Labor Code §§ 2698–2699.5, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS seeks to recover civil
9 penalties, including but not limited to penalties under California Labor Code §§ 2699, 210,
10 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001, § 20, from DEFENDANTS in a
11 representative action for the violations set forth above, including but not limited to violations of
12 California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and
13 2802. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are also entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys’
14 fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(g)(1).

15 60. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 2699.3, PLAINTIFF gave written notice on
16 May 14, 2021 by online filing to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency
17 (“LWDA”) and by certified mail to DEFENDANTS of the specific provisions of the California
18 Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories
19 to support the alleged violations. More than sixty-five (65) days have passed and the LWDA has
20 not provided notice to PLAINTIFF that it intends to investigate the alleged violations.

21 61. Therefore, PLAINTIFF has complied with all of the requirements set forth in
22 California Labor Code § 2699.3 to commence a representative action under PAGA.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, respectfully prays for relief against DEFENDANTS and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and each of them, as follows:

1. For compensatory damages in an amount to be ascertained at trial;
2. For restitution of all monies due to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, as well as disgorged profits from DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices;
3. For meal and rest period compensation pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order No. 5-2001;
4. For liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194.2 and 1197.1;
5. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining DEFENDANTS from violating the relevant provisions of the California Labor Code and the IWC Wage Orders, and from engaging in the unlawful business practices complained of herein;
6. For waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203;
7. For statutory and civil penalties according to proof, including but not limited to all penalties authorized by the California Labor Code §§ 226(e) and §§ 2698–2699.5;
8. For interest on the unpaid wages at 10% per annum pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 218.6, 1194, 2802, California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for pre-judgment interest;
9. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194, 2699, 2802, California Civil Code § 1021.5, and any other applicable provisions providing for attorneys' fees and costs;
10. For declaratory relief;
11. For an order requiring and certifying the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, and Ninth Causes of Action as a class action;
12. For an order appointing PLAINTIFF as class representative, and PLAINTIFF's counsel as class counsel; and

///

1 13. For such further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

2
3 DATED: August 18, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

4 **MATERN LAW GROUP, PC**

5
6 By: 

7 Matthew J. Matern
8 Tagore O. Subramaniam
9 Sydney A. Adams
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
11 RAYMUNDO IBARRA GARIBAY,
12 individually, and on behalf of other persons
13 similarly situated
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

DATED: August 18, 2021

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC

True Love !!

RAYMUNDO IBARRA, individually, and
on behalf of other persons similarly situated