Carolyn Hunt Cottrell (SBN 166977)
Ori Edelstein (SBN 268145)
SCHNEIDER WALLACE
COTTRELL KIM LLP
2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400
Emeryville, California 94608
Tel: (415) 421-7100; Fax: (415) 421-7105
ccottrell@schneiderwallace.com
oedelstein@schneiderwallace.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Myesha Williams

[Additional Counsel on Following Page]

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF MADERA

JASON NASR, JACK SAMONTE, GIL MICHAELI, and MYESHA WILLIAMS, as individuals and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and as private attorneys general,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY REHABILITATION HOSPITAL; VIBRA HEALTHCARE LLC; VIBRA REHABILITATION HOSPITAL OF RANCHO, LLC d/b/a VIBRA REHABILITATION HOSPITAL OF RANCHO MIRAGE; 1125 SIR FRANCIS DRAKE BOULEVARD OPERATING COMPANY, LLC d/b/a KENTFIELD HOSPITAL; KENTFIELD REHABILITATION HOSPITAL FOUNDATION; VIBRA HOSPITAL OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; VIBRA HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO; GALE HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS LLC; REALTIME PEO II, LLC; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. MCV084862

Hon. Michael J. Jurkovich
Dept. 44

DECLARATION OF ORI EDELSTEIN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

Date: November 12, 2026
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept.: 44

Complaint Filed:	March 16, 2021
FAC Filed:	May 6, 2021
SAC Filed:	May 22, 2025

DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C.
Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175)
lwlee@diversitylaw.com
Simon L. Yang (State Bar No. 260286)
sly@diversitylaw.com
515 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1250
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 488-6555
Facsimile: (213) 488-6554

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nasr and Samonte and the Class

POLARIS LAW GROUP
William L. Marder (State Bar No. 170131)
bill@polarislawgroup.com
501 San Benito Street, Suite 200
Hollister, California 95023
Telephone: (831) 531-4214
Facsimile: (831) 634-0333

Attorneys for Plaintiff Samonte and the Class

Shaun Setareh (SBN 204514)
shaun@setarehlaw.com
Eric Y. Hahn (SBN 311771)
eric@setarehlaw.com
Victoria Mas (SBN 365986)
SETAREH LAW GROUP
420 North Camden Drive
Beverly Hills, California 90210
Telephone: (310) 888-7771
Facsimile: (310) 888-0109

Attorneys for Plaintiff Michaeli

DECLARATION OF ORI EDELSTEIN

I, Ori Edelstein, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed and in good standing to practice law in the courts of California. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California, I am admitted to the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central, and Southern Districts of California. I am admitted to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth, Sixth, and Third Circuits.

2. I am a partner at the law firm of Schneider Wallace Cottrell Kim LLP ("SWCK"). SWCK specializes in class and collective litigation in state and federal court.

3. I am counsel of record for Plaintiff Myesha Williams, on behalf of the State of California and Aggrieved Employees in the above-captioned case. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion"). I am familiar with the file, the documents, and the history related to this case. The following statements are based on my personal knowledge and review of the files. If called to do so, I could and would testify competently thereto.

4. Pursuant to Cal. Lab. Code § 2699(l)(2), a copy of the Settlement, as well as documents submitted to obtain preliminary approval of the Settlement, are being submitted to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") via online submission concurrently with the filing of this Motion.

QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE

5. Plaintiff's Counsel has extensive experience in wage-and-hour class actions, including significant experience in California. SWCK is regarded as one of the leading private plaintiff's firms in wage and hour class actions and employment class actions. In November 2012, the Recorder listed the firm as one of the "top 10 go-to plaintiffs' employment firms in Northern California." The partners and attorneys have litigated major wage and hour class actions, have won several prestigious awards, and sit on important boards and committees in the legal community. SWCK was founded by Todd Schneider in 1993, and I have been a member of the firm since 1995.

6. SWCK has acted or is acting as class counsel in numerous cases. A partial list of cases which have been certified and/or settled as class actions includes: *Macias, et al. v. First Technology Federal Credit Union* (Case No. 21CV375222) (Santa Clara Superior Court, March 12, 2026) (class

certification of California classes for wage and hour claims); *Zermeno v. Marriott Int'l, Inc.* (Case No. RG20069869) (Alameda Superior Court, January 6, 2026) (approval of PAGA representative action settlement); *Chavez v. Teasdale Foods, Inc*. (Case No. 21CV-03035) (Merced Superior Court, January 8, 2026) (final approval of California class and subclasses of previously obtained certified wage and hour claims); *Magana v. Goya Foods of California, Inc., et al.* (Case No. 20STCV43233) (Los Angeles Superior Court, December 4, 2025) (final approval of California class and PAGA action settlement of previously certified California rounding, meal, and wage statement claims); *Ramirez v. Prospect International Airport Services Corporation, et al.* (Case No. 21-CIV-03393) (San Mateo Superior Court, September 4, 2025); *McElroy, et al. v. Georgia-Pacific Corrugated LLC (*Case No. 23CV034158) (Alameda Superior Court, November 25, 2025) (final approval of California class and PAGA representative action settlement); *Kingsley v. IKEA US Retail LLC, et al. (*Case No. 24CV089765) (Alameda Superior Court, October 23, 2025) (final approval of California class and PAGA representative action settlement); *Hamilton v. Wayfair, LLC* (Case No. RG19006990) (Alameda County Superior Court, September 16, 2025) (final approval of settlement of previously certified California subclasses)*; Roberts v. Turning Point Community Programs*, (Case No. 23cv001916) (Sacramento Superior Court, July 7, 2025) (final approval of class and PAGA settlement); *Weaver v. Amentum Services, Inc.* (Case No. 37-2021-00049868-CU-OE-CTL) (San Diego Superior Court, July 11, 2025) (final approval of California class and PAGA representative action settlement); *Morel v. HNTB Corporation, et al.* (Case No. 3:2022-cv-00408) (United States District Court, Southern District of California, July 7, 2025) (final approval of California class and PAGA representative action settlement); *Tovar v. GC Services Limited Partnership*, (Case No 37-2021-00038640-CU-OE-CTL) (San Diego Superior Court, July 3, 2025) (final approval of Class and PAGA settlement); *Morales, et al. v. Porto's Bakery, Inc., et al.* (Case No. 20STCV34675) (Los Angeles Superior Court, June 25, 2025) (class certification of California class in consolidated matter); *Fleming v. American Retail Services, et al.* (Case No. 37-2021-00007716-CU-OE-NC) (San Diego Superior Court, May 15, 2025) (final approval of class and PAGA representative action); *Bryant v. Renaissance Villages, Inc.* (Case No. RIC2002756) (Riverside Superior Court, May 13, 2025) (final approval of California class and PAGA representative action); *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc., et al.* (Case No. 2:22-cv-01425-MWF-E) (United States District Court, Central District of California, February

12, 2025) (final approval of FLSA collective, California class, and PAGA representative action); *Corley v. Invitation Homes Realty California, Inc.* (Case No. CIVSB2213022) (San Bernardino Superior Court, January 9, 2025) (final approval of California class, and PAGA representative action); *Salonga, et al. v. Aegis Senior Communities, LLC, et al*. (Case No. 22CV007450) (Alameda Superior Court, August 30, 2024) (final approval of FLSA collective, California class, and PAGA representative action); *Walker v. Nursefinders, LLC, et al*. (Case No. 3:22-CV-04084-AGT) (Northern District of California, August 27, 2024) (final approval of California class and PAGA representative action settlement); *Bonner v. Providence Health System-Southern California* (Case No. 21STCV09585) (Los Angeles Superior Court, July 16, 2024) (class certification of a California rounding subclass and derivative subclasses); *Miles v. Kirkland* (Case No. 5:18-cv-01559-JWH) (Central District of California, June 7, 2024) (class certification of a California rest break subclass); *Clavel v. San Diego Sunrise Management Company* (Case No. 37-2020-00028205-CU-OE-CTL) (San Diego Superior Court, May 29, 2024) (final approval of California class action settlement); *Randall, et al. v. Integrated Communication Service Inc., et al.* (Case No. 3:20-cv-05438-DGE) (Western District of Washington, March 29, 2024) (final approval of three-state class and FLSA collective action settlement*)*; *Lopez v. Eurofins Scientific, Inc., et al.* (Case No. 3:21-cv-08652-LB) (Northern District of California, February 15, 2024) (final approval of California class and PAGA representative action settlement); *Rodriguez, et al. v. Tri-Wire Engineering Solutions, Inc., et al.* (Case No. 1:21-cv-10752-PBS) (District of Massachusetts, December 5, 2023) (final approval of five-state class and FLSA collective action settlement*); Beissel v. Western Flyer Express, LLC* (Case No. 5:21-cv-00903-R) (Western District of Oklahoma, November 3, 2023) (final approval of Oklahoma class and FLSA collective action settlement for deceptive trade practices, unlawful sale of business opportunities, and wage and hour claims on behalf of independent contractor truck drivers); *Sishi, et al. v. Eskaton Properties Inc., et al.,* (Case No. RG21100764) (Alameda County Superior Court, August 4, 2023) (final approval of California class and PAGA representative action settlement); *Wright, et al. v. Frontier Mgmt. LLC, et al.,* (Case No. 2:19-cv-01767-JAM-CKD) (Eastern District of California, March 13, 2023) (final approval of California, Illinois, Oregon, and Washington class and FLSA collective action settlement); *Campos v. Extra Express (Cerritos) Inc*., (Case No. BC715057) (Los Angeles Superior Court, Jan. 18, 2023) (final approval of California law wage and hour class action settlement alleging misclassification of short haul

delivery drivers); *Huddleston v. John Christner Trucking, LLC,* (Case No. 4:17-cv-00549-GKF-CDL) (Northern District of Oklahoma, October 31, 2022) (final approval of California and Oklahoma class and FLSA collective action settlement where Plaintiff obtained class certification on behalf of misclassified truck drivers); *Tinaco v. Quik Stop Markets, Inc.* (Case No. RG20061119) (Alameda County Superior Court, June 23, 2022) (final approval of a class action settlement for failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to pay minimum and overtime wages, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, waiting time penalties, and failure to provide itemized wage statements, under California law); *Ramirez, et al. v. Rite Aid Corp., et al*., (Case No. CV 20-3531-GW-SKx) (Central District of California, May 19, 2022) (final approval of California class action and PAGA representative action settlement); *Madrigal v. Mission Lakes Country Club, Inc.* (Case No. RIC2003428) (Riverside County Superior Court, May 18, 2022) (final approval of California class action and PAGA representative action); *Hazel v. Himagine Sols., Inc*. (Case No. RG20068159) (Alameda County Superior Court, Nov. 2, 2021) (final approval of a California class action settlement for failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to pay minimum and overtime wages, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, failure to reimburse necessary business expenditures, waiting time penalties, and failure to provide itemized wage statements); *Pine Manor Investors, LLC v. FPI Mgmt., Inc*. (Case No. 34-2018-00237315) (Sacramento County Superior Court, October 20, 2021) (final approval of a California class action settlement in action that alleged improper billing for workers compensation charges by an apartment complex management company); *Etcheverry v. Franciscan Health Sys., et al*. (Case No. 3:19-cv-05261-RJB-MAT) (Western District of Washington, October 19, 2021) (final approval of hybrid Fair Labor Standards Act and Washington class action); *Jean-Pierre, et al. v. J&L Cable TV Servs., Inc.* (Case No. 1:18-cv-11499-MLW) (District of Massachusetts, August 31, 2021) (final approval of hybrid Fair Labor Standards Act and Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Maine, and Pennsylvania class action); *Amaraut, et al. v. Sprint/United Mgmt. Co.* (Case No. 19-cv-411-WQH-AHG) (Southern District of California, August 5, 2021) (final approval of hybrid Fair Labor Standards Act and California Labor Code Rule 23 action); *Diaz, et al. v. TAK Commc'ns CA, Inc., et al*. (Case No. RG20064706) (Alameda Superior Court, July 27, 2021) (final approval of hybrid Fair Labor Standards Act and California Labor Code class action); *Villafan v. Broadspectrum Downstream Servs., Inc., et al.* (Case No. 3:18-cv-06741-LB) (Northern District of California, April 8, 2021) (final approval of hybrid

Fair Labor Standards Act and California law class action settlement for failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, unreimbursed business expenses, waiting time penalties, and failure to provide itemized wage statements); *Jones, et al. v. CertifiedSafety, Inc., et al.* (lead Case No. 3:17-cv-02229-EMC) (Northern District of California, June 1, 2020) (final approval of hybrid Fair Labor Standards Act and California, Washington, Illinois, Minnesota, Alaska, and Ohio class action settlement for failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, unreimbursed business expenses, waiting time penalties, and failure to provide itemized wage statements); *El Pollo Loco Wage and Hour Cases* (Case No. JCCP 4957) (Orange County Superior Court, January 31, 2020) (final approval of a class action settlement for failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, unreimbursed business expenses, waiting time penalties, and failure to provide itemized wage statements, under California law); *Soto, et al. v. O.C. Commc'ns, Inc., et al.* (Case No. 3:17-cv-00251-VC) (Northern District of California, Oct. 23, 2019) (final approval of a hybrid FLSA and California and Washington law Rule 23 action with joint employer allegations); *Manni v. Eugene N. Gordon, Inc. d/b/a La-Z-Boy Furniture Galleries* (Case No. 34-2017-00223592) (Sacramento Superior Court) (final approval of a class action settlement for failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to pay minimum and overtime wages, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, waiting time penalties, and failure to provide itemized wage statements, under California law); *Van Liew v. North Star Emergency Servs., Inc., et al.* (Case No. RG17876878) (Alameda County Superior Court) (final approval of a class action settlement for failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to pay minimum and overtime wages, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, failure to reimburse for necessary business expenditures, waiting time penalties, and failure to provide itemized wage statements, under federal law); *Asalati v. Intel Corp.* (Case No. 16cv302615) (Santa Clara Superior Court) (final approval of a class and collective action settlement for failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to pay overtime, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, failure to reimburse for necessary business expenditures, failure to adhere to California record keeping requirements, waiting time penalties, and failure to provide itemized wage statements, under federal and California law); *Harmon, et al. v. Diamond Wireless, LLC*, (Case No. 34-2012-00118898) (Sacramento Superior Court) (final approval of a class action settlement for failure to pay wages free and clear, failure to pay overtime and minimum wages, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, failure to pay full wages when due, failure

to adhere to California record keeping requirements, and failure to provide adequate seating, under California law); *Aguilar v. Hall AG Enters., Inc., et al.*, (Case No. BCV-16-10994-DRL) (Kern County Superior Court) (final approval of a class action settlement for failure to provide meal and rest periods, failure to compensate for all hours worked, failure to pay minimum and overtime wages, waiting time penalties, failure to provide itemized wage statements, and failure to pay undiscounted wages, under California law); *Viceral and Krueger v. Mistras Grp., Inc.*, (Case No. 3:15-cv-02198-EMC) (Chen, J.) (Northern District of California) (final approval of a class and collective action settlement for failure to compensate for all hours worked, including overtime, under federal and California law); among many others.

7. I have extensive experience in wage and hour litigation. Virtually all of my cases are wage and hour actions. I have litigated numerous legal issues in wage and hour class and representative cases in California, as well as Fair Labor Standards actions around the country. I also have extensive experience seeking certification of wage and hour class actions, and all the unique issues arising therefrom. I am the primary partner on this matter at SWCK. Before I became the primary partner on this matter, Carolyn H. Cottrell, a partner at SWCK, was the primary partner on this matter at SWCK. Ms. Cottrell devoted nearly her entire legal career to advocating for the rights of individuals who have been subjected to illegal pay policies, discrimination, harassment and retaliation and representing employees in wage and hour and discrimination class actions. Ms. Cottrell has litigated hundreds of wage and hour, employment discrimination and civil-rights actions, and manage many of the SWCK's current cases in these areas. She is a member of the State Bar of California, and has had memberships with Public Justice, the National Employment Lawyers Association, the California Employment Lawyers Association, and the Consumer Attorneys of California. She served on the Board of Directors for the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association and co-chaired its Women's Caucus. She was named one of the "Top Women Litigators for 2010" by the Daily Journal, and in 2012, she was nominated for Woman Trial Lawyer of the Year by the Consumer Attorneys of California. She has also been selected as a Super Lawyer every year since 2014. Ms. Cottrell earned her bachelor's degree from the University of California and is a graduate of the University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law.

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

8. Plaintiffs' counsel's efforts resulted in a total Settlement Amount of $4,000,000.00. This is a fair and reasonable settlement and an especially favorable result in light of the number of Covered Employees and the challenges Plaintiffs may have faced at trial. This result was achieved by the work and success of Plaintiffs' counsel, who negotiated the Settlement after extensive preparation.

9. Plaintiffs' counsel are experienced representative action litigators. This experience and expertise, combined with the high quality of work performed in this case by Plaintiffs' counsel, resulted in the Settlement.

10. Counsel has been representing Plaintiff Williams and the State in this matter on strictly contingency-fee basis. Plaintiff Williams' counsel has exposed themselves to the risk of zero recovery after a substantial investment of time, money, and resources, and have done so since the inception of the case without any payment or compensation.

11. Attorneys who litigate on a contingent basis expect to receive significantly higher effective hourly rates in cases where compensation is contingent on success, particularly in hard-fought cases where, like in this case, the result is uncertain. This does not result in any windfall or undue bonus. In the legal marketplace, a lawyer who assumes a significant financial risk on behalf of a client rightfully expects that his or her compensation will be significantly greater than if no risk was involved (i.e., if the client paid the bill monthly), and that the greater the risk, the greater the "enhancement." Adjusting fees upward in contingent-fee cases to reflect the risk of recovering no compensation whatsoever for hundreds of hours of labor simply makes those fee awards consistent with the legal marketplace, and in so doing, helps to ensure that meritorious cases will be brought to enforce important public interest policies and that clients who have meritorious claims will be better able to obtain qualified counsel.

12. Reasonable litigation expenses are ordinarily included with an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to California wage-and-hour law. Here, Plaintiff's counsel's expenses were reasonable, necessary to the prosecution, and of the type customarily billed to fee-paying clients. A chart describing SWCK's incurred costs in the amount of $9,955.78 is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 20th day of October 2026 in Oakland, California.



Ori Edelstein

EXHIBIT 1

SCHNEIDER WALLACE
COTTRELL KIM LLP

CASE COSTS

Williams, Myesha v Gale Healthcare
Matter No. 102226
Date: 10/14/2026

DATE	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
06/02/2021	RELX Inc DBA LexisNexis	Document Retrieval - Period: 05/01/2021 - 05/31/2021	$ 7.88
06/02/2021	RELX Inc DBA LexisNexis	Document Retrieval - Period: 05/01/2021 - 05/31/2021	$ 43.89
06/04/2021	LWDA	PAGA Filing	$ 75.00
07/01/2021	RELX Inc DBA LexisNexis	Document Retrieval - Period: 06/01/2021 - 06/30/2021	$ 2.49
07/01/2021	RELX Inc DBA LexisNexis	Document Retrieval - Period: 06/01/2021 - 06/30/2021	$ 31.66
07/07/2021	Neilson & MacRitchie	Investigation - Myesha Williams - No Split	$ 560.00
09/01/2021	File & ServeXpress LLC	8/26/21 - Complaint for Penalties Pursuant to Sections 2699(a) and (f) of the California F	$ 7.00
10/01/2021	File & ServeXpress LLC	9/22/21 - Complaint for Penalties Pursuant to Sections 2699(a) and (f) of the California F	$ 1,435.00
10/19/2021	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	1. Summons; Complaint; Civil Case Cover Sheet; Notice of Hearing; ADR Packet- No S	$ 40.00
10/19/2021	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	2. Summons; Complaint; Civil Case Cover Sheet; Notice re CMC; ADR Packet- No Spli	$ 40.00
10/19/2021	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	3. Summons; Complaint; Civil Case Cover Sheet; Notice of Hearing; ADR Packet- No S	$ 40.00
10/21/2021	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	Summons; Complaint; Civil Case Cover Sheet; Notice of Case Management Conference	$ 276.00
11/01/2021	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	Summons; Complaint; Civil Case Cover Sheet; ADR Package, Notice of Case Managen	$ 130.00
11/01/2021	File & ServeXpress LLC	10/4/21 - Proposed Summons	$ 7.00
11/01/2021	File & ServeXpress LLC	10/22/21 - Proof of Service	$ 7.00
11/02/2021	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	Summons; Complaint; Civil Case Cover Sheet; ADR Package, Notice of Case Managen	$ 130.00
11/15/2021	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	Summons; Complaint; Civil Case Cover Sheet; ADR Package, Notice of Case Managen	$ (276.00)
11/25/2021	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	POS - No Split (1)	$ 12.00
11/26/2021	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	POS - No Split (2)	$ 12.00
12/01/2021	File & ServeXpress LLC	11/22/21 - Proof of Service of Summons	$ 7.00
01/03/2022	File & ServeXpress LLC	12/15/21 - Application For Complex Case Designation, Declaration of Ori Edelstein in Su	$ 115.35
03/08/2022	Steno Agency, Inc	03/04/22 - Hearing - Case Management Conference	$ 750.00
06/02/2022	File & ServeXpress LLC	Stipulated Protective Order	$ 55.50
07/01/2022	File & ServeXpress LLC	Joint Case Management Statement	$ 49.00
11/01/2022	File & ServeXpress LLC	10/18/22 Court Courtesy Copies & Filing Fee Joint Case Management Statement	$ 49.20
11/04/2022	Superior Court of California San Die	Williams v. Gale Healthcare - Document Download	$ 7.40
11/05/2022	Superior Court of California San Ber	Williams v. Gale Healthcare - Document Purchase	$ 5.00
11/30/2022	RELX Inc DBA LexisNexis	Document Retrieval - Period: 11/01/22 - 11/30/22	$ 532.58
12/16/2022	Superior Court of California Orange	Download Minute Order re Motion to Compel Arbitration	$ 7.50
12/31/2022	RELX Inc DBA LexisNexis	Document Retrieval - Period: 12/01/22 - 12/31/22	$ 38.23
01/03/2023	File & ServeXpress LLC	12/12/22 Joint Case Management Statement, POS	$ 49.40
01/03/2023	Steno Agency, Inc	12/19/22 Hearing - Joint Case Management Conference (Reporter Appearance)	$ 1,055.25
01/31/2023	RELX Inc DBA LexisNexis	Lexis Nexis - Document Retrieval - 01/01/23 thru 01/31/23	$ 69.11
03/01/2023	Steno Agency, Inc	02/16/23 Hearing - Joint Case Management Conference - Reporter Appearance	$ 750.00
03/01/2023	File & ServeXpress LLC	2/8/23 Joint Case Management Statement	$ 49.60
04/26/2023	Steno Agency, Inc	2/16/23 Reporter appearance - Hearing - Joint Case Management Conference	$ 161.75

SCHNEIDER WALLACE
COTTRELL KIM LLP

DATE	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
05/01/2023	File & ServeXpress LLC	4/4/23 Plaintiffs Request to Set Status Conference	$ 48.00
05/01/2023	File & ServeXpress LLC	4/12/23 Joint Case Management Statement	$ 49.40
05/18/2023	Steno Agency, Inc	4/13/23 Hearing - Case Management Conference, Transcripts, Reporter appearance	$ 918.25
07/03/2023	File & ServeXpress LLC	6/22/23 Filing & Courtesy Copies - Joint Case Management Statement	$ 57.22
08/31/2023	Creal & Creal, an Accountancy Corp	8/16/23 - 8/20/23 - Litigation Support	$ 408.00
09/30/2023	Creal & Creal, an Accountancy Corp	9/12/23 - Litigation Support	$ 425.00
09/30/2023	RELX Inc DBA LexisNexis	Document Retrieval - Period: 09/01/23 - 09/30/23	$ 57.16
11/01/2023	File & ServeXpress LLC	10/13/23 Filing & Courtesy Copies - Joint Case Management Statement	$ 56.74
11/30/2023	Creal & Creal, an Accountancy Corp	Nov 2023 - Litigation Support	$ 940.00
12/01/2023	File & ServeXpress LLC	11/22/23 Filing Fee - Joint Case Management Statement, Proof of Service	$ 56.74
12/18/2023	CourtCall, LLC (Paid by CC)	Case Management Conference - OXE	$ 72.00
12/18/2023	CourtCall, LLC (Paid by CC)	Case Management Conference - MSL	$ 72.00
12/31/2023	RELX Inc DBA LexisNexis	Document Retrieval - Period: 12/01/23 - 12/31/23	$ 35.65
01/02/2024	File & ServeXpress LLC	12/22/23 Filing Fee - Joint Case Management Statement	$ 56.74
02/01/2024	File & ServeXpress LLC	01/12/24 Filing Fee - Joint Case Management Statement	$ 56.74
03/31/2024	Pacer	Pacer -Document Retrieval - QTR 1 2024	$ 1.70
05/08/2025	File & ServeXpress LLC	Plaintiffs Status Report	$ 60.06
08/01/2025	File & ServeXpress LLC	07/01/25 Filing, Online Service, EFM Transaction Fee, Court Admin Fee	$ 59.82
05/22/2026	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	Notice of Remote Appearance	$ 31.45
06/01/2026	File & ServeXpress LLC	05/13/26 Williams v Vibra Health	$ 60.30
06/09/2026	One Legal LLC (Info Track)	Notice of Remote Appearance	$ 30.45
07/01/2026	File & ServeXpress LLC	06/16/26 Online Services - Williams v Vibra Healthcare	$ 60.06
10/14/2026	SWCK	CA - Reclass Postage to Case Costs (2021-Present)	$ 1.26
10/14/2026	SWCK	Copies and Printing from Shared Printing (2021-Present)	$ 8.25
		Total Cost for (102226) Williams, Myesha v Gale Healthcare Solutions	**$ 9,955.78**