

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Isaac Reyes
 DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Platinum Security, Inc.

CASE NUMBER:
 21STCV35313 / Dept. 10

**PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL
 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER**

(NOTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order if you are a party in the action. The person who served the notice must complete this proof of service.)

1. I am at least 18 years old and **not a party to this action**. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took place, and my residence or business address is (*specify*):

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED PROOF OF SERVICE.

2. I served a copy of the *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* by enclosing it in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid and (*check one*):

- a. ☐ deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service.
 b. ☐ placed the sealed envelope for collection and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, with which I am readily familiar. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

3. The *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* was mailed:

- a. on (*date*):
 b. from (*city and state*):

4. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:

- a. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

- b. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

- c. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

- d. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

☐ Names and addresses of additional persons served are attached. (*You may use form POS-030(P).*)

5. Number of pages attached:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: March 7, 2025

Isabel Yang

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)



(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

EXHIBIT 1

Electronically Received 02/28/2025 07:21 PM

Edwin Aiwarzian (SBN 232943)
Tara Zabehi (SBN 314706)
Brian J. St. John (SBN 304112)
LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Blvd., Suite 900
Glendale, California 91203
Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
03/06/2025
David W. Stryker, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: E. Muñoz Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

ISAAC REYES, individually, and on behalf of
other aggrieved employees pursuant to the
California Private Attorneys General Act;

Plaintiff,

vs.

PLATINUM SECURITY, INC., a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 21STCV35313

Honorable William F. Highberger
Department 10

**~~[REVISED PROPOSED]~~ ORDER AND
JUDGMENT GRANTING APPROVAL OF
PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT
(CAL. LABOR CODE § 2698, ET SEQ.)
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AWARD
OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS,
GENERAL RELEASE FEE, AND
SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION COSTS**

Date: March 6, 2025
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Department: 10

Complaint Filed: September 24, 2021
Trial Date: None Set

**~~[REVISED PROPOSED]~~ ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING APPROVAL OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS
GENERAL ACT (CAL. LABOR CODE § 2698, ET SEQ.) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AWARD OF
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, GENERAL RELEASE FEE, AND SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION COSTS**

1 This matter has come before the Honorable William F. Highberger in Department 10 of the
2 Superior Court of the State of California, for the County of Los Angeles, on March 6, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.,
3 on Plaintiff Isaac Reyes' ("Plaintiff") Motion for Approval of Private Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor
4 Code § 2698, *Et Seq.*) Settlement Agreement and Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, General Release
5 Fee, and Settlement Administration Costs ("Motion for Approval of Settlement"). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC
6 appeared for Plaintiff and Fisher & Phillips LLP, appeared for Defendant Platinum Security, Inc.
7 ("Defendant").

8 On or around November 14, 2024, Plaintiff and Defendant (together, the "Parties") executed the
9 Private Attorneys General Act Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement," "Settlement Agreement,"
10 or "Agreement").

11 The Court has reviewed and considered (1) the Motion for Approval of Settlement, (2) the
12 Declarations of Plaintiff's Counsel Brian J. St. John and Plaintiff Isaac Reyes, and (3) the Settlement
13 Agreement.

14 Having duly considered the Parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

15 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED AS FOLLOWS:**

16 1. The Motion for Approval of Settlement is granted.
17 2. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning defined in the Settlement Agreement.
18 3. The Court finds that Plaintiff has satisfied the prerequisites under the California Private
19 Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.*) ("PAGA"), including, and not limited to,
20 providing the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and Defendant with
21 notice of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including, and
22 not limited to, the facts and theories to support the alleged violations, in conformity with California Labor
23 Code § 2699.3(a).

24 4. The Court finds that Plaintiff has satisfied the prerequisites under the California Private
25 Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.*) ("PAGA"), including, and not limited to,
26 providing the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and Defendant with
27 notice of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including, and
28 not limited to, the facts and theories to support the alleged violations, in conformity with California Labor

Code § 2699.3(a)

5. The Court also finds that the Settlement Agreement has been submitted to the LWDA in conformity with California Labor Code § 2699(l)(2) and that the LWDA has not sought to intervene or appear in the above-captioned action (the “Action”).

6. The Settlement Agreement pertains to the following aggrieved employees:

All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant in the State of California during the PAGA Period. (“Aggrieved Employee(s)”).

7. The Court finds that the Parties reached the Settlement as a result of arm’s-length negotiations.

8. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(l)(2), the Court has reviewed the sum allocated for payment of penalties under PAGA (“Net Settlement Amount”), and determines that it is fair, just, reasonable, and adequate. The Court hereby approves the Net Settlement Amount. The Net Settlement Amount shall be the Total Settlement Amount (\$500,000.00) less the approved Attorneys' Fees and Costs to Lawyers *for* Justice, PC (“Plaintiff's Counsel”), General Release Fee to Plaintiff, and Settlement Administration Costs to Simpluris (the “PAGA Settlement Administrator”). Seventy-five percent (75%) of the Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed to the LWDA (“LWDA Payment”) and twenty-five percent (25%) of the Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees (“Employees' Portion”) in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

9. The Court has considered the Settlement, and the monetary allocations provided thereby, and finds that they are fair, just, reasonable, and adequate. The Court hereby directs the PAGA Settlement Administrator to administer the Settlement and to make the payments as provided for by, and consistent with, this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

10. The Court approves the payment of \$100,000.00 to Plaintiff's Counsel for attorneys’ fees. This amount shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount and shall be disbursed in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

11. The Court approves the payment of \$15,901.52 to Plaintiff's Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses incurred in the Action. This amount shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount and shall be disbursed in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

1 12. The Court approves payment in the amount of \$5,000.00 to Plaintiff Isaac Reyes for his
2 General Release Fee. This amount shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount and shall be disbursed
3 in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

4 13. The Court approves payment up to the amount of \$8,000.00 to Simpluris for the Settlement
5 Administration Costs. This amount shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount and shall be disbursed
6 in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

7 14. The Parties are directed to perform in accordance with the terms set forth in this Order and
8 Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

9 15. The Court further finds that notice of the Settlement need not be provided to the Aggrieved
10 Employees; however, the Court approves the Cover Letter, attached hereto as “**EXHIBIT A**,” and the
11 PAGA Settlement Administrator shall distribute the Cover Letter to the Aggrieved Employees at the same
12 time that it distributes payments to Aggrieved Employees for their share of the Employees' Portion
13 (“Individual Settlement Share(s”).

14 16. No later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the date of this Order and Judgment,
15 Defendant will provide the PAGA Settlement Administrator with the Aggrieved Employees List, in
16 accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

17 17. No later than thirty (30) calendar days of the date of this Order and Judgment, Defendant
18 shall transmit one-third (1/3) of the Total Settlement Amount, i.e., \$166,666.67, (“Payment 1”) into a
19 qualified settlement account established by the PAGA Settlement Administrator, for administration of the
20 Settlement in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement. Within six (6)
21 months after transmitting Payment 1, Defendant shall transmit one-third (1/3) of the Total Settlement
22 Amount, i.e., \$166,666.67, (“Payment 2”) into the qualified settlement account. Within six (6) months
23 after transmitting Payment 2, Defendant shall transmit the remaining one-third (1/3) of the Total
24 Settlement Amount, i.e., \$166,666.67, (“Payment 3”) into the qualified settlement account.

25 18. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the PAGA Settlement Administrator
26 receives the Aggrieved Employees List and Payment 1 of the Total Settlement Amount, the PAGA
27 Settlement Administrator shall mail the agreed-upon Cover Letter and Individual Settlement Share checks
28 (together, the “PAGA Settlement Package”) to all Aggrieved Employees by first-class United States mail.

1 19. The Individual Settlement Share checks issued to Aggrieved Employees shall remain valid
2 and negotiable for ninety (90) calendar days, and thereafter, shall be cancelled. Funds associated with
3 such cancelled checks shall be transmitted by the PAGA Settlement Administrator to the California State
4 Controller's Office Unclaimed Property Division, in the name of each Aggrieved Employee whose check
5 is cancelled.

6 20. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the PAGA Settlement Administrator
7 receives Payment 1 of the Total Settlement Amount, the PAGA Settlement Administrator shall transmit
8 the General Release Fee to Plaintiff and the Employees' Portion to the Aggrieved Employees. Any monies
9 left over from Payment 1 shall be held by the PAGA Settlement Administrator in a Qualified Settlement
10 Fund.

11 21. After the PAGA Settlement Administrator receives Payment 2 of the Total Settlement
12 Amount, the PAGA Settlement Administrator shall hold this payment in the Qualified Settlement Fund.

13 22. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the PAGA Settlement Administrator
14 receives Payment 3 of the Total Settlement Amount, the PAGA Settlement Administrator shall transmit:
15 (i) the LWDA Payment to the LWDA, (ii) Attorneys' Fees and Costs to Plaintiff's Counsel, unless
16 instructed otherwise by Plaintiff's Counsel, and (iii) Settlement Administration Costs to itself.

17 23. Aggrieved Employees shall not have the right to opt out of or object to the Settlement.
18 Upon the entry of this Order and Judgment and full funding of the Total Settlement Amount, and except as
19 to the rights and obligations created by this Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff and the State of California
20 with respect to Aggrieved Employees, will be deemed to have knowingly and voluntarily released and
21 forever discharged Released Parties, to the full extent permitted by law, of and from the Action and from
22 the Released Claims.

23 24. "Released Parties" means Defendant, including Defendant's parent corporation, affiliates,
24 subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, insurers, successors and assigns, and their current and former
25 employees, attorneys, officers, directors and agents thereof, both individually and in their business
26 capacities, and their employee benefit plans and programs and the trustees, administrators, fiduciaries and
27 insurers of such plans and programs.


28 ///

1 25. “Released Claims” means any and all claims, arising during the PAGA Period, for civil
2 penalties under California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”) as well as any interest, fees, and
3 costs available under PAGA, based on the factual allegations in the Operative Complaint, including but
4 not limited to, for failure to pay minimum, regular, and overtime wages, failure to provide compliant meal
5 periods and associated premiums, failure to provide compliant rest periods and associated premiums,
6 failure to timely pay wages during employment, failure to timely pay wages upon termination, failure to
7 provide compliant and accurate wage statements, failure to maintain complete and accurate payroll
8 records, and failure to reimburse necessary business-related expenses and costs, in violation of California
9 Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 551, 552, 1174(d), 1194, 1197,
10 1197.1, 1198, 2800, and 2802 and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, including *inter alia*
11 Wage Order 4-2001.

12 26. “PAGA Period” means the period from May 10, 2020 to August 19, 2022.

13 27. No individualized notice of this Order and Judgment is required to be provided to the
14 Aggrieved Employees. This Order and Judgment shall be submitted to the LWDA through the online
15 system established for the filing of notices and documents, in conformity with California Labor Code §
16 2699(l)(3).

17
18 Date: 03/06/2025



Honorable William F. Highberger
Judge of the Superior Court of Los Angeles
County

EXHIBIT A

<<Mailing Date>>

To: <<First Name>><<Last Name>>
<<Street Address>>
<<City>> <<State>> <<Zip>>

Re: Settlement Payment from *Reyes v. Platinum Security, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV35313

Dear <<First Name>><<Last Name>>:

Please find enclosed a check in the amount of <<amount of payment>> (“Individual Settlement Share”). This check is your payment from the settlement in the lawsuit entitled *Reyes v. Platinum Security, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV35313 (“Action”).

The lawsuit was filed on September 24, 2021 by Isaac Reyes (“Plaintiff”) against his former employer, on behalf of the State of California, with respect to himself and other alleged aggrieved employees, to seek recovery of civil penalties pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”), for multiple alleged violations of the California Labor Code and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, including, *inter alia*, for failure to properly pay minimum and overtime wages, failure to provide compliant meal and rest periods and associated premiums, failure to pay wages during employment and upon termination, failure to provide compliant wage statements, failure to maintain requisite payroll records, and failure to reimburse business expenses. Prior to filing the lawsuit, on July 21, 2021, Plaintiff submitted a letter to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”), pursuant to PAGA, to provide notice of his intent to seek civil penalties under PAGA for Defendant’s alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 551, 552, 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800, and 2802 and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, including *inter alia*, Wage Order 4-2001, thereby initiating LWDA Case Number LWDA-CM-838811-21 (the “PAGA Notice”).

Defendant denies all of Plaintiff’s allegations and denies any wrongdoing of any kind associated with Plaintiff’s allegations.

A settlement was reached between Plaintiff and Defendant Platinum Security, Inc. (“Defendant”).

On << Date>>, the settlement was approved by the Court, with a portion to be paid to the LWDA and a portion to be paid to all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant in the State of California during the period May 10, 2020 through August 19, 2022 (“Aggrieved Employees”). The period May 10, 2020 through August 19, 2022 is the “PAGA Period”.

You are receiving a portion of the settlement (the enclosed Individual Settlement Share) because you have been identified as an Aggrieved Employee. Your Individual Settlement Share is based on the total number of pay periods that you worked for Defendant in California during the PAGA Period. The Individual Settlement Share is considered to be 100% penalties, which will be reported on an IRS Form 1099. You are responsible for paying any and all taxes that may be due as a result of any payment issued to you under the settlement and should consult a tax advisor regarding the tax consequences of such payment.

Under the settlement, as of <<date of entry of order by the Court approving the Settlement>>, the Released Parties were fully released and forever discharged from any and all Released Claims.

“Released Parties” means Defendant, including Defendant’s parent corporation, affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, insurers, successors and assigns, and their current and former employees, attorneys, officers, directors and agents thereof, both individually and in their business capacities, and their employee benefit plans and programs and the trustees, administrators, fiduciaries and insurers of such plans and programs.

“Released Claims” means any and all claims, arising during the PAGA Period, for civil penalties under California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”) as well as any interest, fees, and costs available under PAGA, based on the factual allegations in the Operative Complaint, including but not limited to, for failure to pay minimum, regular, and overtime wages, failure to provide compliant meal periods and associated premiums, failure to provide compliant rest periods and associated premiums, failure to timely pay wages during employment, failure to timely pay wages upon termination, failure to provide compliant and accurate wage statements, failure to maintain complete and accurate payroll records, and failure to reimburse necessary business-related expenses and costs, in violation of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 551, 552, 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800, and 2802 and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order, including *inter alia*, Wage Order 4-2001.

The enclosed check is valid for 90 days from the original date of issuance and mailing, and if it is not cashed, deposited, or otherwise negotiated within the 90-day timeframe, it will be canceled, and the funds associated with the canceled check will be transmitted to the State Controller’s Office Unclaimed Property Division in your name and in the amount of your respective Individual Settlement Share.

Do not call or write the Court, Office of the Clerk of the Court, Defendant, or Defendant's counsel to ask questions about the settlement or to ask tax-related questions. If you have any such questions, you may contact **[PAGA Settlement Administrator]** at **[toll-free phone number]**.

EXHIBIT 2

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 10

21STCV35313

ISAAC REYES vs PLATINUM SECURITY, INC.

March 6, 2025

10:00 AM

Judge: Honorable William F. Highberger

Judicial Assistant: E. Munoz

Courtroom Assistant: R. Sanchez

CSR: None

ERM: None

Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): Brian St. John for Edwin Aiwarzian , via LACC

For Defendant(s): Lauren Roseman for Selwyn Chu , via LACC

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Hearing on Motion - Other for Approval of Private Attorneys General Act(Cal. Labor Code Sec 2698, Et Seq) Settlement Agreement

The matter is called for hearing along with related case 21STCV11901.

The Court's tentative ruling is electronically served via message board posting on Case Anywhere.

The above-captioned motion is heard.

The Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Approval of Private Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor Code 2698, Et Seq.) Settlement Agreement and Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, General Release Fee, and Settlement Administration Costs; Memorandum of filed by Isaac Reyes on 12/17/2024 is Granted.

The Order and Judgment Granting Approval of Private Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor Code 2698, Et Seq.) Settlement Agreement and Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, General Release Fee, and Settlement Administration Costs is signed and filed on this date.

Non-Appearance Case Review Re: Disbursement of Funds is scheduled for 03/06/2026 at 09:00 AM in Department 10 at Spring Street Courthouse.

Declaration of the claims administrator is due 02/27/2026.

Counsel for Plaintiff is to give notice.

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 450 North Brand Blvd., Suite 900, Glendale, California 91203.

On March 7, 2025, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER** on interested parties in this action by Electronic Service as follows:

Robert Yonowitz (ryonowitz@fisherphillips.com)
Spencer W. Waldron (swaldron@fisherphillips.com)
Steven P. Alvarado (salvarado@fisherphillips.com)

FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP
2050 Main Street, Suite 1000
Irvine, California 92614

Attorneys for Defendant PLATINUM SECURITY, INC

[X] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE

Pursuant to the Court's Order regarding Electronic Service, I caused the documents described above to be E-Served through Case Anywhere by electronically mailing a true and correct copy through Case Anywhere to the individual(s) listed above.

State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency Web URL:

<http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-Act.html>

[X] BY ONLINE SUBMISSION

The foregoing documents were transmitted to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency through the online system established for the submission of notices and documents, in conformity with California Labor Code section 2699(l). I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

[X] STATE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on March 7, 2025, at Glendale, California.



Isabel Yang