

1 THE GRAVES FIRM
2 ALLEN GRAVES (SB#204580)
3 E-mail: allen@gravesfirm.com
4 JACQUELINE TREU (SB#247927)
5 E-mail: jacqueline@gravesfirm.com
6 122 N. Baldwin Ave., Main Floor
7 Sierra Madre, CA 91024
8 Telephone: (626) 240-0575
9 Facsimile: (626) 737-7013

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Joe Ortiz,
11 Robert Hernandez,
12 Damian Meza, and Cristopher Trujillo

JACKSON APC
ARMOND M. JACKSON (SB#281547)
E-mail: ajackson@jacksonapc.com
ANDREA FERNANDEZ-JACKSON
(SB# 295924)
E-mail: afernandez@jacksonapc.com
ANTHONY S. FILER JR. (SB# 337704)
E-mail: afiler@jacksonapc.com
2 Venture Plaza, Ste. 240
Irvine, CA 92618
Telephone: (949) 281-6857
Facsimile: (949) 777-6218

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Jose Abarca,
Fabiola Abarca, Vargas Diaz,
Anthony De La Torre, and Rosa Valadez

11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12 COUNTY OF ORANGE

13 Coordination Proceeding Special Title
14 (Rule 3.550)

15 **AKASH WAGE AND HOUR CASES**

16 Included actions:

17 *Abarca, et al. v. Akash Management, et al.*
18 Orange County Superior Court Case No.
30-2018-01036452

19 *Eisenberg v. Akash Management, et al.*
20 Los Angeles County Superior Court Case
No. 21STCV27964

21 *Irigoyen v. OC Burger Boys, LLC, et al.*
22 Los Angeles County Superior Court Case
No. 21STCV31430

23 *Irigoyen v. OC Burger Boys, LLC, et al.*
24 Los Angeles County Superior Court Case
25 No. 21PSCV00768

26 *Munoz v. Carl Karcher Enterprises, et al.,*
27 Orange County Superior Court
Case No. 30-2021-01227199-CU-OE-CXC

Case No: JCCP 5214

**REVISED ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION AND PAGA
SETTLEMENT, AND CLASS
REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE
PAYMENTS, ATTORNEY FEES, AND
COSTS**

Date: March 17, 2023

Time: 9:00 a.m.


Dept: CX 104

Judge: Hon. William Claster

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ORANGE

MAR 24 2023

DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court

BY:  DEPUTY

1 Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, and
2 for Approval of Class Representative Service Payments, Attorney Fees, and Costs came
3 on regularly for hearing on March 17, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. in Department CX-104 of the
4 above-entitled Court.

5 Due and adequate notice of the instant proceedings having been given, and the
6 Court having considered all papers and having heard oral argument on March 17, 2023,
7 and otherwise being fully informed, and good cause appearing therefor,

8 **THIS COURT HEREBY ORDERS THAT:**

9 1. The provisions of the Second Revised Stipulation and Settlement
10 Agreement ("Settlement") are hereby approved and incorporated in this Order.

11 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, and over
12 those persons and entities undertaking affirmative obligations in the Agreement.

13 3. In this order, the term "Class Period" means the period from
14 December 4, 2014 through September 2, 2022.

15 4. The Settlement Class includes and is limited to: 1) all individuals employed
16 by any Defendant in the above-captioned coordinated action as a Shift Lead, or Crew
17 Member at a Carl's Jr. brand restaurant in California, at any time during the Class Period;
18 2) all individuals employed by any Defendant in the above-captioned coordinated action
19 as a Business Manager at a Carl's Jr. brand restaurant in California at any time from
20 February 5, 2019 to the end of the Class Period; and 3) all individuals employed by any
21 Defendant in the above-captioned coordinated action as a Business Manager at a Carl's
22 Jr. brand restaurant in California at any time from the beginning of the Class Period to
23 February 4, 2019 who opted out of the settlement in the matter of *Ibanez v. OC Burger*
24 *Boys LLC* (Case No. BC662360 in the Los Angeles Superior Court).

25 5. The Settlement is in all respects fair, reasonable, and adequate. There was
26 no collusion in connection with the Settlement. The Settlement was the product of
27 informed and arms'-length negotiations among competent counsel, and the record is
28 sufficiently developed to have enabled Plaintiffs and Defendants to adequately evaluate

1 and consider their respective positions. Accordingly, the Court hereby finally and
2 unconditionally approves the Settlement, and directs the parties to consummate its terms.

3 6. The Court finds that the Settlement is reasonable as it provides substantial
4 payment for Class Members from a non-reversionary common fund. The Settlement
5 avoids the risk, expense, complexity, and delay of further litigation.

6 7. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §382 and Rule of Court
7 3.769, the Court hereby certifies, for settlement purposes only, the Settlement Class.

8 8. Twenty-two (22) opt-out requests from Class Members have been received.
9 The Court grants these requests to opt out, and the individuals who submitted the opt-out
10 requests (whose names and unique ID numbers are listed in Exhibit A attached hereto)
11 are excluded.

12 9. As used in this Order, "Settlement Class Member" means all Class
13 Members with the exception of the 22 individuals whose opt-out requests have been
14 granted by the Court.

15 10. In this order, the term "Aggrieved Employees" means all individuals
16 employed by Defendants as Business Managers, Shift Leads, or Crew Members, at a
17 Carl's Jr. restaurant in California during the period beginning January 15, 2018 through
18 September 2, 2022. An individual's status as an Aggrieved Employee will not be
19 affected by any opt out.

20 11. The Court finds that the Settlement Class satisfies the requirements for
21 class certification under California Code of Civil Procedure §382 and California
22 Rule of Court 3.769, for settlement purposes only, because: 1) the Class Members are so
23 numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; 2) the Class is ascertainable;
24 3) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class Members; 4) the named Class
25 Representatives' claims are typical of the claims of the Class Members; 5) the named
26 Class Representatives and Class Counsel have adequately represented and will continue
27 to adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class for purposes of the
28 Settlement; and 6) class-wide treatment of the disputes raised in this action is superior to

1 other available methods for adjudicating the controversy before this Court at this time.

2 12. The Court finds that the individual direct Notice given to Class Members
3 through First Class U.S. Mail, as described in the Declaration of the Settlement
4 Administrator: 1) fairly and accurately described the litigation and the proposed
5 Settlement; 2) provided sufficient information to allow the Class Members to decide
6 whether to accept the benefits offered by the Settlement, exclude themselves from the
7 Settlement, or object to the proposed Settlement; 3) adequately described the pendency of
8 the action and the manner in which Class Members could exclude themselves from the
9 Settlement, or object to the proposed Settlement, or could object to and/or appear at the
10 Final Approval Hearing; 4) provided the previously scheduled date, time and place of
11 the Final Approval Hearing; 5) was the best notice practicable under the circumstances;
12 6) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons
13 entitled to receive notice; and 7) complied fully with California Code of Civil
14 Procedure §382, due process, and all other applicable laws.

15 13. The Court further finds that a full and fair opportunity has been afforded
16 the Class Members to opt out of or to object to the Settlement, and to participate in the
17 hearing convened to determine whether the Settlement should be given Final Approval.

18 14. There are no objections to the Settlement or the request for Class
19 Representative Service Payments, Attorney Fees, or Costs.

20 15. As used in this order, "Action" means all of the cases captioned and
21 coordinated in the *Akash Wage and Hour Cases*, Judicial Council Coordination
22 Proceeding No. 5214 pending in the Orange County Superior Court.

23 16. As used in this Order, "Released Parties" ^{refers to the definition in} means Defendants Akash
24 ^{The Settlement Agreement.} Management, LLC and OC Burger Boys, LLC, and each of their former and present
25 parents, subsidiaries, and/or affiliated companies and entities; and their current, former,
26 and future owners, officers, directors, members, managers, employees, consultants,
27 partners, affiliates, subsidiaries, shareholders, attorneys, insurers, joint venturers and
28 agents, successors, assigns, and/or legal representatives.

17. As used in this Order, "Released Claims" ^{refers to the old definition} ~~is defined as follows: all claims~~ ^{of that term in the Settlement Agreement.} made in any of the Complaints in the Action, or which could have been pled in the Action, against all Released Parties based on the factual allegations therein, that arose during the Class Period, including: any claims for unpaid wages (including but not limited to minimum wages, regular wages and overtime pay); failure to provide meal periods and/or to pay meal period premiums; failure to authorize and permit rest periods and/or pay rest period premiums; any claims arising from the misclassification of employees, inaccurate itemized wage statements, improper payroll records maintenance, failure to timely pay all wages during and at separation from employment, failure to reimburse employees for necessary business expenditures, unfair business practices seeking restitution and equitable relief to secure compliance; claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act for unpaid wages (including but not limited to minimum wages, regular wages and overtime pay); claims for violations of California Labor Code §§201 through 204, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800, 2802, and related provisions contained in the California Wage Orders and the California Business and Professions Code predicated on such Labor Code sections and California Wage Orders, including but not limited to Business and Professions Code §17200 et seq.; and any claim for any statutory penalty that could have been asserted based on the facts alleged in the Action under California law that arise from the allegations as pled, as well as any claims for restitution, conversion, punitive damages, liquidated damages, equitable relief, damages, penalties related to claims arising from the facts and claims alleged in the Action, interest, costs and attorneys' fees related to these claims based on the facts and causes of action alleged in the Action. "Released Claims" also includes all claims for penalties under PAGA premised in whole or in part on any of the claims, facts or allegations set forth in the Action, and all claims alleged in any Complaints and/or PAGA notices submitted in the Action that arose during the period from January 15, 2018 through September 2, 2022 (the "PAGA Period").

1 18. Upon payment of the First Payment required by Section 6.7 of the
2 Settlement and this Order, and excepting only the rights and conditions created by the
3 Settlement, this Order and the Judgment in this matter, each Settlement Class Member,
4 regardless of whether he or she has received actual notice of the proposed Settlement,
5 shall compromise, settle, discharge, and release the Released Claims against each of the
6 Released Parties.

7 19. Pursuant to Section 6 of the Settlement, and this Order, Defendants are to
8 pay the entire Total Settlement Amount in four payments over the course of three years.
9 Should Defendants fail to fund the First Payment or Second Payment within the
10 deadlines outlined in Section 6 of the Settlement and in this Order, Plaintiffs, after
11 written notice and the expiration of a cure period of ninety (90) days, may move by
12 regularly scheduled motion to reinstate the Action, revoke the Settlement Class
13 Members' releases of all Released Claims, and deem all of the Settlement Class
14 Members' claims tolled during the pendency of the Settlement. Any amounts paid by
15 Defendants as part of the Settlement will be credited against any subsequent judgment
16 in the Action.

17 20. Should Defendants fail to fund the Third Payment or Fourth Payment
18 within the deadlines outlined in Paragraph 6 of the Settlement and in this Order, the
19 Plaintiffs, after written notice and the expiration of a cure period of ninety (90) days, may
20 move to enter judgment against Defendants in an amount that is one hundred fifty
21 percent (150%) of the then-unpaid portion of any remaining payments due under the
22 Settlement, plus interest thereon from the date of the original judgment in the Action, as
23 well as attorneys' fees incurred to obtain entry of a new judgment.

24 21. The Court hereby confirms its appointment of Simpluris as the Settlement
25 Administrator. Simpluris shall act as the Settlement Administrator to perform those
26 duties and responsibilities under this Order and consistent with the terms of the
27 Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the Settlement Administrator has thus far
28 fulfilled its duties under the Settlement.

1 22. The Court confirms its appointment of Plaintiffs Jose Abarca, Fabiola
2 Abarca, Joe Ortiz, Anthony De La Torre, Vargas Diaz, Rosa Valadez, Robert Hernandez,
3 Damian Meza, Cristopher Trujillo, Araceli Munoz, Nicholas Eisenberg, and Christina
4 Irigoyen as the Class Representatives for the Class. The Court finds that the Class
5 Representatives have adequately represented the Settlement Class for the purposes of
6 entering into and implementing the Settlement.

7 23. The Court confirms its appointment of the following attorneys as Class
8 Counsel: The Graves Firm, 122 N. Baldwin Ave., Main Floor, Sierra Madre, California
9 91024; Armond M. Jackson and Jackson Law, APC, located at 2 Venture Plaza, Suite
10 240, Irvine, CA 92618; James R. Hawkins and James Hawkins APLC, located at 9880
11 Research Dr., Suite 200, Irvine, CA 92618; Edwin Aiwazian and Lawyers for Justice,
12 PC, 410 W. Arden Ave., Suite 203, Glendale, CA 91203; and Bibiyan Law Group, PC,
13 8484 Wilshire Blvd., #500, Beverly Hills, CA 90211.

14 24. Within five (5) days after this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall
15 post notice of the entry of Judgment in this matter on the website the Settlement
16 Administrator has established in association with this Settlement. The Court finds this
17 notice fulfills the requirement of California Rule of Court Rule 3.771(b).

18 25. Defendants shall transfer Seven Million Three Hundred Sixty Thousand
19 Dollars (\$7,360,000) (representing 40% of the Total Settlement Amount) to the
20 Settlement Administrator via wire transfer no later than sixty-five (65) days after this
21 Order ("First Payment").

22 26. No later than one year after the First Payment Date, Defendants shall
23 transfer Three Million, Six Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$3,680,000) (representing
24 20% of the Total Settlement Amount) to the Settlement Administrator via wire transfer.
25 ("Second Payment").
26
27
28

1 27. No later than two years after the First Payment Date, Defendants shall
2 transfer Three Million, Six Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$3,680,000) (representing
3 20% of the Total Settlement Amount) to the Settlement Administrator via wire transfer.
4 (“Third Payment”).

5 28. No later than three years after the First Payment Date, Defendants shall
6 transfer Three Million, Six Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$3,680,000) (representing
7 20% of the Total Settlement Amount) to the Settlement Administrator via wire transfer.
8 (“Fourth Payment”).

9 29. For each payment, Defendants shall be responsible for paying the
10 employer’s share of all payroll taxes on a portion of the payment treated as wages, and
11 that payment shall be in addition to the Total Settlement Amount.

12 30. Defendants shall be liable jointly and severally for all obligations imposed
13 by the Settlement Agreement and this Order.

14 31. The Court approves payment, from the Total Settlement Amount, of
15 amounts billed not to exceed One Hundred Seventy-Nine Thousand Ninety Dollars
16 (\$179,090) to the Settlement Administrator, in payment of the fees and costs for all
17 services necessary to complete its duties in connection with the administration of the
18 Settlement.

19 32. The Court approves payment, from the Total Settlement Amount, of
20 One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,500,000) to the California Labor and
21 Workforce Development Agency. This amount is seventy-five percent (75%) of the Two
22 Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) allotted for settlement of all allegations arising under
23 California’s Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”). The remaining twenty-five
24 percent (25%) allocated to the PAGA will be distributed to Aggrieved Employees as
25 described below.

26 33. The Court finds that Class Counsel are entitled to a fee, having expended
27 efforts to secure a settlement for the benefit of Class Members. The Court approves the
28 application of Class Counsel for payment of fees, from the Total Settlement Amount, in

WDL
1 the amount of Six Million, One Hundred Thirty Three Thousand, Three Hundred and
2 Thirty Three dollars (\$6,133,333). Viewed as a percentage of the fund, the fee is
3 equivalent to one-third of the common fund established in this case, and the Court finds
4 that it is a reasonable percentage under the circumstances and in light of the excellent
5 settlement results achieved in this case. ~~Applying lodestar analysis as a crosscheck, the~~
6 ~~Court finds that the total fee award is supported by a lodestar multiplier of 2.02.~~ This
7 award of fees shall be allocated among counsel as follows:

- 8 • \$3,916,666.43 to The Graves Firm;
- 9 • \$1,499,999.93 to Jackson Law, APC;
- 10 • \$258,333.32 to James Hawkins, APLC;
- 11 • \$258,333.32 Lawyers for Justice, PC; and
- 12 • \$200,000 to Bibiyan Law Group, P.C.

13 34. The Court approves payment, from the Total Settlement Amount, for
14 litigation costs incurred in relation to this matter allocated among counsel as follows, per
15 agreement among counsel.

- 16 • \$261,463.79 to The Graves Firm;
- 17 • \$54,984.95 to Jackson Law, APC;
- 18 • \$2,085.09 to James Hawkins, APLC;
- 19 • \$3,685.29 to Lawyers for Justice, PC; and
- 20 • \$2,650.21 to Bibiyan Law Group, P.C.

21 35. These amounts are consistent with the Settlement Agreement and supported
22 by the documentation submitted by Class Counsel.

23 36. The Court hereby approves payment, from the Total Settlement Amount, of
24 Service Payments to the Plaintiffs and Class Representatives as follows:

- 25 • Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) for Class Representatives, Joe Ortiz,
26 and Robert Hernandez;
- 27
- 28

- Seven Thousand, Five Hundred Dollars (\$7,500) for Class Representatives Jose Abarca and Fabiola Abarca; and
- Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) for Class Representatives Vargas Diaz, Rosa Valadez, Damian Meza, Cristopher Trujillo, Nicholas Eisenberg, Christina Irigoyen, and Araceli Munoz.

37. The remainder of the Total Settlement Amount, less all the payments authorized by this Order, shall be distributed to the Settlement Class Members ("Net Settlement Amount").

38. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §384(b), the Court finds that the total amount that will be payable to all Settlement Class Members, if all Settlement Class Members are paid the amount to which they are entitled pursuant to this Order, is estimated to be \$9,562,707.34.

39. The Individual Settlement Payment for each Settlement Class Member shall be calculated as follows:

- Each Settlement Class Member will receive 3 credits for each week worked for any Defendant in California if he or she was employed as a Business Manager during the Class Period.
- Each Settlement Class Member will receive 2 credits for each week in which he or she worked for any Defendant in California if he or she was employed as a Shift Lead during the Class Period.
- Each Settlement Class Member will receive 1 credit for each week in which he or she worked for any Defendant in California if he or she was employed as a Crew Member during the Class Period.
- For individuals who did not opt out of the settlement in the matter of *Ibanez v. OC Burger Boys LLC* (Case No. BC662360 in Los Angeles Superior Court), no credits will be awarded for weeks worked as a Business Manager prior to February 5, 2019.

- If an individual worked under more than one job title during the Class Period, he or she will receive credits associated with the job title the individual last held with Defendants as determined by Defendants' business records.
- The value of a credit will be determined by dividing the Net Settlement Amount by the total number of credits awarded.
- The Individual Settlement Payment for each Settlement Class Member will be equal to the number of credits awarded to that Settlement Class Member multiplied by the value of a credit.

40. Each Aggrieved Employee's share of the PAGA payment shall be calculated as follows:

- Each Aggrieved Employee will receive 3 PAGA Payment credits for each week worked for any Defendant in California if he or she was employed as a Business Manager during the PAGA Period.
- Each Aggrieved Employee will receive 2 PAGA Payment credits for each week in which he or she worked for any Defendant in California if he or she was employed as a Shift Lead during the PAGA Period.
- Each Aggrieved Employee will receive 1 PAGA Payment credit for each week in which he or she worked for any Defendant in California if he or she was employed as a Crew Member during the PAGA Period.
- If an individual worked under more than one job title during the PAGA Period, he or she will receive PAGA Payment credits associated with the job title the individual last held with Defendants as determined by Defendants' business records.
- The value of a PAGA Payment credit will be determined by dividing 25% of the PAGA payment by the total number of credits awarded.
- The portion of the PAGA payment to each Class Member will be equal

1 to the number of PAGA Payment credits awarded to that individual
2 multiplied by the value of a credit.

3 41. Each Individual Settlement Payment will be apportioned as Seventy-Five
4 percent (75%) non-wage payments and Twenty-Five percent (25%) wage payments. The
5 Portion of the PAGA Payment paid to each Aggrieved Employee shall be apportioned as
6 One Hundred percent (100%) non-wage payment. The Settlement Administrator shall
7 issue an IRS Form 1099 for all non-wage payments to each Class Member or Aggrieved
8 Employee receiving payment as the Settlement Administrator determines to be required
9 by law. The Settlement Administrator shall issue a W-2 form to each Class Member for
10 the wage payment portion of his or her Individual Settlement Payment.

11 42. Not later than twenty (20) days following receipt of the First Payment, the
12 Settlement Administrator shall make the following payments:

- 13 • Seventy-Five percent (75%) of the PAGA Payment to the
14 California Labor and Workforce Development Agency.
- 15 • Twenty-Five percent (25%) of the PAGA Payment to the
16 Aggrieved Employees.
- 17 • The full amount of the Service Payments to the Plaintiffs in the amount
18 awarded in this Order.
- 19 • Attorney costs in the amount awarded in this Order.
- 20 • An amount equal to Forty percent (40%) of the total Attorney Fees
21 awarded in this Order less \$42,000, to be distributed as follows:
 - 22 ○ \$1,545,667.57 to The Graves Firm;
 - 23 ○ \$578,999.97 to Jackson Law, APC;
 - 24 ○ \$103,333.33 to James Hawkins, APLC;
 - 25 ○ \$103,333.33 to Lawyers for Justice, PC; and
 - 26 ○ \$80,000 to Bibiyan Law Group, P.C.

- Settlement Administration Costs as billed by the Settlement Administrator, in an amount not to exceed 40% of the maximum Settlement Administration Costs allowed in this Order.
- Payment of all remaining funds (after setting aside the Reserve Fund) to Settlement Class Members in proportion to each Class Member's Individual Settlement Payment.

43. Not later than twenty (20) days following receipt of each subsequent payment, the Settlement Administrator shall make the following payments:

- Twenty percent (20%) of the Service Payments to the Plaintiffs in the amount awarded in this order, with each Plaintiff receiving 20% of his or her awarded Service Payment.
- An amount equal to Twenty percent (20%) of the total Attorney Fees awarded in this Order plus \$14,000 to be distributed as follows:
 - \$790,333.29 to The Graves Firm;
 - \$306,999.99 to Jackson Law, APC;
 - \$51,666.66 to James Hawkins, APLC;
 - \$51,666.66 to Lawyers for Justice, PC; and
 - \$40,000 to Bibiyan Law Group, P.C.
- Settlement Administration Costs as billed by the Settlement Administrator in an amount not to exceed twenty percent (20%) of the maximum Settlement Administration Costs allowed in this Order.
- Payment of all remaining funds (after setting aside the Reserve Fund) to Settlement Class Members in proportion to each Class Member's Individual Settlement Payment.

44. Thirty (30) days after all checks from the first four distributions have been negotiated or cancelled, the Settlement Administrator shall make a fifth distribution in which all remaining funds will be paid via check to Settlement Class Members, with each Settlement Class Member receiving a portion of the available funds in proportion to his

1 or her Individual Settlement Payment.

2 45. The Settlement Administrator shall use reasonable efforts to disburse
3 Individual Settlement Amounts to all Settlement Class Members. Such disbursements
4 shall be made by check sent via first-class mail. All checks shall be void one hundred
5 eighty (180) calendar days after issuance.

6 46. No later than November 13 of each year from 2023 through 2026, the
7 Settlement Administrator shall provide a report accounting for all dispositions of funds
8 from the Total Settlement Amount, including the total amount actually paid to Class
9 Members, as well as the total amount of unclaimed funds to date. The Settlement
10 Administrator shall maintain all records related to the Action in digital format for a
11 period of ten (10) years, at the end of which time it will permanently delete all records.

12 47. No later than November 27 of each year from 2023 through 2026, counsel
13 for the Ortiz Plaintiffs will file such report with the Court along with a statement
14 describing the status of the distributions. Following receipt of the final report from the
15 Settlement Administrator, in addition to filing the report, Plaintiffs will lodge a Proposed
16 Amended Judgment addressing disposition of any remaining funds consistent with
17 California Code of Civil Procedure §384(b).

18 48. Without impacting the finality of this Order, the Court hereby retains
19 continuing jurisdiction over the enforcement, implementation, construction,
20 administration, and interpretation of the Settlement.

21
22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24
25 DATED: 3-24-23



Hon. William Claster
Judge of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT A

Individuals Requesting to Opt-Out of the Settlement

Class Member ID	First Name	Last Name
1287	Jasmine	Jaimez
1391	Terry	Gonzalez
3634	Melinda S	Swihart
3686	Jorge	Gil
3944	Vanessa	Carter
4895	Rosa	Galeana Rodriguez
5491	Juan	De La Cruz
6404	Mercedes	Medina
6620	Laura	Stewart
6628	Jose	De Leon Ramirez
7450	David	Varo
7451	Leilany	Gonzalez
8130	Samantha	Perez
8191	Fabiola	Sanches
9510	Laura	Ramirez
11011	Zeus	Rubio
11291	Raquel	Sevillano
11782	Julian	Padilla
12909	Amy	Dominguez
13142	Gabriela	Gonzalez
13376	Jose	Lopez Sandoval
3641	Carmela	Cruz Lopez