

James R. Hawkins, SBN 192925
Isandra Fernandez, SBN 220482
Anthony Draper SBN 344391
JAMES HAWKINS APLC
9880 Research Drive, Suite 200
Irvine, CA 92618
TEL: (949) 387-7200
FAX: (949) 387-6676
isandra@jameshawkinsaplc.com
anthony@jameshawkinsaplc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, RAUL RAMIREZ
HERNANDEZ on behalf of himself and
all others and similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

DAISY ESPINOZA, BRITTANY AMAYA,
and RAUL RAMIREZ HERNANDEZ,
individually, and on behalf of other members
of the general public similarly situated, and
as aggrieved employees pursuant to the
Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"),

Plaintiff,

vs.

ENCOMPASS COMMUNITY SERVICES,
a California corporation, and DOES 1
through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 21CV02110

**DECLARATION OF ANTHONY L.
DRAPER IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

DECLARATION ANTHONY L. DRAPER

I, Anthony L. Draper, declare as follows:

1. I am an individual over the age of 18. I am an associate at the law firm of James Hawkins APLC. I am one of the attorneys of record for plaintiff Raul Ramirez Hernandez (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"). I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement which Plaintiffs filed concurrently herewith, along with the supporting memorandum of points and authorities. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below, and if called to testify regarding them, I could and would do so competently.

2. On November 14, 2022, Plaintiff Raul Ramirez Hernandez provided timely written notice to Defendant and the Labor Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") of his intention to either file a PAGA complaint or amend an existing complaint to include a PAGA claim.

3. On November 14, 2022, Plaintiff filed this wage and hour class action Complaint in this Action in Santa Cruz County Superior Court.

4. On January 19, 2023, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint, which added a fifth cause of action for civil penalties under the PAGA (Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.) The First Amended Complaint is the operative complaint, which alleges California claims for (1) failure to pay lawful wages; (2) failure to provide lawful meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof; (3) failure to provide lawful rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof; (4) failure to pay employee expenses; (5) failure to timely pay wages during employment; (6) failure to timely pay wages at termination; (7) knowing and intentional failure to comply with itemized employee wage statement provisions; (8) violation of the Unfair Competition Law; and (9) PAGA.

5. Throughout this litigation, Defendant Encompass Community Services ("Defendant") has denied Plaintiff's allegations, denies any failure to comply with the laws identified in the First Amended Complaint, denies any and all liability for the causes of action that are or reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts in the First Amended Complaint, and denies the case is manageable or amenable to class treatment and raised defenses to his claims, both individually and on a representative basis.

1 6. To date, no class has been certified and the Court has not made any findings that
2 Defendant engaged in any wrongdoing or in violation of any state law, rule or regulation, with
3 respect to the issues presented in the litigation.

4 7. Through his counsel, Plaintiff took into consideration the significant risks that
5 class certification could be denied on the central claim, that the claims would be referred to
6 individual binding arbitration for the majority of the putative class members and/or that a merits
7 decision on the central claim would not favor the Class. Plaintiff's counsel also considered the
8 expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to continue the Action against Defendant
9 through trial and any possible appeals and the difficulties in establishing the alleged damages.
10 The settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, given the significant risks to Plaintiff and Class
11 Members of prevailing on class certification or at trial, and thus leaving employees with no
12 recovery.

13 8. James Hawkins APLC has a great deal of experience in wage and hour class action
14 litigation. The law firm and its lawyers have obtained certification of multiple classes, have been
15 approved as class counsel in many other wage/hour class actions, and currently litigating
16 numerous others. Although not an all-inclusive list, over the years the law firm has prosecuted
17 the following class action matters as lead and/or co-lead counsel, all of which implicated similar
18 law and facts to those associated with this action:

- 19 ○ ***Mojica v. Compass Group, Inc., et. al.*** USDC Central District, Case No. 8:13-cv-
20 01754.
- 21 ○ ***Dao v. 3M Company, et al.*** USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. CV-08-04554.
- 22 ○ ***Ortiz v. Kmart***, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. SACV 06-638 ODW.
- 23 ○ ***Morgan v. Aramark Campus, LLC***, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No.
24 SACV08-00412.
- 25 ○ ***West v Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc, et. al.***; Los Angeles County
26 Superior Court, Case No. BC393709.
- 27 ○ ***Gonzalez v. Superior Industries International, Inc., et al.***, Los Angeles County
28 Superior Court, Case No. BC 357912.

- ***Acosta v. Fleetwood Travel Trailers of California, Inc., et al.***, Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. RIC 440630.
- ***Walker v. Sharkeez, et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 05CC00293.
- ***Padron v. Universal Protection Service, et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 05CC00013.
- ***Martinez v. Securitas Security Services USA, et al.***, Santa Clara Superior Court, Case No. 105-CV047499, et al. J.C.C.P. No. 4460.
- ***Velasquez-Lopez v. Hotel Cleaning Services, Inc. et al.***, Riverside Superior Court, Case No. RIC 420909.
- ***Ruiz, et al. v. Unisourse Worldwide, Inc., et al.***, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. CV09-05848.
- ***Herrador v. Culligan International Company, et al.***, USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT, Case No. SACV 08-680.
- ***Defries v. Domain Restaurants, et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 05CC00128.
- ***Denton v. BLB Enterprises, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 07CC01292.
- ***Rios v. Sandberg Furniture Manufacturing Co., Inc, et al.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC411477.
- ***McMurray v. Dave and Busters, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 06CC00099.
- ***Osuna v. DFG Restaurants, Inc., et al.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC 330145.
- ***Burns v. Gymboree Operations, Inc., et al.***, San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-07-461612.
- ***Willems v. Diedrich Coffee, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 07CC00015.

- ***Davila, et al. v. Beckman Coulter, Inc., et al.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 07CC01347.
- ***Perez v. Naked Juice Company of Glendora, Inc.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC387088.
- ***Coordination Proceeding Special Title [Rule 1550(b)] Wackenhut Wage and Hour Cases***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. JCCP 4545.
- ***Placencia v. Amcor Packaging Distribution, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00694012-CU-OE-CXC.
- ***Trani v. Lisi Aerospace, et al.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC495527.
- ***Galvan v. Goodwin Co.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00637062-CU-OE-CXC.
- ***Reyes v. Bristol Fiberlite***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00653425-CU-OE-CXC.
- ***Gutierrez v. HMT Tank, USDC Central Dist.***, Case No. CV14-1967-CAS(MAN)
- ***Williams v. Il Fornaio America Corp.***, Sacramento County, Case No. 34-2011-0009616.
- ***Aguilar v. 7-Eleven, Inc.***, Orange County, Case No. 30-2009-002687141-CU-OE-CXC.
- ***Madrigal v. Huntington Beach Market Broiler, Inc.***, Orange County, Case No. 30-2012-00611260.
- ***Vang v. Jazz Semiconductor, Inc.***, Orange County, Case no. 30-2011-00460278.
- ***Vigueras v. Red Robin International, Inc.***, USDC Central Dist. Case No. *SACV 17-1422 JVS (DFMx)*;
- ***Smith v. Space Exploration Technologies Corp.***, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. *BC55429*;
- ***Cano v. Financial Statement Services, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00653349-CU-OE-CXC;

- ***Mendez v. Liberty Glass Fabricators, Inc.***, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RIC1800119;
- ***Attia v. Neiman Marcus Group, Inc.***, United States District Court for the Central District of California Case No. 8:16-cv-001504-DOC-FFM;
- ***O'Brien, et al. v. Cerida Investment Corp.***, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 21CV384527;
- ***Gonzalez v. Quality Aluminum Forge, LLC***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2015-00817941;
- ***Shay v. Apple, Inc.***, United States District Court for the Southern District of California Case No. 3:20-cv-1629-JO-BLM;
- ***Milligan v. CWI, Inc.***, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS 2013999;
- ***Aguilar v. LDI Mechanical, Inc.***, Riverside County Case No. RIC1610019;
- ***Abron v. University Healthcare Alliance***, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG20066438;
- ***Benson v. F21 Opco, LLC***, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021,00024619-CU-OE-CTL;
- ***Allen v. Creative Stone Mfg., Inc.***, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2201498;
- ***Santamaria v. Bright Horizons Children's Center***, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2210140;
- ***Yniguez v. Airgas USA, LLC***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20STCV18190;
- ***Cervantez v. Genuine Parts Company***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 22STCV00981;
- ***Robles v. Jetro Holdings, LLC***, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021-00015414-CU-OE-CTL;
- ***Hernandez v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.***, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 20CV3770922;

- *Ayala v. Veg-Land, et al.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2014-00738775-CU-OE-CXC;
- *Puente v. Sully-Miller Contracting Co.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2015-00792088-CU-OE-CXC;
- *Garcia v. Revolution Foods, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC579142;
- *Attaway, et al. v. Alliance Residential, LLC*, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 20CV365134;
- *Bowman v. Delta Dental of California*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG21108230;
- *Hernandez v. Burrtec Waste & Recycling Services, LLC*, United States District Court for the Central District of California Case No. 5:21-CV-001490-JWH-SP;
- *Grant v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.*, United States District Court for the Central District of California Case No. 2:21-CV-02268;
- *Dawson v. Wonderful Pistachios and Almonds, LLC*, Kern County Superior Court Case No. BCV-17-100848;
- *Hamilton, et al. v. Michael Kors Stores (California), Inc.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case NO. 19STCV37061;
- *Ross v. Stater Bros.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1902518;
- *Walker v. Barrett Business Services, Inc., et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20STCV39172;
- *Benitez, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2019-01069185-CU-OE-CXC;
- *Montgomery v. Copart, Inc.*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2020-00280733-CU-OE-GDS;
- *Vizcarra-McNamee v. Nordstrom, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2020-01141916-CU-OE-CXC;
- *Ayers v. Cherry Hill Programs, Inc.*, Fresno County Superior Court Case No. 20CECG00821;

- ***Sleeper v. Boot Barn, Inc.***, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2019-00272000-CU-OE-GDS;
- ***Pulido-Dias v. Dirt Cheap, Inc.***, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1719694;
- ***Villanueva v. Nordstrom, Inc.***, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1918706;
- ***Bryson v. Madame Tussauds, et al.***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 19STCV33514
- ***Hightower v. Levy Premium, et al.***, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 19CV359262;
- ***Marquez v. Maxim Crane Works, L.P., et al.***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 19STCV39548;
- ***Rovira v. Silverado Senior Living Management, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2020-01136565-CU-OE-CXC;
- ***Hallum, et al. v. FCA US, LLC***, San Bernardino County Case No. CIVDS1936782;
- ***Martinez v. Placer Title Co., et al.***, Kern County Case No. BCV-19-103486;
- ***Torres v. Van Law Food Products, Inc.***, Orange County Case No. 37-2017-00946312-CU-OE-CXC;
- ***Holmes v. Pet Food Express, LLC***, Alameda County Case No. 22CV014140;
- ***Ventura v. Conduent Commercial Solutions, LLC***, Kern County Case No. BCV-22-102043;
- ***Ramirez v. CSI Electrical Contractors, Inc.***, Fresno County Superior Court Case No. 18CECG04150;
- ***Magana v. Eibach Springs, Inc.***, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RIC1708917;
- ***Knox v. Express Messenger Systems, Inc.***, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1932323;

- ***Corona, et al. v. G&M Oil Company, Inc.***, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC640876
- ***Aguilar v. Peach Home Services, Inc., et al.***, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RIC1823057;
- ***Wilson v. M.C. LLC***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2019-01103382-CU-OE-CXC.
- ***Nunez v. Nevell Group, Inc.***, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2015-00783269.
- ***Bendorf, et al. v. Sea World LLC, et al.***, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021-00034922-CU-OE-CTL;
- ***DeMartini, et al. v. Safelite Fulfillment, Inc.***, Marin County Case No. CIV20002076;
- ***Ayala, et al., v. Macy's West Stores, Inc.***, Los Angeles County Case No. 20STCV34182;
- ***Wallen, et al. v. United Rentals (North America), Inc., et al.***, Stanislaus County Case No. CV-22-000399;
- ***Granados v. Hyatt Corporation***, United States District Court for the Southern District of California Case No. 3:23-cv-01001-H-VET;
- ***Vigil v. Hyatt Corporation***, United States District Court for the Northern District of California Case No. 4:22-cv-00693;
- ***Garcia v. U.S. Best Ingredients, Inc.***, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21TRCV00813.

9. Class Counsel commands a high hourly rate due to our success in overtime, meal and rest period, expense reimbursement, misclassification, vacation pay, and various other wage and hour class actions, and we take pride in being held in very high regard by the legal community.

10. James Hawkins APLC is a boutique law firm that specializes in wage and hour class actions and is primarily comprised of several seasoned attorneys and staff. While this matter

1 did not conclusively preclude other employment, it did impact our ability to take on other cases.
2 The hourly rates for the attorneys who worked on this case, James Hawkins, Isandra Fernandez,
3 and myself are hourly rates charged by other firms that handle complex litigation in California.

4 11. Mr. Hawkins has been practicing law in California since 1997 and in 2007
5 incorporated his wage and hour class action practice as James Hawkins APLC. Since its
6 inception, Mr. Hawkins has been lead and/or co-lead counsel in hundreds of wage and hour class
7 action cases.

8 12. Ms. Fernandez has been a member of the Florida Bar since January 1996 and a
9 member of the California Bar since August 2002. Ms. Fernandez has specialized in employment
10 related litigation including complex wage and hour civil litigation since 2003. Ms. Fernandez has
11 been, and currently is, co-counsel in over 60 wage and hour class action litigations for our firm
12 and has produced excellent results for this firm's clients in the wage and hour class actions.

13 13. I have been a member of the California Bar since July 2022 and was provisionally
14 licensed prior to that time. I joined the James Hawkins Law Firm in October 2021 and have since
15 then specialized in wage and hour class and PAGA actions. I have been, and currently am,
16 litigating over 40 complex wage and hour cases for our firm.

17 14. Ms. Melissa Whitson holds a B.A. in Liberal Studies from California State
18 University Fullerton. Ms. Whitson has been a paralegal in the employment law field for more
19 than 20 years.

20 15. Since on or about May 2022, prior to providing timely notice to the LWDA and
21 Defendant and the filing of this action in November 2022, through the present James Hawkins
22 APLC has had to, among other things, expend time on the following: engage in numerous
23 discussions with Plaintiff, conduct comprehensive investigations including but not limited to
24 researching Defendant's structure, business relationship, positions, locations; conduct research
25 and analysis regarding potential class members within the scope of the putative class; research
26 status of current law regarding potential class claims and PAGA; draft Complaint and PAGA
27 letter; review and draft First Amended Complaint; prepare a discovery plan on class certification
28 issues related to the case; review answers to Complaint and First Amended Complaint; prepare

1 joint status conference reports; attend the same; review Court Minute Orders and CMO;
2 discussion with Defendant's counsel; engage in discussions with Defendant's counsel regarding
3 mediation; review hundreds of pages of information provided by Defendant in advance of
4 mediation; discussion with class members; discussions with Plaintiff and expert regarding
5 damages analysis; prepare for mediation; draft mediation brief; participate in full day mediation;
6 discussions with Plaintiff regarding settlement; review and exchange multiple drafts of the formal
7 settlement agreement; discussions with Plaintiff regarding the same; review preliminary approval
8 motion and draft supporting documents; review tentative by the Court; attend hearing on
9 preliminary approval motion; communications with Administrator re: order granting preliminary
10 approval; review reports provided by Administrator; discussion with class members; review final
11 approval motion papers and draft supporting documents. A great amount of time and effort has
12 been expended to properly and comprehensively perform the job required of this case and prepare
13 for all contingencies that may arise, regardless of whether the case settles.

14 16. The attorneys who have worked on this matter are Mr. Hawkins, Ms. Fernandez,
15 and myself. Mr. Hawkins' hourly rate is \$1,050, Ms. Fernandez's hourly rate is \$985, and my
16 hourly rate is \$525. The hourly rate for the paralegal, Ms. Melissa Whitson, is \$220. Since the
17 inception of this matter, prior to filing the Complaint, the total amount of attorney time and
18 paralegal time estimated to have been expended and also estimated to be expended in finalizing
19 this matter is 229 (Mr. Hawkins 38 hours, Ms. Fernandez 72 hours, Mr. Draper 85 hours, and Ms.
20 Whitson 34) which equates to \$162,925.00 in total fees.

21 17. Here, the parties' contractual agreement to pay counsel's attorneys' fees is
22 reasonable. My firm has borne the entire risk and cost of this litigation on a pure contingency
23 basis. To date, Class Counsel has not received any compensation and expended litigation costs on
24 behalf of the class. Class action contingency cases are inherently risky. Upon retention, Class
25 Counsel makes a commitment to litigate the case through trial. These types of cases can take
26 years, hundreds of hours of work and cost an enormous amount of money; these risks are known
27 upon retention. The risks involved coupled with the contingency basis of this case further support
28 Class Counsels' request for attorneys' fees and costs.

1 18. In the course of this litigation, Plaintiff's counsel incurred costs of \$28,600.50
2 through the conclusion of this matter. (A true and correct copy of Plaintiff counsel's Cost Invoice
3 is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.)

4 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May
5 15, 2026 at Irvine, California.

6 
7 _____
8 Anthony Draper

EXHIBIT 2

Matter Billing Detail

Report Date: 5/13/2026
Report Time: 12:53PM
Page: 1 of 2
User ID: Gonzales

JAMES HAWKINS APLC

Date Range: 01/01/1900 to 05/07/2026
Client: ENCOMPASS CO - Raul Ramirez Hernandez
Matter: ENCOMPASS COMMU - Encompass Community Services- Raul Ramirez Hernandez

Date	Expense Code	Description	Debit	Credit	Billing Status	On Hold	Invoice Number	Check Number	Payee
		Balance Forward:	\$0.00						
11/14/2022	FST	First Legal Network - E-Filing - Class Action Complaint, Complaint, Summons, Civil Case Cover IN# 30219274	\$1,686.25		Unbilled				
11/18/2022	POS	Postage - Postage via cert Mailing LWDA 11.14.22	\$9.17		Unbilled				
11/18/2022	FIL	Filing Fee - 11.14.22 LWDA Filing Fee	\$75.00		Unbilled				
12/22/2022	FST	First Legal Network - Process Serve - Class Complaint and Initial Docs, E-Filing - Proof of Service Summons IN# 30222171	\$546.66		Unbilled				
01/19/2023	FST	First Legal Network - E-Filing - First Amended Class Action Complaint, Notice, Proof of Service, Process Serve - FAC Process Service IN# 30224217	\$623.74		Unbilled				
03/03/2023	FST	First Legal Network - E-Filing - Plaintiffs Request to Continue Case (2), Declaration of Anthony Draper, Proof of Service, Stipulation IN# 30230586	\$133.00		Unbilled				
04/26/2023	FST	First Legal Network - E-Filing - Notice of Remote Appearance IN# 30233810	\$39.50		Unbilled				
06/12/2023	FST	First Legal Network - E-Filing - Notice of Case management Conference IN# 30240914	\$39.50		Unbilled				
07/20/2023	FST	First Legal Network - E-Filing - Application and Stipulation for Ord IN# 30244254	\$61.50		Unbilled				
09/28/2023	FST	First Legal Network - Filing - Application IN# 30252348	\$61.50		Unbilled				
01/12/2024	FST	First Legal Network - Filing - Declaration of Anthony L Draper, Application and Stipulation for Order (2), Stipulation to request Continuance, Application and Stipulation IN# 30267292	\$437.80		Unbilled				
02/27/2024	EXA	Expert Analysis IN#10480 CK#11557	\$9,810.00		Unbilled				
03/14/2024	FST	First Legal Network - Filing - Notice of Remote Appearance Filed In# 30275374	\$41.55		Unbilled				
03/22/2024	MED	Mediation Services- IN#7033740 CK#11612	\$14,000.00		Unbilled				
04/09/2024	FST	First Legal Network - E-Filing - Stipulation to Request Continuance IN# 30279435	\$37.95		Unbilled				
04/19/2024	EXA	Expert Analysis IN#00909 CK#11688	\$337.50		Unbilled				

Matter Billing Detail

JAMES HAWKINS APLC

Date	Expense Code	Description	Debit	Credit	Billing Status	On Hold	Invoice Number	Check Number	Payee
07/24/2024	FST	First Legal Network - Filing - CM Statement IN# 30290822	\$41.70		Unbilled				
10/21/2024	LDS	Legal Document Server - E-Filing - Stip and Order, Declaration affidavit, Proof of Service IN# 10618005	\$9.95		Unbilled				
01/17/2025	LDS	Legal Document Server - E-Filing - Cm Statement IN# 11155128	\$32.25		Unbilled				
08/21/2025	LDS	Legal Document Server - EFiling - Declaration IN# 12709091	\$37.99		Unbilled				
08/22/2025	LDS	Legal Document Server - EFiling - CM Statement IN# 12719849	\$37.99		Unbilled				
Total:			\$28,100.50	\$0.00					
Balance:			\$28,100.50						
Total Fees Billed- -----		\$0.00							
Total Fees Unbilled : -----		\$0.00							
Total Fees Received : -----		\$0.00							
Total Soft Cost Billed : -----		\$0.00							
Total Soft Cost Unbilled : -----		\$0.00							
Total Soft Cost Received : -----		\$0.00							
Total Hard Cost Billed : -----		\$0.00							
Total Hard Cost Unbilled : -----		\$28,100.50							
Total Hard Cost Received : -----		\$0.00							
Total Taxes Billed : -----		\$0.00							
Total Taxes Unbilled : -----		\$0.00							
Total Taxes Received : -----		\$0.00							
Total Late Charges Billed : -----		\$0.00							
Total Late Charges Unbilled: -----		\$0.00							
Total Late Charges Received : -----		\$0.00							
Trust Balance: -----		\$0.00							