

HAIG B. KAZANDJIAN LAWYERS, APC

Haig B. Kazandjian, Esq., Bar No.: 278622

haig@hbklawyers.com

Cathy Gonzalez, Esq. Bar No.: 310625

cathy@hbklawyers.com

Kevin P. Crough, Esq. Bar No. 323904

kevin@hbklawyers.com

801 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 970

Glendale, California 91203

Telephone: 1-818-696-2306

Facsimile: 1-818-696-2307

Attorneys for Plaintiff GIANINA SCARPATI

individually and on behalf of all other Aggrieved Employees

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – BURBANK COURTHOUSE

GIANINA SCARPATI, individually and on
behalf of all other Aggrieved Employees;

Plaintiff,

vs.

CONSEJOSANO, INC., a Delaware
Corporation, and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 22BBCV00003

*[Assigned for all purposes to Honorable John
Kralik, Dept. B]*

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER APPROVING
REPRESENTATIVE PAGA SETTLEMENT**

*[FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH JOINT STIPULATION
REQUESTING APPROVAL OF REPRESENTATIVE
PAGA SETTLEMENT; DECLARATION OF HAIG B.
KAZANDJIAN IN SUPPORT THEREOF; AND
[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT]*

Complaint Filed: January 3, 2022
Trial Date: None Yet Set

Pursuant to Stipulation by the parties and good cause appearing: Having considered the Parties' submissions, and all legal authorities and documents submitted in support thereof, including the PAGA Settlement Agreement ("PAGA Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Parties' request is GRANTED, subject to the following findings and orders:

- a) All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the PAGA Settlement Agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

12/20/2022

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: W. Delgado Deputy

b) The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the PAGA Settlement Agreement and finds that the PAGA Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable and directs the Parties to effectuate the PAGA Settlement Agreement according to its terms. The Court finds that the PAGA Settlement Agreement has been reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery being provided as part of the Settlement and recognizes the value accorded to the state of California and Aggrieved Employees.

c) The PAGA Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendants or by any other Released Party, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendants. Neither this Order, the PAGA Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the PAGA Settlement Agreement, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or liability whatsoever by or against Defendants or any of the other Released Parties.

d) Defendant shall pay the Aggrieved Employees pursuant to the procedure described in the PAGA Settlement Agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

e) The payments to the Aggrieved Employees shall be mailed to the Aggrieved Employees with the Notice attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

f) After reviewing the proposed PAGA Settlement Agreement, the Declaration of Haig B. Kazandjian in Support of Joint Stipulation For Approval of Representative PAGA Action Settlement, and the Joint Stipulation and the Exhibits attached thereto, the Court finds that the PAGA penalties awarded in this matter are fair and reasonable.

g) The Court hereby confirms Haig B. Kazandjian Lawyers, APC as PAGA Counsel.

h) The Court hereby awards attorneys' fees in the amount of 33.33% of the total Settlement amount, which is \$25,000.00 in attorneys' fees and \$2,806.58 in attorneys' costs. The Court

- 1 finds that attorneys' work resulted in the creation of a common fund of \$38,193.42 and that
2 the attorneys' fees and costs requested were reasonable in light of the amount recovered and
3 the relevant factors under California law. The payment of fees to PAGA Counsel shall be
4 made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 5 i) The Court appoints Simpluris Class Action Settlement Administration as the Settlement
6 Administrator and directs that the PAGA penalty payments be distributed by Simpluris as set
7 forth in the PAGA Settlement Agreement, Joint Stipulation, and this Order. The Court
8 approves Simpluris' Settlement Administration Fees for its services in this matter in an
9 amount not to exceed \$4,000.00.
- 10 j) The Court approves the award to Plaintiff GIANINA SCARPATI in the amount of \$5,000.00
11 as an incentive award;
- 12 k) Defendant and Plaintiff shall have no further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys'
13 fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as may be provided in the PAGA
14 Settlement Agreement.
- 15 l) The Court further orders, adjudges and decrees that each Aggrieved Employee (including
16 Plaintiff) and the State of California, shall fully release and forever discharge Defendant and
17 its present and former parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, related or affiliated
18 companies, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers,
19 successors and assigns and any individual or entity which could be jointly liable with
20 Defendant ("Releasees") from any and all PAGA penalty claims for the time period of
21 October 29, 2020 through July 15, 2022. The Release of All Claims By All Aggrieved
22 Employees does not include any employees' individual, non-PAGA claims other than
23 Plaintiff's general release as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
- 24 m) The Court will enter Final Judgment in accordance with this Order.
- 25 n) The Court shall have and retain continuing jurisdiction over this action and the Parties and
26 the State of California as real party in interest for the Aggrieved Employees including after
27 the entry of this Order and the Final Judgment, to the fullest extent necessary to interpret,
28 enforce and effectuate the terms and intent of the PAGA Settlement Agreement and this

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: 12/20/2022



John J. Kralik

Honorable John Kralik

John J. Kralik / Judge

EXHIBIT 1

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF PAGA CLAIM

This Settlement Agreement and Release of the Private Attorneys General Act Claim (“Agreement”) is made by and between plaintiff Gianina Scarpatti (“Plaintiff”), on behalf of herself and acting as a private attorney general on behalf of other Aggrieved Employees (as defined below), and defendant SameSky Health Inc., formerly known as ConsejoSano, Inc. (“Defendant”). The Agreement refers to Plaintiff and Defendant collectively as “Parties,” or individually as “Party.”

1. DEFINITIONS

- 1.1. “Action” means the Plaintiff’s PAGA lawsuit alleging Labor Code violations for PAGA penalties only against Defendant captioned *Gianina Scarpatti v. ConsejoSano, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 22BBCV00003, initiated on January 3, 2022, and pending in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 1.2. “Administrator” means Simpluris, Inc., the neutral entity the Parties have agreed to appoint to administer the Settlement.
- 1.3. “Administration Expenses Payment” means the amount the Administrator will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount to reimburse its reasonable fees and expenses in accordance with the Administrator’s “not to exceed” bid submitted to the Court in connection with approval of this Settlement.
- 1.4. “Aggrieved Employees” means all current and former non-exempt employees employed by Defendant for at least one PAGA Pay Period in California at any time between October 29, 2020 and July 15, 2022, inclusive.
- 1.5. “Aggrieved Employee Data” means Aggrieved Employee identifying information in Defendant’s possession including the Aggrieved Employee’s name, last-known mailing address, Social Security number, and number of PAGA Pay Periods.
- 1.6. “Aggrieved Employee Address Search” means the Administrator’s investigation and search for current Aggrieved Employee mailing addresses using all reasonably available sources, methods and means including, but not limited to, the National Change of Address database, skip traces, and direct contact by the Administrator with Aggrieved Employees.
- 1.7. “Court” means the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 1.8. “Defendant” means named Defendant SameSky Health Inc., formerly known as ConsejoSano, Inc.
- 1.9. “Defense Counsel” means and refers to Fisher & Phillips, LLP.

- 1.10. "Effective Date" means the date by when both of the following have occurred: (a) the Court enters a Judgment on its Order Approving the PAGA Settlement and (b) the Judgment is final. The Judgment is final the day the Court enters Judgment.
- 1.11. "Gross Settlement Amount" means \$75,000.00 which is the total amount Defendant agrees to pay under the Settlement except as provided in Paragraph 9 below. The Gross Settlement Amount will be used to pay the Representative Enhancement Award, Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, PAGA Counsel Fees Payment, PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Administrator's Expenses Payment.
- 1.12. "Individual PAGA Payment" means the Aggrieved Employee's pro rata share of twenty-five percent (25%) of the PAGA Penalties calculated according to the number of Pay Periods the Aggrieved Employee worked during the PAGA Period.
- 1.13. "Judgment" means the judgment entered by the Court based upon the Final Approval.
- 1.14. "LWDA" means the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, the agency entitled under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (i).
- 1.15. "LWDA PAGA Payment" means the seventy-five (75%) of the PAGA Penalties paid to the LWDA under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (i).
- 1.16. "Net Settlement Amount" means the Gross Settlement Amount, less the following payments in the amounts approved by the Court: Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, PAGA Counsel Fees Payment, Representative Enhancement and General Release Award, PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Administration Expenses Payment.
- 1.17. "PAGA Counsel" means Haig B. Kazandjian Lawyers, APC, the attorneys representing the Plaintiff in the Action.
- 1.18. "PAGA Counsel Fees Payment" and "PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment" mean the amounts allocated to PAGA Counsel for reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, respectively, incurred to prosecute the Action.
- 1.19. "PAGA Pay Period" means any Pay Period during which an Aggrieved Employee worked for Defendant for at least one (1) day during the PAGA Period.
- 1.20. "PAGA Period" means the period from October 29, 2020 and July 15, 2022.
- 1.21. "PAGA" means the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698. et seq.).
- 1.22. "PAGA Notice" means Plaintiff's October 29, 2021 letter to Defendant and the LWDA providing notice pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd.(a).

- 1.23. "PAGA Penalties" means the total amount of PAGA civil penalties to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, allocated twenty-five percent (25%) to the Aggrieved Employees (\$9,548.36, gross) and the seventy-five (75%) to LWDA (\$28,645.07, gross) in settlement of PAGA claims.
- 1.24. "Plaintiff" means Gianina Scarpati, the named plaintiff in the Action.
- 1.25. "Approval Order" means the proposed Court Order Granting Approval of PAGA Settlement.
- 1.26. "Released PAGA Claims" means the claims being released by the Plaintiff and PAGA Counsel and as described in Paragraph 5 below.
- 1.27. "Released Parties" means: Defendant and each of its former and present directors, officers, shareholders, owners, members, employees, agents, principals, representatives, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, successors, assigns, subsidiaries, and affiliates.
- 1.28. "Representative Enhancement and General Release Award" means the amount of money for which Plaintiff shall make application to the Court for services performed and risk incurred in bringing the Action, in an amount not to exceed Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$5,000.00), which shall be paid out of the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 1.29. "Settlement" means the disposition of the Action effected by this Agreement and the Judgment.

2. RECITALS

- 2.1. On January 3, 2022, Plaintiff commenced this Action by filing a Complaint alleging a single cause of action against Defendant, alleging violations of the California Labor Code committed against Plaintiff and the other Aggrieved Employees for failure to pay overtime and double time, failure to pay minimum wage, failure to provide rest and meal periods, failure to keep accurate payroll records and provided itemized wage statements, failure to provide employment records, failure to pay reporting time wages, failure to pay split shift wages, failure to pay all wages earned on time, failure to pay all wages earned upon discharge, failure to reimburse necessary, business-related expenses and engaged in other conduct that resulted in violations of the California Labor Code, including but not limited to violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226, 226.7, 432, 510, 512(a), 558(a), 1174(d), 1182.2, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1198.5, 2800, 2802, California Code of Regulations, Title 8, sections 11050(5)(A), 11050(4)(C), as well as violations of the applicable IWC Wage Order. The Complaint is the operative complaint in the Action (the "Operative Complaint."). Defendant denies the allegations in the Operative Complaint, denies any failure to comply with the laws identified in the Operative Complaint and denies any and all liability for the cause of action alleged.

- 2.2. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd.(a), Plaintiff gave timely written notice to Defendant and the LWDA by sending the PAGA Notice.
- 2.3. Defendant denies all of the allegations in the Action, including all PAGA representative claims, and further denies it committed any misconduct, statutory or regulatory violation, wrongdoing, or any other actionable conduct of any kind.
- 2.4. Counsel for Defendant and counsel for Plaintiff negotiated the resolution of this dispute over direct communications that started in mid-July of 2022 and lasted until mid-September of 2022. Prior to the commencement of negotiations, counsel for Defendant turned over a sampling of time records, a sampling of pay records, Defendant's policies, and all time/pay records applicable to Plaintiff. Counsel for Defendant also provided counsel for Plaintiff with demographic data regarding the class of alleged aggrieved employees (including the number of current employees, the number of active employees, and the number of pay periods in the PAGA Period). Counsel for Defendant and counsel for Plaintiff had a number of lengthy telephone calls discussing the merits of the claims and Defendant's defenses thereto. Initial settlement discussions did not lead to settlement, and the Parties thereafter engaged in formal written discovery and document production. After initiating formal discovery, counsel for Defendant and counsel for Plaintiff re-convened settlement negotiations and were able to reach an agreement to settle without the need for a mediator's assistance. Counsel for Defendant and counsel for Plaintiff had not previously worked with one another on any other matter. The settlement reached was arm's length and the product of tough, lengthy settlement negotiations that resulted in a compromise all counsel believes to be fair and reasonable.
- 2.5. The Parties, PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel represent that they are not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or affected by the Settlement.

3. MONETARY TERMS

- 3.1. Subject to the Court's approval of this Settlement pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(1)(2), which counsel and the Parties agree to recommend in good faith to the Court, the Parties agree to the following binding settlement of the Action.
- 3.2. Except as otherwise provided by Paragraph 9 below, Defendant promises to pay \$75,000.00 and no more as the Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant has no obligation to pay the Gross Settlement Amount prior to the deadline stated in Paragraph 6.1 of this Agreement. The Administrator will disburse the entire Gross Settlement Amount without asking or requiring Aggrieved Employees to submit any claim as a condition of payment. None of the Gross Settlement Amount will revert to Defendant.
- 3.3. Payments from the Gross Settlement Amount. The Administrator will make and deduct the following payments from the Gross Settlement Amount, in the amounts specified by the Court in the Final Approval:

- 3.3.1.To PAGA Counsel: A PAGA Counsel Fees Payment of not more than thirty-three and one-third percent (33.33%), which is currently estimated to be twenty-five thousand dollars and zero cents (\$25,000.00), plus PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment of \$2,806.58. Defendant will not oppose requests for Court approval of these payments provided that they do not exceed these amounts. Plaintiff and/or PAGA Counsel will file a joint stipulation for approval of settlement, including for PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and PAGA Litigation Expenses Payment. If the Court approves a PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and/or a PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment less than the amounts requested, the Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement Amount. Released Parties shall have no liability to PAGA Counsel or any other Plaintiff's Counsel arising from any claim to any portion any PAGA Counsel Fee Payment and/or PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment. The Administrator will pay the PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and PAGA Counsel Expenses Payment using one or more IRS 1099 Forms. PAGA Counsel assumes full responsibility and liability for taxes owed on the PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and the PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and holds Defendant harmless, and indemnifies Defendant, from any dispute or controversy regarding any division or sharing of any of these Payments.
- 3.3.2.To the Administrator: An Administrator Expenses Payment not to exceed \$4,000.00 except for a showing of good cause and as approved by the Court. To the extent the Administration Expenses are less, or the Court approves payment less than \$4,000.00, the Administrator will retain the remainder in the Net Settlement Amount.
- 3.3.3.To Plaintiff: The Plaintiff shall receive a Representative Enhancement and General Release Award of up to \$5,000.00 for services as the PAGA representative and for a General Release of all her claims against Defendant. The Representative Enhancement and General Release Award will be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund and shall not constitute payment to any other Aggrieved Employees members other than Plaintiff. To the extent that the Court approves less than the amount of Representative Enhancement and General Release Award than the amount requested herein, the difference between the requested and awarded amounts will be reallocated to the Net Settlement Amount, and Plaintiff shall not have the right to revoke this Settlement, and it will remain binding.
- 3.3.4.To the LWDA and Aggrieved Employees: PAGA Penalties in the amount of \$38,193.42 to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, with seventy-five percent (75%) (\$28,645.07) allocated to the LWDA PAGA Payment and twenty-five percent (25%) (\$9,548.36) allocated to the Individual PAGA Payments.
- 3.3.4.1. The Administrator will calculate each Individual PAGA Payment by (a) dividing the amount of the Aggrieved Employees' twenty-five percent (25%) share of PAGA Penalties (\$9,548.36) by the total number of PAGA Period Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the result by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Period Pay Periods. Aggrieved Employees assume full responsibility and liability for any taxes owed on their Individual PAGA Payment.

- 3.3.4.2. If the Court approves PAGA Penalties of less than the amount requested, the Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement Amount. Each Individual PAGA Payment shall be treated as non-wage income and the Administrator will report the Individual PAGA Payments on IRS 1099 Forms.

4. SETTLEMENT FUNDING AND PAYMENTS

- 4.1. Aggrieved Employee Pay Periods. Based on a review of its records to date, Defendant estimates there are eighty-three (81) Aggrieved Employees who worked a total 2,036 PAGA Pay Periods.
- 4.2. Aggrieved Employee Data. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Defendant will simultaneously deliver the Aggrieved Employee Data to the Administrator in the form of a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. To protect Aggrieved Employee' privacy rights, the Administrator must maintain the Aggrieved Employee Data in confidence, use the Aggrieved Employee Data only for purposes of this Settlement and for no other purpose, and restrict access to the Aggrieved Employee Data to Administrator employees who need access to the Aggrieved Employee Data to effect and perform under this Agreement. Defendant has a continuing duty to immediately notify PAGA Counsel if it discovers that the Aggrieved Employee Data omitted employee identifying information and to provide corrected or updated Aggrieved Employee Data as soon as reasonably feasible. Without any extension of the deadline by which Defendant must send the Aggrieved Employee Data to the Administrator, the Parties and their counsel will expeditiously use best efforts, in good faith, to reconstruct or otherwise resolve any issues related to missing or omitted Aggrieved Employee Data.
- 4.3. Funding of Gross Settlement Amount. Within ten (10) business days after the Effective Date, the Administrator shall send Defendant's Counsel electronic wiring instructions to fund the Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount by transmitting the funds to the Administrator no later than twenty (20) business days after the Effective Date.
- 4.4. Payments from the Gross Settlement Amount. Within ten (10) business days after Defendant funds the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will mail checks for all Individual PAGA Payments, the Representative Enhancement Award, the LWDA PAGA Payment, the Administration Expenses Payment, the PAGA Counsel Expenses Payment. Disbursement of the PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment shall not precede disbursement of Individual PAGA Payments.
- 4.4.1. The Administrator will issue checks for the Individual PAGA Payments and send them to the Aggrieved Employees via First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid. The face of each check shall prominently state the date (not less than 180 days after the date of mailing) when the check will be voided. The Administrator will cancel all checks not cashed by the void date. Before mailing any checks, the Settlement Administrator must update the recipients' mailing addresses using the National Change of Address Database.

4.4.2. The Administrator must conduct an Aggrieved Employee Address Search for all Aggrieved Employees whose checks are returned undelivered without USPS forwarding address. Within seven (7) days of receiving a returned check the Administrator must re-mail checks to the USPS forwarding address provided or to an address ascertained through the Aggrieved Employee Address Search. The Administrator need not take further steps to deliver checks to Aggrieved Employees whose re-mailed checks are returned as undelivered. The Administrator shall promptly send a replacement check to any Aggrieved Employee whose original check was lost or misplaced, requested by the Aggrieved Employee prior to the void date.

4.4.3. For any Aggrieved Employee whose Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Aggrieved Employee.

4.4.4. The payment of Individual PAGA Payments shall not obligate Defendant to confer any additional benefits or make any additional payments to the Aggrieved Employees (such as 401(k) contributions or bonuses) beyond those specified in this Agreement.

5. **RELEASES OF CLAIMS.** Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross Settlement Amount Plaintiff and PAGA Counsel will release claims against all Released Parties as follows:

5.1. **Plaintiff's Release.** Plaintiff and her respective former and present spouses, representatives, agents, beneficiaries, devisees, legatees, executors, attorneys (including PAGA Counsel), heirs, trustees, conservators, guardians, administrators, successors, and assigns generally, release and discharge Released Parties from all claims, transactions, or occurrences, including, but not limited to all claims that were, or reasonably could have been, alleged, based on the facts contained in the Operative Complaint and the PAGA Notice ("Plaintiff's Release"). Plaintiff acknowledges that Plaintiff may discover facts or law different from, or in addition to, the facts or law that Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true but agrees, nonetheless, that Plaintiff's Release shall be and remain effective in all respects, notwithstanding such different or additional facts or Plaintiff's discovery of them.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Plaintiff also expressly releases all claims or rights against Released Parties arising out of or relating to alleged violations of any contracts, express or implied (including but not limited to any contract of employment); any contract or covenant of good faith and fair dealing (express or implied); any tort, including any claim for improper or unauthorized wage deductions, failure to pay the applicable wage, unpaid wages, unpaid vacation benefits, penalties, liquidated damages, other damages, overtime, and alleged "off the clock" work under federal and state law, including California Labor Code Sections 204 and 558, waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Section 203, damages or penalties pursuant to California Labor Code Section 226, meal period and rest break payments pursuant to California Labor Code Sections 226.7 and 512, failure to provide itemized wage

statements pursuant to California Labor Code Section 226, statutory or civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code Sections 210, unfair competition and unfair business practices pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17200, *et seq.*, interest and costs pursuant to California Civil Code Section 3287 and California Labor Code Section 218.6, statutory or common law rights to attorneys' fees and costs, including those pursuant to California Labor Code Section 1194, *et seq.*; claims under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Labor Code section 2699, *et seq.*, and the alleged violation or breach of any other state or federal statute, rule and or regulation; including all applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, and all similar causes of action, including but not limited to, any claim for restitution, equitable relief, interest, penalties, costs or attorneys' fees in connection with any of the foregoing, negligent infliction of emotional distress, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and defamation; any "wrongful discharge," "constructive discharge," and "retaliation" claims; any claims relating to any breach of public policy; any legal restrictions on Defendants' right to discharge employees; and any federal, state, or other governmental statute, regulation, or ordinance, including, without limitation: (1) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (race, color, religion, sex, and national origin discrimination or harassment, including retaliation for reporting discrimination or harassment); (2) 42 U.S.C. § 1981 (discrimination); (3) Equal Pay Act, 29 U.S.C. § 209(d) (1) (equal pay); (4) Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12100, *et seq.* (disability discrimination); (5) Family and Medical Leave Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2601, *et seq.* (family/medical leave); (6) California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Government Code § 12900, *et seq.* (discrimination or harassment in employment and/or housing, including discrimination or harassment based on race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, disability, marital status, sex (including pregnancy), or age, including retaliation for reporting discrimination or harassment); (7) California Family Rights Act, Cal. Government Code § 12945.1, *et seq.* (family/medical leave); (8) California Labor Code, including Section 1720, *et seq.*, or any Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order; (9) Executive Order 11246 (race, color, religion, sex, and national origin discrimination or harassment); (10) Executive Order 11141 (age discrimination); (11) Sections 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (handicap discrimination); (12) the Fair Labor Standards Act; and (13) Employee Retirement Income Security Act, 29 U.S.C. § 1000, *et seq.* (employee benefits).

5.1.1. Plaintiff's Waiver of Rights Under California Civil Code Section 1542. For purposes of Plaintiff's Release, Plaintiff expressly waives and relinquishes the provisions, rights, and benefits, if any, of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 1542, and for the purpose of implementing a full and complete release and discharge of all parties, Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel expressly acknowledge this Settlement Agreement is intended to include in its effect, without limitation, all claims that Plaintiff knows of, as well as all claims that they do not know or suspect to, exist in Plaintiff's favor against Defendant, or any of them, for the time period from the beginning of time to the date of the Final Order and Judgment, and that this Settlement Agreement contemplates the extinguishment of any such claims.

5.2. Release by Aggrieved Employees: In accordance with *Arias v. Superior Court* (2009) 46 Cal.4th 969, a judgment in "a representative action brought by an aggrieved employee under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ... is binding not only on the named employee plaintiff but also on government agencies and any aggrieved employee not a party to the proceeding," which the Judgment shall so reflect. Therefore, all Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint, and the PAGA Notice. The Judgment shall not bind nor release the Aggrieved Employees of any individual wage claims (or other individual claims).

5.3. Release by PAGA Counsel: PAGA Counsel release on behalf of their present and former attorneys, employees, agents, successors and assigns the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA Fees incurred in connection with the Operative Complaint and the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint and the PAGA Notice.

6. **MOTION OR APPLICATION OF FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT**. The Parties agree to jointly prepare and file a joint stipulation for approval of this Settlement.

6.1. Plaintiff's Responsibilities. Plaintiff will prepare and deliver to Defense Counsel all documents necessary for obtaining approval of this Settlement under Labor Code Section 2699, subd. (f)(2) including: (i) a draft proposed Order Granting Approval of PAGA Settlement, advocating the PAGA Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and Defendant shall not oppose such a motion provided Plaintiff's Counsel sends a draft of the motion for approval to Defendant's Counsel allowing sufficient time for review and comment; (ii) a signed declaration from the Administrator attaching its "not to exceed" bid for administering the Settlement and attesting to its willingness to serve; competency; operative procedures for protecting the security of Aggrieved Employee Data; amounts of insurance coverage for any data breach, defalcation of funds or other misfeasance; all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflicts of interest with Aggrieved Employees or the LWDA; and the nature and extent of any financial relationship with Plaintiff, PAGA Counsel or Defense Counsel; (iii) a signed declaration from PAGA Counsel firm attesting to its timely transmission to the LWDA of all necessary PAGA documents (initial notice of violations (Labor Code section 2699.3, subd. (a)), Operative Complaint (Labor Code section 2699, subd. (l)(1)), this Agreement (Labor Code section 2699, subd.

(l)(2)); and (iv) all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflict of interest with Aggrieved Employees and/or the Administrator. In their Declarations, Plaintiff and PAGA shall aver that they are not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or adversely affected by the Settlement.

- 6.2. Responsibilities of PAGA Counsel. PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel are jointly responsible for expeditiously finalizing and filing the joint stipulation for approval of this Settlement no later than thirty (30) days after the full execution of this Agreement and, if necessary, obtaining a prompt hearing date for an approval hearing and appearing in Court to advocate in favor of the motion. PAGA Counsel is responsible for delivering the Court's Approval to the Administrator.
- 6.3. Duty to Cooperate. If the Parties disagree on any aspect of the proposed application or motion for approval of this Settlement and/or the supporting declarations and documents, PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously work together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to resolve the disagreement. If the Court does not approve this Settlement or conditions its approval on any material change to this Agreement, PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously work together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to modify the Agreement and otherwise satisfy the Court's concerns.

7. SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION

- 7.1. Selection of Administrator. The Parties have jointly selected Simpluris, Inc. to serve as the Administrator and verified that, as a condition of appointment, Simpluris, Inc. agrees to be bound by this Agreement and to perform, as a fiduciary, all duties specified in this Agreement in exchange for payment of Administration Expenses. The Parties and their Counsel represent that they have no interest or relationship, financial or otherwise, with the Administrator other than a professional relationship arising out of prior experiences administering settlements.
- 7.2. Employer Identification Number. The Administrator shall have and use its own Employer Identification Number for purposes of calculating payroll tax withholdings and providing reports state and federal tax authorities.
- 7.3. Qualified Settlement Fund. The Administrator shall establish a settlement fund that meets the requirements of a Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") under US Treasury Regulation section 468B-1.
- 7.4. Administrator Duties. The Administrator has a duty to perform or observe all tasks to be performed or observed by the Administrator contained in this Agreement or otherwise.

8. AGGRIEVED EMPLOYEE SIZE ESTIMATES AND ESCALATOR CLAUSE

8.1. Based on its records, Defendant estimates that, as of the date of this Settlement Agreement, (1) there are eighty-one (81) Aggrieved Employees who worked 2,036 Pay Periods during the PAGA Period. In the event the actual number of Aggrieved Employee Pay Periods is more than ten-percent higher than this estimated amount (*i.e.*, more than 2,239), Defendant agrees to increase the Gross Settlement Amount by a percentage that corresponds to the percentage of Pay Periods in excess of 2,036 (*e.g.*, should the amount of pay periods be 14-percent greater than 2,036, Defendant agrees to increase the Gross Settlement Amount by 14-percent).

9. CONTINUING JURISDICTION OF THE COURT. The Parties agree that, after entry of Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over the Parties, Action, and the Settlement solely for purposes of (i) enforcing this Agreement and/or Judgment, (ii) addressing settlement administration matters, and (iii) addressing such post-Judgment matters as are permitted by law.

9.1. Waiver of Right to Appeal. Provided the Judgment is consistent with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, specifically including the PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, the Parties, their respective counsel waive all rights to appeal from the Judgment, including all rights to post-judgment and appellate proceedings, the right to file motions to vacate judgment, motions for new trial, extraordinary writs, and appeals. The waiver of appeal does not include any waiver of the right to oppose such motions, writs or appeals. If another party appeals the Judgment, the Parties' obligations to perform under this Agreement will be suspended until such time as the appeal is finally resolved and the Judgment becomes final, except as to matters that do not affect the amount of the Net Settlement Amount.

10. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

10.1. Voiding the Agreement: The Settlement Agreement shall be voidable and unenforceable as to all Parties herein at the option of either Party if (a) the Court should for any reason fail to approve this Settlement in the form agreed to by the Parties, or (b) the Court should for any reason fail to enter a judgment, or (c) the approval of the Settlement and judgment is reversed, modified or declared or rendered void. In that event, then neither the Settlement nor any of the related negotiations or proceedings shall be of any force or effect, and all parties to the Settlement shall stand in the same position, without prejudice, as if the Settlement had been neither entered into nor filed with the Court. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties may attempt in good faith to cure any perceived defects in the Settlement to facilitate approval.

10.2. No Admission of Liability or Representative Manageability for Other Purposes. This Agreement represents a compromise and settlement of highly disputed claims. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or should be construed as an admission by Defendant that any of the allegations in the Operative Complaint have merit or that Defendant has any liability for any claims asserted; nor should it be intended or construed as an admission by Plaintiff that Defendant's defenses in the Action have merit. The Parties agree that

representative treatment is for purposes of this Settlement only. If, for any reason the Court does not approve this Settlement, Defendant reserves all available defenses to the claims in the Action, and Plaintiff reserves the right to contest Defendant's defenses. The Settlement, this Agreement and Parties' willingness to settle the Action will have no bearing on, and will not be admissible in connection with, any litigation (except for proceedings to enforce or effectuate the Settlement and this Agreement). This Settlement Agreement is a settlement document and shall, pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1152 and/or Federal Rule of Evidence 408, be inadmissible in evidence in any proceeding, except an action or proceeding to approve the Settlement, and/or interpret or enforce this Settlement Agreement.

- 10.3. Integrated Agreement. Upon execution by all Parties and their counsel, this Agreement together with its attached exhibits shall constitute the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the Settlement, superseding any and all oral representations, warranties, covenants, or inducements made to or by any Party.
- 10.4. Attorney Authorization. PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel separately warrant and represent that they are authorized by Plaintiff and Defendant, respectively, to take all appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by such Parties pursuant to this Agreement to effectuate its terms, and to execute any other documents reasonably required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement including any amendments to this Agreement.
- 10.5. Cooperation. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their best efforts, in good faith, to implement the Settlement by, among other things, modifying the Settlement Agreement, submitting supplemental evidence and supplementing points and authorities as requested by the Court. In the event the Parties are unable to agree upon the form or content of any document necessary to implement the Settlement, or on any modification of the Agreement that may become necessary to implement the Settlement, the Parties will seek the assistance of a mediator and/or the Court for resolution.
- 10.6. No Prior Assignments. The Parties separately represent and warrant that they have not directly or indirectly assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber to any person or entity and portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action, or right released and discharged by the Party in this Settlement.
- 10.7. No Tax Advice. Neither Plaintiff, PAGA Counsel, Defendant nor Defense Counsel are providing any advice regarding taxes or taxability, nor shall anything in this Settlement be relied upon as such within the meaning of United States Treasury Department Circular 230 (31 CFR Part 10, as amended) or otherwise.
- 10.8. Modification of Agreement. This Agreement, and all parts of it, may be amended, modified, changed, or waived only by an express written instrument signed by all Parties or their representatives, and approved by the Court.

- 10.9. Agreement Binding on Successors. This Agreement will be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors of each of the Parties.
- 10.10. Enforcement Actions: This Agreement is binding and enforceable under California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6. Except as otherwise provided in this Settlement Agreement, in the event that one or more of the Parties to this Settlement Agreement institutes any action or proceeding in this case under California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 or other proceeding against any other Party or Parties to enforce the provisions of this Settlement Agreement or to declare rights and/or obligations under this Settlement Agreement, the successful Party or Parties shall be entitled to recover from the unsuccessful Party or Parties reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with any enforcement actions.
- 10.11. Applicable Law. All terms and conditions of this Agreement and its exhibits will be governed by and interpreted according to the internal laws of the State of California, without regard to conflict of law principles.
- 10.12. Cooperation in Drafting. The Parties have cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Agreement. This Agreement will not be construed against any Party on the basis that the Party was the drafter or participated in the drafting.
- 10.13. Confidentiality. To the extent permitted by law, all agreements made, and orders entered during Action and in this Agreement relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive the execution of this Agreement.
- 10.14. Use and Return of Aggrieved Employee Data. Information provided to PAGA Counsel pursuant to Cal. Evid. Code §1152, and all copies and summaries of the PAGA Data provided to PAGA Counsel by Defendant in connection with the mediation, other settlement negotiations, or in connection with the Settlement, may be used only with respect to this Settlement, and no other purpose, and may not be used in any way that violates any existing contractual agreement, statute, or rule of court. Not later than 90 days after the Administrator discharges its obligation to pay out of all Settlement funds, Plaintiff shall destroy all paper and electronic versions of Aggrieved Employee Data received from Defendant unless, prior to the Administrator's payment of all Settlement Funds, Defendant makes a written request to PAGA Counsel for the return, rather than the destructions, of Aggrieved Employee Data.
- 10.15. Headings. The descriptive heading of any section or paragraph of this Agreement is inserted for convenience of reference only and does not constitute a part of this Agreement.
- 10.16. Calendar Days. Unless otherwise noted, all reference to "days" in this Agreement shall be to calendar days. In the event any date or deadline set forth in this Agreement falls on a weekend or federal legal holiday, such date or deadline shall be on the first business day thereafter.

10.17. Waiver: The waiver by one Party of any breach of this Agreement by another Party shall not be deemed a waiver of any other prior or subsequent breach of this Agreement.

10.18. Notice. All notices, demands or other communications between the Parties in connection with this Agreement will be in writing and deemed to have been duly given as of the third business day after mailing by United States mail, or the day sent by email or messenger, addressed as follows:

To Plaintiff:

Haig B. Kazandjian
Haig B. Kazandjian Lawyers, APC
801 North Brand Blvd., Suite 970
Glendale, CA 91203

To Defendant:

Philip J. Azzara
Fisher & Phillips, LLP
2050 Main St., Suite 1000
Irvine, CA 92614

10.19. Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts by facsimile, electronically (i.e., DocuSign), or email which for purposes of this Agreement shall be accepted as an original. All executed counterparts and each of them will be deemed to be one and the same instrument if counsel for the Parties will exchange between themselves signed counterparts. Any executed counterpart will be admissible in evidence to prove the existence and contents of this Agreement.

10.20. Stay of Litigation. The Parties agree that upon the execution of this Agreement the litigation shall be stayed, except to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The Parties further agree that upon the signing of this Agreement that pursuant to CCP section 583.330 to extend the date to bring a case to trial under CCP section 583.310 for the entire period of this settlement process.

[SIGNATURES ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

///

///

///


///

///

Dated: 11 / 28 / 2022

By: 
Gianina Scarpati

Dated: 12/13/2022

By:  D7A087993EF54F6...
SameSky Health Inc, former known as
ConsejoSano, Inc.
By: Young Lee
Its: SVP Finance and Administration

Approved as to Form:

Dated: 11/28/2022

HAIG B. KAZANDJIAN LAWYERS, APC

By: 
Haig B. Kazandjian, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff and Aggrieved
Employees

Dated: 12/13/2022

FISHER & PHILLIPS, LLP

By: 
Philip Azzara, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

EXHIBIT 2

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

*This is NOT a solicitation.
This is NOT a lawsuit against you and you are NOT being sued.*

NOTICE OF SETTLED REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

If you were employed by CONSEJOSANO, INC., currently known as SAME SKY HEALTH INC. (collectively “Defendant”), as an hourly, non-exempt employee in California at any time during the time period from October 29, 2020, and July 15, 2022, then you are receiving money from a representative action settlement.

PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY!

I. What is the Purpose of this Notice?

The purpose of this notice is to let you know that there was a representative action lawsuit that settled in the Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles (the “Court”), and you are considered to be an Aggrieved Employee for purposes of this settlement.

The lawsuit was filed by a former employee of CONSEJOSANO, INC., in the Court.

On , 2022, a Judge of the Court approved the Settlement of the Lawsuit entitled GIANINA SCARPATI, individually and on behalf of all other Aggrieved Employees, vs. CONSEJOSANO, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, in the Superior Court of the State of California for The County of Los Angeles, Case No. 22BBCV00003.

II. What is the Position of Defendants on Settlement?

Defendant views this settlement as a compromise, to which it has agreed in order to avoid the uncertainty of litigation.

Defendant is not admitting to any allegations in the case, and in fact denies that any of their practices at issue in this lawsuit were or are unlawful.

III. Why Did I Get this Notice?

You received this notice because Defendant’s records identify you as an Aggrieved Employee.

Specifically, an “Aggrieved Employee” is defined as follows: all current and former non-exempt employees employed by Defendant for at least one pay period in California at any time between October 29, 2020 and July 15, 2022, inclusive.

IV. Who Are the Parties in this Representative Action?

This lawsuit was filed against Defendant CONSEJOSANO, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1 through 50. Plaintiff, a former employee, brought the action on behalf of herself and all Aggrieved Employees, as that term is defined above.

V. What is the Settlement?

Defendants have agreed to pay a Settlement Sum of \$75,000.00.

This sum includes the following expenses and costs:

- (1) Fees for claims administration, which are estimated to be \$4,000.00,
- (2) The Labor Workforce Development Agency Payment in the estimated amount of \$28,645.07,
- (3) An enhancement and general release payment of \$5,000.00 to Plaintiff Gianina Scarpati,
- (4) Proportional payments to the Aggrieved Employees totaling \$9,548.36 and
- (5) Plaintiff's attorneys' fees in the amount \$25,000.00 (i.e., 33.33% of the Settlement Sum) and actual litigation costs of \$2,806.58.

Each of these expenses and fee amounts were approved by the Court on [REDACTED], 2022 ("Court-approved expenses").

After the deduction of the Court-approved expenses from the Settlement Sum, the remaining sum ("Employee Net Settlement Amount") will be available to pay Aggrieved Employees. Each Aggrieved Employee is receiving a proportionate share that is based on the total number of pay periods the individual Aggrieved Employee worked for Defendants during the time period from October 29, 2020 through July 15, 2022.

The amount paid to each Aggrieved Employee will be allocated 100% to penalties and will be reported on an IRS Form 1099. The Parties agree that all Aggrieved Employees who receive payment pursuant to this settlement will be responsible for any and all tax obligations associated with such receipt.

You will be responsible for correctly characterizing this compensation for tax purposes and paying any taxes that may be due on the payment you receive. Neither Counsel for Plaintiff, Counsel for Defendants, nor the Settlement Administrator may legally provide you with advice regarding the tax implications of the receipt of this settlement payment.

VI. What is the Effect of this Settlement on My Rights?

Each Aggrieved Employee is releasing Released Claims (defined below) against Defendant CONSEJOSANO, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and all related parties.

The "Released Claims" are all claims against Defendant CONSEJOSANO, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and its present and former parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, related or affiliated companies, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers, successors and assigns, and any individual or entity which could be jointly liable with Defendant ("Releasees") from any and all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the facts stated in the Operative Complaint, and the PAGA Notice, for the time period of October 29, 2020 through July 15, 2022.

VII. Why Does the Labor Workforce Development Agency Receive Any Money?

PAGA allows private citizens to act on behalf of the State of California and recover civil penalties for violations of California's Labor Code. Seventy-five percent (75%) of any such recovery *must* be given to the State by law.

Without PAGA, Plaintiff would not have been able to bring this action on behalf of the Aggrieved Employees. In the present case, the Settlement provides that \$28,645.07 be paid to the State as its share of the penalties recovered in this case.

VIII. How Much Did it Cost to Administer the Settlement?

It cost approximately \$4,000.00, for the Settlement Administrator to fully administer the settlement.

IX. Who is the Settlement Administrator?

The Settlement Administrator is an independent third party selected by Plaintiff and the Defendants to send this notice, process and issue settlement checks, and otherwise administer the settlement. The Court has approved Simpluris, Inc. to be the Settlement Administrator in this case. You may contact the Settlement Administrator to provide updated contact information, make corrections regarding your employment information at the Company, or ask questions regarding the processing of settlement awards. You may contact the Settlement Administrator at:

Simpluris, Inc.

P.O. Box 26170

Santa Ana, CA 92799

888-369-3780, option 2

casesupport@simpluris.com

X. Who Can I Contact if I Have Any Questions?

You can contact the Settlement Administrator for this representative action settlement at Simpluris, Inc. Ask about the *Gianina Scarpati v. ConsejoSano, Inc. et al.* case.

IMPORTANT: PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT REGARDING THIS SETTLEMENT OR THE CLAIM PROCESS.

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 801 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 970, Glendale, California 91203.

On December 14, 2022, I served the foregoing document(s) described as:
[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING REPRESENTATIVE PAGA SETTLEMENT
by method indicated below, on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

☐ **BY PERSONAL DELIVERY:** I caused a copy of the document to be delivered personally to the individual(s) named in the attached service list.

☐ **BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY:** I enclosed the above-listed document(s) in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed it to the referenced in attached service list. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an area regularly utilized by said delivery carrier.

☐ **BY U.S. MAIL:** I enclosed said document(s) in a sealed envelope or package to each addressee. I placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our firm's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, the correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service, with postage fully prepaid, on the same day in the ordinary course of business.

☒ **BY ELECTRONIC MAIL:** I transmitted the foregoing document(s) by electronic mail from **melisaf@hbklawyers.com** to the electronic mail addresses indicated on the attached mailing list. The transmission was reported as complete and without error.

☐ **BY FAX TRANSMISSION:** I transmitted the foregoing document(s) by facsimile transmission from 818-696-2307 to the facsimile numbers indicated on the attached mailing list. The transmission was reported as complete and without error on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine and, pursuant to Rule 2.306(h)(4), a copy of which is attached to the original of this proof of service.

☒ (STATE): I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on December 14, 2022 at Glendale, California.


Melisa Flores

SERVICE LIST

Philip J. Azzara, Esq. pazzara@fisherphillips.com
J. Russell Blakey, Esq. - jrblakey@fisherphillips.com
FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP
2050 Main Street, Suite 1000
Irvine, California 92614
T: (949) 851-2424
F: (949) 851-0152
Attorneys for Defendant

HAIG B. KAZANDJIAN LAWYERS, APC
801 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 970
Glendale, CA 91203
Tel: (818)696-2306 Fax: (818)696-2307