

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

SEP 15 2026

CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: *Edmund J. Lee*
Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT 304

JACKSON CHOU, aka JACKSON	)	Case No. CGC-22-597414
CHOM, on behalf of himself and on behalf	)	
of all persons similarly situated, and on	)	
behalf of himself, the Labor Workforce	)	AMENDED JUDGMENT
Development Agency, and similarly	)	
situated aggrieved current and former	)	
employees,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
THE DING DOCTOR, INC., doing	)	
business as DANNY'S DEALER	)	
SOLUTIONS and DANNY'S DETAIL;	)	
DANIEL MICHAEL CHAVES; GARY	)	
MICHAEL CHAVES; and DOES 1	)	
through 20 inclusive,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

AMENDED JUDGMENT

Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of this Court's Order Granting Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and Representative Action Settlement ("Final Approval Order"). For the purposes of this Judgment, the Court hereby incorporates the Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action Claims and Release of Claims attached as Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of G. Martin Velez filed June 20, 2025 ("Settlement Agreement") by reference.

1. The Class is defined as: all persons within the State of California who are or were employed by Defendant as non-exempt employees, or exempt employees working as location managers, regional managers, area managers, and on-site supervisors at any time in the period beginning October 25, 2020 to January 31, 2025.

2. No Class Member objected to or requested exclusion from the Settlement Agreement.

3. The Gross Settlement Amount is \$650,000.00, plus employer-side payroll taxes. In its Final Approval Order, the Court authorized the following distributions from the Gross Settlement Amount: (1) \$30,000.00 Private Attorneys General Act allocation to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"); (2) a Class Representative Service Award of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Jackson Chou; (3) \$22,500.00 to Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions ("Phoenix") for settlement administration costs; (4) \$216,645.00 in attorneys' fees to Class Counsel; and (5) \$473.10 in litigation costs to Class Counsel.

4. The Settlement Administrator, Phoenix, was directed to calculate the Class Members' Individual Settlement Payments from the Net Settlement Amount and issue payments in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

5. Settlement checks that remained uncashed 180 or more calendar days after issuance were cancelled. As of July 24, 2026, 1,030 settlement checks, totaling \$97,711.30, remained uncashed. (Islas Decl. filed Jul. 24, 2026 ¶ 7.) By order entered July 31, 2026, the Court directed Phoenix to: (1) run the addresses of the 1,030 uncashed checks through the National Change of Address ("NCOA") database; (2) re-mail checks to those Class Members for whom an updated address was located; and (3) mail and email, where email addresses are available, a reminder

1 postcard or notice to those Class Members for whom an updated address was not located. Following
2 this further outreach to Class Members, 989 checks, totaling \$90,521.27, remain uncashed. (Isias
3 Decl. filed Sept. 14, 2026 ¶ 10.) Additionally, Phoenix incurred \$5,136.15 in costs associated with
4 the further outreach to Settlement Class Members. (*Id.* ¶ 14 & Ex. A.) The Court hereby approves
5 payment of \$5,136.15 to Phoenix from the residual funds. Phoenix shall tender the remaining
6 residual funds, totaling \$85,385.12, to the State Controller Unclaimed Property Fund, in accordance
7 with the Settlement Agreement.

8 6. Plaintiff, Jackson Chou, and the Class Members shall take from the Action the relief
9 set forth in the Final Approval Order, pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.


10 7. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(h),
11 the Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Parties, including all Class Members
12 and the LWDA, to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Final Approval Order, and
13 this Judgment.

14 8. This document shall constitute a judgment for the purposes of California Rules of
15 Court, rule 3.769(h).

16 9. Class Counsel shall submit a copy of this Judgment to the LWDA within 10 days of
17 entry pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(s)(3).

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 Dated: September 15, 2026


Ethan P. Schulman
Judge of the Superior Court

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
(CCP 1010.6, and CRC 2.251)

I, Edward Santos, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On September 15, 2026, I electronically served:

AMENDED JUDGMENT

via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.

Date: *SEP 15 2026*

Brandon E. Riley, Court Executive Officer

By: *Ed Santos*

Edward Santos, Deputy Clerk