

1 **BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK**  
2 **DE BLOUW LLP**

3 Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)

4 Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)

5 Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)

6 2255 Calle Clara

7 La Jolla, CA 92037

8 Telephone: (858)551-1223

9 Facsimile: (858) 551-1232

10 Email: [Kyle@bamlawca.com](mailto:Kyle@bamlawca.com)

11 Website: [www.bamlawca.com](http://www.bamlawca.com)

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO**

HAMZA KISSAM and ASIA CRAWFORD,  
individuals, on behalf of themselves and on  
behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HAWTHORNE HYDROPONICS LLC, a  
Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1  
through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

**Case No. CIVSB2203720**

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT**

Hearing Date: November 4, 2025

Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

Judge: Hon. Joseph T. Ortiz

Dept: 17

Date Filed: March 3, 2022

Trial Date: Not set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2       YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT on November 4, 2025, the Court entered the  
3 Judgment in the above entitled action. A true and correct copy of the Judgment is attached hereto  
4 as Exhibit #1.

5       Respectfully submitted,

6  
7 Dated: November 5, 2025

**BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK  
DE BLOUW LLP**

8       By: */s/ Kyle Nordrehaug*  
9             Kyle R. Nordrehaug  
10            Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

3 I, Kyle Nordrehaug, am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am  
4 over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2255 Calle Clara, La  
5 Jolla, California, 92037.

6 On November 5, 2025, I served the document(s) described as:

7 **1. Notice of Entry of Judgment**


8 X (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE): I provided the documents referenced above electronically  
9 via electronic mail (email) on the above date to counsel for Defendant at the following  
10 e-mail address(es):

11 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
12 Jennifer B. Zargarof  
13 Samson C. Huang  
14 300 South Grand Avenue, Twenty-Second Floor  
15 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132  
16 Email: [Jennifer.zargarof@morganlewis.com](mailto:Jennifer.zargarof@morganlewis.com); [Samson.huang@morganlewis.com](mailto:Samson.huang@morganlewis.com);  
17 [Kristina.wilcox@morganlewis.com](mailto:Kristina.wilcox@morganlewis.com)

18 Attorneys for Defendant

19 X (ONLINE TO THE LWDA): I caused the above-described document to be delivered to the  
20 Labor Workforce Development Agency via online process at the PAGA Filing website in  
21 accordance with the procedure imposed by the LWDA.

22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is  
23 true and correct. Executed on November 5, 2025, at La Jolla, California.

24   
25 Kyle Nordrehaug

**EXHIBIT #1**

11/4

ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED - 10/9/2025 4:53 PM - By: Mariah Mora, DEPUTY

**BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK  
DE BLOUW LLP**

Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)  
Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)  
Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)  
2255 Calle Clara  
La Jolla, CA 92037  
Telephone: (858)551-1223  
Facsimile: (858) 551-1232  
Website: [www.bamlawca.com](http://www.bamlawca.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**FILED**  
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO  
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

NOV - 4 2025

BY Jessica Garcez  
JESSICA GARCEZ, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

HAMZA KISSAM and ASIA CRAWFORD,  
individuals, on behalf of themselves and on  
behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

HAWTHORNE HYDROPONICS LLC, a  
Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1  
through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

**CASE NO.: CIVSB2203720**

**[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL  
ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

Hearing Date: November 4, 2025  
Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

Judge: Hon. Joseph T. Ortiz  
Dept: 17

Date Filed: March 3, 2022  
Trial Date: Not set

1 The unopposed motion of Plaintiffs Hamza Kissam and Asia Crawford (“Plaintiffs”) for an  
2 order finally approving the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”) with  
3 Defendant Hawthorne Hydroponics, LLC (“Defendant”), attorneys’ fees and costs, service  
4 payments, and the expenses of the Administrator duly came on for hearing on November 4, 2025  
5 before the Honorable Josphe Ortiz.

6 **I.**

7 **FINDINGS**

8 Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the  
9 motion, the Court makes the following findings:

- 10 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 11 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation pending before  
12 the Superior Court for the State of California, in and for the County of San Bernardino, and over all  
13 Parties to this litigation, including the Class.
- 14 3. Based on a review of the papers submitted by Plaintiffs and a review of the  
15 applicable law, the Court finds that the Gross Settlement Amount of One Million Four Hundred  
16 Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$1,480,000) and the terms set forth in the Agreement are fair, reasonable,  
17 and adequate.
- 18 4. The Court further finds that the Settlement was the result of arm’s length negotiations  
19 conducted after Class Counsel had adequately investigated the claims and became familiar with the  
20 strengths and weaknesses of those claims. In particular, the amount of the Settlement, and the  
21 assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process, among other factors, support the  
22 Court’s conclusion that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

23 **Preliminary Approval of the Settlement**

- 24 5. On June 17, 2025, the Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement. At the  
25 same time, the Court approved conditional certification of the Class for settlement purposes only.

1           **Notice to the Class**

2           6.       In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Court-approved Class  
3 Notice was mailed by first class mail to members of the Class at their last-known addresses on or  
4 about July 28, 2025. The mailing of the Class Notice to their last-known addresses was the best  
5 notice practicable under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual  
6 notice of the litigation and the proposed settlement to the Class. The Class Notice given to the Class  
7 Members fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed  
8 Settlement and of their opportunity to object to or comment thereon or to seek exclusion from the  
9 Settlement; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the  
10 laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law.  
11 The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided Class Members  
12 adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

13           7.       The Response Deadline for opting out or submitting written objections to the  
14 Settlement was September 26, 2025, which for re-mailings was extended by fourteen (14) days.  
15 There was an adequate interval between notice and the deadline to permit Class Members to choose  
16 what to do and to act on their decision. A full and fair opportunity has been afforded to the Class  
17 Members to participate in this hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard  
18 have had a full and fair opportunity to be heard. Class Members also have had a full and fair  
19 opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and Class. Accordingly, the Court  
20 determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly submit a request for exclusion  
21 are bound by the Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

22           **Fairness of the Settlement**

23           8.       The Agreement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.* 48  
24 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1794, 1801 (1996).

25               a.       The settlement was reached through arm's-length bargaining between the  
26 Parties during an all-day mediation before Jonathan Andrews, a respected and experienced mediator  
27  
28

1 of wage and hour class actions. There has been no collusion between the Parties in reaching the  
2 Settlement.

3 b. Plaintiffs' and their counsel's investigation and discovery have been  
4 sufficient to allow the Court and counsel to act intelligently.

5 c. Counsel for all Parties are experienced in similar employment class action  
6 litigation. Plaintiffs' counsel recommended approval of the Agreement.

7 d. The percentage of objectors and requests for exclusion is small. No  
8 objections were received. No requests for exclusion were received.

9 e. The participation rate was high. 717 Participating Class Members will be  
10 mailed a settlement payment, representing 100% of the overall Class.

11 9. The consideration to be given to the Class Members under the terms of the  
12 Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate considering the strengths and weaknesses of the claims  
13 asserted in this action and is fair, reasonable, and adequate compensation for the release of Class  
14 Members' claims, given the uncertainties and significant risks of the litigation and the delays which  
15 would ensue from continued prosecution of the action.

16 10. The Agreement is approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable and in the best interests  
17 of the Class Members.

18 **Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment**

19 11. An award of \$493,333 for attorneys' fees, representing one-third of the Gross  
20 Settlement Amount, and \$75,874.04 for litigation costs and expenses, is reasonable, in light of the  
21 contingent nature of Class Counsel's fee, the hours worked by Class Counsel, and the results  
22 achieved by Class Counsel. The requested awards have been supported by Class Counsel's lodestar  
23 and billing statement.

24 **Class Representative Service Payments**

25 12. The Agreement provides for Class Representative Service Payments of not more than  
26 \$15,000 each to Plaintiffs, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds that a Class  
27 Representative Service Payment in the amount of \$15,000 to each Plaintiff is reasonable in light of  
28

1 the risks and burdens undertaken by Plaintiffs in this litigation, for their time and effort in bringing  
2 and prosecuting this matter on behalf of the Class, and for their execution of a general release.

3 **Administration Expenses Payment**

4 13. The Administrator shall calculate and administer the payment to be made to the Class  
5 Members, transmit payment for attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, transmit the Class  
6 Representative Service Payments to Plaintiffs, issue all required tax reporting forms, calculate  
7 withholdings and perform the other remaining duties set forth in the Agreement. The Administrator  
8 has documented \$12,450 in fees and expenses, and this amount is reasonable considering the work  
9 performed by the Administrator.

10 **PAGA Penalties**

11 14. The Agreement provides for PAGA Penalties of \$50,000 out of the Gross  
12 Settlement Amount, \$37,500 of which shall be allocated to the Labor & Workforce Development  
13 Agency ("LWDA") as the LWDA's 75% share of the settlement of civil penalties paid under this  
14 Agreement pursuant to the PAGA and \$12,500 to be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees. The  
15 Administrator will calculate each Individual PAGA Payment by (a) dividing the amount of the  
16 Aggrieved Employees' 25% share of PAGA Penalties (\$12,500) by the total number of PAGA Pay  
17 Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the result  
18 by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Pay Periods. "Aggrieved Employees" are all hourly non-  
19 exempt employees who worked for Hawthorne Hydroponics, LLC in the State of California at any  
20 time during the PAGA Period (November 19, 2020, through December 12, 2024). Pursuant to  
21 Labor Code section 2699, subdivision (s)(2), the LWDA was provided notice of the Agreement and  
22 these settlement terms and has not indicated any objection thereto. The Court finds the PAGA  
23 Penalties to be reasonable.

24 **II.**

25 **ORDERS**

26 Based on the foregoing findings, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:  
27  
28

1           15.     The Class is certified for the purposes of settlement only. The Class is defined as  
2 follows:

3           All individuals who are, or previously were, employed by Defendant Hawthorne  
4 Hydroponics, LLC and classified as hourly non-exempt employees in the State of  
California at any time during the Class Period.

5 The "Class Period" is from March 3, 2018, through December 12, 2024.

6           16.     All persons who meet the foregoing definition are members of the Class, except for  
7 those individuals who filed a valid request for exclusion ("opt out") from the Class. There are no  
8 individuals who requested exclusion from the Class.

9           17.     The Agreement is hereby finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the  
10 best interest of the Class. Defendant shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount, and also fund  
11 the amounts necessary to fully pay Defendant's share of payroll taxes in accordance with the  
12 Agreement, by transmitting the funds to the Administrator no later than 60 days after the Effective  
13 Date.

14           18.     Class Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$493,333 and costs in the  
15 amount of \$75,874.04. Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or  
16 reimbursement from Defendant, Plaintiffs or members of the Class.

17           19.     The payment of Class Representative Service Payments in the amount of \$15,000 to  
18 each Plaintiff is approved.

19           20.     The payment of \$12,450 to the Administrator for its fees and expenses is approved.

20           21.     The PAGA Penalties of \$50,000 is approved and is to be distributed in accordance  
21 with the Agreement.

22           22.     Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, subdivision (s), Class Counsel shall submit a  
23 copy of this Final Approval Order and Judgment to the LWDA within 10 days after its entry.

24           23.     Neither the Agreement nor this Settlement is an admission by Defendant, nor is this  
25 Final Approval Order and Judgment a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any  
26 wrongdoing by Defendant or that this Action is appropriate for class or representative treatment  
27 (other than for settlement purposes). Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the  
28

1 Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement is,  
2 may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault,  
3 wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any  
4 negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be  
5 evidence of, an admission, or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant.  
6 Notwithstanding these restrictions, Defendant may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this  
7 Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the  
8 Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel,  
9 release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Class  
10 Claims and/or Released PAGA Claims.

11 24. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to all  
12 Parties by Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiffs and all Class Members. The Final Approval Order  
13 and Judgment shall be posted on Class Counsel's website as set forth in the Class Notice to the  
14 Class. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment to  
15 individual Class Members.

16 25. If the Agreement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of  
17 the Agreement, then this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all orders entered in connection  
18 herewith, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their  
19 respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement, and expressly reserve their respective  
20 rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action, including all available defenses and  
21 affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the Action could not be certified as a class  
22 action and/or managed as a representative action.

23 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECREED THAT:**

24 26. Except as set forth in the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment,  
25 Plaintiffs, and all members of the Class, shall take nothing in the Action.

26 27. All Parties shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs, except as otherwise  
27 provided in the Agreement and in this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

1           28.     Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross Settlement  
2 Amount and funds all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class  
3 Payments, Plaintiffs, Participating Class Members, Aggrieved Employees and the LWDA will  
4 release claims against all Released Parties as follows:

5                   (a)     All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective  
6 former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns,  
7 release Released Parties from the Released Class Claims. The “Released Class Claims” are all  
8 claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the facts stated in the  
9 Operative Complaint which occurred during the Class Period during employment in a non-exempt  
10 position in California, and expressly excluding all other claims including claims for vested benefits,  
11 wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance,  
12 disability, social security, workers’ compensation, and California class claims outside of the Class  
13 Period.

14                   (b)     All Aggrieved Employees and the LWDA are deemed to release, on behalf of  
15 themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs,  
16 administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims. The  
17 “Released PAGA Claims” are all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could  
18 have been alleged, based on the facts stated in the Operative Complaint and Plaintiff Kissam’s  
19 LWDA PAGA Notice which occurred during the PAGA Period during employment in a non-  
20 exempt position in California, and expressly excluding all other claims including claims for vested  
21 benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment  
22 insurance, disability, social security, workers’ compensation, and PAGA claims outside of the  
23 PAGA Period.

24                   (c)     Plaintiffs and their respective former and present spouses, representatives,  
25 agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns generally, release and discharge  
26 Released Parties from all claims, transactions, or occurrences that occurred during the Class Period,  
27 as set forth fully in the Agreement.

1 (d) As used herein, "Released Parties" means: Defendant and its subsidiaries,  
2 parent companies, or affiliates, and each of its former and present directors, officers, shareholders,  
3 owners, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, successors, assigns and subsidiaries.

4 29. For any Class Member or Aggrieved Employee whose Individual Class Payment  
5 check or Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the  
6 Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's  
7 Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Class Member thereby leaving no "unpaid residue"  
8 subject to the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 384, subd. (b).

9 30. The Court hereby enters judgment in the entire Action as of the filing date of this  
10 Order and Judgment, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement. Without affecting the finality  
11 of this Order and Judgment in any way, the Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the  
12 interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement and all orders entered in  
13 connection therewith pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

14 **LET JUDGMENT BE FORTHWITH ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15  
16 Dated: Nov. 4, 2025

17   
18 HON. JOSEPH T. ORTIZ  
19 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28