ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of Alameda
10/12/2026 at 04:07:03 PM
By: Shabra Iyamu,
Deputy Clerk

Charles T. Sweeny (SBN 325167)
charlie@sweenylaw.com
SWEENY LAW, PC
8605 Santa Monica Blvd. #618463
Los Angeles, CA 90069
Telephone: (310) 658-2112

Yasmin Hosseini (SBN 326399)
LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 900
Glendale, California 91203
Telephone: (818) 265-1020
Facsimile: (818) 265-1021

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

AMANAT WAGE AND HOUR CASES

Underlying Cases:

Quezada v Amanat, et al., Superior Court of California for the County of Alameda, Case No. 22CV005346
Department 17
Honorable Sarah Sandford-Smith

Meza v Central Coast Restaurants, Inc., et al., Superior Court of California for the County of Santa Clara, Case No. 22CV402523
Department 19
Honorable Theodore C. Zayner

Tizapantzi v. Amanat, Inc., Superior Court of California for the County of Stanislaus, Case No. CV-22-004544
Department 21
Honorable John R. Mayne

Case No.: JCCP5323
Underlying Case No. 22CV005346

Honorable Somnath Raj Chatterjee
Department 21

DECLARATION OF YASMIN HOSSEINI IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT (CAL. LABOR CODE § 2698, *ET SEQ.*) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Reservation ID:	**854544916173**
Date:	November 17, 2026
Time:	2:30 p.m.
Department:	21
Complaint Filed:	January 13, 2022
Trial Date:	None Set

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 900
Glendale. California 91203

DECLARATION OF YASMIN HOSSEINI

I, Yasmin Hosseini**,** hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California. I am a member of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, attorneys of record for Plaintiff Kylee Quezada ("Plaintiff") in the action entitled *Kylee Quezada v. Amanat, Inc. et al.,* Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. 22CV005346. The facts set forth in this declaration are within my personal knowledge or based on information and belief and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.

LITIGATION AND REPRESENTATIVE AND CLASS ACTION EXPERIENCE

2. For over a decade, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has almost exclusively focused on the prosecution of consumer and employment class actions, involving wage-and-hour claims, race discrimination, unfair business practices, or consumer fraud. Currently, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC is the attorney of record in well over a dozen employment-related putative class actions in both state and federal courts in the State of California. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC is comprised of attorneys who focus on litigating complex wage-and-hour class and Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") representative actions. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has successfully litigated cases involving the executive, administrative, and other overtime exemptions to the State of California and federal overtime compensation requirements. During a relatively short time, in association with other law firms, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has recovered millions of dollars on behalf of thousands of individuals in California.

3. Edwin Aiwazian is the Chief Executive Officer of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Pepperdine University in April of 1999 and earned a Juris Doctor degree from Pepperdine University School of Law in May of 2004. He has extensive formal training in dispute resolution and negotiation from the Straus Institute for Dispute Resolution as part of its Masters in Dispute Resolution degree program. In addition, he has previously served as a pro bono mediator for the Los Angeles County Superior Court. In October of 2000, he obtained a Litigation Paralegal Certificate from the UCLA Extension Program. During the summer of 2000, he studied Legal Writing at Harvard University. From

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 900
Glendale. California 91203

approximately September 2002 to approximately December 2002, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Kim McLane Wardlaw of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. From approximately June 2002 to approximately August 2002, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Earl Johnson, Jr. of the California Court of Appeal for the Second Appellate District. In December of 2004, he obtained a license to practice law from the California State Bar. Since in or around October of 2008, through his work at Lawyers for Justice, PC, he has almost exclusively focused on the prosecution of consumer and employment class actions, involving wage-and-hour claims, race discrimination, unfair business practices, or consumer fraud. While employed by Lawyers for Justice PC, he has argued over one hundred (100) motions, taken or defended over one hundred fifty (150) depositions, prepared dozens of expert witnesses for deposition or trial, and attended over one hundred seventy-five (175) mediations. Together with other attorneys at the firm, and in many cases in conjunction with co-counsel, he has successfully litigated cases involving the executive, administrative, and other overtime exemptions to the State of California and federal overtime compensation requirements. Under his supervision, Lawyers for Justice, PC has successfully obtained class certification by contested motion practice in approximately sixteen (16) cases in the last decade, and litigated over 1,000 class action or representative action cases.

4. Arby Aiwazian is a Senior Partner of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from University of California, Los Angeles and graduated magna cum laude. He earned a Juris Doctor degree, cum laude, from Southwestern Law School. From approximately May 2007 to approximately July 2007, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Earl Johnson, Jr. of the California Court of Appeal for the Second Appellate District. From approximately August 2008 to approximately December 2008, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Kim McLane Wardlaw of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. He was admitted to practice law in California in 2010. He is admitted to practice before all courts of the State of California and all United States District Courts in the State of California. He has worked on many wage-and-hour class action and representative action cases, taking the lead in taking and defending depositions, arguing motions, and attending mediations.

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 900
Glendale. California 91203

He has played an integral role in preparing matters for class certification, including and not limited to, successful certification of a class in *Upson v. Sur La Table* (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC424012), *Montazemi v. Regus Management* (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC478769), and *Abdulhaqq v. Urban Outfitters* (Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG13680477). Under his supervision, dozens of class action or representative action cases have resulted in settlements that have been granted court approval. He has handled over one hundred and fifty (150) mediations and has worked on over two hundred and fifty (250) class action or representative action cases which have resulted in settlement.

5. Joanna Ghosh is a Senior Partner of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. She received a Bachelor of Arts degree from California State University, Los Angeles in 2006, a Master of Science degree from the London School of Economics in 2007, and a Juris Doctor degree from Georgetown University Law Center in 2010. She is admitted to practice in California (since 2010), in New York (since 2013), and in Washington (since 2026), and she is also admitted to practice in all U.S. District Courts in California, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington, and the U.S. Supreme Court. She has taken and defended dozens of depositions and successfully handled motion practice in class action cases regarding discovery (including and not limited to, regarding class contact information), class certification (both contested class certification and stipulated class certification), arbitration agreements, coordination, and intervention. She has successfully handled briefing and oral arguments on appeal and obtained notable decisions regarding Private Attorneys General Act claims and employer efforts to compel arbitration of such claims, e.g., *Roberto Betancourt v. Prudential Overall Supply* (Cal. Ct. App., Mar. 7, 2017) 9 Cal.App.5th 439, cert. denied (Cal., May 24, 2017), cert. denied (U.S., Dec. 11, 2017) and *ZB, N.A. v. Superior Court* (2019) 8 Cal.5th 175. She has significant experience with class actions, including and not limited to working on cases to obtain class certification through contested motion practice and working on cases in the post-certification stage (e.g., post-certification discovery, appeal, statistical sampling and pilot study, and trial preparation in conjunction with

co-counsel in a case involving a certified class consisting of approximately 2,600 individuals). She has extensive experience with class action and/or representative action settlements, and has handled this process in over five hundred (500) cases. Under her, Edwin Aiwazian, and Arby Aiwazian's supervision, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has handled the class certification and court approval process for hundreds of class action and/or representative action matters that have successfully resolved. Joanna Ghosh is a member of the California Employment Lawyers Association and, on several occasions, has been a speaker regarding topics in wage and hour law at the organization's continuing legal education events.

6. I am a Senior Attorney of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. I received my Bachelor of Arts Degree from the University of California, Santa Barbara in 2013 and earned my Juris Doctor Degree from Pepperdine University School of Law in 2017. I was admitted to practice law in California in 2019. I am admitted to practice before all courts of the State of California and all federal district courts in the State of California. I have worked on many wage and hour class action and PAGA representative matters, and my work has included, *inter alia*, researching and drafting pleadings, administrative notice exhaustion, drafting, negotiating, and finalizing stipulations and settlement agreements, engaging in motion practice, claims evaluation and analysis, and making court appearances. Prior to working at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, I represented filmmakers and talent, negotiating and structuring various types of agreements on their behalf. I am a member of the California Employment Lawyers Association, Beverly Hills Bar Association, and Iranian American Bar Association.

WORK PERFORMED BY LAWYERS *FOR* JUSTICE, PC

7. Several attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC have been actively engaged in this litigation from the inception of the above-captioned action, which was filed on January 13, 2022. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has fully and actively participated in the litigation process throughout the pendency of the litigation. Before initiating the above-captioned litigation, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC conducted extensive litigation and research into the facts and circumstances underlying the pertinent factual and legal issues and applicable law. This required thorough discussions and interviews between attorneys at our firm and Plaintiff, and research into the

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 900
Glendale. California 91203

various legal issues involved in the case, namely, the current state of the law as it applied to wage-and-hour enforcement, PAGA, manageability, Plaintiff's allegations, potential defenses, Defendants Akkam, Inc., Amanat, Inc., Anand, Inc., AV Inc., Cal Valley Jack, Inc., Central Coast Restaurants, Inc., Golden State Café, Inc., Golden State Jacks, Inc., North Bay Jack, Inc., Nor-Cal Venture Group, Inc., Parivar, Inc., Resham, Inc., Reshiv, Inc., South Bay Jack, Inc., Shiv Legacy, LLC, Turlock Jack, Inc., Vanmel, Inc., Vansh, Inc., Varris Management, Inc., Yadav, Inc., YP 486, LLC, and YP Jacks LLC's (collectively, "Defendants") business, and their operations and employment policies, practices, and procedures.

8. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC joined forces with counsel Sweeny Law, PC (together, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC and Sweeny Law, PC are referred to as "Plaintiff's Counsel") to pursue the case. The work of the firms has been a coordinated and combined effort. Ultimately, the efforts of Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel have resulted in a settlement recovery.

9. After engaging in extensive investigations, formal and informal discovery, motion practice, and exchange of information, data, and documents, the Parties participated in extensive settlement discussions and negotiations to try to resolve the above-captioned lawsuit. These efforts included participating in a formal mediation on February 29, 2023, conducted by the Honorable Richard L. Gilbert, a well-respected mediator experienced in mediating complex labor and employment matters. The Parties did not settle at mediation and continued to litigate extensively. In 2025 and 2026, the Parties re-engaged in post-mediation discussions and ultimately reached the Settlement described herein. In the course of mediation and settlement discussions, the parties discussed all aspects of the case, including the risks and delays of further litigation and proceeding with trial, as well as the law relating to representative PAGA actions, various off-the-clock theories, regular rate theory, meal and rest periods, business expense reimbursement, waiting time penalties, and other wage-and-hour enforcement issues, the evidence produced and analyzed, and the possibility of bifurcation of discovery and/or trial and appeals, among other things, Plaintiff's claims, and Defendants' defenses, as well as facts discovered. During all settlement discussions, the parties conducted their negotiations at arm's length in an adversarial position.

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 900
Glendale. California 91203

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 900
Glendale. California 91203

10. Prior to reaching the Settlement, the parties investigated the veracity, strength, and scope of the PAGA claim and allegations, and were preparing for trial. Plaintiff conducted significant investigation and formal and informal discovery and exchange of information, documents, and data regarding the facts of the case, including and not limited to, the review, consideration, and analysis of a volume of information, documents, and data obtained from Plaintiff, Defendants, and other sources. Plaintiff's Counsel propounded multiple sets of formal written discovery requests onto Defendants (specifically, Requests for Production of Documents (Sets One and Two), Special Interrogatories (Sets One, Two, and Three), and Form Interrogatories – General (Set One), and reviewed Defendants' objections and responses thereto. Additionally, Plaintiff's Counsel noticed the depositions of Defendants' Person Most Knowledgeable designees regarding organizational structure and wage and hour practices, along with requests for production of documents. The information, documents, and data that were reviewed and analyzed by Plaintiff's Counsel included, and were not limited to: Plaintiff's employment records, Aggrieved Employees' time and payroll data, company policies, internal memoranda, company policy acknowledgements, Defendants' operations and employment practices, Defendants' forms, procedures, and policies, among other information and documents. Counsel for the Parties undertook significant investigation and evaluation of the PAGA claim and related allegations, including, and not limited to, calculating the potential monetary recovery under PAGA. Other work performed by Plaintiff's Counsel included, but was not limited to: meeting and conferring with Defendants' counsel regarding the pleadings, case management, discovery, mediation, and settlement negotiations, among other issues; case strategy and analysis; researching, drafting, reviewing, and revising pleadings, court filings, motion-related papers (e.g., Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration, Plaintiff's Petition for Coordination, and settlement approval motion papers), briefs for settlement negotiations and mediation, and the settlement agreement; propounding written discovery requests and notices of depositions of Defendants' persons most knowledgeable designees; claims evaluation and analysis; preparing for and participating in settlement negotiations and the mediation; and appearing for court proceedings, among other things. Counsel for the Parties have further

invested time to research the applicable law, which is evolving as it relates to representative PAGA actions, various off-the-clock theories, regular rate theory, meal and rest periods, business expense reimbursement, waiting time penalties, and other wage-and-hour enforcement issues, the evidence produced and analyzed, and the possibility of bifurcation of discovery and/or trial and appeals, among other things, Plaintiff's claims, and Defendants' defenses, as well as facts discovered.

ATTORNEYS' FEES REQUESTED BY PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL

11. As set forth more fully in the accompanying Motion, Plaintiff's Counsel seek attorneys' fees in the amount of $1,610,000.00, which represents approximately thirty-five percent (35%) of the Total Settlement Amount. Pursuant to the contingency-fee agreement entered into by and between Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Plaintiff has agreed to a contingency-fee of at least thirty-five percent (35%) of the recovery. The attorneys' fees sought are commensurate with: (1) the risk that Plaintiff's Counsel took in commencing the case; (2) the time, effort, and expense that Plaintiff's Counsel dedicated to the case; (3) the skill and determination that Plaintiff's Counsel has shown; (4) the results that Plaintiff's Counsel has achieved throughout the litigation; (5) value of the settlement that Plaintiff's Counsel has achieved in the case; and (6) the other cases that Plaintiff's Counsel has turned down in order to devote its time and efforts to this case.

12. While not necessarily required to be demonstrated because a percentage fee is proper for this settlement, it should be noted that Plaintiff's Counsel have performed many hours of work in connection with prosecuting this matter such that the requested attorneys' fees award is also justified under a lodestar analysis. Attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC have spent a total of **310.10 hours** performing tasks to obtain a recovery on behalf of Plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees. Attached hereto as "**EXHIBIT A**" is an Attorney Task and Time Chart that sets forth in detail the nature of the legal services provided by attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC and the time incurred in performing those services. These hours include work done by several attorneys at the firm. Also, separate from the hours referenced herein and in the chart, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC had litigation support personnel actively

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 900
Glendale. California 91203

engaged in assisting with the prosecution of the case.

13. The work performed in this particular case, the background of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, as well as the individual backgrounds, training, and experience of the attorneys who worked on this matter, in litigating complex wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative actions, support a reasonable blended hourly rate of compensation of at least $850 for work performed by Plaintiff's Counsel. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has been awarded attorneys' fees, compensating the firm at the rate of at least $850 per hour for legal services performed, by courts granting approval of wage and hour class action and/or representative action settlements in other cases: final approval of the class and representative action settlement in *David Dugan v. TEC Equipment, Inc., et al.* (Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV01591) was granted on July 8, 2021, and the award of attorneys' fees involved an hourly rate of $936.47; final approval of the class and representative action settlement in *Larry Greenwood, et al. v. Scan Health Plan* (Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC715157) was granted on April 20, 2021, and the award of attorneys' fees involved an hourly rate of $919.57; and final approval of the class and representative action settlement in *Seth Swan v. Pace Supply Corp.* (Sonoma County Superior Court Case No. SCV258764) was granted on February 6, 2019, and the award of attorneys' fees involved an hourly rate of $855.96.

LITIGATION COSTS AND EXPENSES INCURRED BY PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL

14. The Settlement provides for reimbursement of all reasonably incurred litigation costs and expenses. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC seeks reimbursement of $19,279.52 for litigation costs and expenses incurred in this case, as reflected in the Case Cost Detail attached hereto as "**EXHIBIT B**." It should be noted that Plaintiff's Counsel have borne all of the risks and costs of litigation to date and will not receive any compensation until a recovery is obtained in this matter. These costs and expenses were reasonable and necessary in the prosecution of this matter and to obtain the Settlement.

GENERAL RELEASE FEE TO PLAINTIFF

15. For her broader individual waiver and release of claims with a California Civil Code Section 1542 waiver (set forth in Section 8(b) of the Settlement Agreement), the

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 900
Glendale. California 91203

Settlement provides a General Release Fee to Plaintiff in the total amount of $10,000.00 to be paid out of the Total Settlement Amount, subject to approval by the Court. The requested General Release Fee is fair and appropriate. It is also worth noting that Plaintiff has undertaken significant efforts and work to spearhead the pursuit of this matter. By initiating and pursuing this matter, Plaintiff stepped into the shoes of the State of California and undertook the responsibilities of serving in a representative capacity. Plaintiff has spent a substantial amount of time and effort discussing her employment with her counsel, gathering and producing relevant documents and information, and providing the facts and evidence necessary to attempt to prove the allegations. Plaintiff was available whenever her counsel needed her and actively tried to obtain and provide information that would facilitate the pursuit of the PAGA claim. Accordingly, it is appropriate and just for Plaintiff to receive a General Release Fee, in addition to any individual penalties payment she will receive as an aggrieved employee.

16. Plaintiff's Counsel submits that the Settlement fulfills the purpose of the PAGA statute, is within the accepted range of recoveries for this type of litigation, and is fair, reasonable, and adequate given the substantial monetary benefits provided for by the Settlement, the strengths and weaknesses of this case, and the inherent delay, costs, risks, and uncertainty associated with continued litigation.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 9th day of October, 2026, at Glendale, California.



Yasmin Hosseini

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
450 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 900
Glendale. California 91203

EXHIBIT A

KYLEE QUEZADA V. AMANAT, INC., ET AL.
Alameda County Superior Court Case No. 22CV005346 ("Alameda Action")

AMANAT WAGE AND HOUR CASES
Judicial Council of California Coordination Proceeding No. 5253/Alameda County Superior Court Case No. JCCP5323 ("Coordinated Action")

ATTORNEY TASK AND TIME CHART

TASK	LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC
Investigation and Research / Due Diligence	
Meet, Communicate, and/or Review Communication with Plaintiff Kylee Quezada ("Plaintiff Quezada")	16.50
Investigate, Communicate with, Interview, and/or Review Communication with Aggrieved Employees and/or Percipient Witnesses	14.50
Review Court Filings in *Meza v. Central Coast Restaurants, Inc., et al.,* Superior Court of California for the County of Santa Clara, Case No. 22CV402523	1.50
Review Court Filings in *Tizapantzi v. Amanat, Inc.,* Superior Court of California for the County of Stanislaus, Case No. CV-22-004544	1.50
Pleadings and Court Filings	
Investigate the Merits of Plaintiff Quezada's Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") Claim, Conduct Legal Research and Analysis Regarding the PAGA Claim, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada's Complaint for Enforcement Under the Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code § 2698, Et Seq. (filed on January 13, 2022) (Alameda Action)	7.50
Review Court's Notice of Case Assignment (filed on January 13, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Review Court's Notice of Case Management Conference (filed on January 18, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.20

Review Court's Notice of Case Rescheduling or Relocation (filed on February 22, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada's Case Management Statement (filed on April 28, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.80
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Case Management Conference (filed on May 13, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Ruling (filed on May 26, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada's Case Management Statement (filed on June 16, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.80
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Case Management Conference (filed on July 1, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada's Case Management Statement (filed on July 19, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.70
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Case Management Conference (filed on July 29, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review and Analyze Defendants Amanat, Inc., Anand, Inc., Akkam, Inc., Central Coast Restaurants, Inc., Nor-Cal Venture Group, Inc., AV Inc., Resham, Inc., Golden State Café, Inc., Parivar, Inc., Vanil Inc., Reshiv, Inc., Vanmel, Inc., Varris Management, Inc., Vanish, Inc., Yadav Enterprises, Inc., Yadav. Inc., and Anil Yadav's (collectively, "Defendants") Answer to Plaintiff Quezada's Unverified Complaint, and Research Affirmative Defenses in Defendants' Answer (served on October 6, 2022) (Alameda Action)	1.30
Review Defendants' Case Management Statement (served on October 6, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Case Management Conference (filed on October 7, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review Defendants' Case Management Statement (served on October 20, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.30

Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Parties' Case Management Conference; [Proposed] Order Thereon (submitted on October 24, 2022) (Alameda Action)	1.40
Review Court's Order Granting Parties' Joint Stipulation to Case Management Conference (filed on October 25, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Court's Notice of Case Rescheduling or Relocation (filed on October 25, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Case Management Conference (issued on November 4, 2022) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Defendants' Substitutions of Attorney (served on July 17, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Review Defendants' Notice of Change of Address for Akerman's Los Angeles Office (served on March 15, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Submission of Petition for Coordination and Application for Immediate Stay (filed on March 25, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Order Assigning Coordination Motion Judge (filed on May 14, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Defendants' Case Management Statement (served on May 16, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Review Court's Notice of Case Reassignment (First) and Notice of Case Reassignment (Second) (filed on May 22, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.50
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Case Management Conference; [Proposed] Order Thereon (submitted on May 24, 2024) (Alameda Action)	1.30
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Case Management Conference for 05/31/2024 (issued on May 29, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order Granting Joint Stipulation to Continue Case Management Conference (filed on May 29, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20

Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order Re: Joint Stipulation to Continue Case Management Conference (filed on May 30, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Case Reassignment (filed on May 31, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Case Reassignment (filed on July 3, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Case Management Conference; [Proposed] Order Thereon (submitted on July 22, 2024) (Alameda Action)	1.30
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Case Management Conference (issued on July 24, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Case Management Conference (filed on July 26, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Court's Notice of Case Reassignment (filed on August 8, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Association of Counsel (filed on September 3, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Association of Counsel (filed on September 4, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Court's Notice of Case Management Conference and Notice of Hearing (filed on September 19, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Review Court's Order Assigning Coordination Motion Judge (filed on September 23, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.30
Review Court's Notice of Hearing (filed on September 25, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order Re: Case Management Order (filed on September 30, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Order Assigning Coordination Motion Judge (filed on October 2, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10

Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada's Case Management Statement (filed on October 11, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Defendants' Case Management Statement and Notice of Remote Appearance (served on October 11, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Court's Order Regarding Remote Appearance (filed on October 14, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Initial Case Management Conference (filed on October 28, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel and Other Plaintiffs' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Global Case Management Conference Statement (filed on November 5, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Case Management Conference Statement (filed on November 5, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Case Management Conference (issued on November 7, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Initial Case Management Conference (filed on November 12, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Review Court's Notice of Case Rescheduling or Relocation (filed on December 12, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order Reassigning Coordinated Proceeding to Judge Raj Chatterjee (filed on December 24, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Initial Case Management Conference (filed on January 10, 2025) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Ruling Re: Petition for Coordination (filed on January 13, 2025) (Alameda Action)	0.10

Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Change of Address or Other Contact Information (filed on February 19, 2025) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Case Management Conference (issued on March 11, 2025) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Case Management Conference (filed on March 14, 2025) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Court's Notice of Case Reassignment (filed on March 21, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Order Coordinating Cases (filed on May 6, 2025) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel and Other Plaintiffs' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Global Case Management Conference Statement (filed on May 8, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Review Court's Order Re: Case Management Order (filed on May 28, 2025) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff's Case Management Statement (filed on July 18, 2025) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Defendants' Substitution of Attorney (served on July 18, 2025)	0.10
Review Defendants' Case Management Statement (served on July 23, 2025)	0.20
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Case Management Conference (issued on August 4, 2025)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Case Management Conference (filed on August 4, 2025)	0.10
Review Court's Notice of Case Reassignment (filed on August 11, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Defendants' Case Management Statement (served on August 12, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Other Plaintiffs' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada and Plaintiff Claudia Meza ("Plaintiff Meza") Case Management Conference Statement (filed on August 12, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.20

Review Defendants' Substitution of Attorney (served on September 2, 2025) (Alameda)	0.10
Review Defendants' Substitution of Attorney (served on September 2, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Setting Case Management Conference (filed on October 14, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Case Management Conference (filed on December 1, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Minute Order and Order Re: Trial Setting Order (April 7, 2026)	0.40
Appearances	
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Case Management Conference (May 13, 2022)	1.30
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Case Management Conference (July 1, 2022)	1.10
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Case Management Conference (July 29, 2022)	1.10
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Case Management Conference (October 7, 2022)	0.50
Discovery and Deposition	
Review and Analyze Documents Produced by Defendants in Response to the Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff Kylee Quezada (served on November 16, 2021)	1.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada's Notice of Deposition of Anil Yadav (noticed for February 9, 2023) (served on January 19, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.80
Review and Analyze Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Anil Yadav (served on February 2, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.50
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada's Form Interrogatories – General (Set One), Special Interrogatories (Set One), and Special Interrogatories (Set Two) to Defendant Amanat, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Anand, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Akkam, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Central Coast	14.50

Restaurants, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Nor-Cal Venture Group, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant AV Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Resham, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Golden State Café, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Parivar, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Vanil, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Reshiv, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Vanmel, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Varris Management, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Vansh, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Yadav Enterprises, Inc.; Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Yadav, Inc.; and Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set One) to Defendant Anil Yadav (served on March 14, 2024) (Alameda Action)	
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada's Notice of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant Amanat, Inc., and Requests for Production of Documents (Organizational Structure; April 15, 2024) and Notice of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant Amanat, Inc., and Requests for Production of Documents (Wage and Hour Practices; April 16, 2024) (served on March 14, 2024) (Alameda Action)	2.60
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada's Special Interrogatories (Set Three) and Requests for Production of Documents (Set Two) to Defendant Amanat, Inc. and Notice of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant Amanat, Inc., and Requests for Production of Documents (Wage and Hour Practices; April 17, 2024) (served on March 19, 2024) (Alameda Action)	4.50
Review and Analyze Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant Amanat, Inc., and Requests for Production of Documents (April 15, 16, and 17, 2024) (served on April 10, 2024) (Alameda Action)	1.40
Review and Analyze Defendants' Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories (Set One) (served on April 16, 2024) (Alameda Action)	2.30

Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Quezada and Plaintiff Meza's Notice of Deposition of Anil Yadav (noticed for July 22, 2025), Notice of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant Amanat, Inc., and Requests for Production of Documents (Organizational Structure; July 18, 2025), and Notice of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant Central Coast Restaurants, Inc., and Requests for Production of Documents (Organizational Structure; July 21, 2025) (served on June 30, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.60
Letters and Correspondence	
Research, Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice to California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Re: Claim of Plaintiff Kylee Quezada Under the Private Attorneys General Act (served on November 9, 2021)	4.50
Meet with, Draft Correspondence to, Respond to Correspondence from, and/or Review Correspondence with, Defendants' Counsel	18.50
Mediation/Settlement	
Review and Analyze Information, Data, and Documents Obtained from Plaintiff, Defendants, and Other Sources Prior to Mediation (originally scheduled for January 25, 2023, rescheduled for September 5, 2023, rescheduled for October 11, 2023, rescheduled for November 20, 2023, and rescheduled for February 29, 2024)	78.50
Prepare for Mediation, Including Researching Settlement and Merits-Related Issues, Drafting the Mediation Brief and Compiling Exhibits in Support Thereof, and Performing, Reviewing, and/or Revising Penalties Analyses and Calculations (submitted on February 22, 2024)	37.50
Review and Analyze Defendants' Mediation Brief and Exhibits (served on February 28, 2024)	4.10
Attend Mediation Session (February 29, 2024; via Zoom Video Conference)	21.50
Draft, Review, Revise, and/or Analyze Memorandum of Understanding (executed on May 18, 2026)	0.80
Draft, Review, Revise, Negotiate, and Finalize Private Attorneys General Act Settlement Agreement and Release (executed on July 20, 2026), and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Cover Letter	1.10

Law and Motion	
Review and Analyze Defendants' Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Arbitration, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, Declaration of Emily A. Murphy, Declaration of Anamarie Montes, and [Proposed] Order Thereon (served on January 27, 2023) (Alameda Action)	2.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines and Case Management Conference; [Proposed] Order Thereon (submitted on February 8, 2023) (Alameda Action)	1.60
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Hearing on Motion to Compel Arbitration (issued on February 10, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Review Court's Order to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff Quezada's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff Quezada's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines and Case Management Conference (filed on February 10, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Review Notice of Entry of Order Re: Joint Stipulation to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines and Case Management Conference (served on February 17, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines; [Proposed] Order Thereon (submitted on April 5, 2023) (Alameda Action)	1.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration of Plaintiff's Individual PAGA Claim and Dismiss Plaintiff's Representative PAGA Claim, Declaration of Calvin Hannagan in Support Thereof, and Declaration of Plaintiff Kylee Quezada in Support Thereof (filed on April 14, 2023) (Alameda Action)	18.50
Review Court's Order to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines (filed on April 20, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.30

Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Hearing on Motion to Compel Arbitration (issued on April 20, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines; [Proposed] Order Thereon (submitted on June 6, 2023) (Alameda Action)	1.10
Review Court's Order to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines (filed on June 12, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff Quezada's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff Quezada's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines; [Proposed] Order Thereon (submitted on October 11, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.90
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Hearing on Motion to Compel Arbitration (issued on October 13, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Review Court's Order to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff Quezada's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff Quezada's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines; [Proposed] Order Thereon (filed on October 25, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.30
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff Quezada's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff Quezada's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines; [Proposed] Order Thereon (submitted on December 13, 2023) (Alameda Action)	0.90
Review Court's Order Granting Parties' Joint Stipulation to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff Quezada's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and Dismiss Plaintiff Quezada's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines (filed on January 18, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order Granting Parties' Join Stipulation to Continue Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff Quezada's Individual PAGA Claim to Arbitration and	0.10

Dismiss Plaintiff Quezada's Representative PAGA Claim Hearing Date and Related Deadlines (filed on January 24, 2024)	
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Petitioner Kylee Quezada's Petition for Coordination and Application for Immediate Stay; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof and Declaration of Calvin Hannagan in Support Thereof (filed on March 21, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	12.50
Review and Analyze Respondents' Opposition to Petition for Coordination and Application for Immediate Stay; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof (served on April 3, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	1.20
Review and Analyze Plaintiff and Respondent Claudia Meza's Opposition to Petition for Coordination and Application for Immediate Stay (filed on April 12, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	1.30
Review Defendants' Notice of Withdrawal of Motion to Compel Arbitration (served on April 17, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Hearing on Motion to Compel Arbitration (issued on April 19, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Re: Hearing on Motion to Compel Arbitration (filed on April 25, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Court's Order Assigning Coordination Motion Judge (filed on April 30, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.30
Review Court's Order Assigning Coordination Motion Judge (filed on May 1, 2024) (Coordinated Action)	0.30
Review Defendants and Respondents' Opposition to Petition for Coordination and Application for Immediate Stay; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof (served on November 1, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Notice of Lodging Documents Filed in Support of and in Opposition to Plaintiff Kylee Quezada's Petition for Coordination (filed on November 5, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Hearing on Petition for Coordination (issued on November 7, 2024) (Alameda Action)	0.20

Draft, Review, and/or Revise [Proposed] Order Coordinating Cases (submitted on March 4, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order Coordinating Cases (filed on April 22, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order and Order Coordinating Cases (filed on October 14, 2025) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Review Court's Order Re: Coordination (filed on May 22, 2026) (Coordinated Action)	0.20
Research, Draft, Review, and/or Revise Declaration of Yasmin Hosseini in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Approval of Private Attorneys General Act Settlement Agreement	4.50
Total Hours:	310.10

EXHIBIT B

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
Quezada vs. Amanat, Inc. (PAGA)

Date	Payee	Expense Description	Amount
10/12/2021	Alameda Superior Court	Document Download Fee	$40.00
10/13/2021	U.S. Postmaster	Postage	$7.38
11/9/2021	U.S. Postmaster	Postage	$16.00
11/9/2021	Labor & Workforce Development Agency	PAGA Filing Fee	$75.00
11/16/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$175.00
1/14/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$38.61
1/14/2022	Alameda Superior Court	Complaint Filing Fee	$435.00
1/31/2022	ProLegal	Attorney Service	$1,766.75
2/14/2022	Alameda Superior Court	Document Download Fee	$14.00
3/2/2022	One Legal	Attorney Service	$19.51
3/3/2022	One Legal	Attorney Service	$12.35
3/7/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$17.95
4/28/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$17.95
5/13/2022	Alameda Superior Court	Document Download Fee	$3.00
5/26/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$17.95
6/16/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$17.95
6/16/2022	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$59.79
7/19/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$18.70
7/19/2022	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$43.59
10/24/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$20.05
10/24/2022	Alameda Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	$20.00
12/8/2022	Jams, Inc.	Non-Refundable Administrative Fee	$475.00
2/8/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$20.05
2/8/2023	Alameda Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	$20.00
4/6/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$20.29
4/6/2023	Alameda Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	$20.00
4/14/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$18.90
4/17/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$170.00
6/12/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$20.29
6/12/2023	Alameda Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	$20.00
8/9/2023	Richard L. Gilbert	Mediation Fee	$5,000.00
10/12/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$20.29
10/12/2023	Alameda Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	$20.00
12/13/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$20.29
12/13/2023	Alameda Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	$20.00
1/31/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$18.90
2/22/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$37.13
2/22/2024	Alameda Superior Court	Document Download Fee	$11.00
3/6/2024	ProLegal	Attorney Service	$325.00

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
Quezada vs. Amanat, Inc. (PAGA)

3/21/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$123.31
3/25/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$17.67
3/25/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$47.96
3/26/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$29.62
3/27/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$18.90
3/27/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$27.81
3/28/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$11.95
3/28/2024	Richard L. Gilbert	Cancellation Fee	$5,000.00
3/29/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$262.75
4/2/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$268.75
5/13/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$29.70
5/14/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$180.00
5/14/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$146.87
5/15/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$18.90
5/24/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$20.29
5/24/2024	Alameda Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	$20.00
5/31/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$39.46
6/2/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$28.57
6/4/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$37.80
6/21/2024	Alameda Superior Court	Document Download Fee	$4.00
7/3/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	$53.46
7/5/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$18.90
9/3/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$11.95
9/4/2024	One Legal	Attorney Service	$22.55
9/4/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$20.45
9/4/2024	Alameda Superior Court	Document Download Fee	$6.00
10/2/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$17.75
10/3/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$123.50
10/4/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$11.95
10/8/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$140.45
10/11/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$20.45
11/5/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$61.35
11/6/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$187.25
1/13/2025	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$21.45
2/25/2025	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$21.45
3/25/2025	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$21.45
4/17/2025	CPAnalytics	Data Analysis	$2,980.00
5/7/2025	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$42.39
5/9/2025	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$21.45
5/16/2025	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$18.89

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
Quezada vs. Amanat, Inc. (PAGA)

7/23/2025	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	$21.45
3/5/2026	Alameda Superior Court	Document Download Fee	$7.00
		Total:	**$19,279.52**