

Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175)
Kristen M. Agnew (State Bar No. 247656)
Nicholas Rosenthal (State Bar No. 268297)
DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C.
515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250
Los Angeles, California 90071
(213) 488-6555
(213) 488-6554 facsimile
lwlee@diversitylaw.com
kagnew@diversitylaw.com
nrosenthal@diversitylaw.com

William L. Marder (State Bar No. 170131)
POLARIS LAW GROUP
501 San Benito Street, Suite 200
Hollister, CA 95023
Telephone: (831) 531-4214
Facsimile: (831) 634-0333
bill@polarislawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Class, and Aggrieved Employees

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**

JOHN COOK, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

APPLE INC., a California corporation; and DOES
1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 34-2021-00311177-CU-OE-GDS

(Assigned to the Hon. Lauri A. Damrell, Dept. 28)

**DECLARATION OF WILLIAM L. MARDER
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Date: September 1, 2023

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: 28

Reservation No. A-311177-001

Complaint Filed: November 17, 2021

FAC Filed: May 18, 2023

Trial Date: Not Set

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM L. MARDER

I, William L. Marder, hereby declare and state as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law duly admitted to practice before all courts in the State of California and am a partner of the law firm of the Polaris Law Group, one of the attorneys of record for Plaintiff John Cook ("Plaintiff"), in the above-entitled action. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called upon as a witness to testify thereto, I could and would competently do so.

2. Based on my own independent investigation and evaluation, I am of opinion that settlement for the consideration and on the terms set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement Agreement") are fair, reasonable, and adequate and is in the best interests of the Class in light of all known facts and circumstances and the expenses and risks inherent in litigation.

3. I strongly believe that Plaintiff can prevail at trial. However, I also believe in the fairness of the settlement, which is based on factoring in the uncertainty and risks to Plaintiff involved in not prevailing on one or more of the causes of action or theories alleged in the operative Complaint and in the possibility of appeals.

4. After taking into account sharply disputed factual and legal issues involved in this litigation, the risks attending further prosecution, and the substantial benefits to be received pursuant to the compromise and settlement of the litigation, I believe this settlement to be fair, adequate, and reasonable.

5. I was retained based upon a contingency fee arrangement wherein Plaintiff's counsel agreed to advance all costs and receive no fee unless a recovery was accomplished. Specifically, had Plaintiff failed to prevail on the case, counsel for Plaintiff would have spent a significant amount of time, money, and other resources without any benefit or return. In addition, had Plaintiff also failed to prevail in the present case, Defendant would have been able to seek costs in connection with its defense of the case.

//

//

1 6. I graduated from UCLA Law School in 1993 and was admitted to the California Bar in
2 1994. Since that time, I have acquired substantial experience in employment litigation. I have
3 represented both plaintiffs and defendants. I estimate that I have handled more than 300 employment
4 cases, litigating cases in state and federal courts.

5 7. I have defended large institutional employers in employment cases. From 1995-1997,
6 as an associate at Bolling, Walter & Gawthrop in Sacramento, I represented the City of Sacramento,
7 Yolo County, the City of Davis, Solano County, and other employers in employment litigation in state
8 and federal courts.

9 8. From 1997-2000, I served as a Deputy County Counsel in San Benito, handling all of
10 its employment matters. These matters include advising the county on compliance with wage and hour
11 laws, arbitrations, and litigation.

12 9. From 2002-2006, I was a partner at Paxton O'Brien, LLP in Hollister, an AV rated
13 firm, where I represented numerous plaintiffs and defendants in employment lawsuits.

14 10. In 2006, I co-founded the Polaris Law Group where I spend most of my time handling
15 employment cases. I primarily represent employees.

16 11. I have been the sole trial attorney in two jury trials in which I represented a plaintiff
17 who prevailed on wrongful termination claims brought pursuant to the California Fair Employment &
18 Housing Act, California Government § 12900 *et seq.* These three cases are: *Gamayo v. Drobny Law*
19 *Offices*, Sacramento Superior Court Case No.: 34-2008-00005372CU; and *Cherub Berlanga v. Willow*
20 *Grove School District*, San Benito County Superior Case No.: CU-09-00100.

21 12. I have been the sole trial attorney in two court trials in which I represented plaintiffs
22 who prevailed on wage and hour claims. These cases are: *Smith v. Joyce*, Monterey County Superior
23 Court Case No.: M74334; and *Nava v. Rodriguez*, San Benito County Superior Court Case No.: CU-
24 08-00022.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

13. I have also been approved as class counsel in numerous cases including *Sison v. Headway Technologies*, Case No. 1-13-CV-254591 and *Barrera v. Home Depot*, Northern District of California Case No. C 12 5199 LHK.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 10th day of August 2023, at Hollister, California.

William L. Marder