

Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175)
Mai Tulyathan (State Bar No. 316704)
Max W. Gavron (State Bar No. 291697)
DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C.
515 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1250
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 488-6555
(213) 488-6554 facsimile

William L. Marder (State Bar No. 170131)
Polaris Law Group
501 San Benito Street, Suite 200
Hollister, CA 95023
(831) 531-4214
(831) 634-0333 facsimile

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BENITO**

LARRY OTIS, as an individual and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

DELTA ASSOCIATED
INVESTIGATIONS, INC., a Florida
corporation; and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: CU-22-00111

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
APPROVAL OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS
GENERAL ACT (LABOR CODE § 2698, ET
SEQ.) SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT**

Complaint Filed: June 13, 2022
Trial Date: Not Set

1 The Court has received and reviewed the Joint Stipulation for Approval of Private
2 Attorneys General Act (Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.*) Settlement Agreement and Release (the
3 “Settlement Agreement”) submitted by Plaintiff Larry Otis (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Delta
4 Associated Investigations, Inc. (“Defendant”) (Plaintiff and Defendant are referred to herein as
5 the “Parties”), and has considered the terms of the proposed settlement set forth therein.

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:**

7 The Court hereby approves the Parties’ proposed PAGA settlement and hereby enters
8 FINAL JUDGMENT based on the terms set forth in the Parties’ Settlement Agreement in favor
9 of Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees in the amount of \$69,000.00. Of this, \$23,000.00 is
10 awarded as attorneys’ fees paid to Plaintiff’s Counsel; \$1,767.83 is awarded as costs paid to
11 Plaintiff’s Counsel; \$10,000.00 is awarded to Plaintiff as his service award and for providing a
12 general release of all claims; and \$2,200.00 is awarded as administration costs paid to Phoenix
13 Settlement Administrators the settlement administrator selected by the Parties.

14 This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and
15 all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

16 This Judgment is entered as part of a Settlement and the Court has made no findings of
17 liability or provided any notice of PAGA violations.

18 As a result of this Judgment, the Aggrieved Employees are barred from prosecuting any
19 of the claims covered by the Release, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, against Defendant
20 and the Released Parties.

21 This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition in its entirety of the above captioned
22 action. Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction
23 of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and
24 enforcement of the Settlement pursuant to C.C.P. § 664.6.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26
27 DATED: _____

28 HON. _____
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA