

James R. Hawkins, Esq. SBN 192925
Isandra Fernandez, Esq. SBN 220482
Anthony L. Draper, Esq. SBN 344391
JAMES HAWKINS APLC
9880 Research Drive, Suite 200
Irvine, CA 92618
TEL: (949) 387-7200
FAX: (949) 387-6676
Isandra@jameshawkinsaplc.com
Anthony@jameshawkinsaplc.com
Staff@jameshawkinsaplc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, RENEE RAMIREZ
on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

RENEE RAMIREZ, and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AIRGAS SAFETY INC., a Delaware
Corporation, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,
Defendants.

Case No. CVRI2200084

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO:

JUDGE: Hon. Harold W. Hopp

DEPT: 1

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Reservation #: 240608198013

1 WHEREAS, this action is pending before this Court as a putative class action (the
2 “Action”); and

3 WHEREAS, Plaintiff, through an unopposed motion for preliminary approval, has
4 applied to this Court for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of the Action in
5 accordance with the Settlement Agreement), and any exhibit annexed thereto, which sets forth
6 the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement and final resolution of the Action upon the
7 terms and conditions set forth therein; and the Court having read and considered the Settlement
8 Agreement and the exhibit annexed thereto;

9 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

10 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the final version of the
11 Settlement Agreement which has been filed with the Court and all terms defined therein shall
12 have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Settlement Agreement;

13 2. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the Class for settlement purposes only.
14 For the purposes of this settlement, the Class is defined as: All employees who are or were
15 employed by Defendant in the state of California as non-exempt/hourly employees at any time
16 between January 6, 2018 and the date of preliminary approval. Should for whatever reason the
17 Settlement not become final, the fact that the Parties were willing to stipulate to class
18 certification as part of the Settlement shall have no bearing on, nor be admissible in connection
19 with, the issue of whether a class should be certified in a non-settlement context;

20 3. Aggrieved Employees shall mean Plaintiff and all current and former employees
21 of Defendant in California employed as non-exempt/hourly employees at any time between
22 March 15, 2021 and the date of preliminary approval;

23 4. The Court hereby authorizes the retention of Simpluris, Inc. as Settlement
24 Administrator for the purpose of this Settlement;

25 5. The Court hereby conditionally finds that James R. Hawkins, Isandra Fernandez,
26 and Anthony Draper of the law firm of James Hawkins, APLC may act as counsel for the Class.
27 The Court further conditionally finds that Plaintiff Renee Ramirez may act as the class
28 representative for the Class;

1 6. The Court hereby preliminarily APPROVES the proposed Notice of Class Action
2 Settlement (attached as Exhibit 1 hereto). The Court further finds that the Class Notice appears
3 to fully and accurately inform the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed
4 Settlement Agreement, of the Class Members' right to be excluded from the Class, and of each
5 Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Notice shall be mailed
6 to the Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement;

7 7. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
8 be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final
9 approval by this Court. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount
10 is fair, adequate and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the
11 probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues. It further appears
12 that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties, at this
13 time, are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court
14 that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid
15 the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It further
16 appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of serious and non-collusive, arms-
17 length negotiations;

18 8. The Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:
19

20 Preliminary approval order.	
21 Deadline for Defendants to provide the 22 Class List to the Settlement Administrator.	_____, 202_ (within twenty (30) calendar days after Court Grants 23 Preliminary Approval).
24 Mail notices to Settlement Class Members.	_____ 2025 (within fifteen (30) 25 calendar days after Settlement 26 Administrator Receives Class List from 27 Defendant).

Deadline for postmark by registered mail of any Request for Exclusion.	_____ 2025 (forty-five (45) days after Settlement Administrator first mails Notice of Class Settlement to Settlement Class Members).
Deadline for receipt by the Settlement Administrator of any objections to the Settlement.	_____ 2025 (forty-five (45) days after Settlement Administrator first mails Notice of Class Settlement to Settlement Class Members).
Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion for Final Approval of Settlement.	_____ 2025
Final Fairness and Final Approval Hearing.	_____ 2025

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Hon. Harold W. Hopp
Judge of the Riverside County Superior Court