

1 David Mara, Esq. (SBN 230498)
Matthew Crawford, Esq. (SBN 310230)
2 **MARA LAW FIRM, PC**
2650 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 205
3 San Diego, California 92108
Telephone: (619) 234-2833
4 Facsimile: (619) 234-4048

5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs EDDIE ESCAMILLA,
GUSTAVO ESCAMILLA, and ARTURO
6 ESCAMILLA, on behalf of themselves, all others
similarly situated and on behalf of the general public.
7

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO**
10

11 Plaintiffs EDDIE ESCAMILLA and
12 GUSTAVO ESCAMILLA, on behalf of
themselves, all others similarly situated, and
13 on behalf of the general public,
14 Plaintiffs,
15 v.
16 KEYSTONE FREIGHT CORP.;
17 NATIONAL RETAIL TRANSPORTATION,
INC.; and DOES 2 through 100,
Defendant.
18

Case No.: CIVDS1931366


**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEYS' FEES,
COSTS, CLASS REPRESENTATIVE
ENHANCEMENT PAYMENTS, AND
ENTERING OF FINAL JUDGMENT**

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on September 16, 2022, the Court issued an Order
3 Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class
4 Representative Enhancement Payments, and Final Judgment. A true and correct copy of the Court's
5 Judgement is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

6
7 Dated: September 19, 2022

MARA LAW FIRM, PC


8
9 

10 Dave Mara, Esq.
11 Matthew Crawford, Esq.
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit 1

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

SEP 16 2022

BY 
JESSICA MORALES, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Plaintiffs EDDIE ESCAMILLA and
GUSTAVO ESCAMILLA, on behalf of
themselves, all others similarly situated, and
on behalf of the general public,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KEYSTONE FREIGHT CORP.;
NATIONAL RETAIL TRANSPORTATION,
INC.; and DOES 2 through 100,

Defendants.

Case No. CIVDS1931366

**[PROPOSED] ORDER PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT,
ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, CLASS
REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT
PAYMENTS, AND ENTERING OF
FINAL JUDGMENT**

Date: September 13, 2022

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. David S. Cohn

Dept.: S26

1 This matter came on for hearing on September 13, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., in Department S26
2 of the above-captioned Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement,
3 Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Enhancement Payments, and Entering of Final
4 Judgment. Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed
5 by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the Motion
6 for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the instant Motion for Final Approval,
7 Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Enhancement Payments, and Entering of Final
8 Judgment, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND
9 MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

10 1. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, the Notice was mailed to all members
11 of the Class by first-class U.S. mail. The Notice informed the Class of the terms of the Settlement,
12 of their right to receive their proportional Settlement Payment, of their right to request exclusion
13 from the Class and the Settlement, of their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement and
14 to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and of the date set for the Final
15 Approval hearing. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures.

16 2. In response to the Notice, no member of the Class filed written objections to the
17 Settlement, or stated an intention to appear at the final approval hearing. No member of the Class
18 timely and validly requested to be excluded from the Settlement. No member of the Class filed a
19 dispute regarding the Settlement.

20 3. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate
21 protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision
22 regarding approval of the Settlement based on the Class Members' response. The Court finds and
23 determines that the Notice provided in the Action was the best notice practicable, which satisfied
24 the requirements of law and due process.

25 4. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair,
26 reasonable and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member and that the Settlement is ordered
27 finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement should be and
28 hereby are ordered to be consummated.

1 5. The Court has certified a Class, as that term is defined in and by the terms of the
2 Settlement Agreement, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California
3 Rule of Court 3.765(a).

4 6. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and
5 finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and directs the Parties
6 to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. The Court finds that the Settlement was reached
7 as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral
8 mediator. The Court further finds that the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and
9 discovery and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The
10 Court also finds that Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially
11 substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the
12 case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement and
13 recognizes the significant value accorded to the Class.

14 7. The Court hereby confirms David Mara and Matthew Crawford of Mara Law Firm,
15 PC as Class Counsel in this action.

16 8. The Court hereby confirms the Plaintiffs Eddie Escamilla, Gustavo Escamilla, and
17 Arturo Escamilla as the Class Representatives in this action.

18 9. The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement Amounts provided
19 for by the terms of the Settlement to be paid to the Class are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby
20 gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the participating
21 members of the Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

22 10. The Court finds and determines that payment to the California Labor and
23 Workforce Development Agency of \$56,250 as its share of the settlement of civil penalties in this
24 case is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that
25 the payment of that amount be paid in accordance with the Settlement.

26 11. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Enhancement Payments
27 in the sum of \$10,000 each to Plaintiffs Eddie Escamilla and Gustavo Escamilla, and in the sum
28 of \$5,000 to Arturo Escamilla, to be fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator

1 to make this payment to the Plaintiffs/Class Representatives in accordance with the terms of the
2 Settlement Agreement.

3 12. The Court finds and determines that the payment to be paid to the Settlement
4 Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, in the sum of \$7,250 for its fee and expenses
5 incurred is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make this payment
6 to itself in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

7 13. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument
8 submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the sum of
9 \$508,322.10 and litigation costs of \$17,727.06. The Court finds such amounts to be fair and
10 reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make these payments in
11 accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

12 14. Neither Defendants nor any related persons or entities shall have any further
13 liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability,
14 except as provided for by the Settlement Agreement.

15 15. The Court finds and determines that the release contained in the Settlement
16 Agreement at paragraph 1.29 is appropriate and shall bind all Class Members who did not timely
17 opt out of the Settlement.

18 16. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations
19 pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or pursuant to this Order, including the requirement that
20 Defendants make payments to Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement
21 Agreement.

22 17. The Court finds and determines that nothing in the Settlement Agreement, this
23 Order, or the Judgment (1) is intended or will be construed as an admission of liability or
24 wrongdoing by Defendants or (2) may be offered or admitted in evidence against Defendants (other
25 than solely in connection with this Settlement).

26 18. The Court hereby enters final judgment in this case in accordance with the terms of
27 the Settlement Agreement, Preliminary Approval Order and this Order.
28

19. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided for by the Settlement Agreement and this Court's Order Granting Final Approval.

20. Without affecting the finality of this Order in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation and enforcement of this order and the Settlement.

JUDGMENT

21. This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h). In accordance with, and for the reasons stated in this Order, judgment shall be entered within the meaning and for purposes of Code of Civil Procedure sections 577, 904.1(a), and Rules 3.769, and 8.104 of the California Rules of Court whereby named Plaintiffs/Class Representatives and all Class Members shall take nothing from Defendants except as expressly set forth in the Settlement Agreement, in conjunction with Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement. The Court pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h) shall retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the terms of the judgment.

Date:

9/18/20

By:

nt.



Honorable David S. Cohn
Judge of the Superior Court

Case Name: Eddie Escamilla and Gustavo Escamilla v. Keystone Freight Corp
Court: Superior Court of San Bernardino
Case Number: CIVDS1931366
LWDA Number: LWDA-CM-868660-22

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

I am employed in the County of: San Diego, State of California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is:
2650 Camino Del Rio N., Suite 205, San Diego, CA 92108

On September 19, 2022, I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL
OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, CLASS
REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENTS, AND ENTERING OF FINAL
JUDGMENT**

On interested parties in this action:

Joshua D Carlton
Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard, and Smith, LLP
633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Email: Joshua.Carlton@lewisbrisbois.com
(Counsel for National Retail Transportation,
Inc.)

Joshua D Carlton
Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard, and Smith, LLP
633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Email: Joshua.Carlton@lewisbrisbois.com
(Counsel for Keystone Freight Corp.)

[XX] (BY E-MAIL) On September 19, 2022, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses of the parties named above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

[XX] (DECLARATION) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Dated: September 19, 2022


Mathew Adame