

DOUGLAS HAN (SBN 232858)
SHUNT TATAVOS-GHARAJEH (SBN 272164)
JOHN M. BICKFORD (SBN 280929)
JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION
751 N. Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 101
Pasadena, California 91103
Telephone: (818) 230-7502
Facsimile: (818) 230-7259

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

ALEJANDRO MUNOZ, individually, and on
behalf of other members of the general public
similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

v.

SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC., a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,
inclusive;

Defendants.

Case No.: 21CV386080

**FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

- (1) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198 (Unpaid Overtime);
- (2) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512(a) (Unpaid Meal Period Premiums);
- (3) Violation of California Labor Code § 226.7 (Unpaid Rest Period Premiums);
- (4) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197 (Unpaid Minimum Wages);
- (5) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 (Final Wages Not Timely Paid);
- (6) Violation of California Labor Code § 226(a) (Non-Compliant Wage Statements);
- (7) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802 (Unreimbursed Business Expenses);
- (8) Violation of California Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.* (Private Attorneys General Act of 2004); and
- (9) Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMES NOW, Plaintiff ALEJANDRO MUNOZ (“Plaintiff”), individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This class action is brought pursuant to the California Code of Civil Procedure section 382. The monetary damages and restitution sought by Plaintiff exceeds the minimal jurisdiction limits of the Superior Court and will be established according to proof at trial.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the California Constitution, Article VI, Section 10, which grants the superior court “original jurisdiction in all other causes” except those given by statute to other courts. The statutes under which this action is brought do not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.

3. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because, upon information and belief, Defendants are citizens of California, have sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avail themselves of the California market so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over them by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

4. Venue is proper in this Court because, upon information and belief, Defendants maintain offices, have agents, and/or transact business in the State of California, including the County of Santa Clara. The majority of the acts and omissions alleged herein relating to Plaintiff took place in the State of California, County of Santa Clara. Defendants employed Plaintiff within the State of California, County of Santa Clara.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff ALEJANDRO MUNOZ is an individual residing in the State of California, County of Santa Clara.

6. Defendant SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC., at all times herein mentioned, was and is, upon information and belief, a California corporation, and at all times herein mentioned, was and is, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout the State of California, including the County of Santa Clara.

7. At all relevant times, Defendant SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. was the “employer”

1 of Plaintiff within the meaning of all applicable California laws and statutes.

2 8. At all times herein relevant, Defendants SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1
3 through 100, and each of them, were the agents, partners, joint venturers, joint employers,
4 representatives, servants, employees, successors-in-interest, co-conspirators and assigns, each
5 of the other, and at all times relevant hereto were acting within the course and scope of their
6 authority as such agents, partners, joint venturers, joint employers, representatives, servants,
7 employees, successors, co-conspirators and assigns, and all acts or omissions alleged herein
8 were duly committed with the ratification, knowledge, permission, encouragement,
9 authorization, and consent of each defendant designated herein.

10 9. The true names and capacities, whether corporate, associate, individual or
11 otherwise, of Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who sues
12 said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based on that
13 information and belief alleges, that each of the Defendants designated as a DOE is legally
14 responsible for the events and happenings referred to in this Complaint, and unlawfully caused
15 the injuries and damages to Plaintiff and the other class members as alleged in this Complaint.
16 Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to show the true names and
17 capacities when the same have been ascertained.

18 10. Defendants SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100 will hereinafter
19 collectively be referred to as "Defendants."

20 11. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendants directly or indirectly controlled or
21 affected the working conditions, wages, working hours, and conditions of employment of
22 Plaintiff and the other class members so as to make each of said Defendants employers and
23 employers liable under the statutory provisions set forth herein.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf and on behalf of all other members of the general public similarly situated, and, thus, seeks class certification under Code of Civil Procedure section 382.

13. The proposed class is defined as follows:

All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees of Defendants within the State of California at any time during the period from February 21, 2017 through April 29, 2022.

14. Plaintiff reserves the right to establish subclasses as appropriate.

15. The class is ascertainable and there is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation:

a. Numerosity: The class members are so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable. The membership of the entire class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time; however, the class is estimated to be greater than fifty (50) individuals and the identity of such membership is readily ascertainable by inspection of Defendants' employment records.

b. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of all other class members' claims as demonstrated herein. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the other class members with whom he has a well-defined community of interest.

c. Adequacy: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of each class member, with whom he has a well-defined community of interest and typicality of claims, as demonstrated herein. Plaintiff has no interest that is antagonistic to the other class members. Plaintiff's attorneys, the proposed class counsel, are versed in the rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement. Plaintiff has incurred, and during the pendency of this action will continue to incur, costs and attorneys' fees, that have been, are, and will be necessarily

1 expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial benefit of
2 each class member.

3 d. Superiority: A class action is superior to other available methods for the
4 fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation because individual joinder
5 of all class members is impractical.

6 e. Public Policy Considerations: Certification of this lawsuit as a class
7 action will advance public policy objectives. Employers of this great
8 state violate employment and labor laws every day. Current employees
9 are often afraid to assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect
10 retaliation. However, class actions provide the class members who are
11 not named in the complaint anonymity that allows for the vindication of
12 their rights.

13 16. There are common questions of law and fact as to the class members that
14 predominate over questions affecting only individual members. The following common
15 questions of law or fact, among others, exist as to the members of the class:

16 a. Whether Defendants' failure to pay wages, without abatement or
17 reduction, in accordance with the California Labor Code, was willful;

18 b. Whether Defendants failed to pay their hourly-paid or non-exempt
19 employees within the State of California for all hours worked, missed
20 meal periods and rest breaks in violation of California law;

21 c. Whether Defendants required Plaintiff and the other class members to
22 work over eight (8) hours per day and/or over forty (40) hours per week
23 and failed to pay the legally required overtime compensation to Plaintiff
24 and the other class members;

25 d. Whether Defendants properly calculated the regular rate for Plaintiff and
26 the other class members to worked overtime and earned incentive pay;

27 e. Whether Defendants deprived Plaintiff and the other class members of
28 meal and/or rest periods or required Plaintiff and the other class

- 1 members to work during meal and/or rest periods without compensation;
- 2 f. Whether Defendants failed to pay minimum wages to Plaintiff and the
- 3 other class members for all hours worked;
- 4 g. Whether Defendants failed to pay all wages due to Plaintiff and the other
- 5 class members within the required time upon their discharge or
- 6 resignation;
- 7 h. Whether Defendants failed to timely pay all wages due to Plaintiff and
- 8 the other class members during their employment;
- 9 i. Whether Defendants complied with wage reporting as required by the
- 10 California Labor Code, including, inter alia, section 226;
- 11 j. Whether Defendants failed to reimburse Plaintiff and the other class
- 12 members for necessary business-related expenses and costs;
- 13 k. Whether Defendants' conduct was willful or reckless;
- 14 l. Whether Defendants engaged in unfair business practices in violation of
- 15 California Business & Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.*;
- 16 m. The appropriate amount of damages, restitution, and/or monetary
- 17 penalties resulting from Defendants' violation of California law; and
- 18 n. Whether Plaintiff and the other class members are entitled to
- 19 compensatory damages pursuant to the California Labor Code.

20 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

21 17. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants employed Plaintiff

22 and other persons as hourly-paid or non-exempt employees within the State of California.

23 18. Defendants, jointly and severally, employed Plaintiff as an hourly-paid non-

24 exempt employee from 2016 to 2020 in the State of California, County of Santa Clara.

25 19. Defendants had the authority to hire and terminate Plaintiff and the other class

26 members; to set work rules and conditions governing Plaintiff's and other class members'

27 employment; and to supervise their daily employment activities.

28 20. Defendants exercised sufficient authority over the terms and conditions of

1 Plaintiff and other class members' employment for them to be joint employers of Plaintiff and
2 the other class members.

3 21. Defendants directly hired and paid wages and benefits to Plaintiff and the other
4 class members.

5 22. Defendants continue to employ hourly-paid or non-exempt employees within the
6 State of California.

7 23. Plaintiff and the other class members worked over eight (8) hours in a day,
8 and/or forty (40) hours in a week during their employment with Defendants.

9 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
10 engaged in a uniform policy and systematic scheme of wage abuse against their hourly-paid or
11 non-exempt employees within the State of California. This scheme involved, inter alia, failing
12 to pay them for all hours worked, missed meal periods, and missed rest breaks in violation of
13 California law.

14 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
15 knew or should have known that Plaintiff and the other class members were entitled to receive
16 certain wages for overtime compensation and that Plaintiff and the other class members were
17 not receiving wages for overtime compensation.

18 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
19 failed to provide Plaintiff and the other class members the required rest and meal periods
20 during the relevant time period as required under the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage
21 Orders and thus they are entitled to any and all applicable penalties.

22 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
23 knew or should have known that Plaintiff and the other class members were entitled to receive
24 all timely and complete meal periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at Plaintiff's
25 and the other class members' regular rate of pay when a meal period was missed, late or
26 interrupted, and that Plaintiff and the other class members did not receive all timely and proper
27 meal periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at their regular rate of pay when a meal
28 period was missed.

1 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
2 knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other class members were entitled to receive all
3 timely rest periods without interruption or payment of one additional hour of pay at Plaintiff
4 and the other class members' regular rate of pay when a rest period was missed, late or
5 interrupted, and that Plaintiff and the other class members did not receive all rest periods or
6 payment of one additional hour of pay at their regular rate of pay when a rest period was
7 missed.

8 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
9 knew or should have known that Plaintiff and the other class members were entitled to receive
10 at least minimum wages for compensation and that Plaintiff and the other class members were
11 not receiving at least minimum wages for all hours worked.

12 30. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
13 knew or should have known that Plaintiff and the other class members were entitled to receive
14 the wages owed to them upon discharge or resignation, including overtime and minimum
15 wages and meal and rest period premiums, and that Plaintiff and the other class members did
16 not, in fact, receive such wages owed to them at the time of their discharge or resignation.

17 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
18 knew or should have known that Plaintiff and the other class members were entitled to receive
19 complete and accurate wage statements in accordance with California law, but, in fact, Plaintiff
20 and the other class members did not receive complete and accurate wage statements from
21 Defendants. The deficiencies included, inter alia, the failure to include the total number of
22 hours worked by Plaintiff and the other class members.

23 32. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
24 knew or should have known that Plaintiff and the other class members were entitled to
25 reimbursement for necessary business-related expenses.

26 33. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
27 knew or should have known that Defendants had to keep complete and accurate payroll records
28 for Plaintiff and the other class members in accordance with California law, but, in fact, did not

1 keep complete and accurate payroll records for Plaintiff and the other class members.

2 34. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
3 knew or should have known that they had a duty to compensate Plaintiff and the other class
4 members pursuant to California law, and that Defendants had the financial ability to pay such
5 compensation, but willfully, knowingly, and intentionally failed to do so, and falsely
6 represented to Plaintiff and the other class members that they were properly denied wages, all
7 in order to increase Defendants' profits.

8 35. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to pay
9 overtime wages to Plaintiff and the other class members for all hours worked. Plaintiff and
10 other class members were required to work more than eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40)
11 hours per week without overtime compensation.

12 36. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to provide the
13 requisite uninterrupted and timely meal and rest periods to Plaintiff and the other class
14 members.

15 37. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to pay
16 Plaintiff and the other class members at least minimum wages for all hours worked.

17 38. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to pay
18 Plaintiff and the other class members the wages owed to them upon discharge or resignation.

19 39. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to provide
20 complete or accurate wage statements to Plaintiff and the other class members.

21 40. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to keep
22 complete or accurate payroll records for Plaintiff and the other class members.

23 41. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to properly
24 compensate Plaintiff and the other class members pursuant to California law in order to
25 increase Defendants' profits.

26 42. California Labor Code section 218 states that nothing in Article 1 of the Labor
27 Code shall limit the right of any wage claimant to "sue directly . . . for any wages or penalty
28 due to him [or her] under this article."

1 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198)**

3 **(Against SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100)**

4 43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1
5 through 42, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
6 forth herein.

7 44. California Labor Code section 1198 and the applicable Industrial Welfare
8 Commission (“IWC”) Wage Order provide that it is unlawful to employ persons without
9 compensating them at a rate of pay either time-and-one-half or two-times that person’s regular
10 rate of pay, depending on the number of hours worked by the person on a daily or weekly
11 basis.

12 45. Specifically, the applicable IWC Wage Order provides that Defendants are and
13 were required to pay Plaintiff and the other class members employed by Defendants, who
14 work(ed) more than eight (8) hours in a day or more than forty (40) hours in a workweek, at
15 the rate of time-and-one-half for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day or more
16 than forty (40) hours in a workweek.

17 46. The applicable IWC Wage Order further provides that Defendants are and were
18 required to pay Plaintiff and the other class members overtime compensation at a rate of two
19 times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in a day.

20 47. California Labor Code section 510 codifies the right to overtime compensation
21 at one-and-one-half times the regular hourly rate for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours
22 in a day or forty (40) hours in a week or for the first eight (8) hours worked on the seventh day
23 of work, and overtime compensation at twice the regular hourly rate for hours worked in
24 excess of twelve (12) hours in a day or in excess of eight (8) hours in a day on the seventh day
25 of work.

26 48. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Plaintiff and the other class
27 members worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day, and/or in excess of forty (40) hours in a
28 week.

49. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants intentionally and willfully failed to pay overtime wages owed to Plaintiff and the other class members.

50. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the other class members the unpaid balance of overtime compensation, as required by California laws, violates the provisions of California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198, and is therefore unlawful.

51. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194, Plaintiff and the other class members are entitled to recover unpaid overtime compensation, as well as interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512(a))

(Against SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100)

52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 51, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

53. During the relevant time period set forth herein, the IWC Order and California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512(a) were applicable to Plaintiff's and the other class members' employment by Defendants.

54. During the relevant time period set forth herein, California Labor Code section 226.7 provides that no employer shall require an employee to work during any meal or rest period mandated by an applicable order of the California IWC.

55. During the relevant time period set forth herein, the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section 512(a) provide that an employer may not require, cause or permit an employee to work for a work period of more than five (5) hours per day without providing the employee with a meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total work period per day of the employee is no more than six (6) hours, the meal period may be waived by mutual consent of both the employer and employee.

56. During the relevant time period set forth herein, the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section 512(a) further provide that an employer may not

1 require, cause, or permit an employee to work for a work period of more than ten (10) hours
2 per day without providing the employee with a second uninterrupted meal period of not less
3 than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total hours worked is no more than twelve (12)
4 hours, the second meal period may be waived by mutual consent of the employer and the
5 employee only if the first meal period was not waived.

6 57. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Plaintiff and the other class
7 members who were scheduled to work for a period of time no longer than six (6) hours, and
8 who did not waive their legally-mandated meal periods by mutual consent, were required to
9 work for periods longer than five (5) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of not less
10 than thirty (30) minutes and/or without a rest period.

11 58. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Plaintiff and the other class
12 members who were scheduled to work for a period of time no longer than twelve (12) hours,
13 and who did not waive their legally-mandated meal periods by mutual consent, were required
14 to work for periods longer than ten (10) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of not less
15 than thirty (30) minutes and/or without a rest period.

16 59. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Plaintiff and the other class
17 members who were scheduled to work for a period of time in excess of six (6) hours were
18 required to work for periods longer than five (5) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of
19 not less than thirty (30) minutes and/or without a rest period.

20 60. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Plaintiff and the other class
21 members who were scheduled to work for a period of time in excess of twelve (12) hours were
22 required to work for periods longer than ten (10) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of
23 not less than thirty (30) minutes and/or without a rest period.

24 61. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants intentionally and
25 willfully required Plaintiff and the other class members to work during meal periods and failed
26 to compensate Plaintiff and the other class members the full meal period premium for work
27 performed during meal periods.

28 62. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to pay

1 Plaintiff and the other class members the full meal period premium due pursuant to California
2 Labor Code section 226.7.

3 63. Defendants' conduct violates applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor
4 Code sections 226.7 and 512(a).

5 64. Pursuant to the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section
6 226.7(b), Plaintiff and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants one
7 additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that
8 the meal or rest period is not provided.

9 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **(Violation of California Labor Code § 226.7)**

11 **(Against SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100)**

12 65. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
13 through 64, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
14 forth herein.

15 66. During the relevant time period set forth herein, the applicable IWC Wage
16 Order and California Labor Code section 226.7 were applicable to Plaintiff's and the other
17 class members' employment by Defendants.

18 67. During the relevant time period set forth herein, California Labor Code section
19 226.7 provides that no employer shall require an employee to work during any rest period
20 mandated by an applicable order of the California IWC.

21 68. During the relevant time period set forth herein, the applicable IWC Wage
22 Order provides that "[e]very employer shall authorize and permit all employees to take rest
23 periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle of each work period" and that the
24 "rest period time shall be based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10) minutes
25 net rest time per four (4) hours or major fraction thereof" unless the total daily work time is
26 less than three and one-half (3 ½) hours.

27 69. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants required Plaintiff
28 and the other class members to work four (4) or more hours without authorizing or permitting a

ten (10) minute rest period per each four (4) hour period worked.

70. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants willfully required Plaintiff and the other class members to work during rest periods and failed to pay Plaintiff and the other class members the full rest period premium for work performed during rest periods.

71. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the other class members the full rest period premium due pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7.

72. Defendants' conduct violates applicable IWC Wage Orders and California Labor Code section 226.7.

73. Pursuant to the applicable IWC Wage Orders and California Labor Code section 226.7(b), Plaintiff and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants one additional hour of pay at the employees' regular hourly rate of compensation for each workday that the rest period was not provided.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197)

(Against SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100)

74. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 73 and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

75. During the relevant time period set forth herein, California Labor Code sections 1194 and 1197 provide that the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a lesser wage than the minimum so fixed, is unlawful.

76. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants failed to pay minimum wages to Plaintiff and the other class members as required, pursuant to California Labor Code sections 1194 and 1197.

77. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the other class members the minimum wage as required violates California Labor Code sections 1194 and 1197. Pursuant to those sections, Plaintiff and other class members are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of their

1 minimum wage compensation as well as interest, costs, and attorney's fees, and liquidated
2 damages in an amount equal to the wages unlawfully unpaid and interest thereon.

3 78. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194.2, Plaintiff and the other class
4 members are entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to the wages
5 unlawfully unpaid and interest thereon.

6 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202)**

8 **(Against SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100)**

9 79. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
10 through 78, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
11 forth herein.

12 80. During the relevant time period set forth herein, California Labor Code sections
13 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid
14 at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her
15 employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72)
16 hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her
17 intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of
18 quitting.

19 81. During the relevant time period set forth herein, Defendants intentionally and
20 willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and the other class members who are no longer employed by
21 Defendants their wages, earned and unpaid, within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving
22 Defendants' employ.

23 82. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the other class members who are no
24 longer employed by Defendants their wages, earned and unpaid, within seventy-two (72) hours
25 of their leaving Defendants' employ, is in violation of California Labor Code sections 201 and
26 202.

27 83. California Labor Code section 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to
28 pay wages owed, in accordance with sections 201 and 202, then the wages of the employee

1 shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or until an
2 action is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than thirty (30) days.

3 84. Plaintiff and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants the
4 statutory penalty wages for each day they were not paid, up to the thirty (30) day maximum as
5 provided by Labor Code section 203.

6 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **(Violation of California Labor Code § 226(a))**

8 **(Against SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100)**

9 85. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
10 through 84, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
11 forth herein.

12 86. During the relevant time period set forth herein, California Labor Code section
13 226(a) provides that every employer shall furnish each of his or her employees an accurate
14 itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the
15 employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the
16 employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on
17 written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages
18 earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the
19 employee and his or her social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity
20 that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the
21 corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee. The deductions
22 made from payments of wages shall be recorded in ink or other indelible form, properly dated,
23 showing the month, day, and year, and a copy of the statement or a record of the deductions
24 shall be kept on file by the employer for at least three years at the place of employment or at a
25 central location within the State of California.

26 87. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the
27 other class members with complete and accurate wage statements. The deficiencies include
28 but are not limited to: the failure to include the total number of hours worked by Plaintiff and

1 other class members.

2 88. As a result of Defendants' violation of California Labor Code section 226(a),
3 Plaintiff and the other class members have suffered injury and damage to their statutorily
4 protected rights.

5 89. More specifically, Plaintiff and the other class members have been injured by
6 Defendants' intentional and willful violation of California Labor Code section 226(a) because
7 they were denied both their legal right to receive, and their protected interest in receiving,
8 accurate and itemized wage statements pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(a).

9 90. Plaintiff and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants the
10 greater of their actual damages caused by Defendants' failure to comply with California Labor
11 Code section 226(a), or an aggregate penalty not exceeding four thousand dollars per
12 employee.

13 91. Plaintiff and the other class members are also entitled to injunctive relief to
14 ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(g).

15 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802)**

17 **(Against SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100)**

18 92. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
19 through 91, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
20 forth herein.

21 93. Pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802, an employer must
22 reimburse its employee for all necessary expenditures incurred by the employee in direct
23 consequence of the discharge of his or her job duties or in direct consequence of his or her
24 obedience to the directions of the employer.

25 94. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to reimburse Plaintiff and the
26 other class members for all necessary business-related expenses and costs. Plaintiff and the other
27 class members are entitled to recover from Defendants their business-related expenses and costs
28 incurred during the course and scope of their employment, plus interest accrued from the date on

1 which the employee incurred the necessary expenditures at the same rate as judgments in civil
2 actions in the State of California.

3 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

4 **(Violation of Labor Code Section 2698, et seq. ("PAGA"))**

5 **(Against SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100)**

6 95. Plaintiffs incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
7 through 94, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
8 forth herein.

9 96. PAGA expressly establishes that any provision of the Labor Code which provides
10 for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the California Labor and Workforce
11 Development Agency ("LWDA"), or any of its departments, divisions, commissions, boards,
12 agencies, or employees for a violation of the Labor Code, may be recovered through a civil
13 action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself or herself, and other current or
14 former employees.

15 97. On March 31, 2022, Plaintiff provided written notice to the LWDA and Sierra
16 Circuits, Inc. of the specific provisions of the Labor Code he contends were violated, and the
17 theories supporting his contentions. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and incorporated by reference
18 is a copy of the written notice to the LWDA. Plaintiff believes that on or about June 4, 2022, the
19 sixty-five (65) day notice period expired, and the LWDA did not take any action to investigate or
20 prosecute this matter. Therefore, Plaintiff will have exhausted his administrative remedies.

21 98. Plaintiff and the other hourly-paid or non-exempt employees are "aggrieved
22 employees" as defined by Labor Code section 2699(c) in that they are all current and/or former
23 hourly paid non-exempt persons employed by Defendants in California at any time since March
24 31, 2022 through April 29, 2022, and one or more of the alleged violations was committed
25 against them.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **Failure to Pay Minimum and Overtime Wages**

2 99. At all times relevant herein, Defendants were required to compensate their non-
3 exempt employees minimum wages for all hours worked and overtime wages for all hours
4 worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day or forty (40) hours in a workweek, pursuant to the
5 mandate of Labor Code sections 510, 1194, 1197, and 1198.

6 100. As a policy and practice, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff and the other
7 aggrieved current and former employees for all hours worked, resulting in a failure to pay all
8 minimum wages and overtime wages, where applicable.

9 **Failure to Provide Meal Periods and Rest Breaks**

10 101. In accordance with the mandates of Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512,
11 Defendants were required to authorize and permit their non-exempt employees to take a 10-
12 minute rest break for every four (4) hours worked or major fraction thereof and were further
13 required to provide their non-exempt employees with a 30-minute meal period for every five (5)
14 hours worked.

15 102. As a policy and practice, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and the other
16 aggrieved current and former employees with legally mandated meal periods and rest breaks and
17 failed to pay proper compensation for this failure.

18 **Failure to Timely Pay Wages During Employment**

19 103. At all times relevant herein, Defendants were required to pay their employees
20 within a specified time period pursuant to the mandate of Labor Code section 204.

21 104. As a policy and practice, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the other
22 aggrieved current and former employees all wages due and owing them within the required time
23 period.

24 **Failure to Timely Pay Wages Upon Termination**

25 105. At all times relevant herein, Defendants were required to pay their employees all
26 wages owed in a timely fashion at the end of employment pursuant to Labor Code sections 201
27 to 204.

28 106. As a result of Defendants' Labor Code violations alleged above, Defendants

1 failed to pay Plaintiff and the other aggrieved former employees their final wages pursuant to
2 Labor Code sections 201 to 204 and accordingly owe waiting time penalties pursuant to Labor
3 Code section 203.

4 **Failure to Provide Complete and Accurate Wage Statements**

5 107. At all times relevant herein, Defendants were required to keep accurate records
6 regarding their California employees pursuant to the mandate of Labor Code sections 226 and
7 1174.

8 108. As a result of Defendants' various Labor Code violations, Defendants failed to
9 keep accurate records regarding Plaintiff and the other aggrieved current and former employees.
10 For example, Defendants failed in their affirmative obligation to keep accurate records regarding
11 Plaintiff and the other aggrieved current and former employees' gross wages earned, total hours
12 worked, all deductions, net wages earned, and all applicable hourly rates and the number of
13 hours worked at each hourly rate.

14 **Failure to Reimburse Business Expenses**

15 109. At all times relevant herein, Defendants were required to reimburse their
16 employees for any and all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employees in direct
17 consequences of the discharge or their duties pursuant to the mandate of Labor Code section
18 2800 and 2802.

19 110. As a policy and practice, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the other
20 aggrieved current and former employees all business expenses incurred and owing them within
21 the required time period.

22 **Failure to Pay Sick Leave**

23 111. Labor Code section 246(1) allows an employer to calculate paid sick leave for
24 non-exempt employees using two (2) methods. Per section 246(1), Defendants may pay sick
25 leave either in the same manner as the regular rate of pay for the workweek in which the
26 employee uses paid sick time, whether or not the employee actually works overtime in that
27 workweek, or by dividing the employee's total wages, not including overtime premium pay, by
28 the employee's total hours worked in the full pay periods of the prior 90 days of employment.

1 Lab. Code § 246(1)(1)-(2).

2 112. As a policy and practice, Defendants failed to properly calculate and pay sick
3 leave to Plaintiff and other aggrieved current and former employees pursuant to the methods
4 outlined in Labor Code section 246(1).

5 **Penalties**

6 113. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of
7 other current and former aggrieved employees, requests and is entitled to recover from
8 Defendants, and each of them, civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees and costs, including but
9 not limited to:

- 10 a. Penalties under Labor Code section 2699 in the amount of a hundred
11 dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial
12 violation, and two hundred dollars (\$200) for each aggrieved employee
13 per pay period for each subsequent violation;
- 14 b. Penalties under California Code of Regulations Title 8 section 11040 or
15 Labor Code section 558 in the amount of fifty dollars (\$50) for each
16 aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation, and one
17 hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for
18 each subsequent violation;
- 19 c. Penalties under Labor Code section 210 in addition to, and entirely
20 independent and apart from, any other penalty provided in the Labor
21 Code in the amount of a hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved
22 employee per pay period for the initial violation, and two hundred dollars
23 (\$200) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent
24 violation;
- 25 d. Penalties under Labor Code section 1197.1 in the amount of a hundred
26 dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial
27 violation, and two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) for each aggrieved
28 employee per pay period for each subsequent violation;

- 1 e. Any and all additional penalties as provided by the Labor Code and/or
2 other statutes; and
3 f. Attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, and any
4 other applicable statute.

5 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

6 **(Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*)**

7 **(Against SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100)**

8 114. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
9 through 113, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
10 forth herein.

11 115. Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, has been, and continues to be unfair,
12 unlawful and harmful to Plaintiff, other class members, to the general public, and Defendants'
13 competitors. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks to enforce important rights affecting the public
14 interest within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

15 116. Defendants' activities as alleged herein are violations of California law, and
16 constitute unlawful business acts and practices in violation of California Business &
17 Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.*

18 117. A violation of California Business & Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.*
19 may be predicated on the violation of any state or federal law. In this instant case, Defendants'
20 policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff and the other class members work overtime hours
21 without paying them proper compensation violate California Labor Code sections 510 and
22 1198. Additionally, Defendants' policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff and the other class
23 members, to work through their meal and rest periods without paying them proper
24 compensation violate California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512(a). Moreover, Defendants'
25 policy and practice of failing to timely pay wages to Plaintiff and the other class members
26 violate California Labor Code sections 201 and 202. Defendants also violated California Labor
27 Code sections 226(a), 1194, 1197, 2800 and 2802.

28 118. As a result of the herein described violations of California law, Defendants

1 unlawfully gained an unfair advantage over other businesses.

2 119. Plaintiff and the other class members have been personally injured by
3 Defendants' unlawful business acts and practices as alleged herein, including but not
4 necessarily limited to the loss of money and/or property.

5 120. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.*,
6 Plaintiff and the other class members are entitled to restitution of the wages withheld and
7 retained by Defendants during a period that commences four years prior to the filing of this
8 Complaint; an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil procedure section
9 1021.5 and other applicable laws; and an award of costs.

10 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

11 Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly
12 situated, requests a trial by jury.

13 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

14 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other members of the general
15 public similarly situated, prays for relief and judgment against Defendants, jointly and
16 severally, as follows:

17 **Class Certification**

- 18 1. That this action be certified as a class action;
19 2. That Plaintiff be appointed as representative of the Class;
20 3. That counsel for Plaintiff be appointed as Class Counsel; and
21 4. That Defendants provide to Class Counsel immediately the names and most
22 current contact information (address, e-mail and telephone numbers) of all class members.

23 **As to the First Cause of Action**

- 24 5. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
25 Labor Code sections 510 and 1198 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to pay
26 all overtime wages due to Plaintiff and the other class members;

- 27 6. For general unpaid wages at overtime wage rates and such general and special
28 damages as may be appropriate;

1 7. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid overtime compensation commencing
2 from the date such amounts were due;

3 8. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to
4 California Labor Code section 1194; and

5 9. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

6 **As to the Second Cause of Action**

7 10. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
8 Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to
9 provide all meal periods (including second meal periods) to Plaintiff and the other class
10 members;

11 11. That the Court make an award to Plaintiff and the other class members of one
12 (1) hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that a meal
13 period was not provided;

14 12. For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to
15 proof;

16 13. For premium wages pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7(b);

17 14. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such amounts
18 were due;

19 15. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and

20 16. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

21 **As to the Third Cause of Action**

22 17. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
23 Labor Code section 226.7 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to provide all
24 rest periods to Plaintiff and the other class members;

25 18. That the Court make an award to Plaintiff and the other class members of one
26 (1) hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that a rest
27 period was not provided;

28 19. For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to

1 proof;

2 20. For premium wages pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7(b);

3 21. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such amounts
4 were due; and

5 22. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

6 **As to the Fourth Cause of Action**

7 23. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
8 Labor Code sections 1194 and 1197 by willfully failing to pay minimum wages to Plaintiff and
9 the other class members;

10 24. For general unpaid wages and such general and special damages as may be
11 appropriate;

12 25. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation from the date such
13 amounts were due;

14 26. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to
15 California Labor Code section 1194(a);

16 27. For liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194.2; and

17 28. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

18 **As to the Fifth Cause of Action**

19 29. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
20 Labor Code sections 201, 202, and 203 by willfully failing to pay all compensation owed at the
21 time of termination of the employment of Plaintiff and the other class members no longer
22 employed by Defendants;

23 30. For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to
24 proof;

25 31. For statutory wage penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 203 for
26 the other class members who have left Defendants' employ;

27 32. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation from the date such
28 amounts were due; and

1 33. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

2 **As to the Sixth Cause of Action**

3 34. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated the record
4 keeping provisions of California Labor Code section 226(a) and applicable IWC Wage Orders
5 as to Plaintiff and the other class members, and willfully failed to provide accurate itemized
6 wage statements thereto;

7 35. For actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;

8 36. For statutory penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(e);

9 37. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to
10 California Labor Code section 226(g); and

11 38. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

12 **As to the Seventh Cause of Action**

13 39. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
14 Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 by willfully failing to reimburse Plaintiff and the other
15 class members for all necessary business-related expenses as required by California Labor
16 Code sections 2800 and 2802;

17 40. For actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;

18 41. For the imposition of civil penalties and/or statutory penalties;

19 42. For punitive damages and/or exemplary damages according to proof at trial;

20 43. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and

21 44. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

22 **As to the Eighth Cause of Action**

23 45. For civil penalties pursuant to statute as set forth in Labor Code sections 2698,
24 *et seq.*, for Defendants' violation of Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 218.5, 221,
25 226(a), 226.3, 226.7, 246, 510, 512(a), 558, 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800, and
26 2802.

27 46. For costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to Labor Code sections 210, 218.5, 1194,
28 and 2699, and any other applicable statute; and

1 47. For such other and further relief the court may deem just and proper.

2 **As to the Ninth Cause of Action**

3 48. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
4 Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* by failing to provide Plaintiff and the
5 other class members all overtime compensation due to them, failing to provide all meal and
6 rest periods to Plaintiff and the other class members, failing to pay at least minimum wages to
7 Plaintiff and the other class members, failing to pay Plaintiff and the other class members'
8 wages timely as required by California Labor Code section 201, 202.

9 49. For restitution of unpaid wages to Plaintiff and the other class members and all
10 pre-judgment interest from the day such amounts were due and payable;

11 50. For the appointment of a receiver to receive, manage and distribute any and all
12 funds disgorged from Defendants and determined to have been wrongfully acquired by
13 Defendants as a result of violation of California Business and Professions Code sections
14 17200, *et seq.*;

15 51. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to
16 California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5;

17 52. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to
18 California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.*; and

19 53. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.
20

21 Dated: June 13, 2022

JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION

22
23
24 By: 

Douglas Han
Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh
John M. Bickford
Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1

March 31, 2022

BY U.S. EMAIL/ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION

PAGAfilings@dir.ca.gov

State of California

Labor & Workforce Development Agency

800 Capitol Mall, MIC-55

Sacramento, California 95814

Re: SIERRA CIRCUITS, INC.

Dear Representative:

We have been retained to represent Alejandro Munoz against Sierra Circuits, Inc. (including any and all affiliates, managers, members, subsidiaries, and parents, and their shareholders, officers, directors, and employees), any individual, owner, officer and managing agent, DOES 1-10 as an "Employer" or person acting on behalf of an "Employer" pursuant to California Labor Code section 558.1, and DOES 11-20¹ for violations of California wage-and-hour laws (hereinafter collectively referred to as "SIERRA CIRCUITS").

Mr. Munoz is pursuing his California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.*, the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") claim on a representative basis. Therefore, Mr. Munoz may seek penalties for violations of the Labor Code on behalf of the State of California and aggrieved employees, which are recoverable under PAGA. This letter is sent in compliance with the reporting requirements of California Labor Code section 2699.3.

Sierra Circuits, Inc. is a California corporation located at 1108 W. Evelyn Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94088.

SIERRA CIRCUITS employed Mr. Munoz as an hourly-paid non-exempt Via Fill/Plating Operator until in or around July of 2020 in the State of California. SIERRA CIRCUITS directly controlled the wages, hours and/or working conditions of Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees' employment, including direction, retention, scheduling, supervision, and termination.

The "aggrieved employees" that Mr. Munoz may seek penalties on behalf of are all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees (whether hired directly or through a staffing agency) of SIERRA CIRCUITS within the State of California.

¹ Mr. Munoz does not know the true names or capacities, whether individual, partner or corporate, of DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and for that reason, said DOES are designated under such fictitious names. Mr. Munoz will amend this notice when the true names and capacities are known. Mr. Munoz is informed and believes that each DOE was responsible in some way for the matters alleged herein and proximately caused Mr. Munoz and other current and former aggrieved employees to be subject to the illegal employment practices, wrongs and injuries complained of herein.

SIERRA CIRCUITS failed to properly pay its hourly-paid or non-exempt employees for all hours worked, failed to properly provide or compensate minimum and overtime wages and for meal and rest breaks, failed to issue compliant wage statements and failed to reimburse for all necessary business-related costs and expenses, thus resulting in other Labor Code violations as stated below.

Pursuant to *Huff v. Securitas Security Services*, 23 Cal. App. 5th 745, 751 (2018), an employee who brings a representative action and was affected by at least one of the violations alleged in the complaint has standing to pursue penalties on behalf of the state not only for that violation, but for violations affecting other employees as well. Accordingly, Mr. Munoz has standing to pursue penalties on behalf of the state for violations affecting all the aggrieved employees at SIERRA CIRCUITS, regardless of their classification, job title, location, or whether they were hired directly or through a staffing agency.

SIERRA CIRCUITS has violated and/or continues to violate, among other provisions of the California Labor Code and applicable wage law, California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 218.5, 221, 226(a), 226.3, 226.7, 246(l), 510, 512(a), 558, 1174(d), 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800 and 2802, and the IWC Wage Orders.

California Labor Code sections 510, 1194, and 1198 require employers to pay at least minimum wage for all hours worked, pay time-and-a-half, or double-time overtime wages, and make it unlawful to work employees for hours longer than eight hours in one day and/or over forty hours in one week without paying the premium overtime rates. During the relevant time period, Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees routinely worked in excess of 8 hours in a day and 40 hours in a week. SIERRA CIRCUITS failed to compensate Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees for all hours worked and performing off-the-clock work. Such off-the-clock work consisted of, but was not limited to: (1) pre-shift work including preparing the workstation; (2) waiting in line to clock in due to an inadequate number of timeclocks on the premises; (3) post-shift work including close-out tasks, e.g., last minute orders, and completing high-priority "hot jobs" and (4) working during meal breaks.

SIERRA CIRCUITS also failed to include non-discretionary bonuses and incentives (e.g., productivity and performance incentives) in aggrieved employees' regular rate of pay for purposes of overtime compensation. Therefore, Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees were entitled to receive certain wages for overtime compensation, but they were not paid for all overtime hours worked.

///

///

California Labor Code section 246 requires that employers provide employees with paid sick leave of not less than one hour per every 30 hours worked. California Labor Code section 246(l) also requires that paid sick leave be paid at a non-exempt employee's regular rate of pay for the workweek in which the employee uses paid sick time or at a rate calculated by dividing the employee's total wages, not including overtime premium pay, by the employee's total hours worked in the full pay periods of the prior 90 days of employment. During the relevant time period, SIERRA CIRCUITS failed to pay Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees with paid sick leave that complied with California Labor Code section 246, by, for example, failing to pay paid sick leave at non-exempt employee's regular rate of pay or at a rate calculated by dividing the employee's total wages, not including overtime premium pay, by the employee's total hours worked in the full pay periods of the prior 90 days of employment.

California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 require employers to pay an employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate for each workday that a meal or rest break is not provided. During the relevant time period, SIERRA CIRCUITS routinely required Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees to work through, interrupt, cut short, and/or delay their meal and rest breaks to comply with SIERRA CIRCUITS's policies and expectations, and meet production quotas. SIERRA CIRCUITS failed to provide coverage to Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees so they may be relieved of all work duties and take legally mandated meal and rest breaks. Additionally, by failing to maintain an adequate number of timeclocks, SIERRA CIRCUITS forced aggrieved employees to cut their meal breaks short to stand in line at the timeclock, clock-in, and immediately return to work before the end of their breaks. Moreover, SIERRA CIRCUITS's breakrooms were located several minutes away from the workstations, forcing aggrieved employees to spend a portion of their meal and rest breaks travelling to and from the breakrooms during their breaks. Lastly, SIERRA CIRCUITS also failed to authorize and permit Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees to take the requisite number of meal and rest breaks, including second meal breaks and third rest breaks, when working shifts exceeding 10 hours in length. Despite these facts, SIERRA CIRCUITS failed to compensate Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees all the premium wages they were owed, including failing to pay premium wages at Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees' regular rate of pay.

///

///

///

California Labor Code section 201 requires that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately. California Labor Code section 202 requires that if an employee not having a written contract for a definite period quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than 72 hours thereafter, unless the employee has given 72 hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting. California Labor Code section 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in accordance with Labor Code sections 201 201.3, 201.5, 201.6, 201.8, 201.9, 202, and 205.5, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or until an action therefor is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than 30 days. During the relevant time period, SIERRA CIRCUITS failed to pay Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees all wages, including for uncompensated off-the-clock work, unpaid overtime premiums and premium wages for failing to provide legally mandated meal and rest breaks, due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code sections 201 and 203 and therefore is liable under California Labor Code section 203.

California Labor Code section 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month. California Labor Code section 204 also requires that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, SIERRA CIRCUITS failed to pay Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them, including for uncompensated off-the-clock work, unpaid overtime premiums and premium wages for failing to provide legally mandated meal and rest breaks within any time period specified by California Labor Code section 204.

///

///

///

California Labor Code section 226 requires employers to make, keep and provide complete and accurate itemized wage statements to their employees. During the relevant time period, SIERRA CIRCUITS did not provide Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees with complete and accurate itemized wage statements. The wage statements they received from SIERRA CIRCUITS were in violation of California Labor Code section 226(a). The violations include, but are not limited to, the failure to include (1) gross wages earned by Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees, (2) total hours worked by Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate by Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees (4) all deductions for Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees, (5) net wages earned by Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees are paid, (7) the name of the aggrieved employee and only the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees.

California Labor Code section 558 allows recovery of penalties. (a) Any employer or other person acting on behalf of an employer who violates, or causes to be violated, a section of this chapter or any provision regulating hours and days of work in any order of the Industrial Welfare Commission shall be subject to a civil penalty as follows: (1) For any initial violation, fifty dollars (\$50) for each underpaid employee for each pay period for which the employee was underpaid in addition to an amount sufficient to recover underpaid wages. (2) For each subsequent violation, one hundred dollars (\$100) for each underpaid employee for each pay period for which the employee was underpaid in addition to an amount sufficient to recover underpaid wages. (3) Wages recovered pursuant to this section shall be paid to the affected employee. Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees have been denied their wages and premium wages and, therefore, are entitled to penalties.

California Labor Code sections 1174(d) requires an employer to keep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case, shall be kept on file for not less than two years. During the relevant time period, SIERRA CIRCUITS failed to keep accurate and complete payroll records showing the hours worked daily and the wages paid, to Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees.

///

///

California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197 and 1197.1 provide the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a lesser wage than the minimum so fixed is unlawful. During the relevant time period, SIERRA CIRCUITS did not provide Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees with the minimum wages to which they were entitled despite constructive and actual knowledge of off-the-clock work, including pre- and post-shift, donning and doffing uniforms, undergoing security procedures, waiting in line to clock-in, and during meal breaks.

California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 require an employer to reimburse its employee for all necessary expenditures incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her job duties or in direct consequence of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer. During their employment, Mr. Munoz and other aggrieved employees incurred necessary business-related expenses and costs that were not fully reimbursed by SIERRA CIRCUITS, including for purchasing equipment they were required to wear while working (e.g., steel-toed boots), and using their personal cellular phones to communicate with coworkers, supervisors, and customers on a daily basis.

We believe that Mr. Munoz and other current and former California-based hourly-paid or non-exempt employees are entitled to penalties as allowed under California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.* for violations of Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 218.5, 221, 226(a), 226.3, 226.7, 246(l), 510, 512(a), 558, 1174(d), 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800 and 2802, and the IWC Wage Orders.

California Labor Code section 2699.3 requires that a claimant send a certified letter to the employer in questions and the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency setting forth the claims, and the basis for the claims, thereby giving the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency an opportunity to investigate the claims and/or take any action it deems appropriate.

The purpose of this letter is to satisfy the requirement created by California Labor code section 2699 prior to seeking penalties allowed by law for the aforementioned statutory violations. We look forward to determining whether California Labor & Workforce Development Agency intends to take any action in reference to these claims. We kindly request that you respond to this notice according to the time frame contemplated by the California Labor Code.

Mr. Munoz will seek these penalties on his own behalf and on behalf of other similarly situated California-based hourly-paid or non-exempt employees of SIERRA CIRCUITS within one year of the date of this letter, as allowed by law.

LWDA
March 31, 2022
Page 7 of 7

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for your attention to this matter and the noble cause you advance each and every day.

Very truly yours,

JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Han", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Douglas Han, Esq.

CC: (By Email Only):

Jenn M. Protas
jenn.protas@hogefenton.com
Maysa Saeed
maysa.saeed@hogefenton.com
Counsel for Sierra Circuits, Inc.

[illegible]

PROOF OF SERVICE