E-SERVICE
81169506
Oct 26 2026
04:40PM
File & ServeXpress

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OCT 26 2026

CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Edward J. [illegible]
Deputy Clerk

SPYNSIR TUCKER, for herself, all other similarly situated individuals, and the general public,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZUM SF, INC., ZUM SERVICES, INC., RITU NARAYAN, ABISHEK GARG, AND VIVEK GARG,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-22-598935

**AMENDED JUDGMENT**

Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of this Court's Order Granting Final Approval of Amended Class and Representative Action Settlement Agreement and Release dated October 29, 2025 ("Final Approval Order"). For the purposes of this Amended Judgment, the Court hereby incorporates the Class and Representative Action Settlement Agreement and amendments thereto (the "Settlement Agreement") by reference.[1]

The Settlement Class is defined as "all individuals employed by Zum SF, Inc. as drivers in the State of California at any time between March 29, 2018, and June 25, 2025."

No Class Member objected to the settlement following a full and fair opportunity to participate.

Latreece Huckleby and Nathan Pinson requested exclusion from the settlement and are hereby excluded as nonparticipating class members. This judgment binds all participating class members.

[1] "Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement" refers collectively to the Amended Class and Representative Action Settlement Agreement and Release and Amendment No. 1 thereto, attached as Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion For Final Approval Of Class Action And PAGA Settlement filed October 1, 2025; and Amendment No. 2 To Amended Class And Representative Action Settlement Agreement And Release filed October 20, 2025.

The Gross Settlement Amount is $2,500,000 plus employer share of payroll taxes, to be calculated by the Settlement Administrator, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement. In its Final Approval order, the Court authorized the following distributions from the Gross Settlement Amount: (1) $750,000 in attorneys' fees to Class Counsel; (2) $26,227.74 to Class Counsel for litigation costs; (3) a $7,500 Enhancement Award to Plaintiff; (4) $23,000 to Phoenix Settlement Administrators for settlement administration costs; and (5) $187,500 Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") allocation to the LWDA.

The Settlement Administrator is directed to calculate the Class Members' Individual Settlement Amounts from the Net Settlement Fund and issue payments in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

Settlement checks that remain uncashed 90 or more calendar days after issuance shall be cancelled and the uncashed funds calculated by the Settlement Administrator. Should $100,000 or more remain uncashed after the first distribution, the Settlement Administrator shall engage in a second round of calculations and mail a second round of checks to all Settlement Class members who cashed their original checks, to be cancelled if uncashed after 90 or more calendar days from issuance. After the second distribution, or first distribution if less than $100,000 remains uncashed after the first distribution, the Settlement Administrator shall deliver any remaining funds as a *cy pres* donation to Legal Services of Northern California, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

The initial check cashing deadline was June 27, 2026. (Salinas Decl. Regarding Final Accounting ¶ 6.) As of that date, $104,149.79 in settlement funds remained uncashed. (*Id.* ¶ 7.) The Settlement Administrator thereafter conducted a second distribution to class members who cashed their initial checks. The second check cashing deadline was October 8, 2026, and as of October 16, 2026, there are $16,332.53 in residual funds remaining. (*Id.* ¶ 11.) Accordingly, the Court hereby directs the Settlement Administrator to tender the residual funds to the *cy pres* beneficiary, Legal Services of Northern California.

By this Amended Judgment, the Class Representatives shall release, relinquish, and discharge, and each of the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 and the California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), this Court retains jurisdiction over the Parties, Participating Class Members, and the LWDA with respect to enforcement of this Amended Judgment including, but not limited to, all matters related to the interpretation, administration, and consummation of the Settlement.

Notice of this Amended Judgment shall be provided to the Settlement Class by posting the Final Approval Order and this Judgment on the settlement website for a period of not less than 60 days from the date the judgment is entered. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Judgment to the Settlement Class by any other means.

This document shall constitute a judgment for the purposes of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h).

Plaintiffs shall submit a copy of this Judgment to the LWDA within 10 days after entry pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(1)(3).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 26 2026

Ethan P. Schulman
Judge of the Superior Court

**CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE**

**(CCP 1010.6, and CRC 2.251)**

I, Edward Santos, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On October 26, 2026, I electronically served:

**AMENDED JUDGMENT**

via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.

Date: OCT 26 2026

Brandon E. Riley, Court Executive Officer

By: Edward J. Santos

Edward Santos, Deputy Clerk

**Superior Court of California**
County of San Francisco

| | |
|---|---|
| SPYNSIR TUCKER, for herself, all other similarly-situated individuals, and the general public,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>v.<br><br>ZUM SF, INC., ZUM SERVICES, INC., RITU NARAYAN, ABHISHEK GARG, AND VIVEK GARG,<br><br>Defendants. | **Case No. CGC-22-598935**<br><br>**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL**<br>(CCP 1013a (4)) |

I, Edward Santos, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On 10/26/2026 I served the attached

**AMENDED JUDGMENT**

by placing a copy there of in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:

**Chair, Judicial Council of California**
Attn: Appellate Court Services
(Civil Case Coordination)
455 Golden Gate Avenue, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

I then placed the sealed envelope(s) in the outgoing mail at 400 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 on the date indicated above for collection, attachment of required prepaid postage, and mailing on that date following standard court practices.

Dated: 10/26/2026

Brandon E. Riley, Court Executive Officer

By: _____
Edward Santos, Deputy Clerk III