DANIEL F. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 251488
daniel@gaineslawfirm.com
GAINES & GAINES, APLC
4550 East Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 100
Westlake Village, CA 91362
Telephone: (818) 703-8985
Facsimile: (818) 703-8984

FILED
10/27/2026
Superior Court of California,
County of Fresno
By: J. Maddon

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jose Ramon Perez Vaca, on behalf of himself and all "aggrieved employees" pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*

ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED
Superior Court of California,
County of Fresno
By: Estela Gonzalez, Deputy Clerk
10/23/26 12:12 PM

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF FRESNO

JOSE RAMON PEREZ VACA, on behalf of himself and all "aggrieved employees" pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.,*

Plaintiffs,

v.

SRAN AG II, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 22CECG03802

AMENDED [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER AND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO C.C.P. § 664.6

Date: October 22, 2026
Time: 3:30 p.m.
Dept.: 502

Complaint Filed: November 29, 2022

Plaintiff Jose Ramon Perez Vaca's ("Plaintiff") Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement Pursuant to Cal. Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 (the "Motion") came on for hearing on October 22, 2026 at 3:30 p.m. in Department 502 of the above-entitled Court, the Honorable Lisa M. Gamoian presiding. No opposition to the Motion was filed, and no oral argument was requested. The Court's tentative ruling became the order of the Court.

The Court, having reviewed the moving papers on Plaintiff's Motion, and good cause appearing:

The Court **FINDS**, **ADJUDGES** and **ORDERS** as follows:

1. That the Motion of Plaintiff Jose Ramon Perez Vaca is GRANTED.

2. That Plaintiff and Defendant SRAN AG II, INC. ("Defendant") entered into a valid, binding written agreement, signed by the Parties, the terms of which are stated in the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code § 2698 *et seq*.) Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") attached as **Exhibit A** to the Declaration of Daniel F. Gaines in support of the Motion.

3. That Plaintiff has provided satisfactory evidence that Defendant has breached the Settlement Agreement by failing to comply with the terms thereof.

4. That Plaintiff has fully performed his obligations under the Settlement Agreement, and is therefore entitled to enforcement of the Settlement Agreement pursuant to C.C.P. § 664.6.

5. Judgment shall forthwith be entered pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as follows:

Judgment shall be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant SRAN AG II, Inc., a California corporation, in the total amount of $63,893.49, which consists of the outstanding balance owed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement in the amount of $63,333.49, attorneys' fees in the amount of $500, and costs in the amount of $60.

IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.

Dated: October 27, 2026

Lisa M Gamoian
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT