

Nicholas J. Ferraro (State Bar No. 306528)  
Lauren N. Vega (State Bar No. 306525)  
Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers, Inc.  
3333 Camino del Rio South, Suite 300  
San Diego, California 92108  
(619) 693-7727 main / (619) 350-6855 facsimile  
nick@ferrarovega.com / lauren@ferrarovega.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Madelynn Black*

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED**  
Superior Court of California,  
County of San Diego

**04/10/2024** at 12:20:00 PM

Clerk of the Superior Court  
By E- Filing, Deputy Clerk

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

MADELYNN BLACK *et al.*

Plaintiff,

vs.

EWG EAST BAY, INC. *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2022-00009708-CU-OE-CTL

*Hon. Kenneth J. Medel*  
*Dept. 66*

**CLASS ACTION**

**Notice of Entry of Order Granting Final  
Approval and Entering Judgment**

Action Filed: March 14, 2022

1 TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, PLEASE  
2 TAKE NOTICE THAT:

3 1. On March 15, 2024, at 9:30 a.m. in this Department, the Court entered the Order and  
4 Judgment Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Attorneys' Fees and Costs and  
5 Entering Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

6 2. The Judge confirmed the Court's Tentative Ruling attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

7 3. A conformed copy of this Notice will be submitted to the LWDA via its online  
8 electronic portal in accordance with Labor Code § 2699(1)(3).

9 4. Notice of the Court's final ruling and entry of judgment is hereby provided.

10  
11 Respectfully submitted,

12  
13 Dated: April 10, 2024

***Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers, Inc.***

14   
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 Nicholas J. Ferraro  
17 Lauren N. Vega  
18 Attorneys for Plaintiff Madelynn Black

# EXHIBIT 1

\* Duplicate  
Original \*

**FILED**  
San Diego Superior Court

MAR 15 2024

Clerk of the Superior Court  
By: B. Orihuela, Deputy

**ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED**  
Superior Court of California,  
County of San Diego

03/12/2024 at 11:32:43 AM

Clerk of the Superior Court  
By Emily Schilawski, Deputy Clerk

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

MADELYNN BLACK, *et al.*

Plaintiff,

vs.

EWG EAST BAY, INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2022-00009708-CU-OE-CTL

*Hon. Kenneth J. Medel*  
*Dept. 66*

**CLASS ACTION**

**Amended [Proposed] Order Granting Final  
Approval of Class Action Settlement and  
Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Entering  
Judgment**

Motion for Final Approval/Attorneys' Fees:

Date: March 15, 2024

Time: 9:30 a.m.

[Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion and  
Motion for Final Approval of Class Action  
Settlement, Memorandum of Points and  
Authorities, Declaration of Nicholas J. Ferraro,  
Declaration of Settlement Administrator, and  
Declaration of Madelynn Black]

Action Filed: March 14, 2022

Amended [Proposed] Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement  
and Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Entering Judgment

1 This matter came on for hearing on March 15, 2024 at 9:30 a.m. in Department 66 of the above-  
2 captioned Court, the Honorable Kenneth J. Medel presiding, on (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Final  
3 Approval of Class Action Settlement and (2) Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

4 Having received and considered the motions and supporting papers, including the Class Action  
5 Settlement Agreement ("Settlement"), the evidence and documents received by the Court in connection  
6 with the Motions for Final Approval and Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and the previously decided Motion  
7 for Preliminary Approval, the Court GRANTS FINAL APPROVAL of the Settlement and ORDERS  
8 AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

9 1. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement and the Order Granting Preliminary Approval,  
10 and the Settlement, a notice was sent to each class member by first-class U.S. mail. The notice  
11 informed the class of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive a settlement payment without  
12 any required action, their right to comment upon, opt-out of, or object to the Settlement, and their right  
13 to appear in person or by counsel at the Final Approval Hearing and to be heard regarding approval of  
14 the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided for each of these procedures.

15 2. Zero class members returned a written objection to the proposed Settlement as part of  
16 the notice process or stated an intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing and there were no  
17 dissenting appearances from class members at the hearing. Seven class members requested exclusion  
18 from the Settlement: Andrea Aguilar, Jordan Harp, Avalon Sweany, Rachel Valance, Sierra Marin  
19 Anderson, Bernadette Perez, and Fatima Azam. These "opt outs" are affirmatively excluded from the  
20 class settlement.

21 3. The Court finds and determines the notice procedure afforded adequate protections to  
22 the class and provides the basis for the Court's informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement  
23 based on the response. The Court finds and determines the notice provided was the best notice  
24 practicable, satisfying the requirements of law and due process.

25 4. For purposes of approving this Settlement only, this Court finds and concludes: (a) the  
26 proposed class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the class is  
27 impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the proposed class, and there is a well-  
28 defined community of interest among members of the class with respect to the subject matter of the

1 claims; (c) the claims of the representative are typical of the claims of the class; (d) the class  
2 representative has and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class; (e) a class action is  
3 superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy in the context of  
4 settlement; and (f) the law firm of Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers, Inc. is qualified and adequate  
5 to serve as Class Counsel in this action.

6 5. The Court confirms certification, for settlement purposes only, of the class as defined in  
7 the Settlement and approved at the preliminary approval stage.

8 6. The Court finds and determines the terms set forth in the Settlement are fair, reasonable,  
9 and adequate and, having found the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive  
10 arms'-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral and experienced mediator, directs the Parties to  
11 effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. The Court further finds the Parties conducted  
12 extensive investigation, research, and informal discovery, and that their attorneys were able to  
13 reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that Settlement will enable the  
14 Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the  
15 Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery and  
16 recognizes the significant value provided to the Class. Therefore, the Court approves the Settlement  
17 and incorporates the terms of the Settlement in full into this Final Approval Order as though fully set  
18 forth herein.

19 7. The Court finds and determines the fees and expenses in administering the Settlement  
20 incurred by the Settlement Administrator of \$10,500 are fair and reasonable. The Court orders these  
21 administration costs be paid in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

22 8. The Court finds and determines the Service Award of \$10,000 to Plaintiff Black as fair  
23 and reasonable. The Court orders the service awards be paid in accordance with the terms of the  
24 Settlement.

25 9. The Court finds and determines payment to the California Labor and Workforce  
26 Development Agency of \$15,000, as its 75% share of the civil penalties under the Private Attorneys  
27 General Act is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court orders that amount be paid in accordance  
28

1 with the terms of the Settlement and approves the settlement of claims under the Private Attorneys  
2 General Act pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(1)(2).

3 10. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement and the statutory provisions authorizing  
4 attorneys' fees under the California Labor Code and Code of Civil Procedure, as set forth in the Motion  
5 for Attorneys' Fees, the Court awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees of \$133,320 and litigation costs  
6 of \$26,912.84. Class Counsel has sufficiently explained the basis for the fee award based on a  
7 percentage of the fund. The Court finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court orders the  
8 Settlement Administrator to make these payments in accordance with the Settlement.

9 11. Without affecting the finality of this Order or the entry of judgment in any way, the  
10 Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation,  
11 and enforcement of this Order and the Settlement.

12 12. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under  
13 the Settlement or under this Order, including the requirement that Defendant make payments to Class  
14 Members in accordance with the Settlement.

15 13. The Court hereby ENTERS FINAL JUDGMENT in accordance with the terms of the  
16 Settlement, in accordance with this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

17 14. The Parties shall comply with Cal. Rules of Court Rule 3.771(b), by filing a Notice of  
18 Entry of Judgment with the Court.

19  
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Date: 3.15.24

22   
23 The Honorable Kenneth J. Medel  
24 Judge of the Superior Court

## EXHIBIT 2

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,**

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

HALL OF JUSTICE

TENTATIVE RULINGS - March 14, 2024

EVENT DATE: 03/15/2024

EVENT TIME: 09:30:00 AM

DEPT.: C-66

JUDICIAL OFFICER: Kenneth J Medel

CASE NO.: 37-2022-00009708-CU-OE-CTL

CASE TITLE: BLACK VS EWC EAST BAY INC [EFILE]

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited

CASE TYPE: Other employment

EVENT TYPE: Motion Hearing (Civil)

CAUSAL DOCUMENT/DATE FILED: Motion for Approval of Class Settlement, 02/20/2024

---

Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement is GRANTED.