

Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417)
justin@wilshirelawfirm.com
Christina M. Le (SBN 237697)
cle@wilshirelawfirm.com
Zachary D. Greenberg (SBN 331501)
zgreenberg@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM
3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90010
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Electronically FILED by
Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles
10/23/2023 9:18 AM
David W. Slayton,
Executive Officer/Clerk of Court,
By K. Valenzuela, Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE

DARIUS YOUNG, individually, and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

M. ARTHUR GENSLER JR. & ASSOCIATES,
INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1
through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 22STCV07284

CLASS ACTION

*[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Lawrence
P. Riff, Dept. 07]*

NOTICE OF SIGNED JUDGMENT

Complaint filed:	February 28, 2022
FAC filed:	July 25, 2022
Trail date:	Not Set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that the Court in the above-referenced matter has granted
3 the Judgement. A true and correct copy of the signed Judgment is attached hereto as **Exhibit**
4 **A.**

5
6 Respectfully submitted,

7 Dated: October 20, 2023

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM

8
9 By: 

Justin F. Marquez
Christina M. Le
Zachary D. Greenberg

10
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit A

Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417)
justin@wilshirelawfirm.com
Christina M. Le (SBN 237697)
cle@wilshirelawfirm.com
Zachary D. Greenberg (SBN 331501)
zgreenberg@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM
3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90010
Telephone (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE

DARIUS YOUNG, individually, and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

M. ARTHUR GENSLER JR. & ASSOCIATES,
INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1
through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

10/18/2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: A. Morales Deputy

Case No.: 22STCV07284

CLASS ACTION

[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Lawrence
P. Riff, Dept. 07]

PROPOSED JUDGMENT

Complaint filed: February 28, 2022
FAC filed: July 25, 2022

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Order"), it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** as follows:

1. Judgment in this matter is entered in accordance with, and incorporates by reference the findings of, the Court's Order and the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement"). Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

2. Consistent with the definitions provided in the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Class consists of: all current and former non-exempt hourly-paid employees who worked for Defendant in California during the Class Period and did not previously sign a general release of claims. The Class Period means the period that starts on April 5, 2018, through June 5, 2023, the date of Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.

3. All Participating Class Members have released and forever discharged the Released Parties for any and all Released Class Claims: claims, demands, rights, liabilities, and causes of action, whether known or unknown, that were or could have been asserted (whether in tort, contract, or otherwise) for violation of federal, state or local law arising out of or reasonably relating to any facts, transactions, events, policies, occurrences, acts, disclosures, statements, omissions, or failures to act pleaded in the Operative Complaint, including that Defendant failed to pay all wages due (including minimum, straight, and overtime wages), failed to provide legally-compliant meal and rest breaks, failed to pay premium pay for meal and rest break violations, failed to provide timely pay including final pay, failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failed to reimburse for business expenses, engaged in unfair business practices, and for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act at any time during the Class Period. Except as set forth in Paragraph 5.3 of the Settlement Agreement, Participating Class Members do not release any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, or claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period.

1 4. Additionally, all PAGA Group Members have released and forever discharged the
2 Released Parties for any and all Released PAGA Claims during the PAGA Period: all claims for
3 PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, arising out of or
4 reasonably relating to any facts, transactions, events, policies, occurrences, acts, disclosures,
5 statements, omissions, or failures to act pleaded in the Operative Complaint, including that
6 Defendant failed to pay all wages due (including minimum, straight, and overtime wages), failed
7 to provide legally-compliant meal and rest breaks, failed to pay premium pay for meal and rest
8 break violations, failed to provide timely pay including final pay, failed to provide accurate
9 itemized wage statements, and failed to reimburse for business expenses.

10 5. Without affecting the finality of the Judgment, the Court shall retain exclusive and
11 continuing jurisdiction over the above-captioned action and the parties, including all Participating
12 Class Members and PAGA Members, for purposes of enforcing the terms of the Judgment entered
13 herein.

14 This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rules of Court,
15 Rule 3.769(h)

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.**

18
19
20 DATE: 10/18/2023



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lawrence P. Riff".

Lawrence P. Riff / Judge
Hon. Lawrence P. Riff
Los Angeles County Superior Court

Young v. M. Arthur Gensler Jr. & Associates
22STCV07284

I, Aime Alvarez, state that I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90010. My electronic service address is aalvarez@wilshirelawfirm.com.

On October 20, 2023, I served the foregoing **NOTICE OF SIGNED JUDGMENT**, on the interested parties by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope by following one of the methods of service as follows:

Lisa M. Bowman
lisa.bowman@orrick.com
 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 The Orrick Building
 405 Howard Street
 San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
 Telephone: (415) 773 5700
 Facsimile: (415) 773 5759

Mariam Bicknell
mbicknell@orrick.com
 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 2050 Main Street, Suite 1100
 Irvine, California 92614
 Telephone: (949) 567-6700
 Facsimile: (949) 567-6710

Attorneys for Defendant

(X) **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:** Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service **CASEANYWHERE**.

(X) **BY UPLOAD:** I hereby certify that the documents were uploaded by my office to the State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Online Filing Site.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 20, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.

Aime Alvarez