

PAGA SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This PAGA Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”) is made by and between Plaintiff Julio Lopez (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Steven Label, LLC (A Delaware LLC); Steven Label Corporation; and Steven Label, LLC (A California LLC) (referred to collectively as “Steven Label” or “Defendants”). The Agreement refers to Plaintiff and Steven Label collectively as “Parties,” or individually as “Party.”

1. DEFINITIONS.

- 1.1. “Action” means the Plaintiff’s PAGA lawsuit alleging wage and hour violations against Steven Label captioned Julio Lopez v. Steven Label, LLC et al., initiated on July 5, 2022 and pending in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. 22STCV21691.
- 1.2. “Administrator” means Simpluris, the neutral entity the Parties have agreed to appoint to administer the Settlement.
- 1.3. “Administration Expenses Payment” means the amount the Administrator will be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount to reimburse its reasonable fees and expenses in accordance with the Administrator’s “not to exceed” bid submitted to the Court in connection with approval of this Settlement.
- 1.4. “Aggrieved Employee” means all current and former non-exempt employees that worked for Defendants at any location in California at any time during the PAGA Period.
- 1.5. “Aggrieved Employee Data” means Aggrieved Employee identifying information in Steven Label’s possession including the Aggrieved Employee’s name, last-known mailing address, Social Security number, and number of PAGA Pay Periods.
- 1.6. “Aggrieved Employee Address Search” means the Administrator’s investigation and search for current Aggrieved Employee mailing addresses using all reasonably available sources, methods and means including, but not limited to, the National Change of Address database, skip traces, and direct contact by the Administrator with Aggrieved Employees.
- 1.7. "Approval Order" means the proposed Court Order Granting Approval of PAGA Settlement.
- 1.8. “Court” means the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 1.9. “Defense Counsel” means Beth Kahn, Esq., Matthew Richardson, Esq., and Musick, Peeler & Garrett LLP.
- 1.10. “Effective Date” means the date when the Court enters Judgment on its Approval

Order. The Judgment is final as of the date the Court enters the Judgment.

- 1.11. “Gross Settlement Amount” means \$325,000 which is the total amount Steven Label agrees to pay under the Settlement except as provided in Paragraphs 8 below. The Gross Settlement Amount will be used to pay Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, PAGA Counsel Fees Payment, PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Administrator’s Expenses Payment.
- 1.12. “Individual PAGA Payment” means the Aggrieved Employee’s pro rata share of 25% of the PAGA Penalties calculated according to the number of Pay Periods the Aggrieved Employee worked during the PAGA Period.
- 1.13. “Judgment” means the judgment entered by the Court based upon the Court’s approval of the settlement.
- 1.14. “LWDA” means the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, the agency entitled, under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (i).
- 1.15. “LWDA PAGA Payment” means the 75% of the PAGA Penalties paid to the LWDA under Labor Code section 2699, subd. (i).
- 1.16. “Net Settlement Amount” means the Gross Settlement Amount, less the following payments in the amounts approved by the Court: Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, PAGA Counsel Fees Payment, PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Administration Expenses Payment. The remainder is to be paid to Aggrieved Employees as Individual PAGA Payments.
- 1.17. “Notice of PAGA Settlement” means the letter, substantially similar to the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, explaining the Settlement to the Aggrieved Employees, which will accompany each settlement check.
- 1.18. “PAGA Counsel” means Zach Crosner, Esq., Jamie Serb, Esq., Michael Jones, Esq., and Crosner Legal PC; the attorneys representing the Plaintiff in the Action.
- 1.19. “PAGA Counsel Fees Payment” means the amounts allocated to PAGA Counsel for reimbursement of reasonable attorneys’ fees incurred to prosecute the Action.
- 1.20. “PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment” means the amount allocated to PAGA Counsel for reimbursement of reasonable expenses incurred to prosecute the Action.
- 1.21. “PAGA Pay Period” means any Pay Period during which an Aggrieved Employee worked for Steven Label for at least one day during the PAGA Period.
- 1.22. “PAGA Period” means the period from May 1, 2021 to July 18, 2023.
- 1.23. “PAGA” means the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698. et seq.).

- 1.24. “PAGA Notice” means Plaintiff’s April 28, 2022 letter to Steven Label and the LWDA providing notice pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd.(a).
- 1.25. “PAGA Penalties” means the total amount of PAGA civil penalties to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, allocated 25% to the Aggrieved Employees (approximately \$49,416.67) and the 75% to LWDA (approximately \$148,250.00) in settlement of PAGA claims.
- 1.26. “Plaintiff” means Julio Lopez, the named plaintiff in the Action.
- 1.27. “Released PAGA Claims” means the claims being released by the Plaintiff and PAGA Counsel and as described in Paragraph 5 below.
- 1.28. “Released Parties” means: Steven Label and each of its past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries, affiliated companies, agents, managing agents, employees, servants, officers, directors, owners (whether direct or indirect), general partners, limited partners, trustees, representatives, shareholders, stockholders, members, franchisors, mortgagees or ground lessors, attorneys, parents, subsidiaries, equity sponsors, related companies/corporations and/or partnerships, divisions, assigns, predecessors, successors, insurers, consultants, joint venturers, joint employers, potential and/or alleged joint employers, temporary staffing agencies, dual employers, potential and/or alleged dual employers, co-employers, potential and/or alleged co-employers, common law employers, contractors, affiliates, service providers, alter-egos, potential and/or alleged alter-egos, vendors, affiliated organizations, any person and/or entity with potential or alleged to have joint liability, and all of their respective past, present and future employees, directors, officers, members, owners, agents, representatives, payroll agencies, attorneys, stockholders, fiduciaries, parents, subsidiaries, other service providers, and assigns and any and all persons and/or entities acting under, by, through or in concert with any of them.
- 1.29. “Settlement” means the disposition of the Action effected by this Agreement and the Judgment.
- 1.30. “Steven Label” means named Defendants Steven Label, LLC (A Delaware LLC); Steven Label Corporation; and Steven Label, LLC (A California LLC).

2. RECITALS.

- 2.1. On July 5, 2022, Plaintiff commenced this Action by filing a Complaint seeking penalties against Steven Label pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act, Cal. Labor Code §§ 2698 et seq. (“PAGA”) for Steven Label’s alleged violations of California employment law; including, but not limited to: meal period violations, rest period violations, unpaid minimum and overtime wages, inaccurate records, failure to produce records, unreimbursed business expenses, inaccurate wage statements, failure to provide suitable seating, standard conditions of labor violations, refusal to make payment,

statutory wage violations, sick leave violations, failure to provide supplemental paid sick leave, failure to timely pay all wages upon separation of employment, unlawful inquiries into salary history, and unlawful agreements-unlawful criminal history inquiries. The Complaint is the operative complaint in the Action (the “Operative Complaint.”) Steven Label denies the allegations in the Operative Complaint, denies any failure to comply with the laws identified in in the Operative Complaint and denies any and all liability for the causes of action alleged.

- 2.2. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd.(a), Plaintiff gave timely written notice to Steven Label and the LWDA by sending the PAGA Notice.
- 2.3. On July 18, 2023, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation presided over by mediator Henry Bongiovi, Esq., which led to this Agreement to settle the Action.
- 2.4. Prior to mediation/negotiating the Settlement, Plaintiff obtained, through informal discovery, Plaintiff’s personnel file, Plaintiff’s time and wage records, a 20% sampling of employees’ time and wage records, the number of Aggrieved Employees and applicable pay periods, Steven Label’s applicable employee handbook and policies, provided to Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period.
- 2.5. The Parties, PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel represent that they are not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or affected by the Settlement.

3. MONETARY TERMS.

- 3.1. Gross Settlement Amount. Except as otherwise provided by Paragraph 8 below, Steven Label promises to pay \$325,000 and no more as the Gross Settlement Amount. Steven Label has no obligation to pay the Gross Settlement Amount prior to the deadline stated in Paragraph 4.3 of this Agreement. The Administrator will disburse the entire Gross Settlement Amount without asking or requiring Aggrieved Employees to submit any claim as a condition of payment. None of the Gross Settlement Amount will revert to Steven Label.
- 3.2. Payments from the Gross Settlement Amount. The Administrator will make and deduct the following payments from the Gross Settlement Amount, in the amounts specified by the Court in the Approval Order:
 - 3.2.1. To PAGA Counsel: A PAGA Counsel Fees Payment of not more than one-third (1/3) which is currently estimated to be \$108,333.33 and PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment of not more than \$15,000.00. Steven Label will not oppose requests for Court approval of these payments provided that they do not exceed these amounts. As part of the Settlement approval motion, Plaintiff and/or PAGA Counsel will request approval for PAGA Counsel Fees Payment

and PAGA Litigation Expenses Payment. If the Court approves a PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and/or a PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment less than the amounts requested, the Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement Amount. Released Parties shall have no liability to PAGA Counsel or any other Plaintiff's Counsel arising from any claim to any portion any PAGA Counsel Fee Payment and/or PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment. The Administrator will pay the PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and PAGA Counsel Expenses Payment using one or more IRS 1099 Forms. PAGA Counsel assumes full responsibility and liability for taxes owed on the PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and the PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and holds Steven Label harmless, and indemnifies Steven Label, from any dispute or controversy regarding any division or sharing of any of these Payments.

3.2.2. To the Administrator: An Administrator Expenses Payment not to exceed \$4,000.00 except for a showing of good cause and as approved by the Court. To the extent the Administration Expenses are less or the Court approves payment less than \$4,000.00, the Administrator will retain the remainder in the Net Settlement Amount.

3.2.3. To the LWDA and Aggrieved Employees: PAGA Penalties in the amount of approximately \$197,666.67 to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, with 75% (approximately \$148,250.00) allocated to the LWDA PAGA Payment and 25% (approximately \$49,416.67) allocated to the Individual PAGA Payments.

3.2.3.1. The Administrator will calculate each Individual PAGA Payment by (a) dividing the amount of the Aggrieved Employees' 25% share of PAGA Penalties (approximately \$49,416.67) by the total number of PAGA Period Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the result by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Period Pay Periods. Aggrieved Employees assume full responsibility and liability for any taxes owed on their Individual PAGA Payment.

3.2.3.2. If the Court approves PAGA Penalties of less than the amount requested, the Administrator will allocate the remainder to the Net Settlement Amount. The Administrator will report the Individual PAGA Payments on IRS 1099 Forms.

4. SETTLEMENT FUNDING AND PAYMENTS.

4.1. Aggrieved Employee Pay Periods. Based on a review of its records, Steven Label estimates there were 328 Aggrieved Employees who worked a total of 21,652 PAGA Pay Periods.

4.2. Aggrieved Employee Data. Within 15 days, Steven Label will simultaneously deliver the Aggrieved Employee Data to the Administrator in the form of a Microsoft Excel

spreadsheet. To protect Aggrieved Employee' privacy rights, the Administrator must maintain the Aggrieved Employee Data in confidence, use the Aggrieved Employee Data only for purposes of this Settlement and for no other purpose, and restrict access to the Aggrieved Employee Data to Administrator employees who need access to the Aggrieved Employee Data to effect and perform under this Agreement. Steven Label has a continuing duty to immediately notify PAGA Counsel if it discovers that the Aggrieved Employee Data omitted employee identifying information and to provide corrected or updated Aggrieved Employee Data as soon as reasonably feasible. Without any extension of the deadline by which Steven Label must send the Aggrieved Employee Data to the Administrator, the Parties and their counsel will expeditiously use best efforts, in good faith, to reconstruct or otherwise resolve any issues related to missing or omitted Aggrieved Employee Data.

- 4.3. Funding of Gross Settlement Amount. Steven Label shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount by transmitting the funds to the Administrator no later than 14 days after the Effective Date.
- 4.4. Payments from the Gross Settlement Amount. Within 14 days after Steven Label funds the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will mail checks for all Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA PAGA Payment, the Administration Expenses Payment, the PAGA Counsel Expenses Payment. Disbursement of the PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment shall not precede disbursement of Individual PAGA Payments.
 - 4.4.1. The Administrator will issue checks for the Individual PAGA Payments and send them to the Aggrieved Employees via First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid. The face of each check shall prominently state the date (not less than 180 days after the date of mailing) when the check will be voided. The Administrator will cancel all checks not cashed by the void date. Before mailing any checks, the Settlement Administrator must update the recipients' mailing addresses using the National Change of Address Database.
 - 4.4.2. The distribution of Individual PAGA Payments shall be accompanied by the Notice of PAGA Settlement.
 - 4.4.3. The Administrator must conduct an Aggrieved Employee Address Search for all Aggrieved Employees whose checks are returned undelivered without USPS forwarding address. Within 7 days of receiving a returned check the Administrator must re-mail checks to the USPS forwarding address provided or to an address ascertained through the Aggrieved Employee Address Search. The Administrator need not take further steps to deliver checks to Aggrieved Employees whose re-mailed checks are returned as undelivered. The Administrator shall promptly send a replacement check to any Aggrieved Employee whose original check was lost or misplaced, requested by the Aggrieved Employee prior to the void date.
 - 4.4.4. For any Aggrieved Employee whose Individual PAGA Payment check is

uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Aggrieved Employee.

- 4.4.5. The payment of Individual PAGA Payments shall not obligate Steven Label to confer any additional benefits or make any additional payments to the Aggrieved Employees (such as 401(k) contributions or bonuses) beyond those specified in this Agreement.

5. RELEASES OF CLAIMS. Effective on the date when Steven Label fully funds the entire Gross Settlement Amount Plaintiff, Aggrieved Employees, and PAGA Counsel will release claims against all Released Parties as follows:

- 5.1 Release by Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees. The State of California and all Aggrieved Employees, including Plaintiff, are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint and the PAGA Notice.
- 5.2 Release by Plaintiff. Plaintiff and his or her respective former and present spouses, representatives, agents, attorneys (including PAGA Counsel), heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns generally, release and discharge Released Parties from all claims, transactions, or occurrences that occurred during the PAGA Period, including, but not limited to: (a) all claims that were, or reasonably could have been, alleged, based on the facts contained in the Operative Complaint and the PAGA Notice. Plaintiff acknowledges that Plaintiff may discover facts or law different from, or in addition to, the facts or law that Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true but agrees, nonetheless, that Plaintiff's Release shall be and remain effective in all respects, notwithstanding such different or additional facts or Plaintiff's discovery of them.
- 5.3 Release by PAGA Counsel. PAGA Counsel release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from any and all claims for Attorneys' Fees and Costs incurred in connection with, or in any way related to, the Actions, the PAGA Period facts stated in the Actions, and the PAGA Notices, except for the right to enforce the provisions of this settlement providing for fees and costs.

6. MOTION OR APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT. The Parties agree to jointly prepare and file an application or motion for approval of this Settlement.

- 6.1 Plaintiff's Responsibilities. Plaintiff will prepare and deliver to Defense Counsel all documents necessary for obtaining approval of this Settlement under Labor Code Section 2699, subd. (f)(2)) including (i) a draft proposed Order Granting Approval of PAGA Settlement; (ii) a signed declaration from the Administrator attaching its "not to exceed" bid for administering the Settlement and attesting to its willingness to serve; competency; operative procedures for protecting the security of Aggrieved Employee Data; amounts of insurance coverage for any data breach, defalcation of funds or other

misfeasance; all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflicts of interest with Aggrieved Employees or the LWDA; and the nature and extent of any financial relationship with Plaintiff, PAGA Counsel or Defense Counsel; (iii) a signed declaration from PAGA Counsel firm attesting to its timely transmission to the LWDA of all necessary PAGA documents (initial notice of violations (Labor Code section 2699.3, subd. (a)), Operative Complaint (Labor Code section 2699, subd. (l)(1)), this Agreement (Labor Code section 2699, subd. (l)(2)); (iv) a redlined version of the parties' Agreement showing all modifications made to the Model Agreement ready for filing with the Court; and (v) all facts relevant to any actual or potential conflict of interest with Aggrieved Employees and/or the Administrator. In their Declarations, Plaintiff and PAGA Counsel shall aver that they are not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or adversely affected by the Settlement.

- 6.2 Responsibilities of PAGA Counsel. PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel are jointly responsible for expeditiously finalizing and filing the application or motion for approval of this Settlement no later than 30 days after the full execution of this Agreement and, if necessary, obtaining a prompt hearing date for the motion and appearing in Court to advocate in favor of the motion. PAGA Counsel is responsible for delivering the Court's Order Granting Approval of PAGA Settlement to the Administrator.
- 6.3 Duty to Cooperate. If the Parties disagree on any aspect of the proposed application or motion for approval of this Settlement and/or the supporting declarations and documents, PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously work together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, to resolve the disagreement. If the Court does not grant the motion for approval of this Settlement or conditions its approval on any material change to this Agreement, PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel will expeditiously attempt to work together on behalf of the Parties by meeting in person or by telephone, and in good faith, try to modify the Agreement and otherwise satisfy the Court's concerns.

7. SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION.

- 7.1 Selection of Administrator. The Parties have jointly selected Simpluris to serve as the Administrator and verified that, as a condition of appointment, the Administrator agrees to be bound by this Agreement and to perform, as a fiduciary, all duties specified in this Agreement in exchange for payment of Administration Expenses. The Parties and their Counsel represent that they have no interest or relationship, financial or otherwise, with the Administrator other than a professional relationship arising out of prior experiences administering settlements.
- 7.2 Employer Identification Number. The Administrator shall have and use its own Employer Identification Number for purposes of calculating payroll tax withholdings and providing reports state and federal tax authorities.
- 7.3 Qualified Settlement Fund. The Administrator shall establish a settlement fund that meets the requirements of a Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") under US Treasury Regulation section 468B-1.

- 7.4 Administrator Duties. The Administrator has a duty to perform or observe all tasks to be performed or observed by the Administrator contained in this Agreement or otherwise.

8. AGGRIEVED EMPLOYEE SIZE ESTIMATES AND ESCALATOR CLAUSE

- 8.1 Based on its records, Steven Label estimates that there were 328 Aggrieved Employees who worked 21,652 PAGA Pay Periods during the PAGA Period.
- 8.2 The Gross Settlement Amount is based on Defendant's estimate that the Aggrieved Employees worked a total of 21,652 PAGA Pay Periods during the PAGA Period. If the actual number of PAGA Pay Periods encompassed within the PAGA Period increases by more than 10%, then Defendants at their exclusive discretion shall either (1) end the PAGA Period on the date on which the total number of PAGA Pay Periods is no more than 23,817, or (2) increase the Gross Settlement Amount by a pro-rata dollar value for the weeks in excess of the escalation margin. For example, if the number of pay periods increases by 11%, the Gross Settlement Amount will increase by 1%. Under the first option no additional payment is necessary.

- 9. NULLIFICATION OF AGREEMENT.** In the event: (i) the Court does not enter the Approval Order and approve the Released PAGA Claims specified herein; or (ii) the Settlement does not become final for any other reason, this Settlement Agreement shall be null and void. A reduction of the attorneys' fees and costs shall not be grounds for nullification of this Settlement. If the Settlement is nullified, any order or judgment entered by the Court in furtherance of this Agreement shall be treated as void from the beginning, and the provisions contained herein shall be of no force or effect, and shall not be treated as an admission by the Parties or their counsel. In such a case, the Parties and any funds to be awarded under this Agreement shall be returned to their respective statuses as of the date and time immediately prior to the execution of this Agreement, and the Parties shall proceed in all respects as if this Agreement had not been executed, except that any fees already incurred by the settlement administrator shall be paid equally by both Parties.

- 10. CONTINUING JURISDICTION OF THE COURT.** The Parties agree that, after entry of Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over the Parties, Action, and the Settlement solely for purposes of (i) enforcing this Agreement and/or Judgment, (ii) addressing settlement administration matters, and (iii) addressing such post-Judgment matters as are permitted by law.

- 10.1 Waiver of Right to Appeal. Provided the Judgment is consistent with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, specifically including the PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, the Parties, their respective counsel waive all rights to appeal from the Judgment, including all rights to post-judgment and appellate proceedings, the right to file motions to vacate judgment, motions for new trial, extraordinary writs, and appeals. The waiver of appeal does not include any waiver of the right to oppose such motions, writs or appeals. If another party appeals the Judgment, the Parties' obligations to perform under this Agreement will be suspended

until such time as the appeal is finally resolved and the Judgment becomes final, except as to matters that do not affect the amount of the Net Settlement Amount.

- 10.2 Appellate Court Orders to Vacate, Reverse, or Materially Modify Judgment. If the reviewing Court vacates, reverses, or modifies the Judgment in a manner that requires a material modification of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, the scope of release to be granted by Aggrieved Employees), this Agreement shall be null and void. The Parties shall nevertheless expeditiously work together in good faith to address the appellate court's concerns and try to obtain approval of the Settlement and entry of Judgment, sharing, on a 50-50 basis, any additional Administration Expenses reasonably incurred after remittitur. An appellate decision to vacate, reverse, or modify the Court's award of any payments to PAGA Counsel shall not constitute a material modification of the Judgment within the meaning of this paragraph, as long as the Gross Settlement Amount remains unchanged.

11. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS.

- 11.1 No Admission of Liability or Representative Manageability for Other Purposes. This Agreement represents a compromise and settlement of highly disputed claims. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or should be construed as an admission by Steven Label that any of the allegations in the Operative Complaint have merit or that Steven Label has any liability for any claims asserted; nor should it be intended or construed as an admission by Plaintiff that Steven Label's defenses in the Action have merit. The Parties agree that representative treatment is for purposes of this Settlement only. If, for any reason the Court does not approve this Settlement, Steven Label reserves all available defenses to the claims in the Action, and Plaintiff reserves the right to contest Steven Label's defenses. The Settlement, this Agreement and Parties' willingness to settle the Action will have no bearing on, and will not be admissible in connection with, any litigation (except for proceedings to enforce or effectuate the Settlement and this Agreement or to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the claims being released in this PAGA Settlement Agreement).
- 11.2 Integrated Agreement. Upon execution by all Parties and their counsel, this Agreement together with its attached exhibits shall constitute the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the Settlement, superseding any and all oral representations, warranties, covenants, or inducements made to or by any Party.
- 11.3 Attorney Authorization. PAGA Counsel and Defense Counsel separately warrant and represent that they are authorized by Plaintiff and Steven Label, respectively, to take all appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by such Parties pursuant to this Agreement to effectuate its terms, and to execute any other documents reasonably required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement including any amendments to this Agreement.
- 11.4 Cooperation. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their best efforts, in good faith, to implement the Settlement by, among other things,

modifying the Settlement Agreement, submitting supplemental evidence and supplementing points and authorities as requested by the Court. In the event the Parties are unable to agree upon the form or content of any document necessary to implement the Settlement, or on any modification of the Agreement that may become necessary to implement the Settlement, the Parties will seek the assistance of a mediator and/or the Court for resolution.

- 11.5 No Prior Assignments. The Parties separately represent and warrant that they have not directly or indirectly assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber to any person or entity and portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action, or right released and discharged by the Party in this Settlement.
- 11.6 No Tax Advice. Neither Plaintiff, PAGA Counsel, Steven Label nor Defense Counsel are providing any advice regarding taxes or taxability, nor shall anything in this Settlement be relied upon as such within the meaning of United States Treasury Department Circular 230 (31 CFR Part 10, as amended) or otherwise.
- 11.7 Modification of Agreement. This Agreement, and all parts of it, may be amended, modified, changed, or waived only by an express written instrument signed by all Parties or their representatives, and approved by the Court.
- 11.8 Agreement Binding on Successors. This Agreement will be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors of each of the Parties.
- 11.9 Applicable Law. All terms and conditions of this Agreement and its exhibits will be governed by and interpreted according to the internal laws of the state of California, without regard to conflict of law principles.
- 11.10 Cooperation in Drafting. The Parties have cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Agreement. This Agreement will not be construed against any Party on the basis that the Party was the drafter or participated in the drafting.
- 11.11 Confidentiality. To the extent permitted by law, all agreements made, and orders entered during Action and in this Agreement relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive the execution of this Agreement.
- 11.12 Use and Return of Aggrieved Employee Data. Information provided to PAGA Counsel pursuant to Cal. Evid. Code §1152, and all copies and summaries of the PAGA Data provided to PAGA Counsel by Steven Label in connection with the mediation, other settlement negotiations, or in connection with the Settlement, may be used only with respect to this Settlement, and no other purpose, and may not be used in any way that violates any existing contractual agreement, statute, or rule of court. Not later than 90 days after the Administrator discharges its obligation to pay out all Settlement funds, Plaintiff shall destroy all paper and electronic versions of Aggrieved Employee Data received from Steven Label unless, prior to the Administrator's payment of all Settlement Funds, Steven Label makes a written request to PAGA Counsel for the return,

rather than the destructions, of Aggrieved Employee Data.

- 11.13 Headings. The descriptive heading of any section or paragraph of this Agreement is inserted for convenience of reference only and does not constitute a part of this Agreement.
- 11.14 Calendar Days. Unless otherwise noted, all reference to “days” in this Agreement shall be to calendar days. In the event any date or deadline set forth in this Agreement falls on a weekend or federal legal holiday, such date or deadline shall be on the first business day thereafter.
- 11.15 Notice. All notices, demands or other communications between the Parties in connection with this Agreement will be in writing and deemed to have been duly given as of the third business day after mailing by United States mail, or the day sent by email or messenger, addressed as follows:

To Plaintiff:

Zachary Crosner
zach@crosnerlegal.com
Jamie Serb
jamie@crosnerlegal.com
Michael Jones
michael.jones@crosnerlegal.com
CROSNER LEGAL, PC
9440 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 301
Beverly Hills, CA 90210

To Steven Label:

Beth Kahn
b.kahn@musickpeeler.com
Matthew Richardson
m.richardson@musickpeeler.com
MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP
333 South Hope Street, Suite 2900
Los Angeles, CA 90071

- 11.16 Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts by facsimile, electronically (i.e. DocuSign), or email which for purposes of this Agreement shall be accepted as an original. All executed counterparts and each of them will be deemed to be one and the same instrument if counsel for the Parties will exchange between themselves signed counterparts. Any executed counterpart will be admissible in evidence to prove the existence and contents of this Agreement.

11.17 Stay of Litigation. The Parties agree that upon the execution of this Agreement the litigation shall be stayed, except to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The Parties further agree that upon the signing of this Agreement that pursuant to CCP section 583.330 to extend the date to bring a case to trial under CCP section 583.310 for the entire period of this settlement process.

Dated: 04 / 18 / 2024



Plaintiff Julio Lopez

Dated: _____

Defendant Steven Label Corporation
Defendant Steven Label, LLC (A Delaware LLC)
Defendant Steven Label, LLC (A California LLC)

Dated: 4/18/2024

CROSNER LEGAL, PC



Zachary Crosner, Esq.
Jamie Serb, Esq.
Michael Jones, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

11.17 Stay of Litigation. The Parties agree that upon the execution of this Agreement the litigation shall be stayed, except to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The Parties further agree that upon the signing of this Agreement that pursuant to CCP section 583.330 to extend the date to bring a case to trial under CCP section 583.310 for the entire period of this settlement process.

Dated: _____

Plaintiff Julio Lopez

Dated: 5-13-24



Defendant Steven Label, LLC (A California LLC)

Dated: 5-13-24



Defendant Steven Label, LLC (A Delaware LLC)

Dated: 5-13-24



Defendant Steven Label Corporation

Dated: _____

CROSNER LEGAL, PC

Zachary Crosner, Esq.
Jamie Serb, Esq.
Michael Jones, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT A

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

|

You are receiving this Notice because you are entitled to money as a result of a court approved settlement in *Julio Lopez v. Steven Label, LLC, et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 22STCV21691 (the “**Lawsuit**”).

What is this case about?

In the Lawsuit, Plaintiff Julio Lopez asserted representative claims against Defendants Steven Label, LLC (A Delaware LLC); Steven Label Corporation; and Steven Label, LLC (A California LLC), (collectively “Steven Label” or “Defendants”) on behalf of all current and former non-exempt employees that worked for Steven Label at any location in California during the period of May 1, 2021 through July 18, 2023 (the “**Settlement Period**”). Plaintiff alleges claims for penalties and unpaid wages pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“**PAGA**”) Labor Code section 2698 *et seq.* based on the alleged (1) meal period violations, (2) rest period violations, (3) unpaid minimum and overtime wages, (4) inaccurate records, (5) failure to produce records, (6) unreimbursed business expenses, (7) inaccurate wage statements, (8) failure to provide suitable seating, (8) standard conditions of labor violations, (9) refusal to make payment, statutory wage violations, (10) sick leave violations, (11) failure to provide supplemental paid sick leave, (12) failure to timely pay all wages upon separation of employment, (13) unlawful inquiries into salary history, and (14) unlawful agreements-unlawful criminal history inquiries.

Steven Label contends that it compensates employees in full compliance with the law. It denies each of the claims and contentions alleged by Plaintiff in the Lawsuit.

What are the terms of the Settlement?

Plaintiff and Steven Label reached a settlement which was reviewed and approved by the Court. Steven Label’s records show that you were employed by Steven Label in a covered position during the Settlement Period. Accordingly, you are entitled to an Individual PAGA Payment based on your percentage share of pay periods during the Period.

What should I do now?

You are automatically included in this Settlement. You may immediately deposit your enclosed settlement check and need not take any further action at this time.