

Benjamin H. Haber (SBN 315664)
benjamin.haber@wilshirelawfirm.com
Daniel J. Kramer (SBN 314625)
daniel.kramer@wilshirelawfirm.com
Chase M. Stern (SBN 290540)
chase.stern@wilshirelawfirm.com
Alan Wilcox (SBN 287476)
alan.wilcox@wilshirelawfirm.com
Bradford Smith (SBN 345879)
bradford.smith@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC
3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90010
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

KEVIN ADONAY QUIJADA MOLINA,
individually, on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CCN FRAMING, INC., a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 22STCV10819

CLASS & REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

[Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. David S.
Cunningham III, Dept. 11]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING

Date: July 10, 2025

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept: 11

Complaint filed: March 29, 2022
FAC filed: October 28, 2022
Trial date: not set

1 The Court has before it Plaintiff Kevin Adonay Quijada Molina's ("Plaintiff") Motion
2 for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for
3 Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, along with the accompanying papers, the
4 Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice (which is referred to here as
5 the "Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and orders as
6 follows:

7 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
8 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
9 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon
10 the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant CCN Framing,
11 Inc. ("Defendant," and together with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), attached to the Declaration of
12 Benjamin H. Haber in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
13 Settlement as Exhibit 1.

14 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
15 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
16 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
17 approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of
18 \$650,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to class members who do not validly opt out; (b)
19 a \$40,000.00 payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency
20 ("LWDA") for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys
21 General Act ("PAGA"), with 75% of which (\$30,000.00) being paid to the LWDA and 25%
22 (\$10,000.00) being paid to eligible Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative service
23 payments of up to \$10,000.00 for Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed
24 1/3 of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$216,666.67), and up to \$28,000.00 in costs for actual
25 litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to
26 \$16,000.00.

27 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within
28 the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and

1 applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair
2 and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further
3 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)
4 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
5 that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
6 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
7 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as
8 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
9 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
10 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

11 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement,
12 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the LWDA for its share of the settlement
13 of claims for penalties under the PAGA, and the class representatives' enhancement awards
14 should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is
15 hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

16 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
17 (the "Settlement Class"): "all persons employed by Defendant in California and classified as an
18 hourly-paid or non-exempt employee who worked for Defendant during the Class Period."

19 6. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
20 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
21 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
22 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
23 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the
24 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
25 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
26 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

27 7. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only,
28 Plaintiff Kevin Adonay Quijada Molina. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's

ability to request incentive awards up to \$10,000.00.

8. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Benjamin H. Haber, Daniel J. Kramer, and Chase M. Stern of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to 1/3 of the Total Settlement Amount (\$216,666.67), and costs not to exceed 28,000.00.

9. The Court appoints Apex Class Action Administration as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$16,000.00.

10. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

11. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

12. Any class member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

13. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendant to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	Within 15 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement
Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice	Within 14 days after receipt of the Class List from the Defendant
Response Deadline	45 days after Class Notice is mailed out by the Settlement Administrator
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Service Award to Plaintiffs	16 court days before hearing on Motion for Final Approval, which is _____;
Final Approval Hearing	_____ at _____ a.m./p.m., or

first available date thereafter, in Department
11. The hearing may be continued to
another date without further notice to the
Class Members.

14. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings
in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE:

Hon. David S. Cunningham
Los Angeles County Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE

Molina, et al. v. CCN Framing, Inc., et al.

22STCV10819

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, Rebecca Padilla, state that I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90010. My electronic service address is rebecca.padilla@wilshirelawfirm.com.

On **June 18, 2025**, I served the foregoing **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**, on the interested parties by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope by following one of the methods of service as follows:

Steven G. Gatley (SBN 155986)
steven.gatley@lewisbrisbois.com
Gabriel Hudson
gabriel.hudson@lewisbrisbois.com
**LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &
SMITH LLP**
650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1400
Costa Mesa, California 92626
Telephone: 213.580.3977
Facsimile: 213.250.7900

William R.H. Mosher (SBN 228253)
william.mosher@lewisbrisbois.com
Jeri Chrysong
jeri.chrysong@lewisbrisbois.com
**LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &
SMITH LLP**
633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: 213.250.1800
Facsimile: 213.250.7900

Attorneys for Defendant

(X) **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:** Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service **CASEANYWHERE.**

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on **June 18, 2025** at Los Angeles, California.



Rebecca Padilla