

Timothy B. Del Castillo (SBN: 277296)
Lisa L. Bradner (SBN: 197952)
CASTLE LAW: CALIFORNIA EMPLOYMENT COUNSEL, PC
2999 Douglas Blvd., Suite 180
Roseville, CA 95661
Telephone: (916) 245-0122

Attorneys for Plaintiff KALI BATES
on behalf of herself and similarly situated employees

Galen T. Shimoda (Cal. State Bar No. 226752)
Justin P. Rodriguez (Cal. State Bar No. 278275)
Brittany V. Berzin (Cal. State Bar No. 325121)
Shimoda & Rodriguez Law, PC
9401 East Stockton Boulevard, Suite 120
Elk Grove, CA 95624
Telephone: (916) 525-0716
Facsimile: (916) 760-3733

Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL JOHNSON
on behalf of himself and similarly situated employees

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

KALI BATES and MICHAEL JOHNSON,
individually and on behalf of all other
similarly situated employees,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MVP EVENT PRODUCTIONS, LLC, and
LEGENDS HOSPITALITY, LLC; Does 1
through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 34-2022-00317653

*Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Jill H. Talley,
Department 23*

CLASS ACTION

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Reservation No. A-317653-001

Date: July 26, 2024

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: 23

Judge: Hon. Jill H. Talley

Filed: May 18, 2022

FAC Filed: October 25, 2022

Trial Date: None Set

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Sacramento
08/26/2024
T. Shaddix, Deputy

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:

2 The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (“Motion”) in the
3 above referenced case initially came before this Court, on May 10, 2024 at 9:00 a.m., in Department
4 23 before the Honorable Jill H. Talley, presiding. In its tentative ruling for the May 10, 2024, hearing,
5 the Court identified several items that required additional briefing, and continued the hearing to July
6 26, 2024. Upon receiving and considering the additional briefing and the original moving papers, the
7 Court now issues this Order. Named Plaintiffs Kali Bates and Michael Johnson (“Plaintiffs”) filed this
8 putative class action on May 18, 2022. The operative Complaint alleges that Defendant Legends
9 Hospitality, LLC (“Defendant”) violated California law by violating the California Unfair Competition
10 law, failing to provide accurate wage statements, failing to pay minimum wages for all hours worked,
11 failing to provide meal and rest periods, waiting time penalties, failing to pay overtime wages, failing
12 to reimburse business expenses, and failing to timely pay wages during employment. Plaintiffs have
13 also alleged Defendant is liable for a civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act
14 (“PAGA”) based on these violations. Plaintiffs sought attorneys’ fees and costs as part of this Action.
15 Defendant denied all of Plaintiffs’ claims and denied that this case was appropriate for class treatment.
16 No class has been certified.

17 The parties have agreed to settle the class and PAGA claims. Defendants will provide
18 monetary consideration in exchange for a release of claims consistent with the terms of the proposed
19 settlement as set forth in the Joint Stipulation Regarding Class Action and PAGA Settlement and
20 Release (“Agreement” or “Settlement”). Any capitalized terms herein shall have the same meaning as
21 set forth in the Agreement. The Court, having received and considered Plaintiffs’ Motion for
22 Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, the declarations in support, the
23 Agreement, the proposed Notice of Settlement, the proposed Claim Form, and other evidence,
24 HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES DETERMINATIONS AS FOLLOWS:

25 **I. PRELIMINARILY CERTIFYING A SETTLEMENT CLASS; APPOINTMENT OF**
26 **CLASS REPRESENTATIVES; APPOINTMENT OF CLASS COUNSEL**

27 The Court finds that certification of the following class for settlement purposes only is
28 appropriate under the California Code of Civil Procedure and related case law:

1 All individuals who were staffed to Legends Hospitality, LLC by MVP
2 Event Productions, LLC, and performed work for Legends Hospitality,
3 LLC, whether as an employee or independent contractor, at any time from
4 April 1, 2018 and up to December 22, 2023.

5 The Court recognizes that the foregoing definition is for Class Member identification purposes
6 only and is not intended to capture the claims at issue or limit or alter the released claims under the
7 Agreement.

8 The Court finds that Class Members meet the ascertainability and numerosity requirements since
9 the parties can identify with a matter of certainty, based on time records, individuals who fall within the
10 definition and the number of Class Members would make joinder impractical. The commonality and
11 predominance requirements are met for settlement purposes since there are questions of law and fact
12 common to Class Members. The common questions of law or fact in this case all stem from Plaintiffs'
13 contentions that Defendants caused the violations outlined above by 1) willfully misclassifying workers
14 as independent contractors; 2) failing to pay all minimum wages; 3) failing to pay all overtime wages; 4)
15 failing to keep accurate records; 5) failing to provide meal periods or pay premiums in lieu thereof; 6)
16 failing to provide rest periods or pay premiums in lieu thereof; 7) failing to provide accurate wage
17 statements; 8) failing to pay final wages; 9) failing to timely pay wages during employment; 10) failing
18 to pay reimbursements for personal cellphone use and mileage; 11) failing to pay reporting time; 12)
19 failing to provide or pay all sick time; and 13) failing to correctly calculate employees' regular rates of
20 pay when bonuses were paid. The PAGA, waiting time penalty, wage statement violation, and unfair
21 competition claims also derive from these violations. Additionally, Class Members seek the same
22 remedies under state law. The typicality requirement for settlement purposes is also satisfied since the
23 claims of the class representatives are based on the same facts and legal theories as those applicable to
24 the class members.

25 The Court also finds that preliminarily and conditionally certifying the settlement class is
26 required to avoid each Class Member from litigating similar claims individually. This Settlement will
27 achieve economies of scale for Class Members with relatively small individual claims and conserve the
28 resources of the judicial system.

29 The Court finds that Plaintiffs Kali Bates and Michael Johnson and Plaintiffs' counsel,
30 Galen T. Shimoda and Justin P. Rodriguez of Shimoda & Rodriguez Law, PC and Tim Del Castillo and

1 Lisa Bradner of Castle Law: California Employment Counsel, PC, to be adequate representatives of the
2 settlement class. The Court appoints them as Class Representatives and Class Counsel, respectively.

3 **II. PRELIMINARILY APPROVING CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

4 The Court has reviewed the Agreement, which was submitted with Plaintiffs' Motion as Exhibit
5 A. The Court finds, on a preliminary and conditional basis, that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and
6 adequate and falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final
7 approval by this Court. The Court finds the Settlement was agreed upon only after extensive
8 investigation, litigation, and arms-length negotiations by counsel experienced in complex litigation, who
9 took reasonable steps and measures to weigh the potential value of the disputed claims against the risks
10 of continued litigation. The Court also acknowledges that Class Members may present any objections to
11 the Settlement at a fairness hearing approved by this Court or opt-out of being bound by the
12 preliminarily approved Agreement. The Court preliminarily approves the Agreement and all terms
13 therein as if stated here in full, including the \$175,000 Gross Settlement Amount, which will be paid on
14 a claims made basis as set forth in the Agreement. Plaintiff has provided adequate reasoning and
15 explanation as to why a claims made settlement is appropriate under the circumstances of this case.

16 The Court approves of Apex Class Action acting as the Claims Administrator in this case and
17 hereby appoints them to fulfill those duties in this case as outlined in the Agreement.

18 The Court finds that an award of fees under the common fund doctrine may be appropriate in this
19 case because there is a sufficiently identifiable class of beneficiaries (*i.e.* Class Members), the benefits
20 that Plaintiffs and Class Counsel were able to negotiate on behalf of Class Members can be accurately
21 traced as set forth in the Agreement, and the fee can be shifted with exactitude to those benefiting as the
22 fee request is a specific, lump-sum percentage of the Gross Settlement Amount. *See Laffitte v. Robert*
23 *Half Internat., Inc.*, 1 Cal.5th 480, 506 (2016); *Paul, Johnson, Alston & Hunt v. Grauly*, 886 F.2d 268,
24 271 (9th Cir. 1989); *Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert*, 444 U.S. 472, 477-478 (1980) ("A lawyer who recovers
25 a common fund for the benefit of persons other than . . . her client is entitled to a reasonable attorney's
26 fee from the fund as a whole."). The amounts allocated under the Agreement for attorney's fees and
27 costs, for an Enhancement Payment to the Class Representatives, and Settlement Administrator Costs
28 shall be included in the Notice of Settlement to enable Class Members to review and comment thereon.

1 The Court will consider the reaction of Class Members when evaluating the reasonableness of the
2 requested amounts at final approval. *See In re Heritage Bond Litig.*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13555, 71
3 (C.D. Cal. 2005) (“the absence of objections or disapproval by class members to class counsel’s fee
4 request further supports finding the fee request reasonable”). Plaintiffs and Class Counsel are directed
5 to provide information in connection with the motion for final approval that will enable the Court to
6 assess the appropriateness of any requested fee percentage, to perform a lodestar cross check of the
7 requested fee percentage, and to quantify the amount of time spent by Plaintiffs on this case and any
8 further risks and/or burdens incurred as a result of acting as Class Representatives. Class Counsel is also
9 directed to provide an updated declaration and itemization regarding actual litigation costs incurred.
10 The Claims Administrator shall also submit a declaration attesting to Claims Administrator Costs
11 incurred. The Court will review these amounts and allocations in connection with the final approval
12 hearing. To the extent the Court ultimately awards less than the amounts allocated under the Agreement
13 for attorney’s fees and costs, for an Enhancement Payment to the Class Representatives, and/or Claims
14 Administrator Costs, the difference between the amounts awarded and the amounts requested shall be
15 added to the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Participating Class Members pro rata as set forth
16 in the Agreement.

17 The Court approves of the Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) PAGA Payment, which shall be paid
18 from the Gross Settlement Amount, not in addition to the Gross Settlement Amount, to resolve the
19 alleged PAGA claims. Seventy-Five percent (75%) of the PAGA Payment will be paid to the Labor and
20 Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) and Twenty-Five percent (25%) will be paid to Aggrieved
21 Employees on a pro rata basis as described in the Agreement. The Court also finds that the Agreement
22 provides a recovery that creates an effective, substantial deterrent to any potential future non-
23 compliance, furthering the purpose of the Labor Code and LWDA.

24 The Court approves of the identified *cy pres* beneficiaries and distribution plan wherein any
25 checks issued to Participating Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees that are not cashed by the
26 deadline to do so shall be donated equally, *i.e.* 50/50, to Capital Pro Bono, Inc., and the Center for
27 Workers’ Rights.

28 //

1 The releases and waivers for Class Members who do not opt out of being bound by the
2 Agreement, Aggrieved Employees, and the Class Representatives are also approved by the Court as set
3 forth in the Agreement.

4 Plaintiffs are also granted leave to file the proposed second amended complaint included with the
5 Motion as Exhibit I. The second amended complaint must be filed by August 9, 2024.

6 **III. APPROVAL OF THE DISTRIBUTION METHOD OF NOTICE TO THE CLASS,**
7 **INCLUDING THE NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND CLAIM FORM**

8 The Court finds that the proposed Notice of Settlement and proposed Claim Form, which were
9 submitted with Plaintiffs' Motion as Exhibit F and G respectively, fairly and adequately advise Class
10 Members of the terms of Agreement, the rights being waived, their right to opt out, the ability to dispute
11 the number of workweeks worked during the Class Period, their pro rata share of the Net Settlement
12 Amount, how to participate in the settlement, how to file documentation in opposition to the proposed
13 settlement, and when to appear at the fairness hearing to be conducted on the date set forth below. The
14 Court further finds that the Notice of Settlement and Claim Form and proposed distribution of them
15 comports with all constitutional requirements, including those of due process.

16 The Court also finds that because there is a strong interest in providing Class Members the
17 opportunity to participate in the settlement, along with the Parties' efforts to minimize any intrusion to
18 privacy rights, the sharing of employment information with the Claims Administrator, including social
19 security numbers, is not a serious intrusion on their privacy rights. Hence, the Court orders Defendant
20 MVP Event Productions, LLC to provide the last known address and social security number for each
21 Class Member to the Claims Administrator and Defendant Legends Hospitality, LLC to provide
22 available telephone numbers, e-mail addresses, start and end dates for shifts worked, and locations
23 worked for each Class Member to the Claims Administrator. The Claims Administrator shall only use
24 this information for the purposes identified in the Agreement and shall keep this information
25 confidential consistent with the terms of the Agreement.

26 The Court further orders Defendant MVP Event Production, LLC, to post an ad on its social
27 media sites and on Ridgeview Vista, Inc.'s, social media sites to the extent MVP Event Production,
28

LLC, has access to Ridgeview Vista, Inc.,’s social media sites. The ads shall direct Class Members to the Claims Administrator’s website where the Notice of Settlement and Claim Form will be located.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Accordingly, with good cause shown, the Court hereby approves and orders that the following implementation schedule be adhered to:

Last day for Defendant and MVP Event Productions, LLC, to provide Claims Administrator with Class Member and Aggrieved Employee information	Within 14 calendar days after the Preliminary Approval Date
Last day for Claims Administrator to complete procedure to notify Class Members and Aggrieved Employees	Within 14 calendar days after the Claims Administrators’ receipt of Class Members’ and Aggrieved Employees’ information
Last day for MVP Event Production, LLC, to post social media ads as set forth in Agreement directing Class Members and Aggrieved Employees to the Claims Administrator’s website	Within 28 calendar days after the Preliminary Approval Date
Last day for Class Members to opt-out, submit disputes, submit objections, submit requests for inclusion, and submit Claim Form	60 calendar days after mailing or publication of Notice of Settlement or within 10 days after Notice of Settlement is re-mailed, whichever is later
Last day for Claims Administrator to provide Parties with signed declaration reporting on settlement administration statistics	Within 14 calendar days after end of the Notice Period
Last day for Claims Administrator to calculate the final Net Settlement Amount, the final Claim Amounts for Participating Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees, any applicable taxes thereon, and report the results of these calculations to Class Counsel and Defendant’s Counsel	Within 7 calendar days after the Effective Date
Last day for Defendant to fund settlement	Within 21 calendar days after the Effective Date

1 2 3 4	Last day for Claims Administrator to deliver payment of Class Counsel's attorney's fees and costs, Enhancement Payments, PAGA Payment, Claims Administrator Costs, payment to Participating Class Members, and payment to Aggrieved Employees	Within 7 calendar days after funds are deposited with the Claims Administrator
5 6 7	Last day for Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees to cash settlement checks	180 calendar days after issuance of checks to Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees
8 9	Last day for Claims Administrator to deliver value of uncashed settlement checks to <i>cy pres</i> beneficiaries	Within 14 calendar days after settlement check cashing deadline
10 11	Last day for Claims Administrator to provide Parties with compliance declaration	Within 21 calendar days after settlement check cashing deadline
12 13 14	Last day for Defendant to provide Claims Administrator with Class Member and Aggrieved Employee information	Within 14 calendar days after the Preliminary Approval Date

FINAL APPROVAL AND HEARING

The Court hereby grants Plaintiffs' Motion and sets final approval hearing for January 10, 2025, at 9:00 a.m., with briefs and supporting documentation to be submitted according to the California Code of Civil Procedure, in this Department. Class Members who have not opted out and who object in a timely manner as set forth in the Agreement may appear and present such objections at the fairness hearing in person or by counsel. If for any reason the Court does not grant final approval of the Agreement, all evidence and proceedings held in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo and rights of the parties to the litigation, including all challenges to personal jurisdiction and to class certification for any purpose other than approving a settlement class. The parties will revert to their respective positions as if no settlement had been reached at all.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 08/26/2024

By: Jill Talley
Judge of the Superior Court
Jill Talley



Johnson v. MVP Event Productions, LLC
Sacramento County Superior Court of California 34-2022-00317653

**PROOF OF SERVICE — CCP §§ 1013a and 2015.5
and California Rules of Court, Rule 1.21 and Rule 2.150**

I, Shaniya Baird, declare that:

I am a citizen of the United States and am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within above-entitled action.

On August 27, 2024, I served the following documents on the party below:

**• ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Eugene Ryu (SBN 209104) Penny Chen (SBN 280706) Alison Hamer (SBN 258281) K&L Gates LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 700 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 553-5000 gene.ryu@klgates.com penny.fox@klgates.com Dyana.Estrada@klgates.com Alison.Hamer@klgates.com Amy.portillo@klgates.com Nicole.Adasha@klgates.com	Timothy B. Del Castillo (SBN 277296) Lisa L. Bradner (SBN 197952) Castle Law: California Employment Counsel, PC 2999 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 180 Roseville, California 95661 Telephone: (916) 245-0122 tdc@castleemploymentlaw.com lb@castleemploymentlaw.com rb@castleemploymentlaw.com
MVP Event Productions, LLC 347 James Bowie Ct. Alamo, CA 94507 <i>Served via First Class Mail</i>	


[XXX] [By Mail] I am familiar with my employer's practice for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service and that each day's mail is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business. On the date set forth above, I served the aforementioned document(s) on the parties in said action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, for collection and mailing on this date, following ordinary business practices, at Salt Lake City, Utah, addressed as set forth above.

[] [By Personal Service] By personally delivering a true copy thereof to the office of the addressee above.

[XXX] [By Electronic Mail] I e-mailed the documents(s) to the person(s) shown above. No error was reported by the e-mail service that I used.

1 [] [By Overnight Courier] By causing a true copy and/or original thereof to
2 be personally delivered via the following overnight courier service: _____.

3 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
4 is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on August 27, 2024, at Salt Lake City,
5 Utah.

6 
7 Shaniya Baird