

Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417)
justin@wilshirelawfirm.com
Benjamin H. Haber (SBN 315664)
benjamin@wilshirelawfirm.com

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM

3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90010
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

ILIANA LIZBETH BARAJAS MAYA,
individually, and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED FACILITIES GROUP, INC., a
California corporation; and DOES 1 to 10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

ILIANA LIZBETH BARAJAS MAYA, on
behalf of the State of California and other
aggrieved persons,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED FACILITIES GROUP, INC., a
California corporation; and DOES 1 to 10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case Nos.: 22CV397353 (Class Action);
22CV401148 (PAGA Action)

CLASS ACTION

[Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Charles F.
Adams, Dept. 7]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING

Date: March 21, 2024
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Dept: 7

Complaint filed: April 28, 2022
Trial date: Not set

1 The Court has before it Plaintiff Iliana Lizbeth Barajas Maya's ("Plaintiff") Motion for
2 Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary
3 Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez, the Class Action
4 and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice (which is referred to here as the "Settlement
5 Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

6 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
7 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
8 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon
9 the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff Iliana Lizbeth Barajas Maya
10 and Defendant United Facilities Group, Inc. ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of Justin
11 F. Marquez in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
12 Settlement as Exhibit 1.

13 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
14 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
15 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
16 approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendants have agreed to create a common fund
17 of \$293,265.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to class members who do not validly opt out;
18 (b) a \$20,000.00 payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency
19 for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act,
20 with 75% of which (\$15,000.00) will be paid to the LWDA and 25% (\$5,000.00) will be paid
21 to eligible Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative service payment of up to \$10,000.00
22 for Plaintiff Iliana Lizbeth Barajas Maya; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 33
23 1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$97,755.00), and up to \$15,000.00 in costs for actual
24 litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to
25 \$15,000.00.

26 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within
27 the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and
28 applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair

1 and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further
2 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)
3 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
4 that counsel for the parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
5 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
6 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as
7 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
8 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
9 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

10 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement,
11 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the State of California, Labor &
12 Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the
13 Private Attorneys General Act, and the class representative's enhancement award should be
14 finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is hereby set in
15 accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

16 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
17 (the "Settlement Class"): "all persons employed by UFG in California and classified as an
18 hourly non-exempt employee who worked for UFG during the Class Period."

19 6. "Class Period" means the period from April 28, 2018 to May 28, 2023.

20 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
21 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
22 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
23 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
24 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the
25 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
26 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
27 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

28 8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only,

Plaintiff Iliana Lizbeth Barajas Maya. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award up to \$10,000.00.

9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Justin F. Marquez and Benjamin H. Haber of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Total Settlement Amount (\$293,265.00), and costs not to exceed \$15,000.00.

10. The Court appoints CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$15,000.00.

11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

12. The parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

13. Any class member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendants to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	14 days after notice of entry of the Court's order granting Motion for Preliminary Approval
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packets	5 business days after receipt of the Class List from the Defendant
Response Deadline	45 days after Notice is mailed out by the Settlement Administrator
Deadline to Provide Written Objections, if any	45 days after Notice is mailed out by the Settlement Administrator

1 Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, 2 Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and 3 Service Award to Plaintiff	16 court days before hearing on Motion for Final Approval, which is _____;
4 5 6 Final Approval Hearing 7 8	_____ at _____ a.m./p.m., or first available date thereafter, in Department 7. The hearing may be continued to another date without further notice to the Class Members.

9 15. All further proceedings as to Defendant are hereby stayed, except for any actions
10 required to effectuate or enforce the Settlement Agreement, or matters related to the Settlement
11 Fund, including applications for attorneys' fees, payment of costs, and service awards to Class
12 Representative.

13 16. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all
14 proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16
17 DATE:

Hon. Charles F. Adams
Santa Clara County Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE

Maya, et al. v. United Facilities Group, Inc., et al.
22CV397353; 22CV401148

[illegible]

I, Sandy S. Sespene, state that I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90010. My electronic service address is sspene@wilshirelawfirm.com.

On February 28, 2024, I served the foregoing **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**, on the interested parties by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope by following one of the methods of service as follows:

Alexei Kuchinsky (SBN 279405)
ak@kuchinskylawoffice.com
 Jeanne Floresca
aj@kuchinskylawoffice.com
KUCHINSKY LAW OFFICE, P.C.
 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100
 San Francisco, California 94104
 Telephone: (628) 200-0902
 Facsimile: (628) 200-0907

Attorneys for Defendant

(X) **BY E-MAIL:** I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known email address or e-mail of record in this action.

(X) **BY UPLOAD:** I hereby certify that the documents were uploaded by my office to the State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Online Filing Site.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on **February 28, 2024**, at Los Angeles, California.

Владимир

Sandy S. Sespene