

Kane Moon (SBN 249834)
kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com
Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan (SBN 320389)
lilit@moonyanglaw.com
Jessica M. Abreu (SBN 343366)
jessica.abreu@moonyanglaw.com
MOON & YANG, APC
1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 232-3128
Facsimile: (213) 232-3125

Attorneys for Plaintiff Kaicey Bos

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of Madera
02/01/2023 at 01:56:32 PM

By: Bernice Jackson-Hyatt, Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF MADERA

KAICEY BOS, individually, and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

A & M INVESTORS, INC., a California
corporation dba PIZZA FACTORY; and DOES 1
through 10, inclusive,

Defendants

Case No.: MCV088450

**FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND
PAGA REPRESENTATION ACTION
COMPLAINT:**

1. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 204, 1194, 1194.2, and 1197];
2. Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1194 and 1198];
3. Failure to Provide Meal Periods [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7, 512];
4. Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Breaks [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7];
5. Failure to Indemnify Necessary Business Expenses [Cal. Lab. Code § 2802];
6. Failure to Timely Pay Final Wages at Termination [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201-203];
7. Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements [Cal. Lab. Code § 226]; and
8. Unfair Business Practices [Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.];
9. Civil Penalties Under PAGA [Cal. Lab. Code § 2699, et seq.].

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION & PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
THE PARTIES	3
A. Plaintiff.....	3
B. Defendants.....	3
ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION	4
CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS	8
FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION.....	12
SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION.....	14
THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	15
FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....	16
FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....	16
SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....	17
SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....	18
EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....	19
NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION	22
PRAYER FOR RELIEF.....	24
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	29

1 Plaintiff Kaicey Bos (“Plaintiff”), based upon facts that either have evidentiary support or
2 are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and
3 discovery, alleges as follows:

4 **INTRODUCTION & PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

5 1. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendants A & M Investors, Inc., and Does 1
6 through 10 (Defendants are collectively referred to as “Defendants”) for California Labor Code
7 violations and unfair business practices stemming from Defendants’ failure to pay minimum
8 wages, failure to pay overtime wages, failure to provide meal periods, failure to authorize and
9 permit rest periods, failure to maintain accurate records of hours worked and meal periods,
10 failure to timely pay all wages to terminated employees, failure to indemnify necessary business
11 expenses, and failure to furnish accurate wage statements.

12 2. Plaintiff brings the First through Eighth Causes of Action individually and as a class
13 action on behalf of herself and certain current and former employees of Defendants (hereinafter
14 collectively referred to as the “Class” or “Class Members” and defined more fully below). The
15 Class consists of Plaintiff and all other persons who have been employed by any Defendants in
16 California as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee during the statute of limitations period
17 applicable to the claims pleaded here.

18 3. Plaintiff brings the Ninth Cause of Action as a representative action under the
19 California Private Attorney General Act (“PAGA”) to recover civil penalties that are owed to
20 Plaintiff, the State of California, and past and present employees of Defendants (hereinafter
21 referred to as the “Aggrieved Employees”).

22 4. Defendants own/owned and operate/operated an industry, business, and
23 establishment within the State of California, including Madera County. As such, and based upon
24 all the facts and circumstances incident to Defendants’ business in California, Defendants are
25 subject to the California Labor Code, Wage Orders issued by the Industrial Welfare Commission
26 (“IWC”), and the California Business & Professions Code.

27 5. Despite these requirements, throughout the statutory period Defendants maintained
28 a systematic, company-wide policy and practice of:

- 1 (a) Failing to pay employees for all hours worked, including all minimum
2 wages, and overtime wages in compliance with the California Labor Code
3 and IWC Wage Orders;
- 4 (b) Failing to provide employees with timely and duty-free meal periods in
5 compliance with the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, failing
6 to maintain accurate records of all meal periods taken or missed, and failing
7 to pay an additional hour's pay for each workday a meal period violation
8 occurred;
- 9 (c) Failing to authorize and permit employees to take timely and duty-free rest
10 periods in compliance with the California Labor Code and IWC Wage
11 Orders, and failing to pay an additional hour's pay for each workday a rest
12 period violation occurred;
- 13 (d) Failing to indemnify employees for necessary business expenses incurred;
- 14 (e) Willfully failing to pay employees all minimum wages, overtime wages,
15 meal period premium wages, and rest period premium wages due within the
16 time period specified by California law when employment terminates; and
- 17 (f) Failing to maintain accurate records of the hours that employees worked.
- 18 (g) Failing to provide employees with accurate, itemized wage statements
19 containing all the information required by the California Labor Code and
20 IWC Wage Orders.

21 6. On information and belief, Defendants, and each of them were on actual and
22 constructive notice of the improprieties alleged herein and intentionally refused to rectify their
23 unlawful policies. Defendants' violations, as alleged above, during all relevant times herein
24 were willful and deliberate.

25 7. At all relevant times, Defendants were and are legally responsible for all of the
26 unlawful conduct, policies, practices, acts and omissions as described in each and all of the
27 foregoing paragraphs as the employer of Plaintiff and the Class. Further, Defendants are
28

1 responsible for each of the unlawful acts or omissions complained of herein under the doctrine
2 of “respondeat superior”.

3 **THE PARTIES**

4 **A. Plaintiff**

5 8. Plaintiff is a California resident that worked for Defendants in the County of Madera
6 State of California, as a general employee from approximately 2017 to July 2020.

7 9. Plaintiff reserves the right to seek leave to amend this complaint to add new
8 plaintiffs, if necessary, in order to establish suitable representative(s) pursuant to *La Sala v.*
9 *American Savings and Loan Association* (1971) 5 Cal.3d 864, 872, and other applicable law.

10 **B. Defendants**

11 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon that information and belief
12 alleges, that Defendant A & M Investors, Inc. is:

- 13 (a) A California corporation with its principal place of business in Madera,
14 California.
- 15 (b) A business entity conducting business in numerous counties throughout the
16 State of California, including in Madera County;
- 17 (c) The former employer of Plaintiff, and the current and/or former employer
18 of the putative Class. Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the
19 Class and the Aggrieved Employees to work, and/or controlled their wages,
20 hours, or working conditions; and
- 21 (d) At all times mentioned herein, Defendants are and were doing business as
22 Pizza Factory, a restaurant.

23 11. Plaintiff does not currently know the true names or capacities of the persons or
24 entities sued herein as Does 1-10, inclusive, and therefore sues said Defendants by such
25 fictitious names. Each of the Doe Defendants was in some manner legally responsible for the
26 damages suffered by Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees as alleged herein.
27 Plaintiff will amend this complaint to set forth the true names and capacities of these
28

Defendants when they have been ascertained, together with appropriate charging allegations, as may be necessary.

12. At all times mentioned herein, the Defendants named as Does 1-10, inclusive, and each of them, were residents of, doing business in, availed themselves of the jurisdiction of, and/or injured a significant number of the Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees in the State of California.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all relevant times each Defendant, directly or indirectly, or through agents or other persons, employed Plaintiff and the other employees described in the class definitions below, and exercised control over their wages, hours, and working conditions. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, each Defendant was the principal, agent, partner, joint venturer, officer, director, controlling shareholder, subsidiary, affiliate, parent corporation, successor in interest and/or predecessor in interest of some or all of the other Defendants, and was engaged with some or all of the other Defendants in a joint enterprise for profit, and bore such other relationships to some or all of the other Defendants so as to be liable for their conduct with respect to the matters alleged below. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each Defendant acted pursuant to and within the scope of the relationships alleged above, that each Defendant knew or should have known about, and authorized, ratified, adopted, approved, controlled, aided and abetted the conduct of all other Defendants.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

14. Plaintiff is a California resident who worked for Defendants in the County of Madera, State of California, as a general employee from approximately 2017 to July 2020. During the statutory period, Defendants classified Plaintiff as non-exempt from California's overtime requirements, and paid Plaintiff an hourly wage.

15. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked (including minimum wages and overtime wages), failed to provide Plaintiff with uninterrupted meal periods, failed to authorize and permit Plaintiff to take uninterrupted rest periods, failed to indemnify Plaintiff for necessary business expenses, failed to timely pay all

1 final wages to Plaintiff when Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment, and failed to
2 furnish accurate wage statements to Plaintiff. As discussed below, Plaintiff's experience
3 working for Defendants was typical and illustrative.

4 16. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of
5 not paying Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees for all hours worked,
6 including all overtime wages. Defendants regularly use a system of time rounding in a manner
7 that resulted, over a period of time, in failing to compensate Plaintiff and the Class and the
8 Aggrieved Employees properly for all the time they have actually worked, even though the
9 realities of Defendants' operations are such that it is possible, practical, and feasible to count
10 and pay for work time to the minute. As a result, Defendants frequently paid Plaintiff and the
11 Class and the Aggrieved Employees less than all their work time, some of which should have
12 been paid at the overtime rate. Defendants also shaved time from Plaintiff and the Class,
13 meaning that time was changed to reflect less work than Plaintiff and the Class and the
14 Aggrieved Employees actually worked. Also throughout the statutory period, Plaintiff and the
15 Class and the Aggrieved Employees were required to work "off the clock" and
16 uncompensated. For example, Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees regularly
17 conducted work prior to clocking into work, and performed work after clocking out of work.
18 Also throughout the statutory period, Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees
19 were occasionally required to show up to work, only to be asked to leave early without being
20 paid reporting time pay. Also throughout the statutory period, supervisors regularly took tips
21 that belonged to other employees. Also throughout the statutory period, Defendants failed to
22 pay Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees the correct amount of minimum
23 wage. In maintaining a practice of not paying all wages owed, Defendants failed to maintain
24 accurate records of the hours Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees worked.

25 17. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants have wrongfully failed to provide
26 Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees with legally compliant meal periods.
27 Defendants sometimes, but not always, required Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved
28 Employees to work in excess of five consecutive hours a day without providing 30-minute,

1 continuous and uninterrupted, duty-free meal period for every five hours of work, or without
2 compensating Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees for meal periods that were
3 not provided by the end of the fifth hour of work or tenth hour of work. Defendants also did
4 not adequately inform Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees of their right to
5 take a meal period by the end of the fifth hour of work, or, for shifts greater than 10 hours, by
6 the end of the tenth hour of work. Accordingly, Defendants' policy and practice was to not
7 provide meal periods to Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees in compliance
8 with California law.

9 18. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants have wrongfully failed to authorize
10 and permit Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees to take timely and duty-free
11 rest periods. Defendants sometimes, but not always, required Plaintiff and the Class and the
12 Aggrieved Employees to work in excess of four consecutive hours a day without Defendants
13 authorizing and permitting them to take a 10-minute, continuous and uninterrupted, rest period
14 for every four hours of work (or major fraction of four hours), or without compensating
15 Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees for rest periods that were not authorized
16 or permitted. Defendants also did not adequately inform Plaintiff and the Class and the
17 Aggrieved Employees of their right to take a rest period. Moreover, Defendants did not have
18 adequate policies or practices permitting or authorizing rest periods for Plaintiff and the Class,
19 nor did Defendants have adequate policies or practices regarding the timing of rest periods.
20 Defendants also did not have adequate policies or practices to verify whether Plaintiff and the
21 Class and the Aggrieved Employees were taking their required rest periods. Plaintiff and the
22 Class and the Aggrieved Employees were also required to stay on the premises during rest
23 breaks. Accordingly, Defendants' policy and practice was to not authorize and permit Plaintiff
24 and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees to take rest periods in compliance with California
25 law.

26 19. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants wrongfully required Plaintiff and the
27 Class and the Aggrieved Employees to pay expenses that they incurred in direct discharge of
28

1 their duties for Defendants without reimbursement, such as masks and the use of personal
2 cellular telephones, without reimbursement.

3 20. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants willfully failed and refused to timely
4 pay Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees at the conclusion of their
5 employment all wages for all minimum wages, overtime wages, meal period premium wages,
6 and rest period premium wages. Defendants also did not pay the final wages timely after
7 termination. Defendants also failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved
8 Employees their final paychecks in a timely manner promptly upon termination.

9 21. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants failed to furnish Plaintiff and the Class
10 and the Aggrieved Employees with accurate, itemized wage statements showing all applicable
11 hourly rates, and all gross and net wages earned (including correct hours worked, correct
12 wages earned for hours worked, correct overtime hours worked, correct wages for meal
13 periods that were not provided in accordance with California law, correct wages for rest
14 periods that were not authorized and permitted to take in accordance with California law, and
15 Defendant's address). Further, the wage statements do not show Defendant's address as
16 required by California law. As a result of these violations of California Labor Code § 226(a),
17 the Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees suffered injury because, among other
18 things:

- 19 (a) the violations led them to believe that they were not entitled to be paid
20 minimum wages, overtime wages, meal period premium wages, and rest
21 period premium wages to which they were entitled, even though they were
22 entitled;
- 23 (b) the violations led them to believe that they had been paid the minimum,
24 overtime, meal period premium, and rest period premium wages, even
25 though they had not been;
- 26 (c) the violations led them to believe they were not entitled to be paid
27 minimum, overtime, meal period premium, and rest period premium wages
28 at the correct California rate even though they were;

- 1 (d) the violations led them to believe they had been paid minimum, overtime,
2 meal period premium, and rest period premium wages at the correct
3 California rate even though they had not been;
- 4 (e) the violations hindered them from determining the amounts of minimum,
5 overtime, meal period premium, and rest period premium owed to them;
- 6 (f) in connection with their employment before and during this action, and in
7 connection with prosecuting this action, the violations caused them to have
8 to perform mathematical computations to determine the amounts of wages
9 owed to them, computations they would not have to make if the wage
10 statements contained the required accurate information;
- 11 (g) by understating the wages truly due them, the violations caused them to
12 lose entitlement and/or accrual of the full amount of Social Security,
13 disability, unemployment, and other governmental benefits;
- 14 (h) the wage statements inaccurately understated the wages, hours, and wages
15 rates to which Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees were
16 entitled, and Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees were
17 paid less than the wages and wage rates to which they were entitled.

18 Thus, Plaintiff and the Class and the Aggrieved Employees are owed the amounts provided for in
19 California Labor Code § 226(e), including actual damages.

20 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

21 22. Plaintiff brings certain claims individually, as well as on behalf of each and all
22 other persons similarly situated, and thus, seek class certification under California Code of
23 Civil Procedure § 382.

24 23. All claims alleged herein arise under California law for which Plaintiff seeks relief
25 authorized by California law.

26 24. The proposed Class consists of and is defined as:

27 All persons who worked for any Defendant in California as an hourly, non-
28 exempt employee at any time during the period beginning four years before the

1 filing of the initial complaint in this action and ending when notice to the Class
2 is sent.

3 25. At all material times, Plaintiff was a member of the Class.

4 26. Plaintiff undertakes this concerted activity to improve the wages and working
5 conditions of all Class Members.

6 27. There is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the Class is
7 readily ascertainable:

8 (a) Numerosity: The members of the Class (and each subclass, if any) are so
9 numerous that joinder of all members would be unfeasible and impractical.
10 The membership of the entire Class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time,
11 however, the Class is estimated to be greater than 100 individuals and the
12 identity of such membership is readily ascertainable by inspection of
13 Defendants' records.

14 (b) Typicality: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately protect
15 the interests of each Class Member with whom there is a shared, well-
16 defined community of interest, and Plaintiff's claims (or defenses, if any)
17 are typical of all Class Members' claims as demonstrated herein.

18 (c) Adequacy: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately protect
19 the interests of each Class Member with whom there is a shared, well-
20 defined community of interest and typicality of claims, as demonstrated
21 herein. Plaintiff has no conflicts with or interests antagonistic to any Class
22 Member. Plaintiff's attorneys, the proposed class counsel, are versed in
23 the rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement.
24 Plaintiff has incurred, and throughout the duration of this action, will
25 continue to incur costs and attorneys' fees that have been, are, and will be
26 necessarily expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial
27 benefit of each class member.

28 (d) Superiority: A Class Action is superior to other available methods for the

1 fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, including consideration
2 of:

- 3 1) The interests of the members of the Class in individually
4 controlling the prosecution or defense of separate actions;
- 5 2) The extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy
6 already commenced by or against members of the Class;
- 7 3) The desirability or undesirability of concentrating the litigation of
8 the claims in the particular forum; and
- 9 4) The difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of a
10 class action.

11 (e) Public Policy Considerations: The public policy of the State of California
12 is to resolve the California Labor Code claims of many employees through
13 a class action. Indeed, current employees are often afraid to assert their
14 rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. Former employees are
15 also fearful of bringing actions because they believe their former
16 employers might damage their future endeavors through negative
17 references and/or other means. Class actions provide the class members
18 who are not named in the complaint with a type of anonymity that allows
19 for the vindication of their rights at the same time as their privacy is
20 protected.

21 28. There are common questions of law and fact as to the Class (and each subclass, if
22 any) that predominate over questions affecting only individual members, including without
23 limitation, whether, as alleged herein, Defendants have:

- 24 (a) Failed to pay Class Members for all hours worked, including minimum
25 wages, and overtime wages;
- 26 (b) Failed to provide meal periods and pay meal period premium wages to
27 Class Members;
- 28 (c) Failed to authorize and permit rest periods and pay rest period premium

wages to Class Members;

(d) Failed to promptly pay all wages due to Class Members upon their discharge or resignation;

(e) Failed to maintain accurate records of all hours Class Members worked, and all meal periods Class Members took or missed;

(f) Failed to reimburse Class Members for all necessary business expenses; and

(g) Violated California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 *et. seq.* as a result of their illegal conduct as described above.

29. This Court should permit this action to be maintained as a class action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 because:

(a) The questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any question affecting only individual members;

(b) A class action is superior to any other available method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of the members of the Class;

(c) The members of the Class are so numerous that it is impractical to bring all members of the class before the Court;

(d) Plaintiff, and the other members of the Class, will not be able to obtain effective and economic legal redress unless the action is maintained as a class action;

(e) There is a community of interest in obtaining appropriate legal and equitable relief for the statutory violations, and in obtaining adequate compensation for the damages and injuries for which Defendants are responsible in an amount sufficient to adequately compensate the members of the Class for the injuries sustained;

(f) Without class certification, the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create a risk of:

1) Inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual

members of the Class which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants; and/or

2) Adjudications with respect to the individual members which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members not parties to the adjudications, or would substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests, including but not limited to the potential for exhausting the funds available from those parties who are, or may be, responsible Defendants; and,

(g) Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the class as a whole.

30. Plaintiff contemplates the eventual issuance of notice to the proposed members of the Class that would set forth the subject and nature of the instant action. The Defendants' own business records may be utilized for assistance in the preparation and issuance of the contemplated notices. To the extent that any further notices may be required, Plaintiff would contemplate the use of additional techniques and forms commonly used in class actions, such as published notice, e-mail notice, website notice, first-class mail, or combinations thereof, or by other methods suitable to the Class and deemed necessary and/or appropriate by the Court.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against all Defendants for Failure to Pay Minimum Wages for All Hours Worked)

31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein paragraphs 1 through 21 in this First Amended Complaint.

32. "Hours worked" is the time during which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or not required to do so.

33. At all relevant times herein mentioned, Defendants knowingly failed to pay to Plaintiff and the Class compensation for all hours they worked. By their failure to pay compensation for each hour worked as alleged above, Defendants willfully violated the

provisions of Section 1194 of the California Labor Code, and any additional applicable Wage Orders, which require such compensation to non-exempt employees.

34. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover minimum wages for all non-overtime hours worked for Defendants.

35. By and through the conduct described above, Plaintiff and the Class have been deprived of their rights to be paid wages earned by virtue of their employment with Defendants.

36. By virtue of the Defendants' unlawful failure to pay additional compensation to Plaintiff and the Class for their non-overtime hours worked without pay, Plaintiff and the Class suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages in amounts which are presently unknown to Plaintiff and the Class, but which exceed the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, and which will be ascertained according to proof at trial.

37. By failing to keep adequate time records required by California Labor Code § 1174(d), Defendants have made it difficult to calculate the full extent of minimum wage compensation due Plaintiff and the Class.

38. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194.2, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover liquidated damages (double damages) for Defendants' failure to pay minimum wages.

39. California Labor Code section 204 requires employers to provide employees with all wages due and payable twice a month. Throughout the statute of limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Plaintiff and the Class were entitled to be paid twice a month at rates required by law, including minimum wages. However, during all such times, Defendants systematically failed and refused to pay Plaintiff and the Class all such wages due, and failed to pay those wages twice a month.

40. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to seek recovery of all unpaid minimum wages, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 218.5, 218.6, and 1194(a).

1 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **(Against all Defendants for Failure to Pay Overtime Wages)**

3 41. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein
4 paragraphs 1 through 21 in this First Amended Complaint.

5 42. California Labor Code § 510 provides that employees in California shall not be
6 employed more than eight (8) hours in any workday or forty (40) hours in a workweek unless
7 they receive additional compensation beyond their regular wages in amounts specified by law.

8 43. California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1198 provide that employees in California shall
9 not be employed more than eight hours in any workday unless they receive additional
10 compensation beyond their regular wages in amounts specified by law. Additionally,
11 California Labor Code § 1198 states that the employment of an employee for longer hours
12 than those fixed by the Industrial Welfare Commission is unlawful.

13 44. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff and the Class have worked more than eight
14 hours in a workday, as employees of Defendants.

15 45. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class
16 overtime compensation for the hours they have worked in excess of the maximum hours
17 permissible by law as required by California Labor Code § 510 and 1198. Plaintiff and the
18 Class are regularly required to work overtime hours.

19 46. By virtue of Defendants' unlawful failure to pay additional premium rate
20 compensation to the Plaintiff and the Class for their overtime hours worked, Plaintiff and the
21 Class have suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages in amounts which are presently
22 unknown to them but which exceed the jurisdictional minimum of this Court and which will
23 be ascertained according to proof at trial.

24 47. By failing to keep adequate time records required by Labor Code § 1174(d),
25 Defendants have made it difficult to calculate the full extent of overtime compensation due to
26 Plaintiff and the Class.

27 48. Plaintiff and the Class also request recovery of overtime compensation according to
28 proof, interest, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 1194(a), as well

1 as the assessment of any statutory penalties against Defendants, in a sum as provided by the
2 California Labor Code and/or other statutes.

3 49. California Labor Code § 204 requires employers to provide employees with all
4 wages due and payable twice a month. The Wage Orders also provide that every employer
5 shall pay to each employee, on the established payday for the period involved, overtime wages
6 for all overtime hours worked in the payroll period. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and
7 the Class with all compensation due, in violation of California Labor Code § 204.

8 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 **(Against All Defendants for Failure to Provide Meal Periods)**

10 50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein
11 paragraphs 1 through 21 in this First Amended Complaint.

12 51. Under California law, Defendants have an affirmative obligation to relieve the
13 Plaintiff and the Class of all duty in order to take their first daily meal periods no later than the
14 start of Plaintiff and the Class' sixth hour of work in a workday, and to take their second meal
15 periods no later than the start of the eleventh hour of work in the workday. Section 512 of the
16 California Labor Code, and Section 11 of the applicable Wage Orders require that an
17 employer provide unpaid meal periods of at least 30 minutes for each five-hour period
18 worked. It is a violation of Section 226.7 of the California Labor Code for an employer to
19 require any employee to work during any meal period mandated under any Wage Order.

20 52. Despite these legal requirements, Defendants regularly failed to provide Plaintiff
21 and the Class with both meal periods as required by California law. By their failure to permit
22 and authorize Plaintiff and the Class to take all meal periods as alleged above (or due to the
23 fact that Defendants made it impossible or impracticable to take these uninterrupted meal
24 periods), Defendants willfully violated the provisions of Section 226.7 of the California Labor
25 Code and the applicable Wage Orders.

26 53. Under California law, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to be paid one hour of
27 additional wages for each workday he or she was not provided with all required meal
28 period(s), plus interest thereon.

1 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **(Against All Defendants for Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods)**

3 54. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein
4 paragraphs 1 through 21 in this First Amended Complaint.

5 55. Defendants are required by California law to authorize and permit breaks of 10
6 uninterrupted minutes for each four hours of work or major fraction of four hours (i.e. more
7 than two hours). Section 512 of the California Labor Code, the applicable Wage Orders
8 require that the employer permit and authorize all employees to take paid rest periods of 10
9 minutes each for each 4-hour period worked. Thus, for example, if an employee's work time
10 is 6 hours and ten minutes, the employee is entitled to two rest breaks. Each failure to
11 authorize rest breaks as so required is itself a violation of California's rest break laws. It is a
12 violation of Section 226.7 of the California Labor Code for an employer to require any
13 employee to work during any rest period mandated under any Wage Order.

14 56. Despite these legal requirements, Defendants failed to authorize Plaintiff and the
15 Class to take rest breaks, regardless of whether employees worked more than 4 hours in a
16 workday. By their failure to permit and authorize Plaintiff and the Class to take rest periods as
17 alleged above (or due to the fact that Defendants made it impossible or impracticable to take
18 these uninterrupted rest periods), Defendants willfully violated the provisions of Section 226.7
19 of the California Labor Code and the applicable Wage Orders.

20 57. Under California law, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to be paid one hour of
21 premium wages rate for each workday he or she was not provided with all required rest
22 break(s), plus interest thereon.

23 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **(Against All Defendants for Failure to Indemnify Necessary Business Expenses)**

25 58. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein
26 paragraphs 1 through 21 in this First Amended Complaint.

27 59. Defendants violated Labor Code section 2802 and the IWC Wage Orders, by
28 failing to pay and indemnify the Plaintiff and the Class for their necessary expenditures and

1 losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties or of their obedience to
2 directions of Defendants.

3 60. As a result, Plaintiff and the Class were damaged at least in the amounts of the
4 expenses they paid, or which were deducted by Defendants from their wages.

5 61. Plaintiff and the class they represent are entitled to attorney's fees, expenses, and
6 costs of suit pursuant to Labor Code section 2802(c) and interest pursuant to Labor Code
7 section 2802(b).

8 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 **(Against all Defendants for Failure to Pay Wages of Discharged Employees – Waiting Time** 10 **Penalties)**

11 62. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein
12 paragraphs 1 through 20 in this Complaint.

13 63. At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 provide that if
14 an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are
15 due and payable immediately, and that if an employee voluntarily leaves his or her
16 employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72)
17 hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours previous notice of his
18 or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time
19 of quitting.

20 64. Within the applicable statute of limitations, the employment of Plaintiff and many
21 other members of the Class ended, i.e. was terminated by quitting or discharge, and the
22 employment of others will be. However, during the relevant time period, Defendants failed,
23 and continue to fail to pay terminated Class Members, without abatement, all wages required
24 to be paid by California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 either at the time of discharge, or
25 within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ.

26 65. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and those Class members who are no longer
27 employed by Defendants their wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge, or within
28

seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ, is in violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.

66. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay wages owed, in accordance with sections 201 and 202, then the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty wage from the due date, and at the same rate until paid or until an action is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than thirty (30) days.

67. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their additionally accruing wages for each day they were not paid, at their regular hourly rate of pay, up to 30 days maximum pursuant to California Labor Code § 203.

68. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 218.5, 218.6 and 1194, Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, interest, expenses, and costs incurred in this action.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against all Defendants for Failure to Provide and Maintain Accurate and Compliant Wage Records)

69. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein paragraphs 1 through 21 in this First Amended Complaint.

70. At all material times set forth herein, California Labor Code § 226(a) provides that every employer shall furnish each of his or her employees an accurate itemized wage statement in writing showing nine pieces of information, including: (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by

1 the employee.

2 71. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to provide employees with
3 complete and accurate wage statements. The deficiencies include, among other things, the
4 failure to correctly identify the gross wages earned by Plaintiff and the Class, the failure to list
5 the true “total hours worked by the employee,” and the failure to list the true net wages earned.

6 72. As a result of Defendants’ violation of California Labor Code § 226(a), Plaintiff
7 and the Class have suffered injury and damage to their statutorily-protected rights.

8 73. Specifically, Plaintiff and the members of the Class have been injured by
9 Defendants’ intentional violation of California Labor Code § 226(a) because they were denied
10 both their legal right to receive, and their protected interest in receiving, accurate, itemized
11 wage statements under California Labor Code § 226(a).

12 74. Calculation of the true wage entitlement for Plaintiff and the Class is difficult and
13 time consuming. As a result of this unlawful burden, Plaintiff and the Class were also injured
14 as a result of having to bring this action to attempt to obtain correct wage information
15 following Defendants’ refusal to comply with many of the mandates of California’s Labor
16 Code and related laws and regulations.

17 75. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their actual damages
18 caused by Defendants’ failure to comply with California Labor Code § 226(a).

19 76. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to injunctive relief, as well as an award of
20 attorney’s fees and costs to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California Labor
21 Code § 226(h).

22 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

23 **(Against all Defendants for Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200,**
24 **et seq.)**

25 77. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein
26 paragraphs 1 through 21 in this First Amended Complaint.

27 78. Defendants, and each of them, are “persons” as defined under California Business
28 & Professions Code § 17201.

1 79. Defendants’ conduct, as alleged herein, has been, and continues to be, unfair,
2 unlawful, and harmful to Plaintiff, other Class members, and to the general public. Plaintiff
3 seeks to enforce important rights affecting the public interest within the meaning of Code of
4 Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

5 80. Defendants’ activities, as alleged herein, are violations of California law, and
6 constitute unlawful business acts and practices in violation of California Business &
7 Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

8 81. A violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* may be
9 predicated on the violation of any state or federal law. All of the acts described herein as
10 violations of, among other things, the California Labor Code, are unlawful and in violation of
11 public policy; and in addition are immoral, unethical, oppressive, fraudulent and unscrupulous,
12 and thereby constitute unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business practices in violation of
13 California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

14 **Failure to Pay Minimum Wages**

15 82. Defendants’ failure to pay minimum wages, and other benefits in violation of the
16 California Labor Code constitutes unlawful and/or unfair activity prohibited by California
17 Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

18 **Failure to Pay Overtime Wages**

19 83. Defendants’ failure to pay overtime compensation and other benefits in violation of
20 California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and 1198 constitutes unlawful and/or unfair activity
21 prohibited by California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

22 **Failure to Maintain Accurate Records of All Hours Worked**

23 84. Defendants’ failure to maintain accurate records of all hours worked in accordance
24 with California Labor Code § 1174.5 and the IWC Wage Orders constitutes unlawful and/or
25 unfair activity prohibited by California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

26 **Failure to Provide Meal Periods**

27 85. Defendants’ failure to provide meal periods in accordance with California Labor
28 Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and the IWC Wage Orders, as alleged above, constitutes unlawful

and/or unfair activity prohibited by California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods

86. Defendants' failure to authorize and permit rest periods in accordance with California Labor Code § 226.7 and the IWC Wage Orders, as alleged above, constitutes unlawful and/or unfair activity prohibited by Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

Failure to Indemnify Necessary Business Expenses

87. Defendants' failure to indemnify employees for necessary business expenses in accordance with California Labor Code § 2802 and the IWC Wage Orders, as alleged above, constitutes unlawful and/or unfair activity prohibited by Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements

88. Defendants' failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements in accordance with California Labor Code § 226, as alleged above, constitutes unlawful and/or unfair activity prohibited by California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

89. By and through their unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business practices described herein, the Defendants, have obtained valuable property, money and services from Plaintiff, and all persons similarly situated, and have deprived Plaintiff, and all persons similarly situated, of valuable rights and benefits guaranteed by law, all to their detriment.

90. Plaintiff and the Class Members suffered monetary injury as a direct result of Defendants' wrongful conduct.

91. Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of members of the putative Class, is entitled to, and do, seek such relief as may be necessary to disgorge money and/or property which the Defendants have wrongfully acquired, or of which Plaintiff and the Class have been deprived, by means of the above-described unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business practices. Plaintiff and the Class are not obligated to establish individual knowledge of the wrongful practices of Defendants in order to recover restitution.

92. Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of members of the putative class, are further entitled to and do seek a declaration that the above described business practices are unfair,

1 unlawful and/or fraudulent, and injunctive relief restraining the Defendants, and each of them,
2 from engaging in any of the above-described unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business
3 practices in the future.

4 93. Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of members of the putative class, have no
5 plain, speedy, and/or adequate remedy at law to redress the injuries which the Class Members
6 suffered as a consequence of the Defendants' unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business
7 practices. As a result of the unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business practices described
8 above, Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of members of the putative Class, has suffered and
9 will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless the Defendants, and each of them, are
10 restrained from continuing to engage in said unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business
11 practices.

12 94. Plaintiff also alleges that if Defendants are not enjoined from the conduct set forth
13 herein above, they will continue to avoid paying the appropriate taxes, insurance and other
14 withholdings.

15 95. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*, Plaintiff and
16 putative Class Members are entitled to restitution of the wages withheld and retained by
17 Defendants during a period that commences four years prior to the filing of this complaint; a
18 permanent injunction requiring Defendants to pay all outstanding wages due to Plaintiff and
19 Class Members; an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §
20 1021.5 and other applicable laws; and an award of costs.

21 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **(Against all Defendants for Civil Penalties Under the Private Attorneys General Act of**
23 **2004, Cal. Lab. Code § 2698 et seq.)**

24 96. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein
25 paragraphs 1 through 21 in this First Amended Complaint.

26 97. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants were subject to the Labor Code of the
27 State of California and the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Orders.

28 98. California Labor Code § 2699(a) specifically provides for a private right of action

1 to recover penalties for violations of the Labor Code: “Notwithstanding any other provision of
2 law, any provision of this code that provides for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by
3 the Labor and Workforce Development Agency or any of its departments, divisions,
4 commissions, boards, agencies, or employees, for a violation of this code, may, as an
5 alternative, be recovered through a civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on
6 behalf of himself or herself and other current or former employees pursuant to the
7 procedures specified in Section 2699.3.”

8 99. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies pursuant to California Labor
9 Code § 2699.3. On May 8, 2022, Plaintiff gave written notice by online filing to the Labor
10 and Workforce Development Agency and by certified mail to Defendants of the specific
11 provisions of the Labor Code that Defendants have violated against Plaintiff and current and
12 former Aggrieved Employees, including the facts and theories to support the violations. At
13 the time of this filing, 65 days has elapsed since Plaintiff provided notice, but the Labor and
14 Workforce Development Agency has not indicated that it intends to investigate Defendants’
15 Labor Code violations discussed in the notice. Accordingly, Plaintiff may commence a civil
16 action to recover penalties under Labor Code § 2699 pursuant to § 2699.3 for the violations of
17 the Labor Code described in this Complaint. These penalties include, but are not limited to,
18 penalties under California Labor Code §§ 210, 226.3, 558, 1197.1, and 2699(f)(2).

19 100. In addition, Plaintiff seeks penalties for Defendants’ violation of California
20 Labor Code § 1174(d). Pursuant to California Labor Code § 1174.5, any person,
21 including any entity, employing labor who willfully fails to maintain accurate and
22 complete records required by California Labor Code § 1174 is subject to a penalty under
23 § 1174.5. Pursuant to the applicable IWC Order § 7(A)(3), every employer shall keep
24 time records showing when the employee begins and ends each work period. Meal
25 periods, and total hours worked daily shall also be recorded. Additionally, pursuant to the
26 applicable IWC Order § 7(A)(5), every employer shall keep total hours worked in the
27 payroll period and applicable rates of pay.
28

101. During the time period of employment for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees, Defendants failed to maintain records pursuant to the Labor Code and IWC Orders by failing to maintain accurate records showing meal periods, and accurate records showing when employees begin and end each work period. Defendants' failure to provide and maintain records required by the Labor Code IWC Wage Orders deprived Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees the ability to know, understand and question the accuracy and frequency of meal periods, and the accuracy of their hours worked stated in Defendants' records. Therefore, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees had no way to dispute the resulting failure to pay wages, all of which resulted in an unjustified economic enrichment to Defendants. As a direct result, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees have suffered and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages, lost interest on such wages and expenses and attorney's fees in seeking to compel Defendants to fully perform its obligation under state law, all to their respective damage in amounts according to proof at trial. Because of Defendants' knowing failure to comply with the Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Orders, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees have also suffered an injury in that they were prevented from knowing, understanding, and disputing the wage payments paid to them.

102. Based on the conduct described in this First Amended Complaint, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of civil penalties on behalf of herself, the State of California, and similarly Aggrieved Employees of Defendants. The exact amount of the applicable penalties, in all, is in an amount to be shown according to proof at trial. These penalties are in addition to all other remedies permitted by law.

103. In addition, Plaintiff seeks an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(g)(1), which states, "Any employee who prevails in any action shall be entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs."

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated only with respect to the class claims, prays for relief and judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

1 Class Certification

2 1. That this action be certified as a class action with respect to the First, Second,
3 Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth Causes of Action;

4 2. That Plaintiff be appointed as the representative of the Class; and

5 3. That counsel for Plaintiff be appointed as Class Counsel.

6 As to the First Cause of Action

7 4. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
8 Labor Code §§ 204 and 1194 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to pay all
9 minimum wages due;

10 5. For general unpaid wages as may be appropriate;

11 6. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation commencing from the date
12 such amounts were due;

13 7. For liquidated damages;

14 8. For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to
15 California Labor Code § 1194(a); and,

16 9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

17 As to the Second Cause of Action

18 10. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
19 Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to pay all
20 overtime wages due;

21 11. For general unpaid wages at overtime wage rates as may be appropriate;

22 12. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid overtime compensation commencing from
23 the date such amounts were due;

24 13. For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to
25 California Labor Code § 1194(a); and,

26 14. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

27 As to the Third Cause of Action

1 15. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
2 Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and the IWC Wage Orders;

3 16. For unpaid meal period premium wages as may be appropriate;

4 17. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation commencing from the date
5 such amounts were due;

6 18. For reasonable attorneys' fees under California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5,
7 and for costs of suit incurred herein; and

8 19. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

9 As to the Fourth Cause of Action

10 20. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
11 Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and the IWC Wage Orders;

12 21. For unpaid rest period premium wages as may be appropriate;

13 22. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation commencing from the date
14 such amounts were due;

15 23. For reasonable attorneys' fees under California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5,
16 and for costs of suit incurred herein; and

17 24. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

18 As to the Fifth Cause of Action

19 25. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated Labor Code §
20 2802 and the IWC Wage Orders;

21 26. For general unpaid wages and reimbursement of business expenses as may be
22 appropriate;

23 27. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation commencing from the date
24 such amounts were due;

25 28. For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein; and

26 29. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

27 As to the Sixth Cause of Action

1 30. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
2 Labor Code §§ 201, 202, and 203 by willfully failing to pay all compensation owed at the time
3 of termination of the employment;

4 31. For statutory wage penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203 for former
5 employees who have left Defendants' employ;

6 32. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such amounts were
7 due;

8 33. For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein; and

9 34. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

10 As to the Seventh Cause of Action

11 35. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated the record
12 keeping provisions of California Labor Code § 226(a) and applicable IWC Wage Orders, and
13 willfully failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements thereto;

14 36. For penalties and actual damages pursuant to California Labor Code § 226(e);

15 37. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California
16 Labor Code § 226(h);

17 38. For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein; and

18 39. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

19 As to the Eighth Cause of Action

20 40. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
21 Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* by failing to pay wages for all hours worked
22 (including minimum and overtime wages), failing to provide meal periods, failing to maintain
23 accurate records of meal periods, failing to authorize and permit rest periods, and failing to
24 maintain accurate records of all hours worked and meal periods, failing to furnish accurate
25 wage statements, and failing to indemnify necessary business expenses;

26 41. For restitution of unpaid wages to Plaintiff and all Class Members and prejudgment
27 interest from the day such amounts were due and payable;

42. For the appointment of a receiver to receive, manage and distribute any and all funds disgorged from Defendants and determined to have been wrongfully acquired by Defendants as a result of violations of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*;

43. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5;

44. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*; and,

45. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

As to the Ninth Cause of Action

46. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated the California Labor Code by failing to pay wages for all hours worked (including minimum, straight time, and overtime wages), failing to provide meal periods, failing to maintain accurate records of meal periods, failing to authorize and permit rest periods, failing to maintain accurate records of all hours worked and meal periods, failing to indemnify necessary business expenses, failing to pay final wages at termination, and failing to furnish accurate wage statements;

47. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof. For all civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699, *et seq.*, and all other applicable Labor Code provisions;

48. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699;

49. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

As to all Causes of Action

50. For any additional relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 1, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

MOON & YANG, APC

By: _____

Kane Moon
Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan
Jessica M. Abreu
Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all causes of action triable by jury.

Dated: February 1, 2023

MOON & YANG, APC

By: _____

Kane Moon
Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan
Jessica M. Abreu
Attorneys for Plaintiff

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

3 I am employed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 and not
4 a party to the within suit; my business address is 1055 W. 7th Street, Suite 1880, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

5 On the date indicated below, I served the document described as: **FIRST AMENDED CLASS**
6 **ACTION AND PAGA REPRESENTATION ACTION COMPLAINT** on the interested parties in this
7 action by sending ☐ the original [or] ☒ a true copy thereof ☒ to interested parties as follows [or] ☐
8 as stated on the attached service list:

9 SNEZHANA McGOLDRICK
10 BERNARD McGOLDRICK
11 **McGoldrick & McGoldrick**
12 49239 Golden Oak Loop
13 Oakhurst, CA 93644
14 Phone: (559) 683-4130
15 E-mail: smcgoldrick@mcgoldricklaw.com

16 *Attorneys for Defendant A&M INVESTORS, INC*

17 ☒ **BY U.S. MAIL:** I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The
18 envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am “readily familiar” with the
19 firm’s practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice
20 it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully
21 prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on
22 motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage
23 meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

24 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
25 true and correct. Executed this **February 1, 2023** at Los Angeles, California.

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Karen Castillo
Type or Print Name

Signature