

JCL LAW FIRM, APC

Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
Sydney S. Castillo-Johnson (State Bar #343881)
Monnett De La Torre (State Bar #272884)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619)599-8292
Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com
mdelatorre@jcl-lawfirm.com

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
Jackland K. Hom (State Bar #327243)
Julieann Alvarado (State Bar #334727)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619)255-9047
Facsimile: (858) 404-9203
shani@zakaylaw.com
jackland@zakaylaw.com
julieann@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF BUTTE

THOMAS HAVEN, BRETT OWENS, and
DONAVON PATTERSON individuals, on
behalf of themselves and on behalf of all
persons similary situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CALIFORNIA VOCATIONS, INC., a
California Corporation; and DOES 1-50,
Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 20CV01514

**DECLARATION OF JEAN-CLAUDE
LAPUYADE, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND
PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Date: March 1, 2023

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Stephen E. Benson

Dept.: 6

1 I, Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., declare as follows:

2 1. I am over eighteen years of age and if called as a witness, I could and would competently
3 testify to the following facts from my own personal knowledge. I am an attorney-at-law and the owner
4 of the law firm of JCL LAW FIRM, APC (hereinafter "Class Counsel"). I am counsel of record for
5 Plaintiffs THOMAS HAVEN, BRETT OWENS, and DONAVON PATTERSON (hereafter "Plaintiffs"
6 or "Class Representatives") in this wage and hour class and representative action filed against
7 Defendant CALIFORNIA VOCATIONS, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant").

8 2. This declaration is being submitted in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of
9 Class Action and PAGA Settlement.

10 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "1"** is a true and correct copy of the fully executed Stipulation
11 of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action Claims and Release of Claims (hereinafter collectively
12 "Settlement Agreement"). Attached as **Exhibit "A"** to the Agreement is a true and correct copy of the
13 Class Notice.

14 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "2"** is a true and correct copy of the conformed November 9,
15 2022, Preliminary Approval Order.

16 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "3"** is a true and correct copy of the September 16, 2022,
17 proof of filing the proposed Settlement with the LWDA.

18 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "4"** is a true and correct copy of the February 6, 2023, proof
19 of filing this motion seeking final approval of this Settlement with the LWDA.

20 7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "5"** is a true and correct copy of the JCL Law Firm, APC,
21 "Time and Billing Journal" as of February 6, 2023.

22 8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "6"** is a true and correct copy of the JCL Law Firm, APC,
23 "Transaction Summary" as of February 6, 2023.

24 9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "7"** is a true and correct copy of the JCL Law Firm, APC,
25 Firm Resume.

26 ///

27 ///

I. INTRODUCTION

10. An objective evaluation of the proposed settlement of Five Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$585,000.00) (“Gross Settlement Amount”) confirms that the relief negotiated on behalf of the Settlement Class is fair, reasonable, and valuable. The Settlement is a product of informed, adversarial, and non-collusive negotiations, between experienced counsel, and presided over by Hon. Brian Walsh (Ret.), a respected jurist and mediator of wage and hour class and representative actions. After deducting any Court-approved attorneys’ fees and costs, class representative incentive payments, administration costs, and the payments to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”), the net settlement fund shall be distributed to the Settlement Class based on the number of Workweeks they worked while employed by Defendant during the Class Period. *. The Class greeted news of the Settlement with 99.72% approval.*¹ There are two opt-outs and zero objections to the Settlement. The high approval by the Settlement Class evidences the clear fairness and reasonableness of the Settlement.

11. This Settlement provides substantial recovery to Settlement Class Members. Plaintiffs estimate that the Settlement, if approved, would result in a Net Settlement Amount of approximately **\$297,000.00**. This will result in an average Individual Settlement Payment of **\$410.22**, a high payment of **\$1,223.63**, and a low payment of **\$3.85**. Aggrieved Employees will receive an estimated average gross payment of **\$10.36**, a high payment of **\$36.75**, and a low payment of **\$.55**.

12. The relief offered by the Settlement is immediate and is particularly impressive when viewed against the difficulties encountered by plaintiffs pursuing similar wage and hour cases (*see infra*). Indeed, by settling now rather than proceeding to trial, the Settlement Class will not have to wait (possibly years) for relief, nor will they have to bear the risks associated with prolonged and uncertain litigation.

13. As discussed below, the proposed Settlement satisfies all criteria for final settlement approval under California law and falls well within the range of reasonableness. Accordingly, the Class

¹ Seventy-nine (79) Notices were deemed deliverable. ILYM Dec. at ¶¶ 10.

Representatives and Class Counsel respectfully request this Court grant final approval of the Agreement and entry of the proposed Final Approval Order and Judgment.

II. OVERVIEW OF THE LITIGATION

14. Defendant provides residential and vocational support to developmentally disabled adults. Defendant operates a facility in Butte County where Plaintiffs worked. This action asserts claims on behalf of Defendant's non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed in California. Plaintiffs worked for Defendant in various non-exempt job positions at various times during the Class Period. At all times during their employment, Plaintiffs earned hourly wage, were entitled to legally compliant meal and/or rest periods, and minimum, regular and overtime wages for all hours worked. Throughout their employment, Plaintiffs were subject to Defendant's written policies and procedures contained in, among other documents, Defendant's written Employee Handbook.

15. Generally, Plaintiffs claim that Defendant failed to pay for all time worked, failed to provide compliant meal and rest periods, failed to furnish accurate itemized wage statements, and failed to reimburse for required business expenses. Defendant forcefully denies liability for each of Plaintiffs' claims. Further, Defendant asserts that it fully complied with all applicable employment laws and raised several other significant defenses to Plaintiffs' claims, including but not limited to, facially compliant meal and rest period policies, compliant wage statements, and reimbursed for all required business expenses.

16. On May 27, 2020, Plaintiff Haven served Defendant and the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") with a Notice of Violations ("PAGA Notice"). Plaintiff Haven's PAGA Notice set forth the facts and theories supporting Defendant's alleged violations of various provisions of the California Labor Code and applicable Industrial Welfare Commissions ("IWC") Wage Order and of his intent to pursue claims under California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, Cal. Lab. Code Sections 2698 et seq ("PAGA"). On January 19, 2022, Plaintiff Haven and Plaintiff Owens served Defendant and the LWDA with an amended PAGA Notice.

17. On August 3, 2020, Plaintiff Haven filed a class action complaint in the Butte County Superior Court (the "Action"). The Action alleged nine causes of action against Defendant for: (1)

Unfair Competition in Violation of California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200; (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages in Violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1; (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages in Violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510 *et seq.*; (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods in Violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 and 512; (5) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods in Violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 and 512; (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements in Violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 226; (7) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Business Expenses in Violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 2802; (8) Failure to provide wages when due in violation of Cal. Lab. Code Sections § 201, 202, and 203, and (9) Violations of the Private Attorney General Act at Lab. Code §§ 2698 *et. seq.* (“Class Claims”). On March 29, 2022, Plaintiff Haven filed an amended complaint adding Plaintiff Owens to the Action. On July 11, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint adding Plaintiff Patterson to the Action.

18. After the Action was commenced, the Parties engaged in motion practice and significant written discovery, including the dissemination of the *Belaire-West* notice to the Class Members. After answering each party’s respective written discovery, and after Class Counsel contacted many of the Class Members and discuss Plaintiffs’ claims with them, the Parties agreed to mediate the Action. To prepare for mediation, the Parties agreed to informally produce additional documents and data points subject to the mediation privilege, including but not limited to, the Class Members’ time data and certain payroll data points. As discussed *infra*, Plaintiffs’ counsel retained the forensic consultants at Berger Consulting Group (“BCG”) to analyze Defendant’s time and payroll data.

19. On May 5, 2022, the Parties participated in a full day mediation with highly regarded wage and hour mediator Hon. Brian Walsh (Ret.). After arms-length negotiations and bargaining, the Parties reached an agreement to settle based on Judge Walsh’s mediator proposal, and signed a Memorandum of Understanding. After reaching an agreement in principle, the Parties drafted and negotiated a long-form settlement agreement, which is the subject of the instant motion.

III. THE SETTLEMENT BEFORE THE COURT

20. The Court preliminarily approved an initial Gross Settlement Amount of Five Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cent (\$585,000.00) based on a total of an estimated 77,511

Workweeks worked by the Class during the Class Period. The Gross Settlement Amount shall be used: (1) to satisfy Individual Settlement Payments; (2) to satisfy the Class Representative Service Awards; (3) to satisfy the Class Counsel Award; (4) to satisfy the PAGA Settlement; and (5) to satisfy the Settlement Administration Costs incurred in this action.

21. As explained in further detail below, the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable to the class and should be granted final approval. This is evidenced by the high approval of the Settlement by the Settlement Class, the absence of any objections to the Settlement and the average Individual Settlement Payment of \$410.22.

IV. THE SETTLEMENT IS FAIR, REASONABLE, AND ADEQUATE

A. Class Counsel Conducted a Thorough Investigation

22. Months before filing any one of the Actions, Class Counsel began its pre-filing investigation into the Plaintiff Haven's complaints. Class Counsel's investigation started with several lengthy interviews with Plaintiff Haven. As part of the interview process, Plaintiff Haven produced documents related to her employment, including time and payroll records. At the conclusion of the interviews, Class Counsel determined that each of Plaintiff's complaints appeared to substantiate Plaintiff's claim that Defendant's failed to, among other things, pay for all time worked and to provide compliant meal and rest periods. Class Counsel continued its' investigation via formal discovery, including conducting telephone interviews with numerous Class Members.

23. Considering the many anticipated factual disputes, the Parties agreed that it would be in the best interest of both Parties to mediate the matter. To prepare for mediation, Class Counsel requested documents and data points to further evaluate Plaintiffs' claims and Defendant's liability exposure to the Class Members. In response to Class Counsel's request, Defendant produced the time records for the Class Members, aggregate workweek and pay period data for the Class Members, wage statements for the Class Members, and copies of applicable handbooks, policies, and agreements containing, *inter alia*, compensation, timekeeping and meal and rest period policies. In total, Defendant produced over thousands of pages of pertinent policy documents, time records for Class Members, and thousands of unique cells of payroll data for Class Counsel to analyze.

1 24. Prior to serving any written discovery, the Parties agreed to mediate the action. To
2 prepare for mediation, Class Counsel requested several documents and data points to further evaluate
3 Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's liability exposure to the Settlement Class members. In response,
4 Defendant produced the time and payroll records for approximately 20% of the Settlement Class
5 members, aggregate workweek and pay period data for 100% of the Settlement Class, and copies of
6 applicable handbooks containing, *inter alia*, compensation, timekeeping, and meal and rest period
7 policies. In total, Defendant produced approximately 265 pages containing nearly 41,000 unique cells
8 of time and payroll data for Class Counsel to analyze.

9 25. Class Counsel then retained the statisticians and economists at BCG to evaluate the Class
10 Members' payroll and time data. BCG provides data analysis and damage modeling consulting services
11 specializing in wage and hour class actions. BCG's team of financial and data analysts are credentialed
12 and experienced experts in their fields and have provided their expertise on thousands of cases. Stated
13 differently, the BCG is uniquely qualified to analyze Defendant's time and payroll data.

14 26. BCG analyzed Defendant's time and payroll data to assist in the development of various
15 damage models. Among other things, BCG determined the approximate number of work shifts,
16 workweeks, pay periods, average length of shift and average number of hours worked per week and pay
17 periods during the Class Period. BCG also estimated the number of missed, late or truncated meal and
18 rest periods, the amount of paid missed meal period premiums paid during the Class Period, and the
19 amount of off-the-clock work performed by the Class Members during the Class Period. BCG
20 calculated and created a damage model for Defendant's potential liability exposure while accounting
21 for the uncertainties of continued litigation. The damage model informed and guided Class Counsel
22 during the mediated settlement discussions.

23 27. Overall, Class Counsel thoroughly investigated the claims at issue, including: (1)
24 determining Plaintiff's suitability as a putative class representative through interviews, background
25 investigations, and analysis of her employment files; (2) evaluating all of Plaintiffs' potential claims;
26 (3) researching similar wage and hour class actions as to the claims brought, the nature of the positions,
27 and the type of employer; (4) analyzing the Settlement Class' time and payroll records; (5) analyzing

1 Defendant's labor policies and practices; (6) researching settlements in similar cases as well as
2 outstanding issues which could affect settlement approval; (7) evaluating Plaintiff's claims and
3 estimating Defendant's liability for purposes of settlement; (8) drafting the mediation brief; and (9)
4 participating in the mediation. This investigation allowed Class Counsel to fully assess the nature and
5 magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, such as to make an
6 independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.

7 28. Class Counsel only agreed to enter the Settlement following this thorough investigation
8 and evaluation of Plaintiff's claims. Based on this investigation of the facts, applicable law, and
9 marketplace for similar settlements, and considering the risk of significant delay, uncertainty associated
10 with litigation, and the various defenses asserted by Defendant, Class Counsel firmly believes this
11 Agreement to be in the best interests of the Class Members. Because the Settlement's terms are fair,
12 reasonable, and adequate, the Court should preliminarily approve the Settlement.

13 **B. The Parties Settled At Mediation**

14 29. On May 5, 2022, the Parties conducted a full-day mediation with Hon. Brian Walsh
15 (Ret.), a respected jurist and mediator of wage and hour class and representative actions. Judge Walsh
16 helped to manage the Parties' expectations and provided a useful, neutral analysis of the issues and risks
17 to both sides. The Parties made substantial progress with Judge Walsh's assistance. Namely, the Parties
18 exchanged information regarding their respective claims and defenses, including their respective
19 evaluations of the damages. After more than eight hours of arm's length and adversarial negotiations,
20 the mediation concluded with a settlement. The amount of the service awards was not negotiated until
21 after the parties agreed to the Gross Settlement Amount and many other terms. No action would likely
22 have been taken by Class Members individually, and no compensation would have been recovered for
23 them, but for Plaintiffs' services on behalf of the Class.

24 **C. Class Counsel is Experienced in Similar Litigation**

25 30. Plaintiff is represented by competent and experienced counsel to pursue her claims. I
26 established the JCL Law Firm, APC ("Firm"), in 2010. The Firm is a boutique plaintiff firm representing
27 aggrieved employees and consumers throughout California in wage and hour class actions and complex
28

multi-party product liability matters. The Firm currently consists of myself, Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. (Partner/Owner), Sydney Castillo-Johnson, Esq. (Associate Attorney), Monnett De La Torre (Associate Attorney), Tesla Stone (Paralegal), Maria Watters (Paralegal), Jennifer Hemming (Administrative Assistant) and Madelyn Uchiyama (Legal Assistant).

31. I am qualified to represent the Plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees. I have extensive experience with wage and hour class and representative actions litigation like this matter, and employment matters in general. Additionally, I have extensive complex mass tort litigation experience and complex construction product liability experience. I can, will and have adequately represented the interests of the Plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees throughout this action.

32. I have represented consumers and employees in California class actions, and complex multi-party product liability actions exclusively for more than 14 years. I have been in practice as a plaintiffs' civil litigation attorney since April 2007.

33. I am qualified to represent the Plaintiff, the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees. I have extensive experience with wage and hour class and representative actions litigation like this matter, and employment matters in general. My credentials are reflected in the JCL Law Firm, APC, Firm Resume, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit "7."** Some of the major cases the Firm has undertaken are also set forth in **Exhibit "7."**

34. Since 2010, I have successfully tried two (2) cases to conclusion, each resulting in a favorable verdict for the firm's clients.

35. Finally, I have published appellate experience in *William Blanchette et al., v. The Superior Court of Imperial County, (GHA Enterprises)*, 8 Cal.App.5th 521.

36. Consequently, I have been named to the prestigious Thomson Reuters, Super Lawyers, Rising Star in 2015, 2016, 2017 and, again, in 2018. According to Thomson Reuters, the Super Lawyers, Rising Star list recognizes the top 2.5% of eligible attorneys. (see https://www.superlawyers.com/about/selection_process.html.)

///

1 **D. The Gross Settlement Amount is Fair and Reasonable**

2 37. Here, the Settlement is clearly within the “ballpark” of reasonableness because the
3 Settlement provides a sizeable recovery to the Settlement Class Members and need not necessarily
4 obtain 100 percent of the damages sought to be fair, reasonable, and ultimately, meaningful. *Wershba*,
5 91 Cal.App.4th at 250. While the Gross Settlement Amount of Five Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand
6 Dollars and Zero Cents (\$585,000.00) represents a discount on Defendant’s potential exposure if
7 Plaintiffs established liability on all claims and were awarded the full amount of the estimated damages
8 at trial, this compromise is reasonable because (1) the formidable defenses raised by Defendant
9 presented a risk that could award significantly less in damages than Plaintiffs’ estimate and (2) any
10 theoretical post-trial recovery may require many more years of litigation, resulting in added fees and
11 costs without any guarantee of recovery for the Class.

12 38. As detailed at the time of preliminary approval, the Gross Settlement Amount is fair and
13 reasonable considering the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled and the various potential
14 impediments to recovery. Class Counsel developed varied economic damages models measuring
15 Defendant’s liability exposure while accounting for the uncertainties of continued litigation. The
16 various models were informed by Class Counsel’s investigation, including the analysis of a significant
17 sample of time and payroll data. As detailed in greater depth during the preliminary approval process,
18 Class Counsel projected a range of Defendant’s liability exposure for the claims asserted on behalf of
19 the Settlement Class. The Gross Settlement Amount represents approximately 14.8% of the value of
20 the maximum potential *damages* at issue. Even when including the penalties for Plaintiff’s claim for
21 wage statement violations and waiting time penalties under *Labor Code* Sections 203 and 226, the
22 settlement represents up to 8.3% of the maximum potential liability, assuming all of these amounts
23 could be proved at trial.

24 39. This average net recovery is on par with many wage and hour class action settlements
25 approved by California state and federal courts. *See, e.g., Palencia v. 99 Cents Only Stores*, No. 34-
26 2010-00079619 (Sacramento County Super. Ct) (average net recovery of approximately \$80); *Fukuchi*
27 *v. Pizza Hut*, Case No. BC302589 (LA. County Super. Ct.) (average net recovery of approximately

1 \$120); *Contreras v. United Food Group, LLC*, Case No. BC389253 (L.A. County Super. Ct.) (average
2 net recovery of approximately \$120); *Ressler v. Federated Department Stores, Inc.*, Case No.
3 BC335018 (L.A. County Super. Ct.) (average net recovery of approximately \$90); *Doty v. Costco*
4 *Wholesale Corp.*, Case No. CV05-3241 FMC-JWJx (C.D. Cal. May 14, 2007) (average net recovery of
5 approximately \$65); *Sorenson v. PetSmart, Inc.*, Case No. 2:06-CV-02674-JAM-DAD (ED. Cal.)
6 (average net recovery of approximately \$60); *Lim v. Victoria's Secret Stores, Inc.*, Case No.
7 04CC00213 (Orange County Super. Ct.) (average net recovery of approximately \$35); and *Gomez v.*
8 *Amadeus Salon, Inc.*, Case No. BC392297 (L.A. Super. Ct.) (average net recovery of approximately
9 \$20). Additionally, Defendant must separately fund its share of any employer side payroll taxes.
10 Agreement, ¶ I(O).

11 40. Clearly the goal of this litigation to obtain redress for the class has been met by this
12 Settlement. Given the amount of the Settlement, the risks of litigation and the arm's length negotiations
13 between experienced counsel, the settlement is fair and reasonable, and is therefore entitled to final
14 approval.

15 **E. The Strength of Plaintiff's Case and Risks of Continued Litigation**

16 41. Although Class Counsel believes strongly in Plaintiffs' claims, it would be misguided to
17 ignore the asserted defenses. If successful on any one its defenses, Defendant could defend the action
18 or, at least, drastically undercut Plaintiffs' claims.

19 42. With respect to the most immediate challenge, i.e., class certification, the risks attendant
20 to the certification of similar wage and hour claims are demonstrated by *Magadia v. Wal-Mart*
21 *Associates, Inc.* (9th Cir. 2021) 999 F.3d 668. There, the Ninth Circuit, vacated reversed and remanded
22 a \$110 million judgement against an employer for, *inter alia*, inaccurately paying overtime, and directed
23 the trial court to enter judgement in favor of the employer. *See also O'Conner v. Uber Technologies,*
24 *Inc.* (9th Cir. 2018) 904 F.3d 1087 (decertification of wage and hour misclassification action); *see also*
25 *Duran v. U.S. Bank National Association* (2014) 59 Cal.4th 1, 31 (reversing a trial verdict in favor
26 plaintiffs in a wage and hour class action)); *Tien v. Tenet Healthcare Corp.* (2012) 209 Cal.App.4th
27 1077, 1088 ("[T]he reason, if any, that employees might not take their breaks were predominantly
28

individualized questions of fact not susceptible to class treatment.”); *Ali v. U.S.A. Cab Ltd.* (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 1333, 1341 (affirming denial of certification because employees’ declarations attesting to having taken meal and rest breaks demonstrated that individualized inquiries were required to show harm); *Campbell v. Best Buy Stores, L.P.*, (C.D. Cal. 2013) 2013 WL 5302217 at *11-13 (following *Brinker* and denying certification of proposed off-the-clock and rest and meal break classes due to lack of uniform policy); *Brown v. Fed Express Corp.* (C.D. Cal. 2008) 249 F.R.D. 580, 587-88 (denying certification of driver meal and rest period claims based on the predominance of individual issues). Collectively, the examples cited above illustrate the uncertain nature of class litigation. Consequently, the uncertainty of obtaining certification through a contested motion is a significant risk factor favoring settlement.

43. Although Plaintiffs are confident about the strength of their claims, Plaintiffs nevertheless recognize that Defendant has factual and legal defenses that, if successful, would potentially defeat or impair the value of the lawsuit. Legally, the fast-changing landscape of wage and hour litigation can drastically affect the value of Plaintiffs’ claims and, in fact, already has.

44. As demonstrated by the decisions in *Magadia, supra*, 999 F.3d 668, *O’Conner v. Uber Technologies* (N.D. Cal. 2018) 82 F.Supp.3d 1133 and *Duran v. U.S. Bank National Assn.* (2014) 59 Cal.4th 1, the complexities and duration of further litigation cannot be overstated. There is little doubt that if Defendant faced a large adverse judgement, Defendant undoubtedly has the resources to post a bond and appeal. A post-judgment appeal by Defendant would have required many more years to resolve, assuming the judgment was affirmed. If the judgment was not affirmed in total, then the case could have dragged on for years after the appeal. The benefits of a guaranteed recovery today outweigh an uncertain result three or more years in the future.

45. Class Representatives and Class Counsel recognize the expense and length of a trial against Defendant through possible appeals which could take another three years. In arriving at their informed belief that the present settlement is fair and adequate, Class Counsel accounted for the uncertain outcome and risk inherent in complex actions such as this one. Class Counsel is also mindful of and recognize the inherent problems of proof under, and alleged defenses to, the claims asserted in

1 the litigation. Moreover, post-trial motions and appeals would have been inevitable. Costs would have
2 mounted, and recovery would have been delayed if not denied, thereby reducing the benefits of an
3 ultimate victory. Class Representatives and Class Counsel believe that the Settlement confers
4 substantial monetary and non-monetary benefits upon the Class. Based upon their evaluation, Class
5 Representatives and Class Counsel have determined that the Settlement set forth in the Agreement is in
6 the best interest of the Class, is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and should be granted final approval.

7 **IV. THE PENALTIES UNDER PAGA ARE REASONABLE**

8 46. Here, the Parties negotiated a good faith PAGA Settlement in the amount of \$30,000
9 which is 5.1% of the Gross Settlement Amount. As illustrated above, this amount falls well within the
10 range of approved PAGA Settlements. Seventy-five percent (75%) of the PAGA Settlement (\$22,500)
11 will be paid to the LWDA ("LWDA Payment") with the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) to be
12 distributed to the Aggrieved Employees, which is within the range of PAGA penalties approved by
13 courts and should be approved.

14 47. Class Counsel gave the LWDA notice of this Settlement and proposed allocation by filing
15 a copy of the Settlement, the Motion for Preliminary Approval, and this motion seeking final approval
16 with the LWDA. On February 6, 2023, Plaintiffs gave the LWDA notice of this motion seeking final
17 approval of this settlement.

18 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
19 is true and correct. Executed this 6th day of February 2023, at San Diego, California.
20

21
22 **JCL LAW FIRM, APC**

23 By: 
24 Jean-Claude Lapuyade

EXHIBIT 1

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC
Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
Jackland K. Hom (State Bar #327243)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619)255-9047
Facsimile: (858) 404-9203
shani@zakaylaw.com
jackland@zakaylaw.com

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
Eduardo Garcia (State Bar #290572)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292
Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
egarcia@jcl-lawfirm.com

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF BUTTE

THOMAS HAVEN, BRETT OWENS and
DONOVAN ZACHARIAH PATTERSON,
individuals, on behalf of themselves and on
behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CALIFORNIA VOCATIONS, INC., a
California Corporation, a California
Corporation; and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,

DEFENDANT.

Case No. 20CV01514

[Action Filed 08/03/2020]

**STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT OF
CLASS AND PAGA ACTION CLAIMS
AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS**

Judge: Honorable Stephen E. Benson
Dept.: 6

1 This Stipulation of Class and PAGA Action Claims and Release of Claims is entered into by
2 and between Plaintiffs THOMAS HAVEN, BRETT OWENS and DONOVAN ZACHARIAH
3 PATTERSON (hereinafter collectively “Plaintiffs”), individually and on behalf of the Settlement
4 Class, and in their representative capacity on behalf of the State of California and the Aggrieved
5 Employees, and Defendant CALIFORNIA VOCATIONS, INC., a California Corporation
6 (hereinafter “Defendant”).

7 **I. DEFINITIONS**

- 8 A. “Action” shall mean *Haven v. California Vocations, Inc.*, a California Corporation,
9 Butte Superior Court, Case No. 20CV01514, filed August 3, 2020.
- 10 B. “Aggrieved Employees” shall mean all non-exempt employees who are or previously
11 were employed by Defendant and performed work in California during the PAGA
12 Period.
- 13 C. “Agreement” or “Settlement Agreement” means this Stipulation of Settlement of
14 Class Action and Release of Claims.
- 15 D. “Class Counsel” shall mean Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. of JCL Law Firm, APC, and
16 Shani Zakay of Zakay Law Group, APLC.
- 17 E. “Class Counsel Award” means the award of fees and expenses that the Court
18 authorizes to be paid to Class Counsel for the services they have rendered to
19 Plaintiffs and the Class in the Action, consisting of attorneys’ fees currently not to
20 exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount currently estimated to be \$195,000
21 out of \$585,000.00 plus costs and expenses not to exceed \$20,000.00 and supported
22 by declaration as to the reasonableness of attorney’s fees and costs. Class Counsel’s
23 award for attorneys’ fees will be allocated between Class Counsel as follows: Fifty
24 percent (50%) to the JCL Law Firm, APC; fifty percent (50%) to the Zakay Law
25 Group, APLC.
- 26 F. “Class Data” means information regarding Class Members that Defendant will in
27 good faith compile from its records and provide to the Settlement Administrator. It
28 shall be formatted as a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and shall include: each Class

1 Member's full name; last known address; Social Security Number; start dates and end
2 dates of employment. The Class Data will also include any Class Member's legal
3 representative's name and address, if applicable.

4 G. "Class Members" or the "Class" means all non-exempt employees who are or
5 previously were employed by Defendant and performed work in California during the
6 Class Period.

7 H. "Class Period" means the period from April 6, 2016 to May 5, 2022.

8 I. "Class Representative Service Awards" means the amount that the Court authorizes
9 to be paid to the Class Representatives, in addition to their Individual Settlement
10 Payments and their pro-rata share of the PAGA Settlement, in recognition of their
11 efforts and risks in assisting with the prosecution of the Actions and, partially, in
12 exchange for executing a General Release of Defendant.

13 J. "Class Representatives" shall mean plaintiffs THOMAS HAVEN, BRETT OWENS
14 and DONOVAN ZACHARIAH PATTERSON.

15 K. "Court" means the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Butte
16 currently presiding over the Action.

17 L. "Defendant" shall mean CALIFORNIA VOCATIONS, INC., a California Non-Profit
18 Corporation.

19 M. "Effective Date" means earliest date, following entry by the Court of an order and
20 judgment finally approving this Settlement, upon which one of the following have
21 occurred: (i) if no objection is filed to the settlement and no objector appears at the
22 hearing on final approval, the date of the Court's entry of the order granting final
23 approval, (ii) if an objection is filed to the settlement and/or an objector appears at the
24 hearing on final approval, then the earlier of the following: (a) the expiration of all
25 potential appeal periods without a filing of a notice of appeal of the final approval
26 order or judgment; (b) final affirmance of the final approval order and judgment by
27 an appellate court as a result of any appeal(s), or (c) final dismissal or denial of all
28

1 such appeals (including any petition for review, rehearing, certiorari, etc.) such that
2 the final approval order and judgment is no longer subject to further judicial review.

3 N. "Funding Date" shall mean the date Defendant fully funds the Gross Settlement
4 Amount by transferring the final Installment Payment, as defined herein in Section
5 III(A)(3), into the QSF in accordance with terms of this Agreement.

6 O. "Gross Settlement Amount" means Five Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars
7 (\$585,000.00) that Defendant must pay into the QSF in connection with this
8 Settlement, inclusive of the sum of the Individual Settlement Payments, the Class
9 Representative Service Awards, the Class Counsel Award, PAGA Settlement and the
10 Settlement Administration Costs and *exclusive* of the employer's share of payroll tax,
11 if any, triggered by any payment under this Settlement.

12 P. "Individual Settlement Payment" means the amount payable from the Net Settlement
13 Amount to each Settlement Class Member and excludes any amounts distributed to
14 Aggrieved Employees pursuant to PAGA.

15 Q. "Net Settlement Amount" or "NSA" means the Gross Settlement Amount, less Class
16 Counsel Award, Class Representative Service Awards, PAGA Settlement, and
17 Settlement Administration Costs.

18 R. "Notice Packet" means the Class Notice to be provided to the Class Members by the
19 Settlement Administrator in the form set forth as Exhibit A to this Agreement (other
20 than formatting changes to facilitate printing by the Settlement Administrator).

21 S. "PAGA" means the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004,
22 Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*

23 T. "PAGA Pay Periods", for purposes of calculating the distribution of the Aggrieved
24 Employee Payment, as defined herein, means the number of pay periods of
25 employment during the PAGA Period that each Aggrieved Employee worked in
26 California.

- 1 U. "PAGA Payment Ratio" means the respective Workweeks during the PAGA Period
2 for each Aggrieved Employee divided by the sum total of the Workweeks for all
3 Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period.
- 4 V. "PAGA Period" means the period of August 3, 2019 to May 5, 2022.
- 5 W. "PAGA Settlement" shall mean Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) to be allocated
6 from the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 7 X. "Parties" means Plaintiffs and Defendant, collectively, and "Party" shall mean either
8 Plaintiffs or Defendant, individually.
- 9 Y. "Payment Ratio" means the respective Workweeks for each Class Member divided
10 by the sum total Workweeks for all Class Members.
- 11 Z. "Plaintiffs" shall mean THOMAS HAVEN, BRETT OWENS and DONOVAN
12 ZACHARIAH PATTERSON.
- 13 AA. "QSF" means the Qualified Settlement Fund established, designated and maintained
14 by the Settlement Administrator to fund the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 15 BB. "Released Class Claims" means all class claims alleged in the operative complaint
16 which occurred during the Class Period.
- 17 CC. "Released PAGA Claims" means all PAGA claims alleged in the operative complaint
18 and Plaintiffs' PAGA notices to the LWDA which occurred during the PAGA Period,
19 and other than the named Plaintiffs, expressly excluding all other claims, including
20 claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability,
21 social security, workers' compensation, and PAGA claims outside the PAGA Period.
- 22 DD. "Response Deadline" means the date forty-five (45) days after the Settlement
23 Administrator mails Notice Packets to Class Members and the last date on which
24 Class Members may submit requests for exclusion or objections to the Settlement.
- 25 EE. "Settlement" means the disposition of the Action pursuant to this Agreement.
- 26 FF. "Settlement Administrator" means ILYM Group Inc., 14771 Plaza Drive, Ste. L,
27 Tustin, CA 92780; Tel: 1-888-250-6810; Fax: 1-888-845-6185. The Settlement
28 Administrator establishes, designates and maintains, as a QSF under Internal Revenue

Code section 468B and Treasury Regulation section 1.468B-1, into which the amount of the Gross Settlement Amount is deposited for the purpose of resolving the claims of Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Administrator shall maintain the funds until distribution in an account(s) segregated from the assets of Defendant and any person related to Defendant. *All accrued interest shall be paid and distributed to the Settlement Class Members as part of their respective Individual Settlement Payment.*

GG. “Settlement Class Members” or “Settlement Class” means all Class Members who have not submitted a timely and valid request for exclusion as provided in this Agreement.

HH. “Workweeks”, for purposes of calculating the distribution of the Net Settlement Amount, means the number of weeks of employment during the Class Period that each Class Member was employed by Defendant in California, excluding weeks during which the Class Member did not perform any work for Defendant.

II. RECITALS

A. On May 27, 2020, Plaintiff Haven filed a Notice of Violations with the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (LWDA) and served the same on Defendant.

B. On January 19, 2022, Plaintiff Haven and Plaintiff Owen filed an Amended Notice of Violations with the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (LWDA) and served the same on Defendant.

C. On August 3, 2020, Plaintiffs filed the Lawsuit for:

1. Unfair Competition in Violation of Bus. and Prof. Code sections 17200 *et seq*;
2. Failure to pay overtime wages in violation of California Labor Code sections 510 *et seq*;
3. Failure to provide required meal periods in violation of California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 and the applicable IWC wage order;
4. Failure to provide required rest periods in violation of California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 and the applicable IWC wage order ;

1 5. Failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements in violation of California
2 Labor Code section 226 and 226.2;

3 6. Failure to reimburse Plaintiffs for required expenses in violation of California
4 Labor Code section 2802;

5 7. Failure to provide wages when due in violation of California Labor Code
6 sections 201, 202 and 203;

7 8. Failure to pay minimum wages in violation of California Labor Code sections
8 1194, 1197 and 1197.1;

9 9. Violation of the private attorneys general act in violation of Labor Code
10 sections 2698 et seq.

11 D. On March 29, 2022, Plaintiff Haven filed a First Amended Complaint to add Brett
12 Owens as a named Plaintiff in the Action.

13 E. The Class Representatives believe they have meritorious claims based on alleged
14 violations of the California Labor Code, and the Industrial Wage Commission
15 Orders, and that class certification is appropriate because the prerequisites for class
16 certification can be satisfied in the Action, and this action is manageable as a PAGA
17 representative action.

18 F. Defendant denies any liability or wrongdoing of any kind associated with the claims
19 alleged in the Action, disputes any wages, damages and penalties claimed by the
20 Class Representative are owed, and further contend that, for any purpose other than
21 settlement, the Action is not appropriate for class or representative action treatment.
22 Defendant contends, among other things, that at all times they complied with the
23 California Labor Code and the Industrial Wage Commission Orders.

24 G. The Class Representatives are represented by Class Counsel. Class Counsel
25 conducted an investigation into the facts relevant to the Action, including
26 conducting an independent investigation as to the allegations, reviewing documents
27 and information exchanged through formal and informal discovery, and reviewing
28 documents and information provided by Defendant pursuant to informal requests for

information to prepare for mediation. Defendant produced for the purpose of settlement negotiations certain employment data concerning the Settlement Class, which Class Counsel reviewed and analyzed. Furthermore, Class Counsel propounded extensive discovery, including numerous requests for production, requests for admission, and form and special interrogatories. Moreover, Plaintiffs successfully opposed Defendant's demurrer and prevailed a Motion to Compel further discovery responses. Class Counsel engaged in a comprehensive review and analysis of Defendant's discovery responses. Based on their own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel are of the opinion that the Settlement with Defendant is fair, reasonable and adequate, and is in the best interest of the Settlement Class in light of all known facts and circumstances, including the risks of significant delay, defenses asserted by Defendant, uncertainties regarding class certification, and numerous potential appellate issues. Although it denies any liability, Defendant is agreeing to this Settlement solely to avoid the inconveniences and cost of further litigation. The Parties and their counsel have agreed to settle the claims on the terms set forth in this Agreement

H. On May 5, 2022, the Parties participated in mediation presided over by Hon. Brian C. West (Ret.) a respected and experienced jurist and mediator of wage and hour class and PAGA actions. The mediation concluded with a settlement after both sides agreed to a Mediator's proposal which was subsequently memorialized in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU").

I. To facilitate settlement, and review by the Court, the Parties stipulated to the filing of a Second Amended Class Action Complaint ("SAC") adding Donovan Zachariah Patterson as a named Plaintiff in the Action.

J. On July 11, 2022, Plaintiffs filed the SAC adding Donovan Zachariah Patterson as a named Plaintiff in the Action.

K. This Agreement replaces and supersedes the MOU and any other agreements, understandings, or representations between the Parties. This Agreement represents a

1 compromise and settlement of highly disputed claims. Nothing in this Agreement is
2 intended or will be construed as an admission by Defendant that the claims in the
3 Action of Plaintiffs or the Class Members have merit or that Defendant bears any
4 liability to Plaintiffs or the Class on those claims or any other claims, or as an
5 admission by Plaintiffs that Defendant's defenses in the Action have merit.

6 L. The Parties believe that the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate. The
7 Settlement was arrived at through arm's-length negotiations, taking into account all
8 relevant factors. The Parties recognize the uncertainty, risk, expense and delay
9 attendant to continuing the Action through trial and any appeal. Accordingly, the
10 Parties desire to fully, finally, and forever settle, compromise and discharge all
11 disputes and claims arising from or relating to the Action.

12 M. The Parties agree to certification of the Class for purposes of this Settlement only. If
13 for any reason the settlement does not become effective, Defendant reserves the right
14 to contest certification of any class for any reason and reserves all available defenses
15 to the claims in the Action.

16 Based on these Recitals that are a part of this Agreement, the Parties agree as follows:

17 **III. TERMS OF AGREEMENT**

18 A. Settlement Consideration and Settlement Payments by Defendant.

- 19 1. Settlement Consideration. In full and complete settlement of the Actions, and
20 in exchange for the releases set forth below, Defendant will pay the sum of
21 the Individual Settlement Payment, the Class Representative Service Awards,
22 the Class Counsel Award, PAGA Settlement, and the Settlement
23 Administration Costs, as specified in this Agreement, equal to the Gross
24 Settlement Amount of Five Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars
25 (\$585,000.00). The Parties agree that this is a non-reversionary Settlement and
26 that no portion of the Gross Settlement Amount shall revert to Defendant.
27 Other than the Defendant's share of employer payroll taxes, or as otherwise
28

1 specified in the Agreement, Defendant shall not be required to pay more or
2 less than the Gross Settlement Amount, except as provided for herein below.

3 2. Class Size. At the time of mediation, Defendant estimated that the Settlement
4 Class was comprised of 739 individuals who collectively worked
5 approximately 77,511 Workweeks ("Projected Workweeks") during the Class
6 Period. Defendant will provide a declaration under penalty of perjury before
7 the deadline for filing the motion for preliminary approval of the settlement,
8 confirming the number of applicable Class Members and workweeks they
9 worked during the applicable Class Period. If the workweek number increases
10 by more than 10% of the estimate herein, the Settlement Amount will increase
11 proportionally for the number of workweeks over 110% (85,262).

12 3. Settlement Installment Payments. Defendant is liable for payment of the Gross
13 Settlement Amount. Defendant shall pay, via wire transfer, the Gross
14 Settlement Amount in two parts as follows: (1) \$400,000 within sixty-five
15 (65) days after entry by the Court of an Order and judgment finally approving
16 the Settlement ("Initial Payment"), and (2) twelve (12) equal monthly
17 installments in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Four Hundred Sixteen Dollars
18 and Sixty-Seven Cents (\$15,416.67) paid monthly thereafter, commencing on
19 the first day of the first full month following the Initial Payment, and on the
20 first of every month thereafter until the Gross Settlement Amount is fully
21 funded ("Installment Payments").

22 4. Defendant's Share of Payroll Taxes. Defendant's share of employer side
23 payroll taxes is in addition to the Gross Settlement Amount and shall be paid
24 together with the Gross Settlement Amount on the Funding Date.

25 B. Release by Settlement Class Members. As of the Funding Date, in exchange for the
26 consideration set forth in this Agreement, Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members
27 release the Defendant from the Released Class Claims for the Class Period.
28

1 C. Release by the Aggrieved Employees. As of the Funding Date, in exchange for the
2 consideration set forth in this Agreement, the Plaintiffs, the LWDA and the State of
3 California release the Defendant from the PAGA Released Claims for the PAGA
4 Period.

5 D. General Release by Plaintiffs. As of the Funding Date, for the consideration set forth
6 in this Agreement which includes the Class Representative Service Awards,
7 Plaintiffs waive, release, acquit and forever discharge the Defendant from any and all
8 claims, whether known or unknown, which exist or may exist on their behalf as of
9 the date of this Agreement, including but not limited to any and all tort claims,
10 contract claims, wage claims, wrongful termination claims, disability claims, benefit
11 claims, public policy claims, retaliation claims, statutory claims, personal injury
12 claims, emotional distress claims, invasion of privacy claims, defamation claims,
13 fraud claims, quantum meruit claims, and any and all claims arising under any
14 federal, state or other governmental statute, law, regulation or ordinance, including,
15 but not limited to claims for violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, the California
16 Labor Code, the Wage Orders of California's Industrial Welfare Commission, other
17 state wage and hour laws, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Age
18 Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), the Employee Retirement Income
19 Security Act, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the California Fair
20 Employment and Housing Act, the California Family Rights Act, the Family Medical
21 Leave Act, California's Whistleblower Protection Act, California Business &
22 Professions Code Section 17200 et seq., and any and all claims arising under any
23 federal, state or other governmental statute, law, regulation or ordinance. Plaintiffs
24 also waive and relinquish any and all claims, rights or benefits that they may have
25 under California Civil Code § 1542, which provides as follows:

26 ***A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE***
27 ***CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO***
28 ***EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE***

RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Thus, notwithstanding the provisions of section 1542, and to implement a full and complete release and discharge of the Defendant, Plaintiffs expressly acknowledge this Settlement Agreement is intended to include in its effect, without limitation, all claims Plaintiffs does not know or suspect to exist in Plaintiffs' favor at the time of signing this Settlement Agreement, and that this Settlement Agreement contemplates the extinguishment of any such claims. Plaintiffs warrant that Plaintiffs have read this Settlement Agreement, including this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542, and that Plaintiffs have consulted with or had the opportunity to consult with counsel of Plaintiffs' choosing about this Settlement Agreement and specifically about the waiver of section 1542, and that Plaintiffs understand this Settlement Agreement and the section 1542 waiver, and so Plaintiffs freely and knowingly enter into this Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs further acknowledge that Plaintiffs later may discover facts different from or in addition to those Plaintiffs now know or believe to be true regarding the matters released or described in this Settlement Agreement, and even so Plaintiffs agree that the releases and agreements contained in this Settlement Agreement shall remain effective in all respects notwithstanding any later discovery of any different or additional facts. Plaintiffs expressly assume any and all risk of any mistake in connection with the true facts involved in the matters, disputes, or controversies released or described in this Settlement Agreement or with regard to any facts now unknown to Plaintiffs relating thereto.

E. Should any Class Member, Aggrieved Employee, or named Plaintiff seek to assert a claim or any dispute or action against Defendant with regard to the Released Claims herein, this Agreement, once approved by the Court, will be sufficient to support dismissal of any claim, dispute, or action, following Defendant's payment of the Initial Payment of \$400,000.00. However, should Defendant fail to make subsequent payments in accordance

1 with Section III(A)(3) above, the release provided for in this Agreement shall be null and
2 void, and Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, or the named Plaintiff may seek to assert
3 a claim or any dispute or action against Defendant with regard to the Released Claims.

4 F. Defendant's Right to Rescind. If ten percent (10%) or more of the putative Class
5 Members opt out of the class or the settlement by submitting valid and timely Requests
6 for Exclusion, Defendant has the right, in its sole discretion, to revoke the Parties'
7 Settlement. If Defendant exercises its option to rescind, Defendant shall: (a) provide
8 written notice to Class Counsel at least ten (10) business days after Defendant receives
9 written notice of the number of putative Class Members who have timely opted out of
10 the settlement, provided Defendant has been provided notice of all such opt outs, and
11 (b) pay all Settlement Administration Costs incurred by the Settlement Administrator
12 up to the date of Defendant's notice to rescind. If the Court does not grant both
13 preliminary and final approval of this settlement, the Parties further stipulate that this
14 and any future settlement agreement shall not affect Defendant's ability to maintain
15 that class certification is inappropriate in this lawsuit and/or that this lawsuit cannot be
16 managed as representative action.

17 G. Conditions Precedent: This Settlement will become final and effective only upon the
18 occurrence of all of the following events:

- 19 1. The Court enters an order granting preliminary approval of the Settlement;
- 20 2. The Court enters an order granting final approval of the Settlement and a Final
21 Judgment;
- 22 3. If an objector appears at the final approval hearing, the time for appeal of the
23 Final Judgment and Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action
24 Settlement expires; or, if an appeal is timely filed, there is a final resolution of
25 any appeal from the Judgment and Order Granting Final Approval of Class
26 Action Settlement; and
- 27 4. Defendant fully funds the Gross Settlement Amount by making the final
28 Installment Payment to the Settlement Administrator.

1 H. Nullification of Settlement Agreement. In the event that this Settlement Agreement is
2 not preliminarily or finally approved by the Court, fails to become effective, or is
3 reversed, withdrawn or modified by the Court, or in any way prevents or prohibits
4 Defendant from obtaining a complete resolution of the Released Claims, or if
5 Defendant fails to fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount:

- 6 1. This Settlement Agreement shall be void *ab initio* and of no force or effect,
7 and shall not be admissible in any judicial, administrative or arbitral
8 proceeding for any purpose or with respect to any issue, substantive or
9 procedural;
- 10 2. The conditional class certification (obtained for any purpose) shall be void *ab*
11 *initio* and of no force or effect, and shall not be admissible in any judicial,
12 administrative or arbitral proceeding for any purpose or with respect to any
13 issue, substantive or procedural; and
- 14 3. None of the Parties to this Settlement will be deemed to have waived any
15 claims, objections, defenses or arguments in the Action, including with respect
16 to the issue of class certification.
- 17 4. Defendant shall bear the sole responsibility for any cost to issue or reissue any
18 curative notice to the Settlement Class Members and all Settlement
19 Administration Costs incurred to the date of nullification.

20 I. Certification of the Settlement Class. The Parties stipulate to conditional class
21 certification of the Class for the Class Period for purposes of settlement only. In the
22 event that this Settlement is not approved by the Court, fails to become effective, or is
23 reversed, withdrawn or modified by the Court, or in any way prevents or prohibits
24 Defendant from obtaining a complete resolution of the Released Claims, the
25 conditional class certification (obtained for any purpose) shall be void *ab initio* and of
26 no force or effect, and shall not be admissible in any judicial, administrative or arbitral
27 proceeding for any purpose or with respect to any issue, substantive or procedural.
28

1 J. Tax Liability. The Parties make no representations as to the tax treatment or legal
2 effect of the payments called for, and Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees are
3 not relying on any statement or representation by the Parties in this regard. Class
4 Members and/or Aggrieved Employees understand and agree that they will be
5 responsible for the payment of any taxes and penalties assessed on the Individual
6 Settlement Payments and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the PAGA
7 Settlement described and will be solely responsible for any penalties or other
8 obligations resulting from their personal tax reporting of Individual Settlement
9 Payments and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the PAGA Settlement.

10 K. Circular 230 Disclaimer. Each Party to this Agreement (for purposes of this section,
11 the "acknowledging party" and each Party to this Agreement other than the
12 acknowledging party, an "other party") acknowledges and agrees that: (1) no provision
13 of this Agreement, and no written communication or disclosure between or among the
14 Parties or their attorneys and other advisers, is or was intended to be, nor shall any
15 such communication or disclosure constitute or be construed or be relied upon as, tax
16 advice within the meaning of United States Treasury Department circular 230 (31 CFR
17 part 10, as amended); (2) the acknowledging party (a) has relied exclusively upon his,
18 her or its own, independent legal and tax counsel for advice (including tax advice) in
19 connection with this Agreement, (b) has not entered into this Agreement based upon
20 the recommendation of any other Party or any attorney or advisor to any other Party,
21 and (c) is not entitled to rely upon any communication or disclosure by any attorney
22 or adviser to any other party to avoid any tax penalty that may be imposed on the
23 acknowledging party, and (3) no attorney or adviser to any other Party has imposed
24 any limitation that protects the confidentiality of any such attorney's or adviser's tax
25 strategies (regardless of whether such limitation is legally binding) upon disclosure by
26 the acknowledging party of the tax treatment or tax structure of any transaction,
27 including any transaction contemplated by this Agreement.

1 L. Preliminary Approval Motion. At the earliest practicable time, Plaintiffs shall file with
2 the Court a Motion for Order Granting Preliminary Approval and supporting papers,
3 which shall include this Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs will provide Defendant with
4 a draft of the Motion at least 3 business days prior to the filing of the Motion to give
5 Defendant an opportunity to propose changes or additions to the Motion.

6 M. Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for:
7 establishing and administering the QSF; calculating, processing and mailing payments
8 to the Class Representatives, Class Counsel, LWDA, Class Members, and all legal
9 representatives; printing and mailing the Notice Packets to the Class Members as
10 directed by the Court; receiving and reporting the objections and requests for
11 exclusion; calculating, deducting and remitting all legally required taxes from
12 Individual Settlement Payments and distributing tax forms for the Wage Portion and
13 Non-Wage Portion of the Individual Settlement Payments and/or Aggrieved
14 Employees' individual shares of the PAGA Settlement; processing and mailing tax
15 payments to the appropriate state and federal taxing authorities; providing
16 declaration(s) as necessary in support of preliminary and/or final approval of this
17 Settlement; and other tasks as the Parties mutually agree or the Court orders the
18 Settlement Administrator to perform. The Settlement Administrator shall keep the
19 Parties timely apprised of the performance of all Settlement Administrator
20 responsibilities by among other things, sending a weekly status report to the Parties'
21 counsel stating the date of the mailing, the of number of Elections Not to Participate
22 in Settlement it receives (including the numbers of valid and deficient), and number of
23 objections received.

24 N. Notice Procedure.

- 25 1. Class Data. No later than ten (10) business days after the Preliminary
26 Approval Date, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the
27 Class Data for purposes of preparing and mailing Notice Packets to the Class
28 Members.

1 2. Notice Packets.

- 2 a) The Notice Packet shall contain the Notice of Class Action Settlement
3 in a form substantially similar to the form attached as Exhibit A and a
4 Request for Exclusion form attached hereto as Exhibit B. The Notice
5 of Class Action Settlement shall inform Class Members and
6 Aggrieved Employees that they need not do anything in order to
7 receive an Individual Settlement Payment and/or Aggrieved
8 Employees' individual shares of the PAGA Settlement and to keep the
9 Settlement Administrator apprised of their current mailing address, to
10 which the Individual Settlement Payments and/or Aggrieved
11 Employees' individual shares of the PAGA Settlement will be mailed
12 following the Funding Date. The Notice of Class Action Settlement
13 shall set forth the release to be given by all members of the Class who
14 do not request to be excluded from the Settlement Class and/or
15 Aggrieved Employees' in exchange for an Individual Settlement
16 Payment and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the PAGA
17 Settlement, the number of Workweeks worked by each Class Member
18 during the Class Period and PAGA Period, if any, and the estimated
19 amount of their Individual Settlement Payment if they do not request
20 to be excluded from the Settlement and each Aggrieved Employee's
21 share of the PAGA Settlement, if any. The Settlement Administrator
22 shall use the Class Data to determine Class Members' Workweeks and
23 PAGA Workweeks. The Notice will also advise the Aggrieved
24 Employees that they will release the Released PAGA Claims and will
25 receive their share of the PAGA Settlement regardless of whether they
26 request to be excluded from the Settlement.
- 27 b) The Notice Packet's mailing envelope shall include the following
28 language: "IMPORTANT LEGAL DOCUMENT- YOU MAY BE

1 ENTITLED TO PARTICIPATE IN A CLASS ACTION
2 SETTLEMENT; A PROMPT REPLY TO CORRECT YOUR
3 ADDRESS IS REQUIRED AS EXPLAINED IN THE ENCLOSED
4 NOTICE.”

5 3. Notice by First Class U.S. Mail and Email. Upon receipt of the Class Data,
6 the Settlement Administrator will perform a search based on the National
7 Change of Address Database to update and correct any known or identifiable
8 address changes. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after receiving the
9 Class Data from Defendant, the Settlement Administrator shall mail and email
10 copies of the Notice Packet to all Class Members via regular First-Class U.S.
11 Mail and electronic mail. The Settlement Administrator shall exercise its best
12 judgment to determine the current mailing address for each Class Member.
13 The address identified by the Settlement Administrator as the current mailing
14 address shall be presumed to be the best mailing address for each Class
15 Member.

16 4. Undeliverable Notices. Any Notice Packets returned to the Settlement
17 Administrator as non-delivered on or before the Response Deadline shall be
18 re-mailed to any forwarding address provided. If no forwarding address is
19 provided, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly attempt to determine a
20 correct address by lawful use of skip-tracing, or other search using the name,
21 address and/or Social Security number of the Class Member involved, and
22 shall then perform a re-mailing, if another mailing address is identified by the
23 Settlement Administrator. In addition, if any Notice Packets, which are
24 addressed to Class Members who are currently employed by Defendant, are
25 returned to the Settlement Administrator as non-delivered and no forwarding
26 address is provided, the Settlement Administrator shall notify Defendant.
27 Defendant will request that the currently employed Class Member provide a
28 corrected address and transmit to the Administrator any corrected address

provided by the Class Member. Class Members who received a re-mailed Notice Packet shall have their Response Deadline extended fifteen (15) days from the original Response Deadline.

5. Disputes Regarding Individual Settlement Payments. Class Members will have the opportunity, should they disagree with Defendant's records regarding the start and end dates of employment to provide documentation and/or an explanation to show contrary dates. If there is a dispute, the Settlement Administrator will consult with the Parties to determine whether an adjustment is warranted. The Settlement Administrator shall determine the eligibility for, and the amounts of, any Individual Settlement Payments under the terms of this Agreement. The Settlement Administrator's determination of the eligibility for and amount of any Individual Settlement Payment shall be binding upon the Class Member and the Parties, except as provided herein below in Paragraph 6.

6. Disputes Regarding Administration of Settlement. Any disputes not resolved by the Settlement Administrator concerning the administration of the Settlement will be resolved by the Court under the laws of the State of California. Before any such involvement of the Court, counsel for the Parties will confer in good faith to resolve the disputes without the necessity of involving the Court.

7. Exclusions. The Notice of Class Action Settlement contained in the Notice Packet shall state that Class Members who wish to exclude themselves from the Settlement must submit a signed copy of the Request for Exclusion form to the Settlement Administrator by the Response Deadline. A Request for Exclusion form will be mailed together with the Notice Packet to all Class Members. The Request for Exclusion will not be valid if it is not timely submitted, if it is not signed by the Class Member or their legal representative, or if it does not contain the name and address and last four digits of the Social

1 Security number of the Class Member. The date of the postmark on the
2 mailing envelope or fax stamp on the Request for Exclusion shall be the
3 exclusive means used to determine whether the request for exclusion was
4 timely submitted. Any Class Member who submits a timely Request for
5 Exclusion shall be excluded from the Settlement Class will not be entitled to
6 an Individual Settlement Payment and will not be otherwise bound by the
7 terms of the Settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment
8 thereon. However, any Class Member that submits a timely Request for
9 Exclusion that is also a member of the Aggrieved Employees will still receive
10 his/her pro rata share of the PAGA Settlement, as specified below, and in
11 consideration, will be bound by the Release by the Aggrieved Employees as
12 set forth herein. Settlement Class Members who fail to submit a valid and
13 timely Request for Exclusion on or before the Response Deadline shall be
14 bound by all terms of the Settlement and any final judgment entered in this
15 Action if the Settlement is approved by the Court. No later than fourteen (14)
16 calendar days after the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall
17 provide counsel for the Parties with a final list of the Class Members who have
18 timely submitted timely Requests for Exclusion. At no time shall any of the
19 Parties or their counsel seek to solicit or otherwise encourage members of the
20 Class to submit Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement.

- 21 8. Objections. The Notice of Class Action Settlement contained in the Notice
22 Packet shall state that Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement
23 may submit to the Settlement Administrator a written statement of objection
24 (“Notice of Objection”) by the Response Deadline. The postmark date of
25 mailing shall be deemed the exclusive means for determining that a Notice of
26 Objection was served timely. The Notice of Objection must be signed by the
27 Settlement Class Member and any legal representative and state: (1) the case
28 name and number; (2) the name of the Settlement Class Member; (3) the

1 address of the Settlement Class Member; (4) the last four digits of the
2 Settlement Class Member's Social Security number; (5) the basis for the
3 objection; and (6) if the Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the
4 Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing. Class Members who fail to
5 make objections in writing in the manner specified above may still make their
6 objections orally at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing with the
7 Court's permission. Settlement Class Members will have a right to appear at
8 the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing to have their objections heard
9 by the Court. At no time shall any of the Parties or their counsel seek to solicit
10 or otherwise encourage Class Members to file or serve written objections to
11 the Settlement or appeal from the Order and Final Judgment. Class Members
12 who submit a written request for exclusion may not object to the Settlement.
13 Class Members may not object to the PAGA Settlement.

14 O. Funding and Allocation of the Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant is required to pay
15 the Gross Settlement Amount on or before the Funding Date, plus any employer's
16 share of payroll taxes as mandated by law within the time specified herein.

17 1. Individual Settlement Payments. Individual Settlement Payments shall be
18 paid from the Net Settlement Amount and shall be paid pursuant to the
19 formula set forth herein. Using the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator
20 shall add up the total number of Workweeks for all Class Members. The
21 respective Workweeks for each Class Member will be divided by the total
22 Workweeks for all Class Members, resulting in the Payment Ratio for each
23 Class Member. Each Class Member's Payment Ratio will then be multiplied
24 by the Net Settlement Amount to calculate each Class Member's estimated
25 Individual Settlement Payments. Each Individual Settlement Payment will be
26 reduced by any legally mandated employee tax withholdings (e.g., employee
27 payroll taxes, etc.). Individual Settlement Payments for Class Members who
28 submit valid and timely requests for exclusion will be redistributed to

1 Settlement Class Members who do not submit valid and timely requests for
2 exclusion on a pro rata basis based on their respective Payment Ratios.

3 2. Calculation of Individual Payments to the Aggrieved Employees. Using the
4 Class Data, the Settlement Administrator shall add up the total number of
5 PAGA pay periods for all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period.
6 The respective PAGA pay periods for each Aggrieved Employee will be
7 divided by the total PAGA pay periods for all Aggrieved Employees, resulting
8 in the “PAGA Payment Ratio” for each Aggrieved Employee. Each
9 Aggrieved Employee’s PAGA Payment Ratio will then be multiplied by the
10 Aggrieved Employee Payment to calculate each Aggrieved Employee’s
11 estimated share of the PAGA Settlement.

12 3. Allocation of Individual Settlement Payments. For tax purposes, Individual
13 Settlement Payments shall be allocated and treated as follows: 15% as wages
14 (“Wage Portion”); 85% as penalties and interest (“Non-Wage Portion”). The
15 Wage Portion is subject to wage withholdings and shall be reported on IRS
16 Form W-2. The Non-Wage Portion shall not be subject to wage withholdings
17 and shall be reported on IRS Form 1099.

18 4. Allocation of Aggrieved Employee Payments. For tax purposes, Aggrieved
19 Employee Settlement Payments shall be allocated and treated as 100%
20 penalties and shall be reported on IRS Form 1099.

21 5. No Credit Toward Benefit Plans. The Individual Settlement Payments and
22 individual shares of the PAGA Settlement made to Settlement Class Members
23 and/or Aggrieved Employees under this Settlement Agreement, as well as any
24 other payments made pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, will not be
25 utilized to calculate any additional benefits under any benefit plans to which
26 any Class Members may be eligible, including, but not limited to profit-
27 sharing plans, bonus plans, 401(k) plans, stock purchase plans, vacation plans,
28 sick leave plans, PTO plans, and any other benefit plan. Rather, it is the

Parties' intention that this Settlement Agreement will not affect any rights, contributions, or amounts to which any Class Members may be entitled under any benefit plans.

6. All monies received by Settlement Class Members under the Settlement which are attributable to wages shall constitute income to such Settlement Class Members solely in the year in which such monies actually are received by the Settlement Class Members. It is the intent of the Parties that Individual Settlement Payments and individual shares of the PAGA Settlement provided for in this Settlement agreement are the sole payments to be made by Defendant to Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees in connection with this Settlement Agreement, with the exception of Plaintiffs, and that the Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees are not entitled to any new or additional compensation or benefits as a result of having received the Individual Settlement Payments and/or their shares of the PAGA Settlement.

7. Mailing. Individual Settlement Payments and Aggrieved Employee Payments shall be mailed by regular First-Class U.S. Mail to Settlement Class Members' and/or Aggrieved Employees last known mailing address no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after Defendant makes the Initial Payment of Four Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$400,000.00).

8. Expiration. Any checks issued to Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees shall remain valid and negotiable for one hundred and eighty (180) days from the date of their issuance. If a Settlement Class Member and/or Aggrieved Employee does not cash his or her settlement check within 90 days, the Settlement Administrator will send a letter to such persons, advising that the check will expire after the 180th day, and invite that Settlement Class Member and/or Aggrieved Employee to request reissuance in the event the check was destroyed, lost or misplaced. In the event an Individual Settlement Payment and/or Aggrieved Employee's individual share of the PAGA

1 Settlement check has not been cashed within one hundred and eighty (180)
2 days, all funds represented by such uncashed checks, plus any interest accrued
3 thereon, shall be transmitted to the Controller of the State of California to be
4 held pursuant to the Unclaimed Property Law, California Civil Code § 1500
5 *et seq.*, in the names and corresponding amounts associated with each Class
6 Member and/or Aggrieved Employee whose check(s) are cancelled.

- 7 9. Class Representative Service Awards. In addition to the Individual Settlement
8 Payment and their individual share of the PAGA Settlement to be paid to
9 Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs will apply to the Court for an award of not more than
10 \$10,000 for each Plaintiff, as the Class Representative Service Awards.
11 Defendant does not presently intend to oppose Class Representative Service
12 Awards of not more than \$10,000 for each Plaintiff. In the event the Court
13 reduces or does not approve of the requested Service Award payments,
14 Plaintiffs shall not have the right to revoke the settlement and it will remain
15 binding. Plaintiffs each retain the right to appeal any denial of a requested
16 Service Award. The Settlement Administrator shall pay the Class
17 Representative Service Awards, either in the amount stated herein if approved
18 by the Court or some other amount as approved by the Court, to Plaintiffs
19 from the Gross Settlement Amount no later than fifteen (15) calendar days
20 after Defendant makes the Initial Payment. Any portion of the requested Class
21 Representative Service Awards that are not awarded to the Class
22 Representatives shall be part of the Net Settlement Amount and shall be
23 distributed to Settlement Class Members as provided in this Agreement. The
24 Settlement Administrator shall issue an IRS Form 1099 — MISC to Plaintiffs
25 for their Class Representative Service Awards. Plaintiffs shall be solely and
26 legally responsible to pay any and all applicable taxes on their Class
27 Representative Service Awards and shall hold harmless the Defendant from
28 any claim or liability for taxes, penalties, or interest arising as a result of the

1 Class Representative Service Awards. The Class Representative Service
2 Awards shall be in addition to Plaintiffs' Individual Settlement Payment as a
3 Settlement Class Member. Approval of this Settlement shall not be
4 conditioned on Court approval of the requested amount of the Class
5 Representative Service Award. In the event that the Court reduces or does not
6 approve the requested Class Representative Service Award, Plaintiffs shall not
7 have the right to revoke the Settlement, and it will remain binding.

8 10. Class Counsel Award. Defendant understands a motion by Class Counsel for
9 attorneys' fees not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount
10 currently estimated to be \$195,000.00 plus costs and expenses supported by
11 declaration not to exceed Twenty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents
12 (\$20,000.00), from the Gross Settlement Amount will be filed. Any portion
13 of the requested Class Counsel Award that is not awarded to Class Counsel
14 shall be part of the Net Settlement Amount and shall be distributed to
15 Settlement Class Members as provided in this Agreement. The Settlement
16 Administrator shall allocate and pay the Class Counsel Award to Class
17 Counsel from the Gross Settlement Amount no later than seven (7) calendar
18 days after the Funding Date. Class Counsel shall be solely and legally
19 responsible to pay all applicable taxes on the payment made pursuant to this
20 paragraph. The Settlement Administrator shall issue an IRS Form 1099 —
21 MISC to Class Counsel for the payments made pursuant to this paragraph. In
22 the event that the Court reduces or does not approve the requested Class
23 Counsel Award, Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall not have the right to revoke
24 the Settlement, or to appeal such order, and the Settlement will remain
25 binding.

26 11. PAGA Settlement. Thirty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$30,000.00)
27 shall be allocated from the Gross Settlement Amount for settlement of claims
28 for civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA

Settlement"). The Settlement Administrator shall pay seventy-five percent (75%) of the PAGA Settlement (\$22,500.00) to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Funding Date (hereinafter "LWDA Payment"). Twenty-five (25%) of the PAGA Settlement (\$7,500.00) will be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees as described in this Agreement (hereinafter "Aggrieved Employee Payment"). For purposes of distributing the PAGA Settlement to the Aggrieved Employees, each Aggrieved Employee shall receive their pro-rata share of the Aggrieved Employee Payment using the PAGA Payment Ratio as defined above.

12. Settlement Administration Costs. The Settlement Administrator shall be paid for the costs of administration of the Settlement from the Gross Settlement Amount. The estimate of the Settlement Administration Costs is \$13,000. The Settlement Administrator shall be paid the Settlement Administration Costs no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Funding Date.

P. Final Approval Motion. Class Counsel and Plaintiffs shall use best efforts to file with the Court a Motion for Order Granting Final Approval and Entering Judgment, within twenty-eight (28) days following the expiration of the Response Deadline, which motion shall request final approval of the Settlement and a determination of the amounts payable for the Class Representative Service Award, the Class Counsel Award, the PAGA Settlement, and the Settlement Administration Costs. Plaintiffs will provide Defendant with a draft of the Motion at least 3 business days prior to the filing of the Motion to give Defendant an opportunity to propose changes or additions to the Motion

1. Declaration by Settlement Administrator. No later than fourteen (14) days after the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall submit a declaration in support of Plaintiffs' motion for final approval of this Settlement detailing the number of Notice Packets mailed and re-mailed to

1 Class Members, the number of undeliverable Notice Packets, the number of
2 timely requests for exclusion, the number of objections received, the amount
3 of the average Individual Settlement Payment and highest Individual
4 Settlement Payment, the Settlement Administration Costs, and any other
5 information as the Parties mutually agree or the Court orders the Settlement
6 Administrator to provide.

7 2. Final Approval Order and Judgment. Class Counsel shall present an Order
8 Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement to the Court for its
9 approval, and Judgment thereon, at the time Class Counsel files the Motion
10 for Final Approval.

11 N. Review of Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval. Class Counsel will provide
12 an opportunity for Counsel for Defendant to review the Motions for Preliminary and
13 Final Approval, including the Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action
14 Settlement, and Judgment before filing with the Court. The Parties and their counsel
15 will cooperate with each other and use their best efforts to affect the Court's approval
16 of the Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval of the Settlement, and entry of
17 Judgment.

18 O. Cooperation. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use
19 their best efforts to implement the Settlement.

20 P. Interim Stay of Proceedings. The Parties agree to stay all proceedings in the Actions,
21 except such proceedings necessary to implement and complete the Settlement, pending
22 the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing to be conducted by the Court.

23 Q. Amendment or Modification. This Agreement may be amended or modified only by
24 a written instrument signed by counsel for all Parties or their successors-in-interest.

25 R. Entire Agreement. This Agreement and any attached Exhibit constitute the entire
26 Agreement among these Parties, and no oral or written representations, warranties or
27 inducements have been made to any Party concerning this Agreement or its Exhibit
28

1 other than the representations, warranties and covenants contained and memorialized
2 in this Agreement and its Exhibit.

3 S. Authorization to Enter into Settlement Agreement. Counsel for all Parties warrant and
4 represent they are expressly authorized by the Parties whom they represent to negotiate
5 this Agreement and to take all appropriate Action required or permitted to be taken by
6 such Parties pursuant to this Agreement to effectuate its terms, and to execute any other
7 documents required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The persons signing
8 this Agreement on behalf of Defendant represents and warrants that he/she is
9 authorized to sign this Agreement on behalf of Defendant. Plaintiffs represent and
10 warrant that they are authorized to sign this Agreement and that they have not assigned
11 any claim, or part of a claim, covered by this Settlement to a third-party.

12 T. No Public Comment: The Parties and their counsel agree that they will not issue any
13 press releases, initiate any contact with the press, respond to any press inquiry, or have
14 any communication with the press about the fact, amount or terms of the Settlement
15 Agreement. Class Counsel further agrees not to use the Settlement Agreement or any
16 of its terms for any marketing or promotional purposes. Nothing herein will restrict
17 Class Counsel from including publicly available information regarding this settlement
18 in future judicial submissions regarding Class Counsel's qualifications and experience.
19 Further, Class Counsel will not include, reference or use the Settlement Agreement for
20 any marketing or promotional purposes, either before or after the Motion for
21 Preliminary Approval is filed.

22 U. Binding on Successors and Assigns. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure
23 to the benefit of, the successors or assigns of the Parties, as previously defined.

24 V. California Law Governs. All terms of this Agreement and the Exhibit and any disputes
25 shall be governed by and interpreted according to the laws of the State of California.

26 W. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts. All
27 executed counterparts and each of them shall be deemed to be one and the same
28

instrument provided that counsel for the Parties to this Agreement shall exchange among themselves copies or originals of the signed counterparts.

X. This Settlement Is Fair, Adequate and Reasonable. The Parties believe this Settlement is a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement of this Action and have arrived at this Settlement after extensive arms-length negotiations, taking into account all relevant factors, present and potential.

Y. Jurisdiction of the Court. The Parties agree that the Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the terms of this Agreement and all orders and judgments entered in connection therewith, and the Parties and their counsel submit to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of interpreting, implementing and enforcing the settlement and all orders and judgments entered in connection with this Agreement.

Z. Invalidity of Any Provision. Before declaring any provision of this Agreement invalid, the Court shall first attempt to construe the provisions valid to the fullest extent possible consistent with applicable precedents so as to define all provisions of this Agreement valid and enforceable.

AA. No Unalleged Claims. Plaintiffs and Class Counsel represent that they do not currently intend to pursue any claims against the Defendant, including, but not limited to, any and all claims relating to or arising from Plaintiffs' employment with Defendant, regardless of whether Class Counsel is currently aware of any facts or legal theories upon which any claims or causes of action could be brought against Defendant, including those facts or legal theories alleged in the operative complaint in this Action. The Parties further acknowledge, understand and agree that this representation is essential to the Agreement and that this Agreement would not have been entered into were it not for this representation.

BB. Waiver of Certain Appeals. The Parties agree to waive appeals and to stipulate to class certification for purposes of this settlement only.

1 CC. No Admissions by the Parties. Plaintiffs have claimed and continue to claim that the
 2 Released Claims have merit and give rise to liability on the part of Defendant.
 3 Defendant claims that the Released Claims have no merit and do not give rise to
 4 liability. This Agreement is a compromise of disputed claims. Nothing contained in
 5 this Agreement and no documents referred to and no action taken to carry out this
 6 Agreement may be construed or used as an admission by or against the Defendant or
 7 Plaintiffs or Class Counsel as to the merits or lack thereof of the claims asserted. Other
 8 than as may be specifically set forth herein, each Party shall be responsible for and
 9 shall bear its/their own attorney's fees and costs.

10 DD. Confidentiality. Class Counsel agrees that it will not initiate or contact or have any
 11 communications with putative Class Members during the Settlement approval process.
 12 Nothing will prevent Class Counsel from responding to inquiries from putative Class
 13 Members. For its part, Defendant agrees it shall not discourage putative Class
 14 Members from participating in the Settlement and shall refer any questions to the
 15 Claims Administrator. No public comment, communications to media, or any form of
 16 advertising or public announcement (including social media) regarding the case shall
 17 be made by Plaintiffs or Class Counsel at any time. Prior to filing of the Motions for
 18 Preliminary and Final Approval, Class Counsel shall not discuss the terms of the
 19 settlement or the negotiations leading to settlement with any person other than the
 20 Plaintiffs and Class Administrator.

21 IT IS SO AGREED, FORM AND CONTENT, BY PLAINTIFFS:

22
 23 DATED: Jul 19, 2022


 Thomas Haven (Jul 19, 2022 14:07 PDT)

THOMAS HAVEN

24
 25 DATED: Jul 19, 2022


 Brett Owens (Jul 19, 2022 13:32 PDT)

BRETT OWENS

26
 27 DATED: Jul 19, 2022


 Donovan Patterson (Jul 19, 2022 13:33 PDT)

DONOVAN ZACHARIAH

IT IS SO AGREED, FORM AND CONTENT, BY DEFENDANT:

DATED: 7/21/2022

DocuSigned by:

Arlene Groos

97045FF51E7746F...

CALIFORNIA VOCATIONS, INC.

Arlene Groos

Printed Name


Executive Director

Title

IT IS SO AGREED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL:

DATED: July 19, 2022

JCL LAW FIRM, A.P.C.

By: 

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members

DATED: July 19, 2022


ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

By: 

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members

DATED: 7/19/2022

WENDY A. WALKER
YOUNG, MINNEY & CORR, LLP

By: 

Attorneys for Defendant

4873-7040-2085, v. 2
4873-7040-2085, v. 2

EXHIBIT A

**NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
AND FINAL HEARING DATE**

Haven v. California Vocations, Inc.
Butte County Superior Court Case No. 20CV01514

**YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED WHETHER YOU ACT OR DO NOT ACT.
PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY.**

SUMMARY OF YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT:	
Do Nothing and Receive a Payment	To receive a cash payment from the Settlement, you do not have to do anything. Your estimated Settlement Share is: \$<<[REDACTED]>>. See the explanation below. After final approval by the Court, the payment will be mailed to you at the same address as this notice. If your address has changed, please notify the Settlement Administrator as explained below. In exchange for the settlement payment, you will release claims against the Defendant as detailed below.
Exclude Yourself	If you wish to exclude yourself from the Settlement, you must send a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator as provided below. If you request exclusion, you will receive no money from the Settlement. Instructions are set forth below.
Object	You may write to the Court about why you believe the settlement should not be approved. Directions are provided below.

1. Why did I get this Notice?

A proposed class action settlement (the “Settlement”) of this lawsuit pending in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Butte (the “Court”) has been reached between Plaintiffs Thomas Haven, Brett Owens and Donovan Zachariah Patterson (collectively “Plaintiffs”) and Defendant California Vocations, Inc. (“Defendant”). The Court has granted preliminary approval of the Settlement. **You may be entitled to receive money from this Settlement.**

You have received this Class Notice because you have been identified as a member of the Class or a legal representative of a Class Member, which is defined as:

All non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Defendant and performed work in California during the Class Period.

The “Class Period” is the period of time running from April 6, 2016 to May 5, 2022.

This Class Notice explains the lawsuit, the Settlement, and your legal rights. It is important that you read this Notice carefully as your rights may be affected by the Settlement.

2. What is this class action lawsuit about?

On August 03, 2020, Plaintiffs filed a Complaint against Defendant in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Butte. Plaintiffs asserted claims that Defendant: (a) Violated California Business and Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.*; (b) Failed to pay overtime wages in violation of California Labor Code Sections 510 *et seq.*; (c) Failed to provide required meal periods in violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 & 512, and the applicable IWC Wage Order; (d) Failed to provide required rest periods in violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 & 512, and the applicable IWC Wage Order; (e) Failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements in violation of California Labor Code § 226; (f) Failed to reimburse employees for required business expenses in violation of California Labor Code § 2802 (g) Failed to provide wages when due in violation of California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, and 203; (h) Failed to pay minimum wages in violation of California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and 1197.1; and (i) Violation of the Private Attorneys General Act [Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*]. On March 29, 2022, Plaintiff Haven filed a First Amend Complaint (“FAC”) adding Brett Owens as a named Plaintiff in the Action. On July 11, 2022, Plaintiffs Haven and Owens filed a Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”) adding Donovan Zachariah Patterson as a named Plaintiff in the Action.

Defendant denies and disputes all claims asserted in the Action. Specifically, Defendant contended (and continues to contend) that the Action could not properly be maintained as a class action; that Defendant properly paid members of the class all wages and overtime that was due; that Defendant provided members of the class with all legally required meal breaks and rest breaks; that Defendants paid any members of the class all wages due them at the time of their terminations; that Defendant fully reimbursed members of the class for all required business expenses; that Defendant provided accurate, itemized wage statements to members of the class; that Defendant did not violate California Business and Professions Code section 17200 *et seq.*; and that Defendant is not liable for any of the penalties claimed or that could be claimed in the Action.

On May 5, 2022, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation with Hon. Brian C. Walsh (Ret.), a respected and experienced jurist and mediator of wage and hour class and PAGA actions. At the conclusion of the full-day mediation, the Parties were able to reach an agreement for settlement. The Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement on <<INSERT PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE>>. At that time, the Court also preliminarily approved the Plaintiffs to serve as the Class Representative and the law firms of Zakay Law Group, APC and JCL Law Firm, APC.

3. What are the terms of the Settlement?

Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant has agreed to pay an “all in” amount of Five Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$585,000) (the “Gross Settlement Amount”) to fund the settlement. The Gross Settlement Amount includes the payment of all Settlement Shares to Participating Class Members, Class Counsel’s attorneys’ fees and costs, Settlement Administration Expenses, the LWDA Payment, PAGA Shares, and the Class Representative Service Payments to the Plaintiffs.

After the Judgment becomes Final, Defendant will pay the Gross Settlement Amount by depositing the money with the Settlement Administrator as follows: (1) \$400,000 within sixty-five (65) days after final approval. “Final” means the date the Judgment is no longer subject to appeal, or if an appeal is filed, the date the appeal process is completed and the Judgment is affirmed; and (2) twelve (12) equal monthly installments in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Four Hundred Sixteen Dollars and Sixty-Seven Cents (\$15,416.67) paid monthly thereafter, commencing on the first day of the first full month following the Initial Payment, and on the first of every month thereafter until the Gross Settlement Amount is fully funded.

Amounts to be Paid From the Gross Settlement Amount. The Settlement provides for certain payments to be made from the Gross Settlement Amount, which will be subject to final Court approval, and which will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount before settlement payments are made to Class Members, as follows:

- Settlement Administration Expenses. Payment to the Settlement Administrator, estimated not to exceed \$13,000, for expenses, including expenses of sending this Notice, processing opt outs, and distributing settlement payments.
- Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Payment to Class Counsel of an award of a Class Counsel Fees Payment of no more than 1/3 of the Gross Settlement Amount (currently \$195,000.00) and a Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment of not more than \$20,000.00 for all expenses incurred as documented in Class Counsel's billing records, both subject to Court approval. Class Counsel have been prosecuting the Actions on behalf of Plaintiffs and the Class on a contingency fee basis (that is, without being paid any money to date) and have been paying all litigation costs and expenses.
- Class Representative Service Payments. Class Representative Service Payments of up to Ten Thousands Dollars (\$10,000.00) for each Plaintiff, or such lesser amount as may be approved by the Court, to compensate them for services on behalf of the Class in initiating and prosecuting the Action, and for the risks they undertook.
- PAGA Payment. A payment of \$30,000 relating to Plaintiffs' claim under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), \$22,500 of which will be paid to the State of California's Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and the remaining \$7,500 will be distributed to PAGA Employees as the Net PAGA Amount.
- Calculation of Payments to Participating Class Members. After all the above payments of the court-approved Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, the Class Representative Service Payments, the LWDA Payment, the PAGA Payment, and the Settlement Administration Expenses are deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount, the remaining portion, called the "Net Settlement Amount," shall be distributed to class members who do **not** request exclusion ("Settlement Class Members"). The Settlement Share for each Settlement Class Member will be calculated by dividing the Net Settlement Amount by the total number of workweeks for all Participating Class Members that occurred during the Class Period and multiplying the result by each individual Participating Class Member's workweeks that occurred during the Class Period. A "workweek" is defined as a normal seven-day week of work during the Class Period in which, according to Defendant's records, a member of the class worked at least one-day during any such workweek.
- Calculation of PAGA Penalties Payments to PAGA Employees. The Net PAGA Amount shall be distributed to PAGA Employees irrespective of whether they exclude themselves or opt-out. The Net PAGA Amount will be divided by the total number of pay periods worked by all PAGA Employees during the PAGA Period, and then taking that number and multiplying it by the number of pay periods worked by each respective PAGA Employee during the PAGA Period. "PAGA Employees" means all non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Defendant and performed work in California during the period of August 3, 2019 to May 5, 2022.

If the Settlement is approved by the Court, you will automatically be mailed a check for your Settlement Share to the same address as this Class Notice. You do not have to do anything to receive a payment. If your address has changed, you must contact the Settlement Administrator to inform them of your correct address to insure you receive your payment.

Tax Matters. 15% of each Settlement Share is allocated to wages. Taxes are withheld from this amount, and each Participating Class Member will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form W-2 for such payment. 85% of each Settlement Share is allocated to interest, penalties and other non-wage payments, and no taxes will be withheld, and each Participating Class Member will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form 1099 for such payment. In addition, no taxes will be withheld from the PAGA Penalties paid to PAGA Employees, and each

PAGA Employee will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form 1099 for such payment. Neither Class Counsel nor Defendant's counsel intend anything contained in this Settlement to constitute advice regarding taxes or taxability. You may wish to consult a tax advisor concerning the tax consequences of the payments received under the Settlement.

Conditions of Settlement. This Settlement is conditioned upon the Court entering an order granting final approval of the Settlement and entering judgment.

4. What Do I Release Under the Settlement?

Released Claims. Upon entry of final judgment and funding the Initial Payment of the Gross Settlement Amount by Defendant, Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members shall release all Released Class Claims that occurred during the Class Period as to the Released Parties. Released Class Claims are defined as all class claims alleged in the operative complaint which occurred during the Class Period. The Released PAGA Claims shall be released as follows: As of the Settlement Effective Date and upon funding the Initial Payment of the Gross Settlement Amount by Defendant, all Aggrieved Employees shall release all Released PAGA Claims, irrespective of whether they opted-out of the class settlement, and will be bound by this PAGA Release (the "PAGA Release"). "Released PAGA Claims" are defined as all PAGA claims alleged in the operative complaint and Plaintiff's PAGA notice to the LWDA which occurred during the PAGA Period, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period.

This means that, if you do not timely and formally exclude yourself from the settlement, you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of any other lawsuit against Defendant about the legal issues resolved by this Settlement. It also means that all of the Court's orders in this Action will apply to you and legally bind you.

5. How much will my payment be?

Defendant's records reflect that you have <<____>> work-weeks worked during the Class Period (April 6, 2016 to May 5, 2022).

Based on this information, your estimated Settlement Share is <<____>>.

Defendant's records reflect that you have <<____>> pay periods worked during the PAGA Period (August 3, 2019 to May 5, 2022).

Based on this information, your estimated PAGA Settlement Share is <<____>>.

If you wish to challenge the information set forth above, then you must submit a written, signed dispute challenging the information along with supporting documents, to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided in this Notice no later than _____ [forty-five (45) days after the Notice or re-mailed Notice].

6. How can I get a payment?

To get money from the settlement, you do not have to do anything. A check for your settlement payment will be mailed automatically to the same address as this Notice. If your address is incorrect or has changed, you must notify the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator is: _____. (800) _____.

The Court will hold a hearing on _____ to decide whether to finally approve the Settlement. If the Court approves the Settlement and there are no objections or appeals, payments will be mailed within a few months after this hearing. If there are objections or appeals, resolving them can take time, perhaps more than a year. Please be patient. After entry of the Judgment, the Settlement Administrator will provide notice of the final

judgment to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Judgment on the administrator's website at www._____.com

7. What if I don't want to be a part of the Settlement?

If you do not wish to participate in the Settlement, you may exclude yourself from the Settlement or "opt out." **If you opt out, you will receive NO money from the Settlement, and you will not be bound by its terms, except as provided as follows.** Irrespective of whether you exclude yourself from the Settlement or "opt out," you will be bound by the PAGA Release, you will be deemed to have released the Released PAGA Claims, and you will receive a share of the Net PAGA Amount.

To opt out, you or your legal representative must submit to the Settlement Administrator, by First Class Mail, a written, signed and dated request for exclusion postmarked no later than _____. The address for the Settlement Administrator is _____. The request for exclusion must state in substance: "I have read the Class Notice and I wish to opt out of the class action and settlement of the case *Haven v. California Vocations, Inc.*, Case No. 20CV01514." The request for exclusion must contain your name, address, signature and the last four digits of your Social Security Number for verification purposes. The request for exclusion must be signed by you. No other person may opt out for a member of the Class, other than their legal representative.

Written requests for exclusion that are postmarked after _____, or are incomplete or unsigned will be rejected, and those Class Members will remain bound by the Settlement and the release described above.

8. How do I tell the Court that I would like to challenge the Settlement?

Any Class Member who has not opted out and believes that the Settlement should not be finally approved by the Court for any reason, may object to the proposed Settlement. Objections may be in writing and state the Class Member's name, current address, telephone number, and describe why you believe the Settlement is unfair and whether you intend to appear at the final approval hearing. All written objections or other correspondence must also state the name and number of the case, which is *Haven v. California Vocations, Inc.*, Case No. 20CV01514. You may also object without submitting a written objection by appearing at the final approval hearing scheduled as described in Section 9 below.

To object to the Settlement, you can opt out. If the Court approves the Settlement, you will be bound by the terms of the Settlement in the same way as Class Members who do not object. Any Class Member who does not object in the manner provided in this Class Notice shall have waived any objection to the Settlement, whether by appeal or otherwise.

Written objections must be delivered or mailed to the Settlement Administrator no later than _____. The address for the Settlement Administrator is _____.

The addresses for the Parties' counsel are as follows:

Class Counsel:

Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
JCL Law Firm, APC
5440 Morehouse Dr., Ste. 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel.: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-2891
E-Mail: jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com

Class Counsel:

Shani O. Zakay, Esq.
Zakay Law Group, APLC
5440 Morehouse Dr., Ste. 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 255-9047
Email: shani@zakaylaw.com
Website: www.zakaylaw.com

Counsel for Defendant:

Wendy A. Walker
Young, Minney & Corr, LLP
655 University Ave., Suite 150
Sacramento, CA 95825
Tel: (916) 646-1400
Fax: (916) 646-1300
Email: wwalker@mycharterlaw.com

9. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing at 00:00 AM/PM on _____, at the Butte County Superior Court, located at 1775 Concord Avenue, Chico, CA 95928 in Department 6 before Judge Stephen E. Benson. At this hearing, the Court will consider whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The purpose of this hearing is for the Court to determine whether to grant final approval to the Settlement. If there are objections, the Court will consider them. The Court will listen to people who have made a timely written request to speak at the hearing or who appear at the hearing to object. This hearing may be rescheduled by the Court without further notice to you. **You are not required to attend** the Final Approval Hearing, although any Class Member is welcome to attend the hearing.

10. How do I get more information about the Settlement?

You may call the Settlement Administrator at _____ or write to *Haven v. California Vocations, Inc.*, Case No. 20CV01514., Settlement Administrator, c/o _____.

This notice summarizes the proposed settlement. More details are in the Settlement Agreement. You may receive a copy of the Settlement Agreement, the Final Judgment or other Settlement documents by writing to Zakay Law Group, APLC, 5440 Morehouse Dr., Ste. 3600, San Diego, CA 92121 or by visiting the website page provided above.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL THE COURT ABOUT THIS NOTICE.

IMPORTANT:

- You must inform the Settlement Administrator of any change of address to ensure receipt of your settlement payment.
- Settlement checks will be null and void 180 days after issuance if not deposited or cashed. In such event, the Settlement Administrator shall pay all funds from such uncashed checks to the State Controller's Office. If your check is lost or misplaced, you should contact the Settlement Administrator immediately to request a replacement.

EXHIBIT 2

9/16/2022

JCL LAW FIRM, APC

Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
 Sydney S. Castillo-Johnson (State Bar #343881)
 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3500
 San Diego, CA 92121
 Telephone: (619)599-8292
 Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
ilapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com

F I L E D Superior Court of California
 County of Butte
NOV 09 2022
 Sharif Elmehrik, Clerk
 Deputy

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
 Jackland K. Hom (State Bar #327243)
 Julieann Alvarado (State Bar #334727)
 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3500
 San Diego, CA 92121
 Telephone: (619)255-9047
 Facsimile: (858) 404-9203
shani@zakaylaw.com
jackland@zakaylaw.com
julieann@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF BUTTE**

THOMAS HAVEN, BRETT OWENS, AND
 DONAVON PATTERSON individuals, on
 behalf of themselves and on behalf of all
 persons similiary situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CALIFORNIA VOCATIONS, INC., a
 California Corporation; and DOES 1-50,
 Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 20CV01514

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
 PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
 ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Date: October 19, 2022

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Judge: Stephen E. Benson

Dept.: 6

1 This matter having come before the Honorable Judge Stephen E. Benson of the Superior Court
2 of the State of California, in and for the County of Butte, with Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., of the JCL
3 Law Firm, APC and Shani O. Zakay, Esq. of the Zakay Law Group, APLC as counsel for plaintiffs
4 THOMAS HAVEN, BRETT OWENS, and DONAVON ZACHARIAH PATTERSON ("Plaintiffs"),
5 and Wendy A. Walker, Esq. and William J. Trinkle, Esq. of Young, Minney & Corr, LLP appearing
6 for defendant CALIFORNIA VOCATIONS, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant"). The Court, having
7 carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all the matters presented to the Court, and
8 good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
9 and PAGA Settlement.

10 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

11 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA
12 Action Claims and Release of Claims ("Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement"), a true and correct
13 copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit "1"**. This is based on the Court's determination that the
14 Settlement Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of
15 Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.

16 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms
17 defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.

18 3. Subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Gross Settlement Amount that
19 Defendant shall pay is Five Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$585,000). It appears to the Court
20 on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all
21 Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to
22 certification, liability, and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been
23 conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It
24 further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all
25 Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the
26 litigation. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and
27 non-collusive arms-length negotiations.

1 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of
2 reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court
3 has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily
4 finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to the Class Members are fair, adequate, and
5 reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification,
6 liability, and damages issues.

7 5. Plaintiff seeks an award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs in the amount of up-to one-third
8 of the Gross Settlement Amount for attorneys' fees, currently estimated at One Hundred Ninety-Five
9 Thousand Dollars (\$195,000), an award of litigation expenses incurred not to exceed Twenty Thousand
10 Dollars (\$20,000), and proposed Class Representative Service Award to the Class Representatives,
11 Thomas Haven, Brett Owens, and Donavon Zachariah Patterson, in an amount not to exceed Ten
12 Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) each. While these awards appear to be within the range of reasonableness,
13 the Court will not approve the Class Counsel Award or Class Representative Service Award until the
14 Final Approval Hearing.

15 6. The Court recognizes that Plaintiff and Defendant stipulate and agree to certification of
16 a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed admissible in this or any other
17 proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement purposes only, the Court
18 conditionally certifies the following Class:

19 "All non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by
20 California Vocations, Inc. ("Defendant") and performed work in California
21 during the Class Period ("Class"). The Class Period is the period of time
22 between April 6, 2016 to May 5, 2022 ("Class Period")

23 7. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the requirements
24 for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (a) the Class is
25 ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class Members is impracticable; (b)
26 common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest
27 amongst the Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the

1 Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Class Members; (d) the Class Representative will
2 fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class Members; (e) a class action is superior to other
3 available methods for the efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified
4 to act as counsel for the Class Representatives in their individual capacity and as the representatives of
5 the Class Members.

6 8. The Court provisionally appoints plaintiffs THOMAS HAVEN, BRETT OWENS, and
7 DONAVON ZACHARIAH PATTERSON as the representatives of the Class.

8 9. The Court provisionally appoints Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., of the JCL Law Firm,
9 APC, and Shani Zakay, Esq. of Zakay Law Group, APLC, as Class Counsel for the Class Members.

10 10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Proposed Notice of Pendency of
11 Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") attached to the Agreement as **Exhibit "A"**. The Court finds
12 that the notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees of
13 all material elements of the proposed Settlement, including right of any Class Member to be excluded
14 from the Class by submitting a written request for exclusion, and of each Class Member's right and
15 opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the notice
16 substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets the requirements
17 of due process, is the most reasonable notice under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and
18 sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the notices by first class
19 mail, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.

20 11. The Court hereby appoints ILYM Group, Inc., as Settlement Administrator. No later than
21 ten (10) business days after this order, Defendant shall provide, to the Settlement Administrator the
22 Class Data, including information regarding Class Members that Defendant will in good faith compile
23 from its records, including each Class Member's full name; last known address; Social Security
24 Number; start dates and end dates of employment. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after
25 receiving the Class Data from Defendant, the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Class Notice to
26 all identified, potential Class Members via first class U.S. Mail using the most current mailing address
27 information available.

1 12. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion from the
2 Settlement. Any Class Member may individually choose to opt out of and be excluded from the
3 Settlement as provided in the Notice by following the instructions for requesting exclusion from the
4 Settlement of the Released Claims that are set forth in the Notice. All requests for exclusion must be
5 postmarked or received by the Response Deadline which is forty-five (45) calendar days after the date
6 the Class Notice is mailed to the Class Members or, in the case of a re-mailed Notice, not more than
7 fifteen (15) calendar days after the original Response Deadline. Any such person who chooses to opt
8 out of and be excluded from the Settlement will not be entitled to an Individual Settlement Payment
9 under the Settlement and will not be bound by the Settlement, or have any right to object, appeal or
10 comment thereon. Class Members who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all
11 determinations of the Court, the Agreement and Judgment. A request for exclusion may only opt out
12 that particular individual, and any attempt to affect an opt-out of a group, class, or subclass of
13 individuals is not permitted and will be deemed invalid.

14 13. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the final approval hearing and
15 may object or express the Class Member's views regarding the Settlement and may present evidence
16 and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined
17 by the Court as provided in the Notice. Class Members will have forty-five (45) days from the date the
18 Settlement Administrator mails the Class Notice to postmark their written objections to the Settlement
19 Administrator.

20 14. A final approval hearing shall be held before this Court on 3/1/2023, at
21 9:00 AM in Department 6 of the Butte County Superior Court to determine all necessary matters
22 concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and
23 conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally
24 approved by the Court; whether an Order Granting Final Approval should be entered herein; whether
25 the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable
26 to the Class; and to finally approve the Class Counsel Award, Class Representative Service Award, and
27 the Settlement Administration Costs. All papers in support of the motion for final approval and the
28

1 motion for Attorneys' Fee and Costs and Class Representative Service Award shall be filed with the
2 Court and served on all counsel no later than sixteen (16) court days before the hearing.

3 15. Neither the Settlement nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered thereunder
4 shall be construed as a concession or admission by Defendant in any way, and shall not be used as
5 evidence of, or used against Defendant as, an admission or indication in any way, including with respect
6 to any claim of any liability, wrongdoing, fault or omission by Defendant or with respect to the truth
7 of any allegation asserted by any person. Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the
8 Settlement, nor any exhibit, document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor
9 any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as,
10 received as or deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not
11 limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any
12 liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage.

13 16. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the
14 Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled or fails to become
15 effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties
16 shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement. In such an event, the
17 Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Preliminary Approval Order, shall not be used
18 or referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to alter the terms of
19 the Settlement Agreement with respect to the effect of the Settlement Agreement if it is not approved.

20 17. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Class
21 Representative and all Class Members are barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting,
22 intervening in, instigating or in any way participating in the commencement or prosecution of any
23 lawsuit, action or administrative, regulatory, arbitration or other proceeding, in any forum, asserting
24 any claims that are, or relate in any way to, the Released Claims, unless and until they submit a timely
25 request for exclusion pursuant to the Agreement.

26
27 ///

1 18. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing
2 and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members and retains
3 jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

4
5 Dated: 11/9/22


JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

STEPHEN E. BENSON

EXHIBIT 3

Maria Watters

From: DIR PAGA Unit <lwdadonotreply@dir.ca.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2022 7:22 PM
To: Maria Watters
Subject: Thank you for your Proposed Settlement Submission

09/16/2022 07:21:32 PM

Thank you for your submission to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency.

Item submitted: Proposed Settlement

If you have questions or concerns regarding this submission or your case, please send an email to pagainfo@dir.ca.gov.

DIR PAGA Unit on behalf of
Labor and Workforce Development Agency

Website: http://labor.ca.gov/Private_Attorneys_General_Act.htm

EXHIBIT 4

EXHIBIT 5

JCL Law Firm

Unbilled Time

Activity: All Dates

ACTIVITY DATE	POSTING	EMPLOYEE	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	RATE	DURATION	AMOUNT	BALANCE
Haven v. Cali Vocations (002-132)							
05/11/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Fact Investigation - Client Intake	550.00	0:54	495.00	495.00
05/14/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Legal Research - LWDA Notice Requirements (Cases and Statutes)	550.00	2:54	1,595.00	2,090.00
05/25/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Pleadings - Drafted, Revised and Edited LWDA Notice	550.00	3:00	1,650.00	3,740.00
05/26/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Legal Research - Employee File Demand Statutes	550.00	1:30	825.00	4,565.00
05/28/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Discovery - Drafted Employee File Demand Letter	550.00	1:00	550.00	5,115.00
06/30/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Fact Investigation - R&R Employee File Production	550.00	2:00	1,100.00	6,215.00
07/01/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Legal Research - Claim Analysis (WO 5th and 15, Meal, Rest and 2802)	550.00	5:48	3,190.00	9,405.00
07/07/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Case Management - Drafted Status Letter to Client Re Claim Analysis	550.00	1:18	715.00	10,120.00
07/27/2020	No	Tesla Stone	File Maintenance; Circulate	150.00	0:12	30.00	10,150.00
07/28/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Pleadings - Drafted, Revised and Edited Complaint	550.00	2:54	1,595.00	11,745.00
07/31/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Case Management - TCW Client Re Complaint	550.00	0:48	440.00	12,185.00
08/03/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Pleadings - Finalized Complaint for Filing	550.00	0:24	220.00	12,405.00
10/01/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Discovery - Drafted, Revised and Edited Discovery SI (Set1)	550.00	1:18	715.00	13,120.00
10/15/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Case Management - R&R Defendant's Dec. Re Demurrer	550.00	0:12	110.00	13,230.00
10/27/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - R&R SOZ Dec. Re Objection to Demurrer	550.00	0:30	275.00	13,505.00
10/29/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Case Management - Drafted Status Letter to Client Re Timeline and Demurrer	550.00	2:00	1,100.00	14,605.00
11/02/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Case Management - TCW Client Re Status Letter	550.00	0:24	220.00	14,825.00
11/24/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - R&R Defendant's Demurrer	550.00	3:00	1,650.00	16,475.00
12/29/2020	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Legal Research - Motion to Compel Response to SI (Case Law)	550.00	3:54	2,145.00	18,620.00
01/08/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - Drafted, Revised and Edited MTC	550.00	1:48	990.00	19,610.00
01/19/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	R&R Court's Notice of Reassignment	550.00	0:30	275.00	19,885.00
01/28/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	R&R Defendant's Opposition to MTC and Outlined Reply	550.00	3:30	1,925.00	21,810.00
01/28/2021	No	Jean Claude	R&R Defendant's Oppo to MTC	550.00	2:06	1,155.00	22,965.00

JCL Law Firm

Unbilled Time

Activity: All Dates

ACTIVITY DATE	POSTING	EMPLOYEE	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	RATE	DURATION	AMOUNT	BALANCE
		Lapuyade					
01/29/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	R&R Plaintiff's Opposition to Demurrer	550.00	1:06	605.00	23,570.00
02/02/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Attended CMC	550.00	0:36	330.00	23,900.00
02/02/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law & Motion - Revised Opposition to Demurrer	550.00	1:00	550.00	24,450.00
02/10/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - Prepared for and Attended MTC	550.00	1:42	935.00	25,385.00
02/10/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Attended Motion Hearing	550.00	1:48	990.00	26,375.00
03/12/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - Defendant's Ex parte Re Notice of Ruling	550.00	1:30	825.00	27,200.00
03/22/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Legal Research - Demurrer, Motion to Strike Answer (SOL, Waiver and Estoppel)	550.00	3:54	2,145.00	29,345.00
03/22/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Pleadings - R&R Defendant's Answer	550.00	1:30	825.00	30,170.00
03/23/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - R&R Court's Order Re Discovery Ruling	550.00	0:18	165.00	30,335.00
04/01/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Case Management - Drafted Status Letter to Client	550.00	2:00	1,100.00	31,435.00
06/22/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Read and Reviewed Admin Report	550.00	1:00	550.00	31,985.00
06/30/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Meet and Confer Re Mediation Data	550.00	1:00	550.00	32,535.00
08/17/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Discovery - Reviewed and Revised RFP (set 1)	550.00	2:24	1,320.00	33,855.00
08/17/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Discovery - Reviewed and Revised SI (Set 2)	550.00	3:06	1,705.00	35,560.00
08/17/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Discovery - Review and Revised RFA (Set 1)	550.00	2:06	1,155.00	36,715.00
09/15/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - R&R Defendant's Ex Parte Papers	550.00	1:30	825.00	37,540.00
09/16/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion- Ex Parte hearing re Belaire Notice	550.00	1:30	825.00	38,365.00
10/25/2021	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Discovery - Correspondence w/ OC Re Extension	550.00	0:30	275.00	38,640.00
03/09/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Case Management - Drafted Stip to Continue CMC	550.00	0:48	440.00	39,080.00
03/24/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Status Update to Client	550.00	0:36	330.00	39,410.00
03/28/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Correspondence re mediation data	550.00	0:18	165.00	39,575.00
04/05/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Discovery - Email to/from OC Re Data Demand	550.00	0:30	275.00	39,850.00

JCL Law Firm

Unbilled Time

Activity: All Dates

ACTIVITY DATE	POSTING	EMPLOYEE	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	RATE	DURATION	AMOUNT	BALANCE
04/14/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Drafted Mediation Brief	550.00	7:06	3,905.00	43,755.00
04/14/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Mediation - Reviewed and Executed Mediation Forms	550.00	0:30	275.00	44,030.00
04/14/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Fact & Investigation re Mediation Brief	550.00	2:12	1,210.00	45,240.00
04/16/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Discovery - Reviewed Class Data for Mediation	550.00	1:36	880.00	46,120.00
04/21/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Mediation - Emails to/from Mediator's Case Manager Re Mediation	550.00	0:18	165.00	46,285.00
04/25/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Revisions to Mediation Brief	550.00	1:12	660.00	46,945.00
04/25/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Discovery - Emails to/from OC Re Mediation Data	550.00	0:30	275.00	47,220.00
04/27/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Phone Call with Owens re mediation brief	550.00	0:24	220.00	47,440.00
04/27/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Revisions to Mediation Brief	550.00	1:48	990.00	48,430.00
05/03/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Revisions to Mediation Brief and emails to Mediator	550.00	0:30	275.00	48,705.00
05/03/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Mediation - Emails to/from Mediator Re Pre Mediation Call	550.00	0:30	275.00	48,980.00
05/05/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Mediation - Attended Mediation	550.00	9:30	5,225.00	54,205.00
05/09/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - R&R MOU	550.00	0:12	110.00	54,315.00
05/10/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Drafted Class Notice	550.00	1:36	880.00	55,195.00
05/10/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Drafted Class/PAGA SAR	550.00	5:12	2,860.00	58,055.00
05/10/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Emails to/from Co Counsel Re Settlement Agreement	550.00	0:36	330.00	58,385.00
05/10/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Emails to/from ILYM re Admin Bid	550.00	0:24	220.00	58,605.00
05/10/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Drafted Request for Exclusion	550.00	0:24	220.00	58,825.00
05/11/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Revisions to SAR and Class Notice	550.00	0:30	275.00	59,100.00
05/13/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Phone Call with Class Member re mediation and settlement	550.00	0:24	220.00	59,320.00
05/16/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Revisions to SAR	550.00	0:36	330.00	59,650.00
05/24/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Email to OC re comments to SAR, Class Notice and Exclusion Form	550.00	0:18	165.00	59,815.00
05/31/2022	No	Jean Claude	Emails to OC re comments to SARS.	550.00	0:12	110.00	59,925.00

JCL Law Firm

Unbilled Time

Activity: All Dates

ACTIVITY DATE	POSTING	EMPLOYEE	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	RATE	DURATION	AMOUNT	BALANCE
		Lapuyade					
06/06/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Email to OC re comments to Class SAR, Class Notice and Exclusion Form	550.00	0:18	165.00	60,090.00
06/07/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - Emails to/from OPC Re SAR	550.00	0:30	275.00	60,365.00
06/07/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - Read and Revised MFA P&A and Order	550.00	2:00	1,100.00	61,465.00
06/07/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - R&R Admin Final Report	550.00	0:36	330.00	61,795.00
06/07/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Emails to/from OC re comments to SAR.	550.00	0:18	165.00	61,960.00
06/10/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Case Management - Drafted, Revised and Finalized Stip to Continue	550.00	1:12	660.00	62,620.00
06/13/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Case Management - Drafted and Revised Stip to Vacate	550.00	1:00	550.00	63,170.00
06/20/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Email to OC re feedback to SAR, Class Notice and Exclusion form.	550.00	0:12	110.00	63,280.00
06/20/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - Emails to/from OPC Re: Settlement Agreement	550.00	0:18	165.00	63,445.00
06/22/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Emails to/from OC re status on review of SAR, Class Notice and Exclusion Form.	550.00	0:18	165.00	63,610.00
06/22/2022	No	Sydney Castillo-Johnson	Draft stip for leave to amend SAC	300.00	1:00	300.00	63,910.00
06/24/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Emails to/from OC re SARS. Left message for OC with assistant.	550.00	0:18	165.00	64,075.00
06/25/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Emails to/from OPC RE Settlement Agreement	550.00	0:18	165.00	64,240.00
07/01/2022	No	Sydney Castillo-Johnson	Draft amended complaint	300.00	1:00	300.00	64,540.00
07/16/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - Emails to/fro OC Re Settlement Agreement	550.00	0:30	275.00	64,815.00
10/18/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion- R&R Court's Tentative and Reviewed Notice	550.00	0:30	275.00	65,090.00
10/27/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - Revised, Edited Notice Per Tentative	550.00	0:18	165.00	65,255.00
11/01/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - Drafted, Revised and Edited Support. Dec. ISO Approval	550.00	1:00	550.00	65,805.00
11/08/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - R&R COurt's Tentative on MPA	550.00	0:12	110.00	65,915.00
11/23/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - Email to/from Admin/OC Re Class Data	550.00	0:18	165.00	66,080.00
11/29/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - Email to/from Admin Re Settlement Admin	550.00	0:18	165.00	66,245.00

JCL Law Firm

Unbilled Time

Activity: All Dates

ACTIVITY DATE	POSTING	EMPLOYEE	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	RATE	DURATION	AMOUNT	BALANCE
11/29/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - Emails to/from Admin Re Timeline	550.00	0:24	220.00	66,465.00
12/02/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - R&R Admin Timeline	550.00	0:30	275.00	66,740.00
12/02/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - TCW Counsel for CM Patterson Re Release Language	550.00	0:54	495.00	67,235.00
12/13/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - Emails to/from Counsel and Admin Re Notice	550.00	1:00	550.00	67,785.00
12/15/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - Emails to/from Admin Re Notice	550.00	0:24	220.00	68,005.00
12/18/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - Emails to/from Admin Re Notice	550.00	0:24	220.00	68,225.00
12/29/2022	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - R&R Admin Report Re Notice	550.00	0:30	275.00	68,500.00
01/03/2023	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - R&R Admin Report	550.00	0:30	275.00	68,775.00
01/12/2023	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - R&R Admin Report	550.00	0:30	275.00	69,050.00
01/17/2023	No	Sydney Castillo-Johnson	Legal research - MFA issues, rules of court and case law	300.00	3:00	900.00	69,950.00
01/20/2023	No	Sydney Castillo-Johnson	Law and Motion - draft motion for final approval	300.00	5:00	1,500.00	71,450.00
01/23/2023	No	Sydney Castillo-Johnson	Legal Research - Fees Motion	300.00	2:45	825.00	72,275.00
01/24/2023	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - Drafted, Revised and Edited MFA	550.00	3:54	2,145.00	74,420.00
01/24/2023	No	Sydney Castillo-Johnson	Law and Motion - draft motion for fees	300.00	5:00	1,500.00	75,920.00
02/02/2023	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Settlement - R&R Final Notice Report	550.00	0:42	385.00	76,305.00
02/05/2023	No	Jean Claude Lapuyade	Law and Motion - Emails to/from Admin Re Judgement and Opt Out	550.00	0:30	275.00	76,580.00
Total for Haven v. Cali Vocations (002-132)					147:27	\$76,580.00	

EXHIBIT 6

JCL Law Firm

Transaction Report

All Dates

DATE	TRANSACTION TYPE	NUM	NAME	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	ACCOUNT	SPLIT	AMOUNT	BALANCE
Haven v. Cali Vocations (002-132)								
10/29/2020	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number 15396558 - Re: Declaration, Affidavit; Proof of Service	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	13.55	13.55
11/30/2020	Journal Entry	Nov. 2020 Postage		Copies for Nov. 2020	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.20	13.75
01/12/2021	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 15729603 - Filing Fee: Notice of Motion; Memorandum of Points & Authorities	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	77.73	91.48
01/12/2021	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 15730331 - Filing Fee: Proposed Order	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	15.60	107.08
01/26/2021	Expense		Court Call	Court Call ID: 11068816	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	94.00	201.08
01/31/2021	Journal Entry	Jan. 2021 Postage		Copies for Jan. 2021	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.70	201.78
02/02/2021	Expense		Court Call	Court Call ID: 11079634	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	94.00	295.78
02/02/2021	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 15823258 - Filing Fee: Opposition, Declaration/Affidavit, Objection, Proposed Order; Proof of Service	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	15.60	311.38
02/03/2021	Expense		Courtcall	Court Call ID: 11081419	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	94.00	405.38
02/04/2021	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 15830973- Filing Fee: Reply, Declaration/Affidavit, Objection, Proof of Service	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	15.60	420.98
02/16/2021	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 15880153- Filing Fee: Proposed Order, Proof of Service	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	15.60	436.58
02/28/2021	Journal Entry	Feb. 2021 Postage		Copies for Feb. 2021	Client costs deferred	-Split-	2.30	438.88
03/12/2021	Expense		Court Call	Court Call ID: 11135923	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	94.00	532.88
03/16/2021	Credit Card Credit		Court Call	Court Call Refund 11135923	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	-94.00	438.88
03/31/2021	Journal Entry	Mar. 2021 Postage		Copies for Mar. 2021	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.10	438.98
04/15/2021	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 16163309 - Filing Fee: Proposed Order, Document - Other, Proof of Service	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	16.06	455.04
04/30/2021	Journal Entry	Apr. 2022 Postage		Copies for Apr. 2021	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.80	455.84
08/31/2021	Journal Entry		August 2021 Postage	Copies for Aug 2021	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.10	455.94
09/14/2021	Expense		Court Call	Court Call ID: 11339203	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	94.00	549.94
10/15/2021	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 17073557 - Filing Fee Re: Notice of Change of Address	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	16.06	566.00
10/31/2021	Journal Entry	Oct 2021 Postage		Copies for Oct 2021	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.95	566.95
11/15/2021	Journal Entry		Sept 2022 Postage	Copies for Sep 2021	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.35	567.30
01/31/2022	Journal Entry	Jan 2022 Postage		Copies for Jan 2022	Client costs deferred	-Split-	5.00	572.30
01/31/2022	Journal Entry		Jan 2022 Postage	Postage for Jan 2022	Client costs deferred	-Split-	8.76	581.06
03/31/2022	Journal Entry	Mar 2022 Postage		Copies for Mar 2022	Client costs deferred	-Split-	20.60	601.66
04/04/2022	Check		2776	JAMS	Invoice #6092362 - Mediation	Client costs deferred	US Bank-Checking - 4270	1,434.38
04/09/2022	Check	2782	JAMS	Invoice #1110028030- Mediation	Client costs deferred	US Bank-Checking - 4270	237.50	2,273.54
04/25/2022	Check	2798	JAMS	Invoice #6092362 - Mediation	Client costs deferred	US Bank-Checking - 4270	1,434.37	3,707.91
04/30/2022	Journal Entry	April 2022 Postage		Postage for April 2022	Client costs deferred	-Split-	1.06	3,708.97
04/30/2022	Journal Entry		April 2022 Postage	Copies for April 2022	Client costs deferred	-Split-	7.00	3,715.97
05/18/2022	Check	2830	Berger Consulting Group	Inv. 6058	Client costs deferred	US Bank-Checking - 4270	725.00	4,440.97
05/31/2022	Journal Entry	May 2022 Postage		Copies for May 2022	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.55	4,441.52
06/30/2022	Journal Entry	June 2022 Postage		Copies for June 2022	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.70	4,442.22
09/14/2022	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 18914025 - Filing Fee Re: Proof of Service, Case Management Statement	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	16.57	4,458.79
09/19/2022	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 18941129 - Filing Fee Re: Notice of motion, Memorandum of Points & Authorities	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	80.04	4,538.83
11/03/2022	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 19223126 - Filing Fee Re: Proof of Service	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	16.57	4,555.40
11/04/2022	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 19223082 - Filing Fee Re: Declaration	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	16.57	4,571.97
11/10/2022	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 19270612 - Filing Fee Re: Case Management Statement, Proof of Service	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	16.57	4,588.54

JCL Law Firm

Transaction Report

All Dates

DATE	TRANSACTION TYPE	NUM	NAME	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	ACCOUNT	SPLIT	AMOUNT	BALANCE
11/14/2022	Deposit		Haven v. Cali Vocations (002-132)		Client costs deferred	US Bank- Checking - 4270	-95.65	4,492.89
11/23/2022	Expense		Court Call	Court Call ID: 11624269	Client costs deferred	Chase Card 7349	94.00	4,586.89
11/30/2022	Journal Entry	Nov. 2022 Postage		Copies for November 2022	Client costs deferred	-Split-	5.30	4,592.19
12/31/2022	Journal Entry	Dec 2022 Postage		Copies for December 2022	Client costs deferred	-Split-	0.25	4,592.44
Total for Haven v. Cali Vocations (002-132)							\$4,592.44	
TOTAL							\$4,592.44	

EXHIBIT 7

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-8291

FIRM RESUME

Areas of Practice: Employee Class Actions, Wage and Hour Class Actions, Civil Litigation, and Unlawful Housing Practices.

ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
California Bar Number 248676
Founding Partner

Biography:

Mr. Lapuyade is a San Diego based labor and employment attorney representing aggrieved California employees and the victims of unlawful housing practices throughout the State of California. Mr. Lapuyade's litigation practice focuses on representing California employees in wage and hour class actions for unpaid wages, missed meal and rest breaks, and misclassification, wrongful termination cases and tenants in unlawful housing class actions. Mr. Lapuyade prides himself on providing his clients with aggressive, attentive and result driven representation through the litigation process, trial and arbitration. Since 2007, Mr. Lapuyade successfully recovered in excess of \$100 million in monetary awards for his clients.

Mr. Lapuyade served as co-editor of the Insurance Column for Consumer Attorneys of San Diego from 2011 to 2014. As editor, Mr. Lapuyade was responsible for publishing monthly articles in the Trial Bar News periodical analyzing complex insurance coverage issues. Mr. Lapuyade is a four-time recipient of the Super Lawyers Rising Star by Thomson Reuters. To be eligible for inclusion in Rising Stars, a candidate must be either 40 years old or younger or in practice for 10 years or less. While up to 5 percent of the lawyers in a state are named to Super Lawyers, no more than 2.5 percent are named to Rising Stars.

Bar Admissions:

- California
- U.S. District Court Southern District of California
- U.S. District Court Central District of California
- U.S. District Court Eastern District of California
- U.S. District Court Northern District of California

Professional Associations:

- California Employment Lawyers Association, 2007 to Present
- Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, 2007 to 2020
- American Bar Association, Section of Litigation, Member, 2007 to 2020
- American Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2007 to 2020
- American Bar Association, Tort, Trial & Insurance Section, Member, 2007 to 2020
- California Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2007 to Present
- California Bar Association, Litigation Section, Member, 2007 to Present

Education:

- **California Western School of Law**
 - J.D. - 2006
 - Honors: Deans Merit Scholarship, California Western School of Law 2005 - 2006
- **University of Arizona**
 - B.A. - 2001
 - Major: History

Honors:

- Super Lawyers Rising Star, Thomson Reuters, 2015 - 2018
- Distinguished Advocate Award for Outstanding Oral Advocacy, 2005 - 2006

Sydney Castillo-Johnson, Esq.
California Bar Number 343881
Associate Attorney

Biography:

Ms. Castillo-Johnson is a San Diego based labor and employment attorney representing California employees throughout the state of California. Ms. Castillo-Johnson's litigation practice focuses on representing current and former employees in wage and hour class actions for unpaid wages, missed meal and rest breaks, misclassification, and wrongful termination.

Ms. Castillo-Johnson volunteered her time at California Western School of Law first as a student intern and later as a student manager with the Community Law Project which offers legal services to the low-income community of San Diego. She now sits on the Advisory Council with the Community Law Project.

Education:

- **California Western School of Law**
 - J.D.- 2022
 - Honors:
 - Dean's Honor List: Spring 2021, Fall 2021
 - Public Service Honor Society Fall 2021
 - 3L Academic Merit Scholarship
 - Dean's Diversity, Service, and Leadership Scholarship
 - Activities: Community Law Project Student Manager
- **Azusa Pacific University**
 - B.A. – 2018
 - Major: Criminal Justice

Monnett De La Torre, Esq.
California Bar Number 272884
Senior Associate

Biography:

Ms. De La Torre is a San Diego and Ventura County based labor and employment attorney representing aggrieved California employees throughout the State of California. Ms. De La Torre's litigation practice focuses on representing California employees in wage and hour class and representative actions for unpaid wages, missed meal and rest breaks, misclassification, penalties, and wrongful termination cases. Ms. De La Torre has extensive experience handling internal workplace investigations. Ms. De La Torre has handled matters before the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and Department of Labor.

Ms. De La Torre is a two-time recipient of the Super Lawyers Rising Star by Thomas Reuters. To be eligible for inclusion in Rising Stars, a candidate must be either 40 years old or younger or in practice for 10 years or less. While up to five percent of the lawyers in a state are named to Super Lawyers, no more than 2.5 percent are named to Rising Stars.

Bar Admissions:

- California
- U.S. District Court Southern District of California

Professional Associations:

- California Employment Lawyers Association, 2022 to Present
- Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, 2010-2015
- American Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2015 to Present
- California Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2015 to Present
- San Diego La Raza Lawyers Association, 2010-2015
- Ventura County Bar Association, 2015-Present
- Women Lawyers of Ventura County, 2015-Present

Education:

- **New England School of Law**
 - J.D.- 2010

- Honors:
 - Dean's List Consecutive years
- **University of California, San Diego**
 - B.A.- 2006
 - Major: Economics
 - Minor: Latin American Studies

Honors:

- Super Lawyers Rising Star, Thomson Reuters, 2021 and 2022
- Pacific Cost Business Times, "Top 40 under 40" Award
- Daily Transcripts "Top Young Attorney: nominee, consecutive years"

REPORTED CASES

- *Blanchette et al., v. Superior Court (GHA Homes)*, 8 Cal.App.5th 521, 2017
- *Chmurny v. State*, 392 Md. 159, 2006

CLASS ACTION & REPRESENTATIVE CASES

- *Martinello v. Century Wilshire, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV32613 (March 1, 2022) Hon. Carolyn Kuhl approved a \$550 billing rate and appointed Jean Claude Lapuyade, Esq. of the JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel.
- *Wilson v. Spreen Inc. (dba Spreen Honda)*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2119857 (April 6, 2022) Hon. David Cohn approved a \$300,000 PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC, represented the plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Ledesma v. TVJ Sons I, Inc.; Porter and Howard, Inc.* Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 20CV03573 (November 10, 2021) Hon. Jed Beebe approved a \$350,000 PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC represented the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Jacobs v. Rush Media Company, LLC; XLT Management Services, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV32350 (May 2, 2022) Hon. Daniel J. Buckley appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and approved a \$330,000 class action settlement for, *inter alia*, independent contractor misclassification.
- *Chavez v. HTL Conrad Domestic, LLC; HTL Conrad Domestic Employer, LLC*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2020-00016193-CU-OE-CTL (June 9, 2022) Hon. John S. Meyer approved a \$850,000 PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, failure to compensate overtime at the regular rate of pay. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Renteria v. Love's Country Stores of California*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS20164481 (June 14, 2022) Hon. David Cohn approved a \$2.2 million dollar class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid overtime and sick pay. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Lopez v. Adidas America, Inc.*, Ventura Superior Court Case No. 56-2021-005498444-CU-OE-VTA (April 4, 2022) Hon. Mark Borrell approved a \$1.5 million dollar class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, inaccurate overtime calculations, and appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel.
- *Felix v. TVI, Inc., d.b.a. Savers*, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 21CV376407 (February 18, 2022) Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni approved a \$1.8 million dollar class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid off-the-clock work. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Munoz v. AMTCR*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIV-DS2001914 (August 24, 2021) Hon. David Cohn approved a \$1.8 million dollar class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, inaccurate itemized wage statements. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as class counsel for the settlement class and as representative PAGA counsel the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.)
- *Nunes v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.*, San Joaquin Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2020-0011011 (October 20, 2021 – Hon. Roger Ross approved a \$1.5 million dollar class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, miscalculated meal period premiums and appointed Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. as Class Counsel.)
- *Pillsbury v. T&T Restaurants CA, Inc.*, Del Norte Superior Court Case No. CVUJ-20-1214 (December 14, 2021 – Hon. Darren McElfresh approved \$550 billing rate and appointed Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. as Class Counsel in a wage and hour class action settlement.)
- *Latin v. OneMain General Service Corporation*, Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No. CV-20-002498 (October 22, 2021) Hon. Stacy Speiller approved a PAGA action settlement for \$700,000 wage statement violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as counsel for the plaintiff, the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.

- *Elias Kaser v. Aviation Consultants, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2021-01191204-CU-OE-CXC (September 22, 2021 – Hon. Peter J. Wilson approved \$550 billing rate in a representative California Labor Code Private Attorney General Act settlement).
- *Rodriguez v. RSI Home Products, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS2023354 (July 30, 2021 – Hon. David Cohn approved a \$1.45 million class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, failure to comply with piece-rate laws, and appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel)
- *Jer Vang v. Bridge Property Management*, Alameda County Superior Court, Case No RG19047434 (January 8, 2021 – Hon. Winifred Smith approved a class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid off the clock work. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as class counsel for the settlement class and as representative PAGA counsel the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.)
- *Johnson v. Volt Management Corp., Inc. et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV16466 (December 11, 2020 – Hon. Amy Hogue approved a PAGA Settlement negotiated on behalf of the State of California and the aggrieved employees by the JCL Law Firm, APC.)
- *Salazar v. Frontier Auto Sales Inc., dba Frontier Toyota*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV20382 (November 24, 2020 – Hon. John P. Doyle appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as class counsel and approved a class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, missed meal and rest periods.)
- *Villalobos v. Alterra Group, LLC et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV19448 (November 11, 2020 – Hon. Richard Fruin approved a PAGA Settlement for, *inter alia*, unreimbursed business expenses. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as counsel for the plaintiff, the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.)
- *Stevens et al., v. Bank of England*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00018662-CU-OE-CTL (October 16, 2020 – Hon. John Meyer appointed JCL Law Firm as Class Counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for, *inter alia*, off-the-clock work.)
- *Frazier v. ASA Carlton, Inc.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00036147 (October 9, 2020 Hon. Kenneth Medel appointed the JCL Law Firm as Class Counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for off-the-clock work.)
- *Krake et al., v. Central Valley Diner, Inc.*, Placer County Superior Court Case No. SCV0041645 (September 4, 2020 – Hon. Michael Jones appointed JCL Law Firm as class counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for meal period violations.)
- *Conner v. Ascendant Marketing, LLC et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00026864-CU-OE-CTL (July 24, 2020 Hon. Joel Wohlfeil granted approval of PAGA Settlement for, among other things, off-the-clock work. JCL Law Firm, APC acted as lead counsel.)
- *Guerrero v. Estancia Operations, LLC.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00028963 (August 25, 2020 – Hon. Katherine Bacal approved PAGA Settlement for, *inter alia*, missed meal and rest periods. JCL Law Firm, APC, acted as lead counsel.)
- *Moreno v. Dash Lube, et al.*, United States District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 18cv1922 DMS (AHG) (December 13, 2019 – Granted class certification of Labor Code Section 226 claim and appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel.)
- *Perkins et al., v. Tahiti Enterprises, Inc., et al.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2016-00863095 (October 22, 2019 – Hon. Peter Wilson appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for an unlawful housing class action settlement arising out of Civil Code Section 1940.1.)
- *Hill v. Stetak Holdings, Ltd.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No., 37-2018-00000747 (January 28, 2020 – Hon. Kenneth Medel appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as class counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for unpaid overtime.)
- *Shachno et al., v. KT Hotels, LLC, et al.* San Diego Superior Court Case No Case No. 37-2018-00043601 (May 31, 2019 – Hon. Gregory Pollack appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted preliminary approval for the wage and hour class action settlement involving nearly 900 current and former employees. Final approval scheduled for October 4, 2019)
- *Almanza v. The Express Group, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1722542 (April 19, 2019 – Hon. David Cohn appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour class action settlement for *inter alia*, missed meal and rest periods and independent contractor misclassification.)
- *Moschetto v. Pillow Global, Inc.* San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2017-00024031-CU-OE-CTL (April 5, 2019 – Hon. John S. Meyer appointed JCL LAW Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour wage class action settlement involving misclassified house cleaners.)
- *Burke v. Friendship Hotel, LLC*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2017-00022517-CU-OE-CTL (March 10, 2019 – final approval granted on for an unlawful housing class action arising under Civil Code Section 1940.2)
- *Terrado et al., v. Accredited Debt Relief, LLC*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-00014181-CU-OE-CTL (February 8, 2019 – Hon. Gregory W. Pollock appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour class action settlement for meal and rest period violations);

- *Macaspac v. San Antonio Regional Hospital*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1512625, (July 27, 2018 – Hon. Thomas S. Garza appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour class action settlement involving claims for on duty meal and rest periods.);
- *Summerlin et al. v. Maplebear, Inc. dba Instacart*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC603030 (2018 - certified and settled wage and hour class action.)
- *Ortega v. Prime Healthcare*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2014-00011240-CU-OE-CTL (2018 – Approved PAGA only settlement for on duty meal and rest periods)
- *Engstrom v. Bender-Rosenthal, Inc.* San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2016-00012373-CU-OE-CTL (2017 - Certified and settled class action for employee misclassification);
- *Crawford v. Outlook Amusements, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC617160 (2017 - Certified and settled wage and hour class action.);
- *Hernandez v. Sunglass Hut Trading, LLC*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1505181 (2016 - Certified and settled wage and hour class action);
- *Burns v. Shalom, LLC*, San Diego Superior Court, Case No., 37-2013-00058356-CU-BT-CTL (2015 - certified and settled class action for unlawful housing practices arising out of Civil Code Section 1940.1);